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## PART I

## Item 1. Business

## General

CenterState Banks, Inc. ( We, CenterState, CSFL, or the Company ) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida on September 20, 1999. CenterState is a registered bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHC Act ), and owns all the outstanding shares of CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. ( CSB ) and Valrico State Bank ( VSB ) (collectively, the Banks ), and R4ALL, Inc. ( R4ALL ) a non bank subsidiary.

The Company was formed and commenced operations by acquiring CenterState Bank Central Florida, N.A. ( Central ), CenterState Bank, N.A. ( CSNA ) and First National Bank of Polk County ( FNB/Polk ) in June of 2000. Central and CSNA commenced operations in 1989. FNB/Polk commenced operations in 1992.

CSB commenced operations in April of 2000 and was acquired by the Company on December 31, 2002. In January 2006, FNB/Polk was merged with CSB.

The Company purchased CenterState Bank Mid Florida in March of 2006 and merged it with CSNA in November of 2007. In April of 2007 we purchased VSB. In December 2010 Central and CSNA were merged into CSB.

In September 2009 we formed a separate non bank subsidiary, R4ALL, for the purpose of acquisition and disposition of troubled assets from our subsidiary banks.

Through our lead bank, CSB, we acquired assets and deposits from four failed financial institutions from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) in 2009 and 2010, and a fifth and sixth in January of 2012.

In January 2011, we acquired four branch banking offices with approximately $\$ 113$ million of deposits and approximately $\$ 121$ million of performing loans from TD Bank, N.A.

In November 2011, we acquired Federal Trust Corporation in Sanford, Florida, with approximately $\$ 157$ million of selected performing loans, $\$ 198$ million of deposits and five branch banking offices from The Hartford Insurance Group, the sole owner of Federal Trust Corporation.

Headquartered in Davenport, Florida between Orlando and Tampa, we provide a range of consumer and commercial banking services to individuals, businesses and industries through our 58 bank branch network located within seventeen counties throughout central Florida. As of December 31, 2011 our 58 bank branch offices were located in the following Florida counties:

| Citrus | Indian River | Orange | Polk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hendry | Lake | Osceola | Putnam |
| Hernando | Marion | Pasco | Sumter |
| Hillsborough | Okeechobee | Seminole | St. Lucie |
| Volusia |  |  |  |

During January 2012 we acquired four additional bank branch offices in Marion county and eight additional bank branch offices in Duval county pursuant to our fifth and sixth acquisitions of failed financial institutions through FDIC assisted transactions.

The basic services we offer include: demand interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing accounts, money market deposit accounts, time deposits, safe deposit services, cash management, direct deposits, notary services, money orders, night depository, travelers checks, cashier s checks, domestic collections, savings bonds, bank
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drafts, automated teller services, drive-in tellers, and banking by mail and by internet. In addition, we make residential and commercial real estate loans, secured and unsecured commercial loans and consumer loans. Our banks provide automated teller machine (ATM) cards, thereby permitting customers to utilize the convenience of larger ATM networks. We also offer internet banking services to our customers. In addition to the foregoing services, our offices provide customers with extended banking hours. We acquired a trust department pursuant to our January 2012 acquisition of a failed financial institution in Duval county and expect to offer trust services to customers throughout our existing markets in Florida. We also have a wealth management division that offers other financial products to our customers, including mutual funds, annuities and other products.

The revenue of our Company is primarily derived from interest on, and fees received in connection with, real estate and other loans, interest and dividends from investment securities and short-term investments, and commissions on bond sales. The principal sources of funds for our lending activities are customer deposits, repayment of loans, and the sale and maturity of investment securities. Our principal expenses are interest paid on deposits, and operating and general administrative expenses.

In addition to providing traditional deposit and lending products and services to our commercial and retail customers through our 58 locations, we also operate a correspondent banking and bond sales division. The division is integrated with and part of our lead subsidiary bank, CSB, located in Winter Haven, Florida, although the majority of our bond salesmen, traders and operations personnel are physically housed in leased facilities located in Birmingham, Alabama and Atlanta, Georgia. The business lines of this division are primarily divided into three inter-related revenue generating activities. The first, and largest, revenue generator is commissions earned on fixed income security sales. The second category includes: (a) correspondent bank deposits (i.e., federal funds purchased) and (b) correspondent bank checking accounts. The third, and smallest revenue generating category, includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, and asset/liability consulting related activities. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

As is the case with banking institutions generally, our operations are materially and significantly influenced by the real estate market, general economic conditions and by related monetary and fiscal policies of financial institution regulatory agencies, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve ). Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by interest rates on competing investments and general market rates of interest. Lending activities are affected by the demand for financing of real estate and other types of loans, which in turn is affected by the interest rates at which such financing may be offered and other factors affecting local demand and availability of funds. We face strong competition in the attraction of deposits (our primary source of lendable funds) and in the origination of loans. See Competition.

At December 31, 2011, our primary assets were our ownership of $100 \%$ of the stock of our subsidiary banks. At December 31, 2011, we had total consolidated assets of $\$ 2,284,459,000$, total consolidated deposits of $\$ 1,919,789,000$, and total consolidated stockholders equity of \$262,633,000.

## Note about Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements, such as statements relating to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business operations. These statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views and expectations based largely upon the information currently available to us and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. Although we believe our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, they are not guarantees of future performance and there are a number of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. By making these forward-looking statements, we do not undertake to update them in any manner except as may be required by our disclosure obligations in filings we make with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Federal securities laws. Our actual results may differ materially from our forward-looking statements.
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## Lending Activities

We offer a range of lending services, including real estate, consumer and commercial loans, to individuals and small businesses and other organizations that are located in or conduct a substantial portion of their business in our market area. Our consolidated loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 1,283,766,000$, or $56 \%$ and $\$ 1,129,946,000$ or $55 \%$, respectively, of total consolidated assets. The interest rates charged on loans vary with the degree of risk, maturity, and amount of the loan, and are further subject to competitive pressures, money market rates, availability of funds, and government regulations. We have no foreign loans or loans for highly leveraged transactions. We do have immaterial amounts of loans with foreigners on property located within our Florida market area, primarily vacation and second homes.

Our loans are concentrated in three major areas: real estate loans, commercial loans and consumer loans. A majority of our loans are made on a secured basis. As of December 31, 2011, approximately $86 \%$ of our consolidated loan portfolio consisted of loans secured by mortgages on real estate, $10 \%$ of the loan portfolio consisted of commercial loans (not secured by real estate) and $4 \%$ of our loan portfolio consisted of consumer and other loans.

Approximately $12.8 \%$ of the Company s loans, or $\$ 164,051,000$, are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements related to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Company for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to the covered loans beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred, subject to the terms of the agreements. The Company will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to the covered loans. The loss sharing agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provide for FDIC loss sharing and the Company reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to commercial loans provide for FDIC loss sharing for five years and Company reimbursement to the FDIC for a total of eight years for recoveries.

Approximately $7.1 \%$ of the Company s loans, or $\$ 90,457,000$, are subject to a two year put back option, commencing January 20, 2011, with TD Bank, N.A., such that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to TD Bank, N.A. for $90 \%$ of unpaid principal balance (the Company purchased the loans from TD Bank, N.A. at $90 \%$ of unpaid principal balances).

Approximately $12.1 \%$ of the Company s loans, or $\$ 155,823,000$, are subject to a one year put back option, commencing November 1, 2011, with The Hartford Insurance Group, Inc. ( Hartford ), such that if any of these loans becomes 30 days past due or is adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to Hartford for $73 \%$ of unpaid principal balance (the Company purchased the loans from Hartford at $73 \%$ of unpaid principal balances).

Our real estate loans are secured by mortgages and consist primarily of loans to individuals and businesses for the purchase, improvement of or investment in real estate, for the construction of single-family residential and commercial units, and for the development of single-family residential building lots. These real estate loans may be made at fixed or variable interest rates. Generally, we do not make fixed-rate commercial real estate loans for terms exceeding five years. Loans in excess of five years are generally adjustable. Our residential real estate loans generally are repayable in monthly installments based on up to a 15 -year or a 30 -year amortization schedule with variable or fixed interest rates.

Our commercial loan portfolio includes loans to individuals and small-to-medium sized businesses located primarily in eighteen Florida counties listed under Business or contiguous counties for working capital, equipment purchases, and various other business purposes. A majority of commercial loans are secured by equipment or similar assets, but these loans may also be made on an unsecured basis. Commercial loans may be made at variable or fixed rates of interest. Commercial lines of credit are typically granted on a one-year basis,
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with loan covenants and monetary thresholds. Other commercial loans with terms or amortization schedules of longer than one year will normally carry interest rates which vary with the prime lending rate and will become payable in full and are generally refinanced in three to five years. Commercial and agricultural loans not secured by real estate amounted to approximately $10 \%$ and $9 \%$ of our Company s total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Our consumer loan portfolio consists primarily of loans to individuals for various consumer purposes, but includes some business purpose loans which are payable on an installment basis. The majority of these loans are for terms of less than five years and are secured by liens on various personal assets of the borrowers, but consumer loans may also be made on an unsecured basis. Consumer loans are made at fixed and variable interest rates, and are often based on up to a five-year amortization schedule.

For additional information regarding the Company s loan portfolio, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Loan originations are derived primarily from employee loan officers within our local market areas, but can also be attributed to referrals from existing customers and borrowers, advertising, or walk-in customers.

Certain credit risks are inherent in making loans. These include prepayment risks, risks resulting from uncertainties in the future value of collateral, risks resulting from changes in economic and industry conditions, and risks inherent in dealing with individual borrowers. In particular, longer maturities increase the risk that economic conditions will change and adversely affect collectability. We attempt to minimize credit losses through various means. In particular, on larger credits, we generally rely on the cash flow of a debtor as the source of repayment and secondarily on the value of the underlying collateral. In addition, we attempt to utilize shorter loan terms in order to reduce the risk of a decline in the value of such collateral.

## Deposit Activities

Deposits are the major source of our funds for lending and other investment activities. We consider the majority of our regular savings, demand, NOW and money market deposit accounts to be core deposits. These accounts comprised approximately $68 \%$ and $61 \%$ of our consolidated total deposits at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Approximately $32 \%$ of our consolidated deposits at December 31, 2011, were certificates of deposit compared to $39 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Generally, we attempt to maintain the rates paid on our deposits at a competitive level. Time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ and over made up approximately $18 \%$ of consolidated total deposits at December 31, 2011 and 23\% at December 31, 2010. The majority of the deposits are generated from the eighteen Florida counties listed in the Business section. Generally, we do not accept brokered deposits and we do not solicit deposits on a national level. We obtain all of our deposits from customers in our local markets. For additional information regarding the Company s deposit accounts, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Deposits.

## Investments

Our investment securities portfolio available for sale was $\$ 591,164,000$ and $\$ 500,927,000$ at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing $26 \%$ and $24 \%$ of our total consolidated assets. At December 31, 2011 approximately $80 \%$ of this portfolio was invested in U.S. government mortgage backed securities ( MBS ), specifically residential FNMA, FHLMC, and GNMA MBSs. We do not own any private label MBS. Approximately $13 \%$ or $\$ 78,877,000$ of this portfolio is invested in obligations of U.S. government agencies and government sponsored enterprises, and the remaining $7 \%$, or $\$ 41,293,000$ is invested in municipal securities. Our investments are managed in relation to loan demand and deposit growth, and are generally used to provide for the investment of excess funds at acceptable risks levels while providing liquidity to fund increases in loan demand or to offset fluctuations in deposits. Investment securities available for sale are recorded on our balance sheet at market value at each balance sheet date. Any change in market value is recorded directly in our stockholders
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equity account and is not recognized in our income statement unless the security is sold or unless it is impaired and the impairment is other than temporary. During 2011, we sold approximately $\$ 173,337,000$ of these securities and recognized in our income statement a net gain on the sales of approximately $\$ 3,464,000$.

We have selected these types of investments because such securities generally represent a minimal investment risk. Occasionally, we may purchase certificates of deposits of national and state banks. These investments may exceed $\$ 250,000$ in any one institution (the limit of FDIC insurance for deposit accounts). Federal funds sold, money market accounts and interest bearing deposits held at the Federal Reserve Bank represent the excess cash we have available over and above daily cash needs. Federal funds sold and money market funds are invested on an overnight basis with approved correspondent banks.

We monitor changes in financial markets. In addition to investments for our portfolio, we monitor daily cash positions to ensure that all available funds earn interest at the earliest possible date. A portion of the investment account is invested in liquid securities that can be readily converted to cash with minimum risk of market loss. These investments usually consist of obligations of U.S. government agencies, mortgage backed securities and federal funds. The remainder of the investment account may be placed in investment securities of different type and/or longer maturity. Daily surplus funds are sold in the federal funds market for one business day. We attempt to stagger the maturities of our securities so as to produce a steady cash-flow in the event cash is needed, or economic conditions change.

We also have a trading securities portfolio managed at our lead subsidiary bank. For this portfolio, realized and unrealized gains and losses are included in trading securities revenue, a component of non interest income in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. Securities purchased for this portfolio have primarily been municipal securities and are held for short periods of time. During 2011 we purchased approximately $\$ 249,430,000$ of securities for this portfolio and sold $\$ 252,140,000$ recognizing a net gain on sale of approximately $\$ 485,000$. At December 31, 2011 we did not own any securities in our trading portfolio.

## Correspondent Banking

We have a corresponding banking and bond sales business segment which operates as a division within our lead subsidiary bank. Its primary revenue generating activities are as follows: 1) the first, and largest revenue generator, is commissions earned on fixed income security sales; 2) the second category is interest income spread earned on correspondent bank deposits (i.e., federal funds purchased) and correspondent bank checking account deposits; and 3) the third revenue generating category, includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, asset/liability consulting related activities, international wires, and other clearing and corporate checking account services. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

## Data Processing

Each of our banks uses a single in-house core data processing solution. The core data processing system provides automated general ledgers, deposit processing and accounting services, and loan processing and accounting services. Each of our subsidiary business units maintains its own data processing system, with its own general ledger, deposit accounting system and loan accounting system, all housed on the same equipment maintained by the Company. The output of each of these comprehensive systems for each of our banks is then consolidated at the holding company level. In September 2010, our lead bank upgraded to an enhanced in-house system. In December 2010, Central and CSNA converted to the upgraded system simultaneously with their merger into the Company s lead bank. The Company s remaining subsidiary bank, VSB, was converted to the enhanced system during March 2011.

During July and August of 2010, the Company s lead subsidiary bank acquired three failed financial institutions from the FDIC. Each of these acquired banks did not convert and merge their data processing systems
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into the Company s lead subsidiary bank until the summer of 2011. They each operated under different legacy systems for almost a year, which caused cost inefficiencies in the short-term. The branches purchased from TD Bank, N.A. in January of 2011 were converted on day of acquisition. The Federal Trust Bank acquisition closed on November 1, 2011 and was converted forty days later into the Company s core processing systems on December 9, 2011, minimizing short-term inefficiencies.

A division of the lead bank also provides item processing services and certain other information technology ( IT ) services for both subsidiary banks and the Company overall. These services include; sorting, encoding, processing, and imaging checks and rendering checking and other deposit statements to commercial and retail customers, as well as provide IT services, including intranet and internet services for each subsidiary bank and the Company overall. The cost of providing these services is charged to VSB based on usage.

## Effect of Governmental Policies

The earnings and business of our Company are and will be affected by the policies of various regulatory authorities of the United States, especially the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve, among other things, regulates the supply of credit and deals with general economic conditions within the United States. The instruments of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve for these purposes influence in various ways the overall level of investments, loans, other extensions of credit and deposits, and the interest rates paid on liabilities and received on assets.

## Interest and Usury

Our Company is subject to numerous state and federal statutes that affect the interest rates that may be charged on loans. These laws do not, under present market conditions, deter us from continuing the process of originating loans.

## Supervision and Regulation

Banks and their holding companies, and many of their affiliates, are extensively regulated under both federal and state law. The following is a brief summary of certain statutes, rules, and regulations affecting our Company, and our subsidiary Banks. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statutory and regulatory provisions referred to below and is not intended to be an exhaustive description of the statutes or regulations applicable to the business of our Company and subsidiary Banks. Supervision, regulation, and examination of banks by regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, rather than shareholders.

Bank Holding Company Regulation. Our Company is a bank holding company, registered with the Federal Reserve under the BHC Act. As such, we are subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the BHC Act and the regulations of the Federal Reserve. The BHC Act requires that a bank holding company obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before (i) acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of any bank, (ii) taking any action that causes a bank to become a subsidiary of the bank holding company, or (iii) merging or consolidating with any other bank holding company.

The BHC Act further provides that the Federal Reserve may not approve any transaction that would result in a monopoly or would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any section of the United States, or the effect of which may be substantially to lessen competition or to tend to create a monopoly in any section of the country, or that in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed by the public interest in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve is also required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding companies and banks concerned and the convenience, and needs of the community to be served. Consideration of financial resources generally focuses on capital adequacy and consideration of convenience and needs issues includes the parties performance under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (the CRA ), both of which are discussed below.
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Banks are subject to the provisions of the CRA. Under the terms of the CRA, the appropriate federal bank regulatory agency is required, in connection with its examination of a bank, to assess such bank $s$ record in meeting the credit needs of the community served by that bank, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The regulatory agency s assessment of the bank s record is made available to the public. Further, such assessment is required of any bank which has applied to:

## charter a bank,

obtain deposit insurance coverage for a newly chartered institution,
establish a new branch office that will accept deposits,
relocate an office, or
merge or consolidate with, or acquire the assets or assume the liabilities of, a federally regulated financial institution In the case of a bank holding company applying for approval to acquire a bank or other bank holding company, the Federal Reserve will assess the record of each subsidiary bank of the applicant bank holding company, and such records may be the basis for denying the application.

The BHC Act generally prohibits a bank holding company from engaging in activities other than banking, or managing or controlling banks or other permissible subsidiaries, and from acquiring or retaining direct or indirect control of any company engaged in any activities other than those activities determined by the Federal Reserve to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. In determining whether a particular activity is permissible, the Federal Reserve must consider whether the performance of such an activity can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices. For example, factoring accounts receivable, acquiring or servicing loans, leasing personal property, conducting securities brokerage activities, performing certain data processing services, acting as agent or broker in selling credit life insurance and certain other types of insurance in connection with credit transactions, and certain insurance underwriting activities have all been determined by regulations of the Federal Reserve to be permissible activities of bank holding companies. Despite prior approval, the Federal Reserve has the power to order a holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any activity or terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary, when it has reasonable cause to believe that continuation of such activity or such ownership or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness, or stability of any bank subsidiary of that bank holding company.

Dodd-Frank Act. In 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was enacted into law. The Dodd-Frank Act has a broad impact on the financial services industry, including providing for potentially significant regulatory and compliance changes including, among other things, (1) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies;
(2) potential changes to capital and liquidity requirements; (3) changes to regulatory examination fees; (4) changes to assessments to be paid to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; and (5) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or the OCC, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the FDIC. Many of the requirements called for in the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented over time and most will be subject to implementing regulations over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on our operations is unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact
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the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with any such laws, regulations, or principles or changes thereto, may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. While we cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on us, these changes could be materially adverse to our investors and shareholders.

The following items provide a brief description of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our operations and activities, both currently and prospectively.

Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision. The federal banking agencies are required to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for banks and bank holding companies. These new standards will be no lower than existing regulatory capital and leverage standards applicable to insured depository institutions and may, in fact, be higher when established by the agencies. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce our ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations. The Dodd-Frank Act also increases regulatory oversight, supervision and examination of banks, bank holding companies and their respective subsidiaries by the appropriate regulatory agency. Compliance with new regulatory requirements and expanded examination processes could increase the Company s cost of operations.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new, independent Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or the Bureau, within the Federal Reserve. The Bureau is tasked with establishing and implementing rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The Bureau has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes governing products and services offered to bank consumers. Generally, we will not be directly subject to the rules and regulations of the Bureau. However, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are stricter than those regulations promulgated by the Bureau and state attorneys general are permitted to enforce consumer protection rules adopted by the Bureau against certain state-chartered institutions. Any such new regulations could increase our cost of operations and, as a result, could limit our ability to expand into these products and services.

Deposit Insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act makes permanent the $\$ 250,000$ deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act also revise the assessment base against which an insured depository institution s deposit insurance premiums paid to the FDIC s Deposit Insurance Fund, or the DIF, will be calculated. Under the amendments, the assessment base will no longer be the institution s deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act makes changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. Several of these provisions could increase the FDIC deposit insurance premiums paid by us. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides that, effective one year after the date of enactment, depository institutions may pay interest on demand deposits.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Dodd-Frank Act enhances the requirements for certain transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of covered transactions and increasing the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered transactions must be maintained.

Transactions with Insiders. Insider transaction limitations are expanded through the strengthening on loan restrictions to insiders and the expansion of the types of transactions subject to the, various limits.
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Enhanced Lending Limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution scredit exposure to one borrower. Current banking law limits a depository institution s ability to extend credit to one person (or group of related persons) in an amount exceeding certain thresholds. The Dodd-Frank Act expands the scope of these restrictions to include credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, repurchase agreements, and securities lending and borrowing transactions.
Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act permits the creation of financial services holding companies that can offer a full range of financial products under a regulatory structure based on the principle of functional regulation. The law eliminated the legal barriers to affiliations among banks and securities firms, insurance companies, and other financial services companies. The law also provides financial organizations with the opportunity to structure these new financial affiliations through a holding company structure or a financial subsidiary. The law reserves the role of the Federal Reserve as the supervisor for bank holding companies. At the same time, the law also provides a system of functional regulation which is designed to utilize the various existing federal and state regulatory bodies. The law also sets up a process for coordination between the Federal Reserve and the Secretary of the Treasury regarding the approval of new financial activities for both bank holding companies and national bank financial subsidiaries.

The law also includes a minimum federal standard of financial privacy. Financial institutions are required to have written privacy policies that must be disclosed to customers. The disclosure of a financial institution s privacy policy must take place at the time a customer relationship is established and not less than annually during the continuation of the relationship. The act also provides for the functional regulation of bank securities activities. The law repealed the exemption that banks were afforded from the definition of broker, and replaced it with a set of limited exemptions that allow the continuation of some historical activities performed by banks. In addition, the act amended the securities laws to include banks within the general definition of dealer. Regarding new bank products, the law provides a procedure for handling products sold by banks that have securities elements. In the area of CRA activities, the law generally requires that financial institutions address the credit needs of low-to-moderate income individuals and neighborhoods in the communities in which they operate. Bank regulators are required to take the CRA ratings of a bank or of the bank subsidiaries of a holding company into account when acting upon certain branch and bank merger and acquisition applications filed by the institution. Under the law, financial holding companies and banks that desire to engage in new financial activities are required to have satisfactory or better CRA Act ratings when they commence the new activity.

Bank Regulation. CSB is chartered under the national banking laws and is subject to comprehensive regulation, examination and supervision by the OCC. VSB is a state chartered bank and is subject to comprehensive regulation, examination and supervision by the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation ( the Florida Office ). Each of the deposits of the Banks is insured by the FDIC to the extent provided by law. The Banks also are subject to various laws and regulations applicable to banks. Such regulations include limitations on loans to a single borrower and to its directors, officers and employees; restrictions on the opening and closing of branch offices; the maintenance of required capital and liquidity ratios; the granting of credit under equal and fair conditions; and the disclosure of the costs and terms of such credit. The Banks submit to their examining agencies periodic reports regarding their financial condition and other matters. The bank regulatory agencies have a broad range of powers to enforce regulations under their jurisdiction, and to take discretionary actions determined to be for the protection and safety and soundness of banks, including the institution of cease and desist orders and the removal of directors and officers. The bank regulatory agencies also have the authority to approve or disapprove mergers, consolidations, and similar corporate actions.

There are various statutory limitations on the ability of our Company to pay dividends. The bank regulatory agencies also have the general authority to limit the dividend payment by banks if such payment may be deemed to constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. For information on the restrictions on the right of our Banks to pay dividends to our Company, see Part II Item 5 Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.
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Under federal law, federally insured banks are subject, with certain exceptions, to certain restrictions on any extension of credit to their parent holding companies or other affiliates, on investment in the stock or other securities of affiliates, and on the taking of such stock or securities as collateral from any borrower. In addition, banks are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit or the providing of any property or service.

The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 ( FIRREA ) imposed major regulatory reforms, stronger capital standards and stronger civil and criminal enforcement provisions. FIRREA also provides that a depository institution insured by the FDIC can be held liable for any loss incurred by, or reasonably expected to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with:
the default of a commonly controlled FDIC insured depository institution; or
any assistance provided by the FDIC to a commonly controlled FDIC insured institution in danger of default.
The FDIC Improvement Act of 1993 ( FDICIA ) made a number of reforms addressing the safety and soundness of deposit insurance funds, supervision, accounting, and prompt regulatory action, and also implemented other regulatory improvements. Periodic full-scope, on-site examinations are required of all insured depository institutions. The cost for conducting an examination of an institution may be assessed to that institution, with special consideration given to affiliates and any penalties imposed for failure to provide information requested. Insured state banks also are precluded from engaging as principal in any type of activity that is impermissible for a national bank, including activities relating to insurance and equity investments. The Act also recodified restrictions on extensions of credit to insiders under the Federal Reserve Act.

Capital Requirements. The Federal Reserve and bank regulatory agencies require bank holding companies and financial institutions to maintain capital at adequate levels based on a percentage of assets and off-balance sheet exposures, adjusted for risk weights ranging from $0 \%$ to $100 \%$. Under the risk-based standard, capital is classified into two tiers. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders equity (excluding the unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities), trust preferred securities subject to certain limitations, and minus certain intangible assets and disallowed deferred tax assets. Tier 2 capital consists of the general allowance for credit losses except for certain limitations. An institution s qualifying capital base for purposes of its risk-based capital ratio consists of the sum of its Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. The regulatory minimum requirements are $4 \%$ for Tier 1 and $8 \%$ for total risk-based capital. At December 31, 2011, our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios were $17.79 \%$ and $19.05 \%$, respectively.

FDICIA contains prompt corrective action provisions pursuant to which banks are to be classified into one of five categories based upon capital adequacy, ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized and which require (subject to certain exceptions) the appropriate federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action with respect to an institution which becomes significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized.

The OCC and the FDIC have issued regulations to implement the prompt corrective action provisions of FDICIA. In general, the regulations define the five capital categories as follows:
an institution is well capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of $10 \%$ or greater, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $6 \%$ or greater, has a leverage ratio of $5 \%$ or greater and is not subject to any written capital order or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measures;
an institution is adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of $8 \%$ or greater, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $4 \%$ or greater, and has a leverage ratio of $4 \%$ or greater;
an institution is undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than $8 \%$, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than $4 \%$ or has a leverage ratio that is less than $4 \%$;
an institution is significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than $6 \%$, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than $3 \%$ or a leverage ratio that is less than $3 \%$; and
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an institution is critically undercapitalized if its tangible equity is equal to or less than $2 \%$ of its total assets.
The OCC and the FDIC, after an opportunity for a hearing, have authority to downgrade an institution from well capitalized to adequately capitalized or to subject an adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution to the supervisory actions applicable to the next lower category, for supervisory concerns.

Generally, FDICIA requires that an undercapitalized institution must submit an acceptable capital restoration plan to the appropriate federal banking agency within 45 days after the institution becomes undercapitalized and the agency must take action on the plan within 60 days. The appropriate federal banking agency may not accept a capital restoration plan unless, among other requirements, each company having control of the institution has guaranteed that the institution will comply with the plan until the institution has been adequately capitalized on average during each of the three consecutive calendar quarters and has provided adequate assurances of performance. The aggregate liability under this provision of all companies having control of an institution is limited to the lesser of:
$5 \%$ of the institution s total assets at the time the institution becomes undercapitalized or
the amount which is necessary, or would have been necessary, to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable to the institution as of the time the institution fails to comply with the plan filed pursuant to FDICIA
An undercapitalized institution may not acquire an interest in any company or any other insured depository institution, establish or acquire additional branch offices or engage in any new business unless the appropriate federal banking agency has accepted its capital restoration plan, the institution is implementing the plan, and the agency determines that the proposed action is consistent with and will further the achievement of the plan, or the appropriate Federal banking agency determines the proposed action will further the purpose of the prompt corrective action sections of FDICIA.

If an institution is critically undercapitalized, it must comply with the restrictions described above. In addition, the appropriate Federal banking agency is authorized to restrict the activities of any critically undercapitalized institution and to prohibit such an institution, without the appropriate Federal banking agency s prior written approval, from:
entering into any material transaction other than in the usual course of business;
engaging in any covered transaction with affiliates (as defined in Section 23A(b) of the Federal Reserve Act);
paying excessive compensation or bonuses; and
paying interest on new or renewed liabilities at a rate that would increase the institution $s$ weighted average costs of funds to a level significantly exceeding the prevailing rates of interest on insured deposits in the institution s normal market areas.
The prompt corrective action provisions of FDICIA also provide that in general no institution may make a capital distribution if it would cause the institution to become undercapitalized. Capital distributions include cash (but not stock) dividends, stock purchases, redemptions, and other distributions of capital to the owners of an institution.

Additionally, FDICIA requires, among other things, that:
only a well capitalized depository institution may accept brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval and
the appropriate federal banking agency annually examine all insured depository institutions, with some exceptions for small, well capitalized institutions and state-chartered institutions examined by state regulators.
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FDICIA also contains a number of consumer banking provisions, including disclosure requirements and substantive contractual limitations with respect to deposit accounts.

As of December 31, 2011, each of our subsidiary Banks met the capital requirements of a well capitalized institution. In addition, our lead subsidiary bank, CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. has committed to the OCC, its primary regulator, that it will maintain a Tier 1 leverage ratio (Tier 1 Capital divided by average assets) of at least $8 \%$.

Enforcement Powers. Congress has provided the federal bank regulatory agencies with an array of powers to enforce laws, rules, regulations and orders. Among other things, the agencies may require that institutions cease and desist from certain activities, may preclude persons from participating in the affairs of insured depository institutions, may suspend or remove deposit insurance, and may impose civil money penalties against institution-affiliated parties for certain violations.

Maximum Legal Interest Rates. Like the laws of many states, Florida law contains provisions on interest rates that may be charged by banks and other lenders on certain types of loans. Numerous exceptions exist to the general interest limitations imposed by Florida law. The relative importance of these interest limitation laws to the financial operations of the Banks will vary from time to time, depending on a number of factors, including conditions in the money markets, the costs and availability of funds, and prevailing interest rates.

Change of Control. Federal law restricts the amount of voting stock of a bank holding company and a bank that a person may acquire without the prior approval of banking regulators. The overall effect of such laws is to make it more difficult to acquire a bank holding company and a bank by tender offer or similar means than it might be to acquire control of another type of corporation. Consequently, shareholders of the Company may be less likely to benefit from the rapid increases in stock prices that may result from tender offers or similar efforts to acquire control of other companies. Federal law also imposes restrictions on acquisitions of stock in a bank holding company and a state bank. Under the federal Change in Bank Control Act and the regulations thereunder, a person or group must give advance notice to the Federal Reserve before acquiring control of any bank holding company, the OCC before acquiring control of any national bank and the FDIC and the Florida Office before acquiring control of a state bank. Upon receipt of such notice, the bank regulatory agencies may approve or disapprove the acquisition. The Change in Bank Control Act creates a rebuttable presumption of control if a member or group acquires a certain percentage or more of a bank holding company sor state bank s voting stock, or if one or more other control factors set forth in the Act are present.

Effect of Governmental Policies. Our earnings and businesses are affected by the policies of various regulatory authorities of the United States, especially the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve, among other things, regulates the supply of credit and deals with general economic conditions within the United States. The instruments of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve for those purposes influence in various ways the overall level of investments, loans, other extensions of credit, and deposits, and the interest rates paid on liabilities and received on assets.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In 2002, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act was enacted which imposes a myriad of corporate governance and accounting measures designed that shareholders are treated and have full and accurate information about the public companies in which they invest. All public companies are affected by the Act. Some of the principal provisions of the Act include:
the creation of an independent accounting oversight board ( PCAOB ) to oversee the audit of public companies and auditors who perform such audits;
auditor independence provisions which restrict non-audit services that independent accountants may provide to their audit clients;
additional corporate governance and responsibility measures which (a) require the chief executive officer and chief financial officer to certify financial statements and internal controls and to forfeit salary and bonuses in certain situations, and (b) protect whistleblowers and informants;
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expansion of the authority and responsibilities of the company s audit, nominating and compensation committees;
mandatory disclosure by analysts of potential conflicts of interest; and
enhanced penalties for fraud and other violations.
USA Patriot Act. In 2001, the USA Patriot Act was enacted. The Act requires financial institutions to help prevent, detect and prosecute international money laundering and financing of terrorism. The effectiveness of a financial institution in combating money laundering activities is a factor to be considered in any application submitted by the financial institution with the bank regulatory agencies. Our Banks have adopted systems and procedures designed to comply with the USA Patriot Act and regulations adopted thereunder by the Secretary of the Treasury.

## Competition

We encounter strong competition both in making loans and in attracting deposits. The deregulation of the banking industry and the widespread enactment of state laws which permit multi-bank holding companies as well as an increasing level of interstate banking have created a highly competitive environment for commercial banking. In one or more aspects of its business, our Company competes with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage and investment banking companies, and other financial intermediaries. Most of these competitors, some of which are affiliated with bank holding companies, have substantially greater resources and lending limits, and may offer certain services that we do not currently provide. In addition, many of our non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive federal regulations that govern bank holding companies and federally insured banks. Recent legislation has heightened the competitive environment in which financial institutions must conduct their business, and the potential for competition among financial institutions of all types has increased significantly.

To compete, we rely upon specialized services, responsive handling of customer needs, and personal contacts by its officers, directors, and staff. Large multi-branch banking competitors tend to compete primarily by rate and the number and location of branches while smaller, independent financial institutions tend to compete primarily by rate and personal service.

## Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we had a total of approximately 655 full-time equivalent employees. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit. We consider relations with employees to be good.

## Statistical Profile and Other Financial Data

Reference is hereby made to the statistical and financial data contained in the section captioned Management siscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for statistical and financial data providing a review of our Company s business activities.

## Availability of Reports furnished or filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available on our internet website at www.centerstatebanks.com.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have identified risk factors described below, which should be viewed in conjunction with the other information contained in this document and information incorporated by reference, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes. If any of the following risks or other risks which have not been identified
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or which we may believe are immaterial or unlikely, actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed. As noted previously, this report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements about our future plans, objectives, intentions and expectations. Many factors, including those described below, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements.

## Recent developments in the financial services industry and the U.S. and global capital markets may adversely impact our operations and results.

Developments in the capital markets over past several years have resulted in uncertainty in the financial markets in general, with the expectation of the general economic downturn continuing in 2012 and potentially longer. Loan portfolio performance has deteriorated at many institutions resulting from, among other factors, a weak economy and a decline in the value of collateral. Stock prices of bank holding companies, like ours, have been negatively affected by the current condition of the financial markets and the economy, as has our ability, if needed, to raise capital or borrow in the debt markets, compared to prior periods. As a result, new laws and regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Act, regarding lending, funding practices and capital and liquidity standards have been adopted or are being proposed. The financial institution regulatory agencies are expected to aggressively respond to concerns and adverse trends identified in examinations, including the expected issuance of many enforcement actions. Changes in the financial services industry and the effects of the Dodd-Frank Act and other regulatory responses to the credit crisis could negatively affect us by restricting our business operations, including our ability to originate or sell loans, and adversely impact our financial performance.

## Recent Legislative and Regulatory Initiatives Could Affect Our Operations

In 2010 the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted and will further increase the regulation and oversight of the financial services industry. The Act addresses, among other things, systemic risk, capital adequacy, deposit insurance assessments, consumer financial protection, interchange fees, derivatives, lending limits, thrift charters and changes among the banking regulatory agencies. Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act require studies and regulations. Certain provisions will not apply to banking organizations with less than $\$ 10$ billion of assets. We cannot predict the effects of this legislation and regulations on us, our competitors, customers, counter parties, and on the financial markets and the economy, although it may significantly increase costs and impede efficiency of internal business processes. It also may require us to hold higher levels of regulatory capital and/or liquidity and it may cause us to adjust our business strategy and limit our future business opportunities.

## We cannot predict the effect on our operations of recent legislative and regulatory initiatives that were enacted in response to the ongoing financial crisis.

The U.S. federal, state and foreign governments have taken or are considering extraordinary actions in an attempt to deal with the worldwide financial crisis and the severe decline in the global economy. To the extent adopted, many of these actions have been in effect for only a limited time, and have produced limited or no relief to the capital, credit and real estate markets. There is no assurance that these actions or other actions under consideration will ultimately be successful. The Dodd-Frank Act requires numerous studies and rulemaking. We cannot predict the effects of this recent legislation or the new regulations that will be issued to implement it, on us, our competitors, counterparties, and on the financial markets and the economy.

Deterioration in local economic and housing markets has led to loan losses and reduced earnings and could lead to additional loan losses and reduced earnings.

There has been a dramatic decrease in housing and real estate values in Florida during the current economic downturn, coupled with a significant increase in the rate of unemployment. These trends have contributed to an increase in our non-performing loans, reduced asset quality and increased our credit cost. Our total credit cost for 2011 was approximately $\$ 58.7$ million compared to $\$ 35.9$ million in 2010. As of December 31, 2011, our non-performing loans were approximately $\$ 39.0$ million, or $3.48 \%$ of the loan portfolio, excluding loans covered
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by FDIC loss share agreements. Nonperforming assets were approximately $\$ 49.3$ million as of this same date, or $2.16 \%$ of total assets. In addition, we had approximately $\$ 16.8$ million in accruing loans that were between 30 and 89 days delinquent at December 31, 2011. If market conditions continue to deteriorate, they may lead to additional valuation adjustments on our loan portfolio and real estate owned as we continue to reassess the market value of our loan portfolio, the losses associated with the loans in default and the net realizable value of real estate owned.

Our non-performing assets adversely affect our net income in various ways. Until economic and market conditions improve, we expect to continue to incur additional losses relating to an increase in non-performing loans. We do not record interest income on non-accrual loans or other real estate owned, thereby adversely affecting our income, and increasing our loan administration costs. When we take collateral in foreclosures and similar proceedings, we are required to mark the related loan to the then-fair market value of the collateral, which may result in a loss. These loans and other real estate owned also increase our risk profile and the capital our regulators believe is appropriate in light of such risks. In addition, the resolution of nonperforming assets requires significant commitments of time from management and our directors, which can be detrimental to the performance of their other responsibilities. We may determine to sell nonperforming assets from time to time to improve our credit quality and reduce the time and costs of carrying these assets. These sales likely will result in losses.

## A portion of our loans are to customers who have been adversely affected by the home building industry.

Customers who are builders and developers face greater difficulty in selling their homes in markets where the decrease in housing and real estate values are more pronounced. Consequently, we are facing increased delinquencies and nonperforming assets as these customers are forced to default on their loans. We do not anticipate that the housing market will improve in the near-term, and accordingly, additional downgrades, provisions for loan losses and charge-offs relating to their loan portfolios may occur.

## Our loan portfolio includes commercial and commercial real estate loans that may have higher risks.

Our commercial and commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 573.5$ million and $\$ 511.1$ million, respectively, or $51 \%$ and $55 \%$ of total loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Commercial and commercial real estate loans generally carry larger loan balances and can involve a greater degree of financial and credit risk than other loans. As a result, banking regulators continue to give greater scrutiny to lenders with a high concentration of commercial real estate loans in their portfolios, and such lenders are expected to implement stricter underwriting, internal controls, risk management policies and portfolio stress testing, as well as higher capital levels and loss allowances. The increased financial and credit risk associated with these types of loans are a result of several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the size of loan balances, the effects of general economic conditions on income-producing properties and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans.

The federal bank regulatory agencies have released guidance on Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending (the Guidance ). The Guidance defines commercial real estate loans as exposures secured by raw land, land development and construction (including 1-4 family residential construction), multi-family property, and non-farm nonresidential property where the primary or a significant source of repayment is derived from rental income associated with the property (that is, loans for which $50 \%$ or more of the source of repayment comes from third party, non-affiliated, rental income) or the proceeds of the sale, refinancing, or permanent financing of the property. The Guidance requires that appropriate processes be in place to identify, monitor and control risks associated with real estate lending concentrations. This could include enhanced strategic planning, underwriting policies, risk management, internal controls, portfolio stress testing and risk exposure limits as well as appropriately designed compensation and incentive programs. Higher allowances for loan losses and capital levels may also be required. The Guidance is triggered when commercial real estate loan concentrations exceed either:
total reported loans for construction, land development, and other land of $100 \%$ or more of a bank s total capital (as of December 31, 2011, our consolidated ratio was $29 \%$ ); or
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Total reported loans secured by multifamily and nonfarm nonresidential properties and loans for construction, land development, and other land of $300 \%$ or more of a bank s total capital (as of December 31, 2011, our consolidated ratio was $126 \%$ ).
The Guidance applies to the lending activities of each of our Banks. Regulators have the right to request banks to maintain elevated levels of capital or liquidity due to commercial real estate loan concentrations, and could do so, especially if there is a further downturn in our local real estate markets.

In addition, when underwriting a commercial or industrial loan, the Banks may take a security interest in commercial real estate, and, in some instances upon a default by the borrower, we may foreclose on and take title to the property, which may lead to potential financial risks for us under applicable environmental laws. If hazardous substances were discovered on any of these properties, we may be liable to governmental agencies or third parties for the costs of remediation of the hazard, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Many environmental laws can impose liability regardless of whether the Banks knew of, or were responsible for, the contamination.

Furthermore, the repayment of loans secured by commercial real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate or commercial project. If the cash flows from the project are reduced, a borrower $s$ ability to repay the loan may be impaired. This cash flow shortage may result in the failure to make loan payments. In such cases, the Banks may be compelled to modify the terms of the loan. In addition, the nature of these loans is such that they are generally less predictable and more difficult to evaluate and monitor. As a result, repayment of these loans may, to a greater extent than residential loans, be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or economy.

## Our business is subject to the success of the local economies where we operate.

Our success significantly depends upon the growth in population, income levels, deposits and housing starts in our primary and secondary markets. During the current economic downturn, the rate of growth of each of these four factors has decreased substantially and in some cases has turned negative. If the communities in which we operate do not grow or if prevailing economic conditions locally or nationally continue to remain challenging, our business may be adversely affected. Our specific market areas have experienced decreased growth, which has affected the ability of our customers to repay their loans to us and has generally affected our financial condition and results of operations. We are less able than a larger institution to spread the risks of unfavorable local economic conditions across a large number of diversified economies. Moreover, we cannot give any assurance we will benefit from any market growth or favorable economic conditions in our primary market areas if they do occur.

If the value of real estate in our core Florida markets were to remain depressed or decline further, a significant portion of our loan portfolio could become under-collateralized, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

With our loans concentrated in Florida, the decline in local economic conditions has adversely affected the values of our real estate collateral and will likely continue to do so for the foreseeable future. Consequently, a continued decline in local economic conditions may have a greater effect on our earnings and capital than on the earnings and capital of larger financial institutions whose real estate loan portfolios are geographically diverse.

In addition to relying on the financial strength and cash flow characteristics of the borrower in each case, the Banks often secure loans with real estate collateral. At December 31, 2011, approximately $86 \%$ of the Company s loans have real estate as a primary or secondary component of collateral. The real estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower but may deteriorate in value during the time credit is extended. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan to satisfy the debt during a period of reduced real estate values, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected.
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## An inadequate allowance for loan losses would reduce our earnings.

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical experience, an evaluation of economic conditions and regular reviews of delinquencies and loan portfolio quality. Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectability of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and for specific loans when their ultimate collectability is considered questionable. If management $s$ assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb losses, or if bank regulatory authorities require the Banks to increase the allowance for loan losses as a part of their examination process, the Banks earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected.

## A lack of liquidity could affect our operations and jeopardize our financial condition.

Liquidity is essential to our business. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our funding sources include federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, non-core deposits, and short- and long-term debt. There are other sources of liquidity available to us or the Banks should they be needed, including our ability to acquire additional non-core deposits, the issuance and sale of debt securities, and the issuance and sale of preferred or common securities in public or private transactions. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance or capitalize our activities or on terms that are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry or economy in general. Our ability to borrow could be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as further disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry in light of the recent turmoil faced by banking organizations and the continued deterioration in credit markets.

We are required to maintain capital to meet regulatory requirements, and if we fail to maintain sufficient capital, whether due to losses, an inability to raise additional capital or otherwise, our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations, as well as our ability to maintain regulatory compliance, would be adversely affected.

Our bank holding company and the Banks must meet regulatory capital requirements and maintain sufficient liquidity. Banking organizations experiencing growth, especially those making acquisitions are expected to hold additional capital, above regulatory minimums. Our ability to raise additional capital, when and if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets, economic conditions and a number of other factors, including investor perceptions regarding the banking industry and market condition, and governmental activities, many of which are outside our control, and on our financial condition and performance. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to raise additional capital if needed or on terms acceptable to us. If we fail to meet these capital and other regulatory requirements, our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected.

Our failure to remain well capitalized for bank regulatory purposes could affect customer confidence, our ability to grow, our costs of funds and FDIC insurance costs, our ability to pay dividends on common stock and make distributions on our trust preferred securities, our ability to make acquisitions, and our business, results of operations and financial condition. Under FDIC rules, if any of the Banks ceases to be a well capitalized institution for bank regulatory purposes, the interest rates that it pays and its ability to accept brokered deposits may be restricted. Although the Banks had no wholesale brokered deposits as of December 31, 2011, they had approximately $\$ 22$ million of in-market CDARs deposits, which are considered brokered deposits for regulatory purposes.
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Our business strategy includes continued growth, and our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively affected if we fail to grow or fail to manage our growth effectively.

We intend to continue pursuing a growth strategy for our business. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in significant growth stages of development. Particularly in light of prevailing economic conditions, we cannot assure you we will be able to expand our market presence in our existing markets or successfully enter new markets or that any such expansion will not adversely affect our results of operations. Failure to manage our growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, future prospects, financial condition or results of operations, and could adversely affect our ability to successfully implement our business strategy. Also, if our growth occurs more slowly than anticipated or declines, our operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Our ability to successfully grow will depend on a variety of factors including the continued availability of desirable business opportunities, the competitive responses from other financial institutions in our market areas and our ability to manage our growth. While we believe we have the management resources and internal systems in place to successfully manage our future growth, there can be no assurance growth opportunities will be available or growth will be successfully managed.

## We may face risks with respect to future expansion.

We may acquire other financial institutions through FDIC assisted transactions or otherwise or parts of those institutions in the future and we may engage in additional de novo branch expansion. We may also consider and enter into new lines of business or offer new products or services. We also may receive future inquiries and have discussions with potential acquirors of us. Acquisitions and mergers involve a number of risks, including:
the time and costs associated with identifying and evaluating potential acquisitions and merger partners;
inaccurate estimates and judgments regarding credit, operations, management and market risks of the target institution;
the time and costs of evaluating new markets, hiring experienced local management and opening new offices, and the time lags between these activities and the generation of sufficient assets and deposits to support the costs of the expansion;
our ability to finance an acquisition and possible dilution to our existing shareholders;
the diversion of our management $s$ attention to the negotiation of a transaction, and the integration of the operations and personnel of the combining businesses;
entry into new markets where we lack experience;
the strain of growth on our infrastructure, staff, internal controls and management, which may require additional personnel, time and expenditures;
exposure to potential asset quality issues with acquired institutions;
the introduction of new products and services into our business;
the possibility of unknown or contingent liabilities;
the incurrence and possible impairment of goodwill associated with an acquisition and possible adverse short-term effects on our results of operations; and
the risk of loss of key employees and customers.
We may incur substantial costs to expand, and we can give no assurance such expansion will result in the levels of profits we seek. There can be no assurance that integration efforts for any future mergers or acquisitions
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will be successful. Also, we may issue equity securities, including common stock and securities convertible into shares of our common stock, in connection with future acquisitions, which could cause ownership and economic dilution to our current shareholders and to investors purchasing common stock in this offering. There is no assurance that, following any future mergers or acquisitions, our integration efforts will be successful or our company, after giving effect to the acquisition, will achieve profits comparable to or better than our historical experience.

## The FDIC-assisted transactions we have engaged in or may engage in could present additional risks to our business.

We have closed several FDIC-assisted transactions and continue to seek opportunities to continue to acquire the assets and liabilities of other failed banks in FDIC-assisted transactions. Current and future FDIC-assisted transactions present the risks of acquisitions, generally, as well as some risks specific to these transactions. These FDIC-assisted transactions typically provide for FDIC assistance, including potential loss-sharing, to an acquirer to mitigate the credit risks of acquired loans and securities, which, may include loss-sharing. FDIC-assisted transactions have many of the same risks we could face in acquiring another open bank without FDIC assistance, including risks associated with competitive bidding and pricing of such transactions, the risk of loss of deposits and, liquidity through runoff or customer attrition, and failure to realize the anticipated acquisition benefits in the amounts and within the timeframes we expect. In addition, because these acquisitions provide for limited diligence and negotiation of terms, these transactions may pose risks not present in open bank transactions. Loss sharing with the FDIC reduces the credit risks of, and capital required for, FDIC-assisted transactions, but requires additional resources and time to service acquired problem loans, costs related to integration of personnel and operating systems, and the establishment of processes and internal controls to service acquired assets in accordance with FDIC standards. If we are unable to manage these risks, FDIC-assisted acquisitions could have material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Attractive acquisition opportunities may not be available to us in the future.

While we seek continued organic growth, as our earnings and capital position improve, we may consider the acquisition of other businesses, including, as discussed above, failed depository institutions offered for sale in FDIC-assisted transactions. The FDIC determines the timing and terms of the sale of failed institutions, and selects the winning bidder based on the least cost to the FDIC. The failed banks offered for sale may or may not meet our business objectives. We expect that other banking and financial companies, many of which have significantly greater resources, will compete with us to acquire financial services businesses. This competition could increase prices for potential acquisitions, including the premiums on deposits and the prices paid for assets in FDIC-assisted transactions. This could reduce our potential returns, and reduce the attractiveness of these opportunities and increase their credit and other risks. Also, acquisitions are subject to various regulatory approvals. If we fail to receive the appropriate regulatory approvals, we will not be able to consummate an acquisition that we believe is in our best interests. Among other things, our regulators consider our capital, liquidity, profitability, regulatory compliance and levels of goodwill and intangibles when considering acquisition and expansion proposals. Any acquisition could be dilutive to our earnings and shareholders equity per share of our common stock.

## Our recent results may not be indicative of our future results.

We may not be able to sustain our historical rate of growth or may not even be able to grow our business at all. In addition, our recent growth may distort some of our historical financial ratios and statistics. Various factors, such as economic conditions, regulatory and legislative considerations and competition, may also impede or prohibit our ability to expand our market presence. If we experience a significant decrease in our historical rate of growth, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected due to a high percentage of our operating costs being fixed expenses.
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Our continued pace of growth may require us to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed.

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside our control, and on our financial performance. Accordingly, there is no assurance as to our ability to raise additional capital if needed on terms acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed, our ability to further expand our operations through internal growth and acquisitions could be materially impaired.

## Changes in interest rates may negatively affect our earnings and the value of our assets.

Our earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earnings assets, such as loans and investment securities, and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions, competition and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the policies of the Federal Reserve. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest our Banks receive on loans and investment securities and the amount of interest they pay on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Banks ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities, including the available for sale securities portfolio, and (iii) the average duration of our interest-earning assets. Changes in monetary policy could also expose us to the risk that interest-earning assets may be more responsive to changes in interest rates than interest-bearing liabilities, or vice versa (repricing risk), the risk that the individual interest rates or rates indices underlying various interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities may not change in the same degree over a given time period (basis risk), and the risk of changing interest rate relationships across the spectrum of interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability maturities (yield curve risk), including a prolonged flat or inverted yield curve environment. Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## Higher FDIC deposit insurance premiums and assessments could adversely affect our financial condition.

FDIC insurance premiums increased substantially in the past two years and we expect to pay significantly higher FDIC premiums in the future. Market developments have significantly depleted the insurance fund of the FDIC and reduced the ratio of reserves to insured deposits.

The Dodd-Frank Act changed the FDIC deposit insurance assessments and increased the required Deposit Insurance Fund ( DIF ) reserve ratio, and no longer requires that dividends be paid to FDIC members from excess reserves. It is likely that FDIC insurance costs will increase further, although banks with less than $\$ 10$ billion of assets will have the effects of the higher DIF reserve ratio offset by the FDIC.

## Current levels of market volatility are unprecedented.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing volatility and disruption the past several years. In some cases, the markets have produced downward pressure on stock prices and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to those issuers underlying financial condition or performance. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, we may experience adverse effects, which may be material, on our ability to maintain or access capital and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Our cost of funds may increase as a result of general economic conditions, FDIC insurance assessments, interest rates and competitive pressures.

Our cost of funds may increase as a result of general economic conditions, FDIC insurance assessments, interest rates and competitive pressures. We have traditionally obtained funds principally through local deposits
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and we have a base of lower cost transaction deposits. Generally, we believe local deposits are a less expensive and more stable source of funds than other borrowings because interest rates paid for local deposits are typically lower than interest rates charged for borrowings from other institutional lenders and reflect a mix of transaction and time deposits, whereas brokered deposits typically are higher cost time deposits. Our costs of funds and our profitability and liquidity are likely to be adversely affected, if and to the extent we have to rely upon higher cost borrowings from other institutional lenders or brokers to fund loan demand or liquidity needs, and changes in our deposit mix and growth could adversely affect our profitability and the ability to expand our loan portfolio.

## Competition from financial institutions and other financial service providers may adversely affect our profitability.

The banking business is highly competitive and we experience competition in our markets from many other financial institutions. We compete with commercial banks, credit unions, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds, and other mutual funds, as well as other super-regional, national and international financial institutions that operate offices in our primary market areas and elsewhere.

We compete with these institutions both in attracting deposits and in making loans. In addition, we have to attract our customer base from other existing financial institutions and from new residents. Many of our competitors are well-established, larger financial institutions. While we believe we can and do successfully compete with these other financial institutions in our primary markets, we may face a competitive disadvantage as a result of our smaller size, lack of geographic diversification and inability to spread our marketing costs across a broader market. Although we compete by concentrating our marketing efforts in our primary markets with local advertisements, personal contacts, and greater flexibility and responsiveness in working with local customers, we can give no assurance this strategy will be successful.

## The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Our ability to engage in routine funding and other transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. Defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and losses of depositor, creditor and counterparty confidence and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. We could experience increases in deposits and assets as a result of other banks difficulties or failure, which would increase the capital we need to support our growth.

## We are subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict our activities.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision, and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies, including the Federal Reserve, the OCC, the FDIC, FINRA, the SEC, and the Florida Office. These regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors, not shareholders. Our compliance with these regulations is costly and restricts certain of our activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, interest rates paid on deposits and locations of offices. We are also subject to capitalization guidelines established by our regulators, which require us to maintain adequate capital to support our growth.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time, and we cannot predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, our cost of compliance could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

## We are dependent upon the services of our management team.

Our future success and profitability are substantially dependent upon the management and banking abilities of our senior executives. Although we currently have employment agreements in place with our senior management
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team, we cannot guarantee you that our senior executives will remain with us. Changes in key personnel and their responsibilities may be disruptive to our business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We believe that our future results will also depend in part upon our attracting and retaining highly skilled and qualified management and sales and marketing personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, and we cannot assure you that we will be successful in retaining such personnel.

## Technological changes affect our business, and we may have fewer resources than many competitors to invest in technological improvements.

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to serving clients better, the effective use of technology may increase efficiency and may enable financial institutions to reduce costs. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to use technology to provide products and services that provide convenience to customers and to create additional efficiencies in operations. We may need to make significant additional capital investments in technology in the future, and we may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services. Many competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements.

Hurricanes or other adverse weather events would negatively affect our local economies or disrupt our operations, which would have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.

Our market areas in Florida are susceptible to hurricanes and tropical storms and related flooding and wind damage. Such weather events can disrupt operations, result in damage to properties and negatively affect the local economies in the markets where they operate. We cannot predict whether or to what extent damage that may be caused by future hurricanes will affect our operations or the economies in our current or future market areas, but such weather events could result in a decline in loan originations, a decline in the value or destruction of properties securing our loans and an increase in delinquencies, foreclosures or loan losses. Our business or results of operations may be adversely affected by these and other negative effects of future hurricanes or tropical storms, including flooding and wind damage. Many of our customers have incurred significantly higher property and casualty insurance premiums on their properties located in our markets, which may adversely affect real estate sales and values in those markets.

## Counterparty risk with TD Bank, N.A. (TD ) and The Hartford Insurance Group ( Hartford ).

We purchased performing loans from TD in January 2011 and we also purchased performing loans from Hartford in November 2011, both transactions have put back options. We have the option to put back any purchased loan that becomes 30 days past due or becomes adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines during a two year period in the case of TD and a one year period in the case of Hartford, from the acquisition date. TD and/or Hartford may not be financially able to buy back the past due or adversely classified loans.

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

## Item 2. Properties

Our Holding Company owns no real property. Our corporate office is leased from our lead subsidiary bank, and is located at 42745 U.S. Highway 27, Davenport, Florida 33837. At the end of 2011, our Company, through our Banks, operated a total of 58 banking offices in seventeen counties in central Florida. We own 44 and lease 14 of these offices. In addition, during January 2012, we have acquired an additional four branches in Marion county and eight branches in Duval county (our eighteenth county of operations), through our fifth and sixth acquisitions of failed financial institutions in FDIC assisted transactions. Further, we have leased office space in
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Birmingham, Alabama, Atlanta, Georgia and Winston-Salem, North Carolina used by our correspondent banking division personnel. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our Company included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and Managements Discussion and Analysis Bank Premises and Equipment, for additional information regarding our premises and equipment.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Our Banks are periodically parties to or otherwise involved in legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans, and other issues incident to their respective businesses. We do not believe that there is any pending or threatened proceeding against the Banks which would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position.

## Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]
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## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The shares of our Common Stock are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The following sets forth the high and low trading prices for trades of our Common Stock that occurred during 2011 and 2010.

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Low | High | Low |
| 1st Quarter | $\$ 8.23$ | $\$ 6.53$ | $\$ 12.98$ | $\$ ~ 9.85$ |
| 2nd Quarter | $\$ 7.08$ | $\$ 5.54$ | $\$ 13.86$ | $\$ 10.07$ |
| 3rd Quarter | $\$ 7.05$ | $\$ 5.01$ | $\$ 10.42$ | $\$ 7.84$ |
| 4th Quarter | $\$ 6.75$ | $\$ 4.80$ | $\$ 9.83$ | $\$ 6.24$ |

As of December 31, 2011, there are 30,055,499 shares of common stock outstanding. We have approximately 895 registered shareholders, as reported by our transfer agent, Continental Stock Transfer \& Trust Company.

## Dividends

We have historically paid cash dividends on a quarterly basis, on the last business day of the calendar quarter. The following sets forth per share cash dividends paid during 2011 and 2010.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Quarter | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.01$ |
| 2nd Quarter | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.01$ |
| 3rd Quarter | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.01$ |
| 4th Quarter | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.01$ |

The payment of dividends is a decision of our Board of Directors based upon then-existing circumstances, including our rate of growth, profitability, financial condition, existing and anticipated capital requirements, the amount of funds legally available for the payment of cash dividends, regulatory constraints and such other factors as the Board determines relevant. Our source of funds for payment of dividends is dividends received from our Banks, or excess cash available to us. Payments by our subsidiary Banks to us are limited by law and regulations of the bank regulatory authorities. There are various statutory and contractual limitations on the ability of our Banks to pay dividends to us. The bank regulatory agencies also have the general authority to limit the dividends paid by banks if such payment may be deemed to constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. Our subsidiaries may not pay dividends from their paid-in surplus. All dividends must be paid out of undivided profits then on hand, after deducting expenses, including reserves for losses and bad debts. In addition, a national bank is prohibited from declaring a dividend on its shares of common stock until its surplus equals its stated capital, unless there has been transferred to surplus no less than one/tenth of the bank s net profits of the preceding two consecutive half-year periods (in the case of an annual dividend). The approval of the OCC is required if the total of all dividends declared by a national bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of its net profits for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. As to a state bank, no dividends may be paid at a time when the bank $s$ net income from the preceding two years is a loss or which would cause the capital accounts of the bank to fall below the minimum amount required by law, regulation, order or any written agreement with the Florida Office or a Federal regulatory agency.

## Share Repurchases

We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during 2011.
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## Stock Plans

With respect to information regarding our securities authorized for issuance under equity incentive plans, the information contained in the section entitled Equity Compensation Plan Information in our Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is incorporated herein by reference.

## Performance Graph

Shares of our common stock are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The following graph compares the yearly percentage change in cumulative shareholder return on the Company s common stock, with the cumulative total return of the S\&P 500 Index and the SNL Southeast Bank Index, since December 31, 2006 (assuming a $\$ 100$ investment on December 31, 2006 and reinvestment of all dividends).

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CenterState Banks, Inc. | 100 | 58 | 81 | 48 | 38 | 32 |
| S\&P 500 | 100 | 104 | 64 | 79 | 89 | 89 |
| SNL Southeast Bank Index | 100 | 72 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 15 |
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## Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

## Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Ratios

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) in the United States and prevailing practices in the banking industry. However, certain non-GAAP performance measures and ratios are used by management to evaluate and measure the Company s performance. These include taxable-equivalent net interest income (including its individual components), net interest margin (including its individual components), the efficiency ratio, tangible assets, tangible shareholders equity, tangible book value per common share, and tangible equity to tangible assets. Management believes that these measures and ratios provide users of the Company sfinancial information with a more meaningful view of the performance of the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and of the Company s operating efficiency. Other financial holding companies may define or calculate these measures and ratios differently.

Management reviews yields on certain asset categories and the net interest margin of the Company and its banking subsidiaries on a fully taxable equivalent basis. In this non-GAAP presentation, net interest income is adjusted to reflect tax-exempt interest income on an equivalent before-tax basis. This measure ensures the comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis is also used in the calculation of the Company s efficiency ratio. The efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing non-interest expense (less nonrecurring expense) by total taxable-equivalent net revenue (less securities gains or losses and other nonrecurring income), measures how much it costs to produce one dollar of revenue. Securities gains or losses are excluded from this calculation to better match revenue from daily operations to operational expenses.

Tangible assets is defined as total assets reduced by goodwill and other intangible assets. Tangible common equity is defined as total common equity reduced by goodwill and other intangible assets. Tangible common equity to tangible assets is defined as tangible common equity divided by tangible assets. These measures are important to many investors in the marketplace who are interested in the common equity to assets ratio exclusive of the effect of changes in intangible assets on common equity and total assets.

Tangible common equity per common share outstanding is defined as tangible common equity divided by total common shares outstanding. This measure is important to many investors in the marketplace who are interested in changes from period to period in book value per share exclusive of changes in intangible assets. Goodwill, an intangible asset that is recorded in a purchase business combination, has the effect of increasing total book value while not increasing our tangible book value.

These disclosures should not be considered in isolation or a substitute for results determined in accordance with GAAP, and are not necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures which may be presented by other bank holding companies. Management compensates for these limitations by providing detailed reconciliations between GAAP information and the non-GAAP financial measures.
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The following tables present a reconciliation of certain non-GAAP performance measures and ratios used by the Company to evaluate and measure the Company s performance to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Income Statement Non-GAAP measures and ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income (GAAP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncovered loans | \$ | 54,497 | \$ | 51,538 | \$ | 53,428 | \$ | 57,403 | \$ | 61,873 |
| Covered loans |  | 11,396 |  | 4,159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities taxable |  | 14,296 |  | 16,833 |  | 18,436 |  | 7,822 |  | 9,388 |
| Securities tax-exempt |  | 1,422 |  | 1,424 |  | 1,472 |  | 1,512 |  | 1,381 |
| Federal funds sold and other |  | 632 |  | 626 |  | 608 |  | 1,345 |  | 2,531 |
| Total Interest income (GAAP) |  | 82,243 |  | 74,580 |  | 73,944 |  | 68,082 |  | 75,173 |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncovered loans |  | 539 |  | 113 |  | 100 |  | 116 |  | 99 |
| Securities tax-exempt |  | 678 |  | 645 |  | 626 |  | 548 |  | 443 |
| Total tax equivalent adjustment |  | 1,217 |  | 758 |  | 726 |  | 664 |  | 542 |
| Interest income tax equivalent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncovered loans |  | 55,036 |  | 51,651 |  | 53,528 |  | 57,519 |  | 61,972 |
| Covered loans |  | 11,396 |  | 4,159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities taxable |  | 14,296 |  | 16,833 |  | 18,436 |  | 7,822 |  | 9,388 |
| Securities tax-exempt |  | 2,100 |  | 2,069 |  | 2,098 |  | 2,060 |  | 1,824 |
| Federal funds sold and other |  | 632 |  | 626 |  | 608 |  | 1,345 |  | 2,531 |
| Total interest income tax equivalent |  | 83,460 |  | 75,338 |  | 74,670 |  | 68,746 |  | 75,715 |
| Total Interest expense (GAAP) |  | $(12,207)$ |  | $(16,742)$ |  | $(22,290)$ |  | $(27,797)$ |  | $(32,825)$ |
| Net interest income tax equivalent | \$ | 71,253 | \$ | 58,596 | \$ | 52,380 | \$ | 40,949 | \$ | 42,890 |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ | 70,036 | \$ | 57,838 | \$ | 51,654 | \$ | 40,285 | \$ | 42,348 |

Yields and costs

| Yield on noncovered loans tax equivalent |  | 5.31\% |  | 5.49\% |  | 5.80\% |  | 6.72\% |  | 7.83\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield on loans tax equivalent |  | 5.46\% |  | 5.45\% |  | 5.80\% |  | 6.72\% |  | 7.83\% |
| Yield on securities tax-exempt tax equivalent |  | 5.88\% |  | 5.94\% |  | 5.73\% |  | 5.41\% |  | 5.08\% |
| Yield on interest earning assets (GAAP) |  | 4.30\% |  | 4.30\% |  | 4.54\% |  | 6.14\% |  | 7.03\% |
| Yield on interest earning assets tax equivalent |  | 4.36\% |  | 4.34\% |  | 4.58\% |  | 6.20\% |  | 7.09\% |
| Cost of interest bearing liabilities (GAAP) |  | 0.81\% |  | 1.22\% |  | 1.66\% |  | 3.01\% |  | 3.84\% |
| Net interest spread (GAAP) |  | 3.49\% |  | 3.08\% |  | 2.88\% |  | 3.13\% |  | 3.19\% |
| Net interest spread tax equivalent |  | 3.55\% |  | 3.12\% |  | 2.92\% |  | 3.19\% |  | 3.25\% |
| Net interest margin (GAAP) |  | 3.66\% |  | 3.33\% |  | 3.17\% |  | 3.64\% |  | 3.96\% |
| Net interest margin tax equivalent |  | 3.72\% |  | 3.38\% |  | 3.22\% |  | 3.70\% |  | 4.01\% |
| Efficiency ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non interest income (GAAP) | \$ | 101,972 | \$ | 54,933 | \$ | 30,052 | \$ | 9,324 | \$ | 7,104 |
| Gain on sale of securities |  | $(3,464)$ |  | $(7,037)$ |  | $(2,516)$ |  | (661) |  | (7) |
| Nonrecurring income |  | $(57,020)$ |  | $(1,377)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted non interest income |  | 41,488 |  | 46,519 |  | 27,536 |  | 8,663 |  | 7,097 |
| Non interest expense |  | $(114,689)$ |  | $(93,325)$ |  | $(68,714)$ |  | $(39,936)$ |  | $(35,964)$ |


| Nonrecurring expense | 7,696 | 769 | 1,200 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted non interest expense | $(\$ 106,993)$ | $(\$ 92,556)$ | $(\$ 67,514)$ | $(\$ 39,936)$ | $(\$ 35,964)$ |
| Efficiency ratio (GAAP) | $96 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| Efficiency ratio tax equivalent | $95 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
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## Income Statement Non-GAAP measures and ratios (continued)

Analysis of changes in interest income and expense

|  | Net change December 31, 2011 versus 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Rate | Net change |
| Loans tax equivalent | 10,515 | 107 | 10,622 |
| Securities tax-exempt tax equivalent | 52 | (21) | 31 |
| Total interest income tax equivalent | 9,660 | $(1,538)$ | 8,122 |
| Net interest income tax equivalent | 9,172 | 3,485 | 12,657 |


\left.|  | Net change December 31, 2010 versus 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net |  |  |
| change |  |  |$\right)$

Non interest expense analysis

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | \$ increase (decrease) |  | \% increase (decrease) 22.90\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total non-interest expense (GAAP) | \$ | 114,689 | \$ | 93,325 | \$ | 21,364 |  |
| Less: merger, acquisition, conversion |  | $(7,696)$ |  | (769) |  | -6,927 | 900.80\% |
| Subtotal |  | 106,993 |  | 92,556 |  | 14,437 | 15.60\% |
| Credit related expenses |  | $(12,696)$ |  | $(6,278)$ |  | -6,418 | 102.20\% |
| Correspondent segment |  | $(23,883)$ |  | $(28,837)$ |  | 4,954 | -17.20\% |
| Non-interest expense excluding credit cost, correspondent segment, merger related expenses (Non-GAAP) | \$ | 70,414 | \$ | 57,441 | \$ | 12,973 | 22.60\% |
| Total average assets (GAAP) |  | 2,176,571 |  | 1,935,495 |  |  |  |
| Less: correspondent segment |  | $(177,500)$ |  | $(179,100)$ |  |  |  |
| Average assets, excluding correspondent segment (Non-GAAP) |  | 1,999,071 |  | ,756,395 |  |  |  |
| Expense ratio (note 1) (Non-GAAP) |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.27\% |  |  |  |

Note 1: Expense ratio means non-interest expense, excluding credit cost, correspondent segment and merger, acquisition and conversion related expenses divided by average assets, excluding correspondent segment related assets.
Full time equivalent employees (FTE) analysis

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee salary and wages (GAAP)  <br> Remove correspondent banking division salary and wages $\$ 44,985$ | $\$ 29,955$ | $\$ 17,172$ |  |
|  | $(22,184)$ | $(12,022)$ | $(674)$ |
| Employee salary and wages, excluding correspondent banking division <br> (Non-GAAP) | $\$ 22,801$ | $\$ 17,933$ | $\$ 16,498$ |


| Total average FTEs | 550.0 | 447.7 | 388.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Remove correspondent banking division average FTEs | $(61.0)$ | $(38.1)$ | $(2.8)$ |
| Average FTEs excluding correspondent banking division | 489.0 | 409.6 | 385.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cost per average FTE, excluding correspondent banking division | $\$$ | 47 | $\$$ |
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| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | Years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance Sheet Non-GAAP measures and ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets |  | 2,284,459 |  | 2,062,924 |  | 1,751,299 |  | 1,333,143 |  | ,217,430 |
| Goodwill |  | $(38,035)$ |  | $(38,035)$ |  | $(32,840)$ |  | $(28,118)$ |  | $(28,118)$ |
| Intangible assets, net |  | $(5,203)$ |  | $(3,921)$ |  | $(2,422)$ |  | $(3,948)$ |  | $(4,725)$ |
| Tangible assets |  | 2,241,221 |  | 2,020,968 |  | 1,716,037 |  | 1,301,077 |  | ,184,587 |
| Common stockholders equity | \$ | 262,633 | \$ | 252,249 | \$ | 229,410 |  | \$ 152,378 | \$ | 148,282 |
| Goodwill |  | $(38,035)$ |  | $(38,035)$ |  | $(32,840)$ |  | $(28,118)$ |  | $(28,118)$ |
| Intangible assets, net |  | $(5,203)$ |  | $(3,921)$ |  | $(2,422)$ |  | $(3,948)$ |  | $(4,725)$ |
| Tangible common stockholders equity | \$ | 219,395 | \$ | 210,293 | \$ | 194,148 |  | 120,312 | \$ | 115,439 |
| Book value per common share | \$ | 8.74 | \$ | 8.41 | \$ | 8.90 |  | 12.22 | \$ | 11.92 |
| Effect of intangible assets |  | (\$1.44) |  | (\$1.40) |  | (\$1.37) |  | (\$2.57) |  | (\$2.64) |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ | 7.30 | \$ | 7.01 | \$ | 7.53 | \$ | 9.64 | \$ | 9.28 |
| Equity to total assets |  | 11.50\% |  | 12.23\% |  | 13.10\% |  | 11.43\% |  | 12.18\% |
| Effect of intangible assets |  | -1.71\% |  | -1.82\% |  | -1.79\% |  | -2.18\% |  | -2.43\% |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets |  | 9.79\% |  | 10.41\% |  | 11.31\% |  | 9.25\% |  | 9.75\% |
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The selected consolidated financial data presented below should be read in conjunction with management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, and the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto, of the Company at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the three year period ended December 31, 2011, presented elsewhere herein.

## Selected Consolidated Financial Data

## For the twelve month period ending or as of December 31

| (Dollars in thousands except for share and per share data) | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | \$ | 82,243 | \$ | 74,580 | \$ | 73,944 | \$ | 68,082 | \$ | 75,173 |
| Total interest expense |  | $(12,207)$ |  | $(16,742)$ |  | $(22,290)$ |  | $(27,797)$ |  | $(32,825)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 70,036 |  | 57,838 |  | 51,654 |  | 40,285 |  | 42,348 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(45,991)$ |  | $(29,624)$ |  | $(23,896)$ |  | $(6,520)$ |  | $(2,792)$ |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 24,045 |  | 28,214 |  | 27,758 |  | 33,765 |  | 39,556 |
| Non-interest income |  | 16,599 |  | 13,826 |  | 9,620 |  | 7,251 |  | 7,097 |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division |  | 24,889 |  | 32,696 |  | 17,916 |  | 1,412 |  |  |
| Net gain on sale of securities available for sale |  | 3,464 |  | 7,034 |  | 2,516 |  | 661 |  | 7 |
| Bargain purchase gain, acquisition of institution |  | 57,020 |  | 1,377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on sale of bank branch office real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,483 |  |  |
| Sale of bank shell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |
| Impairment charge- core deposit intangible |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,200)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Credit related expenses |  | $(12,696)$ |  | $(6,278)$ |  | $(4,553)$ |  | $(1,006)$ |  | (76) |
| Non-interest expense |  | $(101,993)$ |  | $(87,047)$ |  | $(62,961)$ |  | $(38,930)$ |  | $(35,888)$ |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 11,328 |  | $(10,178)$ |  | $(10,904)$ |  | 4,636 |  | 11,696 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | $(3,419)$ |  | 4,240 |  | 4,687 |  | $(1,215)$ |  | $(3,897)$ |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 7,909 | \$ | $(5,938)$ | \$ | $(6,217)$ | \$ | 3,421 | \$ | 7,799 |

## PER COMMON SHARE DATA:

| Basic earnings (loss) per share | $\$$ | 0.26 | $\$$ | $(0.22)$ | $\$$ | $(0.47)$ | $\$$ | 0.26 | $\$$ | 0.64 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | $\$$ | 0.26 | $\$$ | $(0.22)$ | $\$$ | $(0.47)$ | $\$$ | 0.26 | $\$$ | 0.63 |
| Common equity per common share outstanding | $\$$ | 8.74 | $\$$ | 8.41 | $\$$ | 8.90 | $\$$ | 12.22 | $\$$ | 11.92 |
| Tangible common equity per common share <br> outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends per common share | $\$$ | 7.30 | $\$$ | 7.01 | $\$$ | 7.53 | $\$$ | 9.64 | $\$$ | 9.28 |
| Actual shares outstanding | $\$$ | 0.04 | $\$$ | 0.04 | $\$$ | 0.07 | $\$$ | 0.16 | $\$$ | 0.15 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | $30,055,499$ | $30,004,761$ | $25,773,229$ | $12,474,315$ | $12,436,407$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | $30,034,573$ | $27,608,211$ | $17,905,042$ | $12,452,375$ | $12,108,590$ |  |  |  |  |  |

BALANCE SHEET DATA:

| Assets | $\$ 2,284,459$ | $\$ 2,062,924$ | $\$ 1,751,299$ | $\$ 1,333,143$ | $\$$ | $1,217,430$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total loans | $1,283,766$ | $1,128,955$ | 959,021 | 892,001 | 841,405 |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | 27,944 | 26,267 | 23,289 | 13,335 | 10,828 |  |
| Total deposits | $1,919,789$ | $1,685,594$ | $1,305,036$ | 993,800 | 972,620 |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 69,276 | 97,284 | 195,501 | 141,183 | 75,646 |  |
| Corporate debentures | 16,945 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 |  |
| Preferred stockholders equity |  |  |  | 26,787 |  |  |
| Common stockholders equity | 262,633 | 252,249 | 229,410 | 152,378 | 148,282 |  |
| Total stockholders equity | 262,633 | 252,249 | 229,410 | 179,165 | 148,282 |  |
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| Tangible capital | 219,395 | 210,293 | 194,148 | 147,099 | 115,439 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Goodwill | 38,035 | 38,035 | 32,840 | 28,118 | 28,118 |
| Core deposit intangible (CDI) | 5,203 | 3,921 | 2,422 | 3,948 | 4,725 |
| Average total assets | $2,176,571$ | $1,935,495$ | $1,771,034$ | $1,238,005$ | $1,189,268$ |
| Average loans | $1,216,086$ | $1,023,597$ | 923,080 | 856,260 | 791,886 |
| Average interest earning assets | $1,914,812$ | $1,734,746$ | $1,628,798$ | $1,108,180$ | $1,068,591$ |
| Average deposits | $1,800,998$ | $1,517,302$ | $1,254,169$ | 975,352 | 963,033 |
| Average interest bearing deposits | $1,407,942$ | $1,214,435$ | $1,047,436$ | 823,121 | 775,282 |
| Average interest bearing liabilities | $1,512,898$ | $1,369,417$ | $1,346,051$ | 923,591 | 854,251 |
| Average total stockholders equity | 253,398 | 243,063 | 206,914 | 154,521 | 138,425 |
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## Selected Consolidated Financial Data continued

## For the twelve month period ending or as of December 31

## (Dollars in thousands except for share and

| per share data) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SELECTED FINANCIAL RATIOS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 0.36\% | (0.31\%) | (0.35\%) | 0.28\% | 0.66\% |
| Return on average equity | 3.12\% | (2.44\%) | (3.00\%) | 2.21\% | 5.63\% |
| Dividend payout | 15\% | na | na | 58\% | 23\% |
| Efficiency (1) | 96\% | 89\% | 85\% | 82\% | 73\% |
| Net interest margin, tax equivalent basis (2) | 3.72\% | 3.38\% | 3.22\% | 3.70\% | 4.01\% |
| Net interest spread, tax equivalent basis (3) | 3.55\% | 3.12\% | 2.92\% | 3.19\% | 3.25\% |
| CAPITAL RATIOS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 10.49\% | 10.33\% | 11.36\% | 12.59\% | 10.78\% |
| Risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 | 17.79\% | 18.01\% | 17.99\% | 16.17\% | 13.80\% |
| Total | 19.05\% | 19.28\% | 19.25\% | 17.43\% | 14.97\% |
| Tangible common equity ratio | 9.79\% | 10.41\% | 11.31\% | 9.25\% | 9.75\% |
| ASSET QUALITY RATIOS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs to average loans (4) | 4.28\% | 2.83\% | 1.51\% | 0.47\% | 0.12\% |
| Allowance to period end loans (4) | 2.46\% | 2.82\% | 2.43\% | 1.49\% | 1.29\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 71\% | 40\% | 55\% | 67\% | 266\% |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 2.16\% | 3.81\% | 3.05\% | 1.86\% | 0.40\% |
| OTHER DATA: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking locations | 58 | 53 | 38 | 37 | 37 |
| Full-time equivalent employees | 655 | 602 | 478 | 399 | 371 |

(1) Efficiency ratio is non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income before the provision for loan losses plus non-interest income, exclusive of non-recurring items.
(2) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by total average earning assets.
(3) Net interest spread is the difference between the average yield on earning assets and the average yield on average interest bearing liabilities.
(4) Excludes loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements.

## Table of Contents

## Quarterly Financial Information

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain consolidated quarterly financial information. This information is derived from our unaudited financial statements which include, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments which management considers necessary for a fair presentation of the results for such periods. The sum of the four quarters of earnings per share may not equal the total earnings per share for the full year due to rounding and due to the additional shares issued pursuant to our capital offering in July 2010. This information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this document. The results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for future periods.

## Selected Quarterly Data

(unaudited)

|  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for per share data) |  | 4Q |  | 3Q |  | 2 Q |  | 10 |  | 4Q |  | 3 Q |  | 2Q |  | 1Q |
| Interest income | \$ | 21,324 | \$ | 19,837 | \$ | 20,705 |  | 20,377 | \$ | 19,473 | \$ | 19,106 | \$ | 17,840 | \$ | 18,161 |
| Interest expense |  | $(2,757)$ |  | $(2,881)$ |  | $(3,166)$ |  | $(3,403)$ |  | $(3,866)$ |  | $(4,343)$ |  | $(4,218)$ |  | 4,315 |
| Net interest income |  | 18,567 |  | 16,956 |  | 17,539 |  | 16,974 |  | 15,607 |  | 14,763 |  | 13,622 |  | 13,846 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(18,065)$ |  | $(5,005)$ |  | $(11,645)$ |  | $(11,276)$ |  | $(5,056)$ |  | $(16,448)$ |  | $(4,045)$ |  | $(4,075)$ |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 502 |  | 11,951 |  | 5,894 |  | 5,698 |  | 10,551 |  | $(1,685)$ |  | 9,577 |  | 9,771 |
| Non-interest income |  | 2,921 |  | 4,041 |  | 4,339 |  | 5,298 |  | 4,231 |  | 3,766 |  | 3,148 |  | 2,681 |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division |  | 6,661 |  | 7,999 |  | 5,759 |  | 4,470 |  | 7,140 |  | 11,828 |  | 7,372 |  | 6,356 |
| Bargain purchase gain on acquisition |  | 45,891 |  |  |  |  |  | 11,129 |  |  |  | 1,377 |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on sales of securities available for sale |  | 130 |  | 205 |  | 3,120 |  | 9 |  | 3,808 |  | 151 |  | 1,639 |  | 1,436 |
| Non-interest expenses |  | $(34,724)$ |  | $(26,787)$ |  | $(26,529)$ |  | $(26,649)$ |  | $(25,472)$ |  | $(27,530)$ |  | $(20,598)$ |  | $(19,725)$ |
| Income (loss) before income tax |  | 21,381 |  | $(2,591)$ |  | $(7,417)$ |  | (45) |  | 258 |  | $(12,093)$ |  | 1,138 |  | 519 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit |  | $(7,299)$ |  | 599 |  | 3,071 |  | 210 |  | 178 |  | 4,422 |  | (234) |  | (126) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 14,082 | \$ | $(1,992)$ | \$ | $(4,346)$ | \$ | 165 | \$ | 436 | \$ | $(7,671)$ | \$ | 904 | \$ | 393 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share | \$ | 0.47 |  | (0.07) |  | (0.14) | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | (0.27) | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.02 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share | \$ | 0.47 |  | (0.07) | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | (0.27) | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | 0.02 |

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
(All dollar amounts in this Item 7 are in thousands of dollars, except shares

## and per share data or when specifically identified.)

Some of the statements in this report constitute forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements related to future events, other future financial performance or business strategies, and include statements containing terminology such as may, will, should, expects, scheduled, plans, intends, anticipates,
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estimates, potential, or continue or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward looking statements, due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation: the effects of future economic conditions; governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes; the risks of changes in interest rates and the level and composition of deposits, loan demand, and the values of loan collateral; and the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, consumer finance companies, and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere. All forward looking statements attributable to our Company are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update these forward looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. There is no assurance that future results, levels of activity, performance or goals will be achieved.

Our discussion and analysis of earnings and related financial data are presented herein to assist investors in understanding the financial condition of our Company at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes of our Company presented elsewhere herein.

## Executive Summary

## Organizational structure

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CenterState Banks, Inc. (the Parent Company, Company, Corporate, CenterState or CSFL ), and our two wholly owned subsidiary banks ( CSB and VSB ) and our non bank subsidiary R4ALL, Inc. ( R4ALL ).

In December 2010 we merged our three national chartered banks together, combining Central and CSBNA with CSB as the surviving bank. On January 1, 2011 we merged CenterState Shared Services ( CSSS ), a wholly owned subsidiary of our previous four subsidiary banks, into CSB. CSSS provided item processing services and information technology services to all of our subsidiary banks. After it was merged into CSB, it continues performing these same services as a department within CSB.

At the holding company, or Parent Company, level, we perform functions that include strategic planning, merger and acquisition functions, investor relations, capital management, financial reporting, income tax management and reporting, loan review, internal audit, risk assessment and monitoring, and generally oversee and monitor the activities of our subsidiary banks. All of the operating activities associated with and related to the commercial banking business is performed and managed at the subsidiary bank level. In 2009 we formed a separate non bank subsidiary, R4ALL, for the purpose of acquisition and disposition of troubled assets from our subsidiary banks.
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A condensed consolidating balance sheet at December 31, 2011 and a condensed consolidating statement of operations for the year ending December 31, 2011 are presented below.

## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

| At December 31, 2011 | CSB |  | VSB |  | R4ALL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARENT } \\ & \text { COMPANY } \end{aligned}$ |  | ELIMINATIONSCONSOLIDATED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 14,473 | \$ | 3,100 | \$ | 366 | \$ | 2,844 | \$ | $(2,890)$ | \$ | 17,893 |
| Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve deposits |  | 122,416 |  | 10,786 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133,202 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 136,889 |  | 13,886 |  | 366 |  | 2,844 |  | $(2,890)$ |  | 151,095 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 561,571 |  | 29,593 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 591,164 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 164,051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164,051 |
| Loans, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share |  | 1,010,001 |  | 105,726 |  | 3,988 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,119,715 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(24,934)$ |  | $(2,560)$ |  | (450) |  |  |  |  |  | $(27,944)$ |
| Bank premises and equipment, net |  | 82,376 |  | 11,457 |  |  |  | 525 |  |  |  | 94,358 |
| Goodwill |  | 19,779 |  | 18,256 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38,035 |
| Core deposit intangibles |  | 4,069 |  | 1,134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,203 |
| OREO covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 9,469 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,469 |
| OREO not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 4,629 |  | 1,552 |  | 2,531 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,712 |
| Investment in subsidiaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 256,435 |  | $(256,435)$ |  |  |
| All other assets |  | 107,143 |  | 7,059 |  | 9,954 |  | 23,149 |  | $(16,704)$ |  | 130,601 |
| Total assets |  | 2,075,043 |  | 186,103 | \$ | 16,389 | \$ | 282,953 | \$ | $(276,029)$ | \$ | 2,284,459 |
| Deposits |  | 1,779,775 |  | 143,224 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | $(3,210)$ | \$ | 1,919,789 |
| Other borrowings |  | 69,276 |  |  |  |  |  | 16,945 |  |  |  | 86,221 |
| All other liabilities |  | 27,483 |  | 1,342 |  |  |  | 3,375 |  | $(16,384)$ |  | 15,816 |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 198,509 |  | 41,537 |  | 16,389 |  | 262,633 |  | $(256,435)$ |  | 262,633 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity |  | 2,075,043 |  | 186,103 | \$ | 16,389 |  | 282,953 | \$ | $(276,029)$ | \$ | 2,284,459 |

## Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

For the $\mathbf{1 2}$ month period ending

| December 31, 2011 | CSB |  | VSB |  | R4ALL | PARENTCOMPANY |  | ELIMINATIONSCONSOLIDATED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 74,847 | \$ | 7,315 | \$ 81 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 82,243 |
| Interest expense |  | $(10,571)$ |  | $(1,187)$ |  |  | (449) |  |  |  | $(12,207)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 64,276 |  | 6,128 | 81 |  | (449) |  |  |  | 70,036 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(30,563)$ |  | $(1,521)$ | $(13,907)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(45,991)$ |
| Net interest income after loan loss provision |  | 33,713 |  | 4,607 | $(13,826)$ |  | (449) |  |  |  | 24,045 |
| Non interest income |  | 101,855 |  | 1,752 |  |  | 10,689 |  | $(12,324)$ |  | 101,972 |
| Non interest expense |  | $(102,816)$ |  | $(7,329)$ | $(3,258)$ |  | $(3,480)$ |  | 2,194 |  | $(114,689)$ |
| Net income (loss) before income tax provision |  | 32,752 |  | (970) | $(17,084)$ |  | 6,760 |  | $(10,130)$ |  | 11,328 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit |  | 11,440 |  | (443) | $(6,429)$ |  | $(1,149)$ |  |  |  | 3,419 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 21,312 | \$ | (527) | \$ $(10,655)$ | \$ | 7,909 | \$ | $(10,130)$ | \$ | 7,909 |

Through our subsidiary banks, we conduct commercial and retail banking business consisting of attracting deposits from the general public and applying those funds to the origination of commercial real estate loans, residential real estate loans, construction, development and land loans,
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and commercial loans and consumer loans. Most of our loans are secured by real estate located in central Florida.

## Table of Contents

Our profitability depends primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income generated from interest-earning assets (i.e. loans and investments) less the interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities (i.e. customer deposits and borrowed funds). Net interest income is affected by the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the interest rate earned and paid on these balances. Net interest income is dependent upon the interest rate spread which is the difference between the average yield earned on our interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread is impacted by interest rates, deposit flows, and loan demand. Additionally, our profitability is affected by such factors as the level of non-interest income and expenses, the provision for credit losses, and the effective tax rate. Non-interest income consists primarily of service fees on deposit accounts and related services, and also includes commissions earned on bond sales, brokering single family home loans, sale of mutual funds, annuities and other non traditional and non insured investments. Non-interest expense consists of compensation, employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expenses, and other operating expenses.

At December 31, 2011, our two subsidiary banks operated through 58 bank branch locations in seventeen counties in Florida as summarized in the table below:

No. of locations 53<br>Subsidiary Banks<br>CenterState Bank of Florida ( CSB )<br>Valrico State Bank ( VSB )<br>Correspondent banking division<br>Counties<br>Citrus, Hendry, Hernando, Indian River, Lake, Marion, Okeechobee, Osceola, Orange, Pasco, Polk, Sumter, Putnam, Seminole, St. Lucie, Volusia Hillsborough

We also operate a correspondent banking and bond sales division. The division is integrated with and part of our lead subsidiary bank, CSB, located in Winter Haven, Florida, although the majority of our bond salesmen, traders and operations personnel are physically housed in leased facilities located in Birmingham, Alabama and Atlanta, Georgia. The business lines of this division are primarily divided into three inter-related revenue generating activities. The first, and largest, revenue generator is commissions earned on fixed income security sales. The second category includes: (a) correspondent bank deposits (i.e., federal funds purchased) and (b) correspondent bank checking accounts. The third, and smallest revenue generating category, includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, asset/liability consulting related activities, and beginning in 2011 correspondent clearing account services. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

## FDIC assisted acquisitions and other branching activities

During 2010 we acquired three failed financial institutions through FDIC assisted transactions. These acquisitions included approximately $\$ 344$ million of deposits and loans with a fair value as of the acquisition date of approximately $\$ 221$ million. Substantially all the loans have loss share agreements beginning with the first dollar of loss. In total, these three acquisitions included nine branch locations, all within or contiguous with our current geographical market footprint.

Also during 2010, we hired a seasoned and experienced management team in the Vero Beach, Florida area, and opened a new branch around this team. Similarly, we also hired another seasoned and experienced management team in the Okeechobee, Florida area, and opened a new branch around this team as well.

In January 2011 we closed on a previously announced transaction with TD Bank, N.A. whereby we acquired four branches in Putnam County, Florida. Total deposits acquired approximated $\$ 114$ million for which we did
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not pay a premium. In addition, we selected and purchased approximately $\$ 121$ million of performing loans located within our 14 county market area in central Florida. We purchased the loans for $90 \%$ of the legal unpaid principal balance and have an option during the initial two year period to put back any loan that becomes past due greater than 30 days or becomes adversely classified pursuant to banking regulation guidelines. We recognized an $\$ 11$ million dollar bargain purchase gain during the first quarter of 2011 as a result of this transaction.

On November 1, 2011 we acquired Federal Trust Corporation ( FTC ) and its wholly owned subsidiary thrift, Federal Trust Bank ( FTB ) from The Hartford Insurance Group, Inc. ( Hartford ), the sole owner of FTC. We assumed all of the deposits (approximately $\$ 197.8$ million) and certain selected other liabilities, including a $\$ 5$ million Trust Preferred Security issued by FTC. We acquired only selected performing loans and five of their existing 11 branches. FTB closed the other six branches immediately prior to our acquisition. The purchased loans had a fair value at the acquisition date of approximately $\$ 156.8$ million. We purchased the loans for $73 \%$ of their legal unpaid principal balance, which was the primary reason for the $\$ 40.3$ million bargain purchase gain after merger related expenses recognized in our fourth quarter of 2011. In addition to the loan discount, we have an option to put back any loan that becomes 30 days past due or becomes adversely classified pursuant to banking regulation guidelines to Hartford, for a period of one year beginning with the acquisition date.

Also during 2011, we hired a seasoned and experienced prior Jacksonville, Florida bank CEO, Mr. Gil Pomar. Since hired, he had been working out of a loan production office in Jacksonville, with a small team of two lenders and an administrative assistant. During January of 2012 we acquired a failed financial institution in Jacksonville with 8 offices. This is our sixth and largest FDIC assisted transaction. Mr. Pomar will manage this acquisition and use it to leverage and build our market presence in Jacksonville.

On January 20, 2012 we acquired the banking operations of Central Florida State Bank in Belleview, Florida near Ocala ( Central FL ) through an FDIC assisted transaction of a failed bank. We acquired approximately $\$ 50$ million of loans, before loan discounts and FDIC receivables with $80 \%$ loss share protection from the FDIC. Central FL had four branches, all of which are within two miles of an existing CenterState branch. We expect to combine these branches in the near future. The transaction is expected to be neutral to tangible book value and accrete about $\$ 0.025$ to $\$ 0.03$ per share to annualized earnings, once the branches are combined. The addition of the Central FL acquisition expands and enhances our presence in the greater Ocala, Florida market area, building on our 2009 acquisition of Ocala National Bank and 2010 acquisition of Independent National Bank, Ocala, both of which were also FDIC assisted transactions.

## Capital offering

During the third quarter of 2010 we raised additional capital through another public stock offering. We sold a total of $4,140,000$ common shares at $\$ 8.50$ per share. After investment banking fees and other expenses, the net increase to our common equity was approximately $\$ 33$ million. This capital raise along with the previous raise in 2009 were both used to support our growth from the acquisitions discussed above, as well as a buffer against losses and potential losses in our loan portfolio resulting from the significant downturn in the Florida real estate market.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our accounting policies are integral to understanding the results reported. Accounting policies are described in detail in Note 1 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. The critical accounting policies require management s judgment to ascertain the valuation of assets, liabilities, commitments and contingencies. We have established policies and control procedures that are intended to ensure valuation methods are well controlled and applied consistently from period to period. In addition, the policies and procedures are intended to ensure that the process for changing methodologies occurs in an appropriate manner. The following is a brief description of our current accounting policies involving significant management valuation judgments.
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## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents our estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses charged to expense and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. The allowance for loan losses is determined based on our assessment of several factors: reviews and evaluation of individual loans, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and the related impact on specific borrowers and industry concentrations, historical loan loss experiences and the level of classified and nonperforming loans.

Changes in the financial condition of individual borrowers, in economic conditions, in historical loss experience and in the condition of the various markets in which collateral may be sold may all affect the required level of the allowance for loan losses and the associated provision for loan losses.

A standardized loan grading system is utilized at each of our subsidiary banks. The grading system is integral to our risk assessment function related to lending. Loan officers of each bank assign a loan grade to their newly originated loans in accordance with the standard loan grades. Throughout the lending relationship, the loan officer is responsible for periodic reviews, and if warranted he/she will downgrade or upgrade a particular loan based on specific events and/or analyses. We use a loan grading system of 1 through 7 . Grade 1 is excellent and grade 7 is doubtful. Loans graded 5 or higher are placed on a watch list each month end and reported to that particular bank s board of directors. Our loan review officer, who is independent of the lending function and is not an employee of any subsidiary bank, periodically reviews each bank sloan portfolio and lending relationships. The officer may disagree with a particular bank s grade on a particular loan and subsequently downgrade or upgrade such loan(s) based on his risk analysis. As such, our lending process is decentralized, but our credit review process is centralized.

Beginning in late 2007, our CEO initiated a new program referred to as Centercourt, whereby all of our bank presidents and our chief lending officers are gathered together in one room along with our CEO, CFO, COO and Chief Loan Review officer. Each bank president and chief lending officer presents their prepared written report on the status of their bank s loan portfolio. Past due, non-accrual, impaired, potentially impaired, and loans in process of foreclosure, as well as OREO issues are presented and discussed. These meetings are generally held once per quarter. The objectives include early and quick identification and resolutions of potential loan losses, as well as sharing information and ideas between banks. The process also contributes to each bank $s$ allowance for loan loss analysis assumptions and preparation. Beginning in early 2011, with the December 2010 merger of our three national chartered banks as one of the catalysts, these meetings evolved into monthly meetings with a standardized report prepared by our Chief Credit Officer ( CCO ), our Chief Special Asset Manager ( CSPA ) and their teams. They now have the responsibility of identifying and reporting all impaired loans, non-accrual loans, TDRs, and OREO. They make sure that all non-performing loans, subject to ASC 310, as well as OREO properties have a current appraisal (less than one year old) and that the asset is written down to $90 \%$ of the current appraisal, or less under certain circumstances, such as a listing price in the case of OREO, or a time value adjustment in the case of loans with appraisals approaching their one year life, and the related collateral is either in a type of category or in a market area with declining values. When these monthly meetings start, these teams have already evaluated their positions and have identified the course of action on each of the troubled assets listed. Our CEO and the CEO of our lead subsidiary bank, review these evaluations with our CCO and CSPA, and either approve or modify their recommendations. We also have one of our senior accounting officers present, who along with our CCO is ultimately responsible for preparing the Company s allowance for loan loss calculations each quarter.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we believe is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in our loan portfolio. The allowance consists of three components. The first component consists of amounts specifically reserved ( specific allowance ) for specific loans identified as impaired, as defined by FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 310 ( ASC 310 ). Impaired loans are those loans that management has estimated will not repay as agreed upon. Each of these loans is required to have a written analysis supporting the amount of specific reserve allocated to the particular loan, if any. That is to say, a loan may be impaired (i.e. not expected to repay as agreed), but may be sufficiently collateralized such that we expect to recover all principal and interest eventually, and therefore no specific reserve is warranted.
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The second component is a general reserve ( general allowance ) on all of the Company s loans other than those identified as impaired. We group these loans into categories with similar characteristics and then apply a loss factor to each group which is derived from our historical loss factor for that category adjusted for current internal and external environmental factors, as well as for certain loan grading factors.

The third component consists of amounts reserved for purchased credit-impaired loans. On a quarterly basis, the Company updates the amount of loan principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected, incorporating assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected loan principal cash flows trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related foregone interest cash flows discounted at the pool $s$ effective interest rate. Impairments that occur after the acquisition date are recognized through the provision for loan losses. Probable and significant increases in expected principal cash flows would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan losses; any remaining increases are recognized prospectively as interest income. The impacts of (i) prepayments, (ii) changes in variable interest rates, and (iii) any other changes in the timing of expected cash flows are recognized prospectively as adjustments to interest income. Disposals of loans, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payments in full by the borrower, or foreclosure, result in removal of the loan from the purchased credit impaired portfolio. The aggregate of these three components results in our total allowance for loan losses.

## Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill resulting from business combinations prior to January 1, 2009 represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009, is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any non-controlling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but tested for impairment at least annually.
The Company has selected November 30 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on our balance sheet. We have a $\$ 38$ million goodwill asset on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011.

Other intangible assets consist of core deposit intangible assets arising from whole bank and branch acquisitions. They are initially measure at the fair value and then amortized on an accelerated method over their estimated useful lives, generally 10 years.

Goodwill and intangible assets are described further in Note 9 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## Income Taxes

We determine our income tax expense based on management s judgments and estimates regarding permanent differences in the treatment of specific items of income and expense for financial statement and income tax purposes. These permanent differences result in an effective tax rate, which differs from the federal statutory rate. In addition, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities, recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, based on management s judgment and estimates regarding timing differences in the recognition of income and expenses for financial statement and income tax purposes.

We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be realized through the reduction or refund of taxes in future periods and establish a valuation allowance for those assets for which recovery is not more likely than not. In making this assessment, management must make judgments and estimates regarding the ability to realize the asset through carryback to taxable income in prior years, the future reversal of existing
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taxable temporary differences, future taxable income, and the possible application of future tax planning strategies. Management believes that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets included in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition will be fully realized, although there is no guarantee that those assets will be recognizable in future periods. We have a net deferred tax asset of $\$ 3.5$ million in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011. For additional discussion of income taxes, see Notes 1 and 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans

We account for acquisitions under the purchase accounting method. All identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed are recorded at fair value. We review each loan or loan pool acquired to determine whether there is evidence of a deterioration in credit quality since inception and if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual loan agreements. We consider expected prepayments and estimated cash flows including principal and interest payments at the date of acquisition. The amount in excess of the estimated future cash flows is not accreted into earnings. The amount in excess of the estimated future cash flows over the book value of the loan is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan (accretable yield). The Company records these loans on the acquisition date at their net realizable value. Thus, an allowance for estimated future losses is not established on the acquisition date. We refine our estimates of the fair value of loans acquired for up to one year from the date of acquisition. Subsequent to the date of acquisition, we update the expected future cash flows on loans acquired on a quarterly basis. Losses or a reduction in cash flow which arise subsequent to the date of acquisition are reflected as a charge through the provision for loan losses. An increase in the expected cash flows adjusts the level of the accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the remaining life of the loan.

## FDIC Loss Share Receivable

We have entered into agreements with the FDIC for reimbursement of losses within acquired loan portfolios. The FDIC loss share receivable is recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition based upon the expected reimbursements to be received from the FDIC adjusted by a discount rate which reflects counter party credit risk and other uncertainties. Changes in the underlying credit quality of the loans covered by the FDIC loss share receivable result in either an increase or a decrease in the FDIC loss share receivable. Deterioration in loan credit quality increases the FDIC loss share receivable; increases in credit quality decrease the FDIC loss share receivable. Proceeds received for reimbursement of incurred losses reduce the FDIC loss share receivable.

## COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010.

## Net Income

Our net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was $\$ 7,909$ or $\$ 0.26$ per share basic and diluted, compared to net loss of $\$ 5,938$ or $\$ 0.22$ per share basic and diluted for the year ended December 31, 2010. The primary reason for the profitable year in 2011 was bargain purchase gains of $\$ 57,020$ from our January 2011 acquisition of loans, deposits and branch offices from TD Bank, N.A. and our November 2011 acquisition of Federal Trust Bank from The Hartford Insurance Group, Inc. The other significant issue affecting our earnings continues to be credit cost. Total credit cost, including provisions for loan losses, for 2011 was approximately $\$ 58,687$ compared to $\$ 35,902$ reported in 2010. These and other factors contributing to our 2011 results are discussed below.

## Net Interest Income/Margin

Net interest income consists of interest income generated by earning assets, less interest expense.

Net interest income increased $\$ 12,198$, or $22 \%$ to $\$ 70,036$ during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to $\$ 57,838$ for the same period in 2010. The increase was the result of a $\$ 7,663$ increase in interest income plus a $\$ 4,535$ decrease in interest expense.
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Interest earning assets averaged $\$ 1,914,812$ during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to $\$ 1,734,746$ for the same period in 2010, an increase of $\$ 180,066$, or $10.4 \%$. The yield on average interest earning assets was approximately the same for both year 2011 and 2010 ( $4.295 \%$ for 2011 and $4.299 \%$ for 2010). On a tax equivalent basis, the 2011 average yield increased 2 basis points ( bps ) to $4.36 \%$ compared to $4.34 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . The combined net effects of the $\$ 180,066$ increase in average interest earning assets and the change in yields on average interest earning assets resulted in the $\$ 7,663$ ( $\$ 8,122$ tax equivalent basis) increase in interest income between the two years.

Interest bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 1,512,898$ during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to $\$ 1,369,417$ for the same period in 2010 , an increase of $\$ 143,481$, or $10.5 \%$. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased 41 bps to $0.81 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to $1.22 \%$ for 2010 . The combined net effects of the $\$ 143,481$ increase in average interest bearing liabilities and the 41 bps decrease in cost of average interest bearing liabilities resulted in the $\$ 4,535$ decrease in interest expense between the two years. See the tables Average Balances Yields \& Rates, and Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Expenses below.

## Average Balances (8) Yields \& Rates

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | 2011 <br> Interest <br> Inc / Exp | Average Rate | Average Balance | 2010 <br> Interest Inc / Exp | Average Rate |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncovered loans (1) (2) (7) | \$ 1,035,496 | \$ 55,036 | 5.31\% | \$ 940,198 | \$ 51,651 | 5.49\% |
| Covered loans (9) | 180,590 | 11,396 | 6.31\% | 83,399 | 4,159 | 4.99\% |
| Securities available for sale taxable | 492,666 | 14,296 | 2.90\% | 524,336 | 16,833 | 3.21\% |
| Securities available for sale tax exempt (7) | 35,727 | 2,100 | 5.88\% | 34,852 | 2,069 | 5.94\% |
| Federal funds sold and other | 170,333 | 632 | 0.37\% | 151,961 | 626 | 0.41\% |
| TOTAL INTEREST EARNING ASSETS | \$ 1,914,812 | \$ 83,460 | 4.36\% | \$ 1,734,746 | \$ 75,338 | 4.34\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(27,265)$ |  |  | $(25,578)$ |  |  |
| All other assets | 289,024 |  |  | 226,327 |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 2,176,571 |  |  | \$ 1,935,495 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES \& STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now | \$ 313,178 | \$ 665 | 0.21\% | \$ 217,083 | \$ 868 | 0.40\% |
| Money market | 263,089 | 899 | 0.34\% | 190,778 | 1,486 | 0.78\% |
| Savings | 208,254 | 562 | 0.27\% | 177,632 | 1,165 | 0.66\% |
| Time deposits | 623,421 | 9,373 | 1.50\% | 628,942 | 12,203 | 1.94\% |
| Repurchase agreements | 15,949 | 84 | 0.53\% | 21,254 | 89 | 0.42\% |
| Federal funds purchased | 70,940 | 48 | 0.07\% | 105,344 | 107 | 0.10\% |
| Other borrowed funds (3) | 5,012 | 127 | 2.54\% | 15,884 | 403 | 2.54\% |
| Corporate debenture (4) | 13,055 | 449 | 3.43\% | 12,500 | 421 | 3.37\% |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | \$ 1,512,898 | 12,207 | 0.81\% | \$ 1,369,417 | 16,742 | 1.22\% |
| Demand deposits | 393,056 |  |  | 302,867 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 17,219 |  |  | 20,148 |  |  |
| Total stockholders equity | 253,398 |  |  | 243,063 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 2,176,571 |  |  | \$ 1,935,495 |  |  |
| NET INTEREST SPREAD (tax equivalent basis) (5) |  |  | 3.55\% |  |  | 3.12\% |
| NET INTEREST INCOME (tax equivalent basis) |  | \$ 71,253 |  |  | \$ 58,596 |  |

(1) Loan balances are net of deferred origination fees and costs. Non-accrual loans are included in total loan balances.
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(2) Interest income on average loans includes loan fee recognition of $\$ 362$ and $\$ 293$ for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(3) Includes short-term (usually overnight) Federal Home Loan Bank advances, Federal Funds Purchased and correspondent bank deposits (Federal Funds Purchased).
(4) Includes net amortization of origination costs and amortization of purchase accounting adjustment of $\$ 5$ and $\$ 0$ during year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
(5) Represents the average rate earned on interest earning assets minus the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
(6) Represents net interest income divided by total earning assets.
(7) Interest income and rates include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using applicable statutory tax rates to adjust tax exempt investment income on tax exempt investment securities and loans to a fully taxable basis.
(8) Averages balances are average daily balances.
(9) Covered loans are loans purchased from the FDIC pursuant to assisted acquisitions of failed financial institutions, and are covered with respect to certain loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.
Non-accrual loans: A loan is moved to nonaccrual status in accordance with the Company s policy typically after 90 days of non-payment, or less than 90 days of non-payment if management determines that the full timely collection of principal and interest becomes doubtful. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual, is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

## Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Expenses

$\left.\begin{array}{l|ccc} & \text { Net Change Dec 31, 2011 versus 2010 } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Change }\end{array}\right)$
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The table above details the components of the changes in net interest income for the last two years. For each major category of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes due to average volume and changes due to rates, with the changes in both volumes and rates allocated to these two categories based on the proportionate absolute changes in each category.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses (expense) increased $\$ 16,367$ to $\$ 45,991$ during the year ending December 31, 2011 compared to $\$ 29,624$ for the comparable period in 2010. Starting in 2010, the Company took a liquidation approach to its problem assets. Troubled loans with unpaid legal balances and carrying balances of approximately $\$ 18,807$ and $\$ 16,940$, respectively, were sold for $\$ 8,579$ during the third quarter of 2010. The portion of the provision for loan losses that represented the liquidation discount from this sale was approximately $\$ 8,361$. Prior to this sale, the loans had been written down to fair value and the provision for loan losses increased accordingly. During the fourth quarter of 2011, troubled loans with unpaid legal balances and carrying balances of approximately $\$ 34,703$ and $\$ 26,066$, respectively, were sold for $\$ 14,095$. The Company recorded approximately $\$ 11,971$ of additional provision for loan losses representing the liquidation discounts as a result of this loan sale. Our policy is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings, and is decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries on prior loan charge-offs. Therefore, the provision for loan losses (Income Statement effect) is a residual of management s determination of allowance for loan losses (Balance Sheet approach). In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we consider those levels maintained by conditions of individual borrowers, the historical loan loss experience, the general economic environment, the overall portfolio composition, and other information. As these factors change, the level of loan loss provision changes. See credit quality and allowance for loan losses regarding the allowance for loan losses for additional information.

## Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was $\$ 101,972$ compared to $\$ 54,933$ for the comparable period in 2010. This increase was the result of the following components listed in the table below:

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 | \$ increase (decrease) |  | \% increase (decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 6,316 | \$ 6,873 | \$ | (557) | (8.1\%) |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division |  | 24,889 | 32,696 |  | $(7,807)$ | (23.9\%) |
| Correspondent banking division other fees |  | 1,692 | 996 |  | 696 | 69.9\% |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities |  | 1,801 | 1,118 |  | 683 | 61.1\% |
| Debit card and ATM fees |  | 2,852 | 1,890 |  | 962 | 50.9\% |
| Loan related fees |  | 747 | 534 |  | 213 | 39.9\% |
| BOLI income |  | 967 | 774 |  | 193 | 24.9\% |
| Trading securities revenue |  | 485 | 622 |  | (137) | (22.0\%) |
| FDIC indemnification asset-accretion/(amortization) of discount rate |  | (503) | 598 |  | $(1,101)$ | (184.1) |
| FDIC indemnification income |  | 1,132 |  |  | 1,132 | n/a |
| Other service charges and fees |  | 1,110 | 421 |  | 689 | 163.7\% |
| Gain on sale of securities |  | 3,464 | 7,034 |  | $(3,570)$ | (50.8\%) |
| Subtotal | \$ | 44,952 | \$ 53,556 | \$ | $(8,604)$ | (16.1\%) |
| Bargain purchase gain from acquisitions |  | 57,020 | 1,377 |  | 55,643 | 4040.9\% |
| Total non-interest income |  | 101,972 | \$ 54,933 | \$ | 47,039 | 85.6\% |

As shown in the table above, the primary reasons for the increase in non-interest income year to year was the bargain purchase gain from the January 2011 purchase from TD Bank, N.A. and the November 2011
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purchase from Hartford. In both cases, selected performing loans were purchased at a $10 \%$ discount with regard to TD Bank, N.A. transaction and a $23 \%$ discount with regard to the Hartford transaction, which was the primary reason for the bargain purchase gains.

The correspondent banking bond sales division had an outstanding year in 2010 most of which can be attributed to a record 2010 third quarter. We expect significant volatility in the fixed income business, and therefore do not consider their initial three year performance necessarily a trend.

The FDIC indemnification asset ( IA ) discount amortization is producing negative amortization due to adjustments in the FDIC covered loan portfolio. That is, to the extent current adjusted projected losses in the covered loan portfolio are less than originally projected losses, and therefore future loan accretion yields increase, the related projected reimbursements from the FDIC contemplated in the IA is less, which produces a negative income accretion in non-interest income. This event corresponds to the increase in yields in the FDIC covered loan portfolio.

When a FDIC covered OREO property is sold at a loss, the loss is included in non-interest expense as loss on sale of OREO, and eighty percent of the loss is recorded as FDIC indemnification income and included in non-interest income. Eighty percent of any related loan pool impairments also are reflected in this account.

We sell securities available for sale from time to time pursuant to our asset/liability management process. We manage our balance sheet with the objectives of lowering our overall cost of deposits and freeing up additional capital. We do not know if we will sell additional securities available for sale, or to the extent of any potential sales. We do not know what future interest rates will be and therefore uncertain as what potential gains, if any, could be expected.
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## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased $\$ 21,364$, or $22.9 \%$, to $\$ 114,689$, compared to $\$ 93,325$ for 2010 . The table below breaks down the individual components.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrcrc} & & & \begin{array}{c}\$ \text { increase } \\ \text { (decrease) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Increase } \\ \text { (decrease) }\end{array} \\ \text { Employee salaries and wages } & \mathbf{2 0 1 1} & \mathbf{2 0 1 0} \\ \text { Employee incentive/bonus compensation } & 47,150 & \$ 44,985 & \$ & 2,165\end{array}\right)$

Overall, the largest item affecting our non interest expense in 2011 was merger, acquisition and conversion related expenses. Most of this expense relates to the Federal Trust Bank acquisition from Hartford. Included in the amount received from Hartford was a third party data processing termination fee of $\$ 2,909$, severance payments of $\$ 2,052$ and approximately $\$ 630$ relating to attorney fees, accountant fees, valuation firm fees, investment banker fees and other related fees. The data processing termination fee and severance payments were paid by Hartford to CenterState, and as such were in the bargain purchase gain and shown in non interest expense. The remaining $\$ 2,105$ relates to data processing conversion fees on the three failed banks purchased in 2010, but not converted to the Company s core system until the summer of 2011, data processing conversion fees related to the Company s State Bank subsidiary in preparation of an anticipated merger into the Company slead bank during 2012, and data processing conversion fees related to the correspondent banking division.
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Excluding merger, acquisition and conversion related expenses discussed above, total non-interest expense increased $\$ 14,437$ or $15.6 \%$ year to year as shown in the above table. The table below removes credit related expenses and correspondent segment expenses, which is primarily compensation related and varies significantly with levels of bond sales volumes.

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | \$ increase <br> (decrease) |  | \% increase (decrease) 22.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ | 114,689 | \$ | 93,325 | \$ | 21,364 |  |
| Less: merger, acquisition, conversion |  | $(7,696)$ |  | (769) |  | $(6,927)$ | 900.8\% |
| Subtotal |  | 106,993 |  | 92,556 |  | 14,437 | 15.6\% |
| Credit related expenses |  | $(12,696)$ |  | $(6,278)$ |  | $(6,418)$ | 102.2\% |
| Correspondent segment |  | $(23,883)$ |  | $(28,837)$ |  | 4,954 | -17.2\% |
| Non-interest expense excluding credit cost, correspondent segment, merger related expenses | \$ | 70,414 | \$ | 57,441 | \$ | 12,973 | 22.6\% |
| Total average assets |  | 2,176,571 |  | ,935,495 |  |  |  |
| Less: correspondent segment |  | $(177,500)$ |  | $(179,100)$ |  |  |  |
| Average assets, excluding correspondent segment |  | 1,999,071 |  | 1,756,395 |  |  |  |
| Expense ratio (note 1) |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.27\% |  |  |  |

Note 1: Expense ratio means non-interest expense, excluding credit cost, correspondent segment and merger, acquisition and conversion related expenses divided by average assets, excluding correspondent segment related assets.
Excluding merger, acquisition and conversion related expense, and excluding credit cost and our correspondent division, the remaining non-interest expense approximates the operating expense of our core commercial and consumer banking segment. As shown in the table above, this expense increased approximately $\$ 12,973$, or $22.6 \%$ year to year. The reasons for this increase include the following:

During July and August of 2010 we acquired three failed financial institutions which included nine branches in the aggregate. We incurred the related incremental expenses for approximately five months during 2010 versus the entire year for 2011. Each of these three banks operated on three different core processing systems, which we were not able to convert to our core processing system until June, July and August of 2011, adding elevated cost in terms of data processing, personnel and other temporary inefficiencies above the normalized incremental operating expenses.

In January 2011 we acquired four bank branch offices, approximately $\$ 119$ million of loans and assumed approximately $\$ 115$ million of deposits from TD Bank, N.A. The related operating expenses associated with this business acquisition were included in our 2011 non-interest expense, but not in 2010.

On November 1, 2011 we acquired five bank branch offices, approximately $\$ 157$ million of loans and assumed approximately $\$ 198$ million of deposits from Hartford. The related operating expenses associated with this business acquisition were not included in our 2010 non-interest expense.

We hired a team of experienced seasoned bankers and opened a denovo branch office for them in Vero Beach, Florida during the spring of 2010. These additional expenses were outstanding all of 2011 but only for a portion of 2010.

## Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-K

We hired a team of experienced seasoned bankers and opened a denovo branch office for them in Okeechobee, Florida during the summer of 2010. These additional expenses were outstanding all of 2011 but only for a portion of 2010.

In July of 2011, we hired a key banking executive in the Jacksonville, Florida market, Mr. Gil Pomar. Subsequently, he hired a small team operating from a loan production office, with the intent to open a

## Table of Contents

branch office in 2012. In January 2012 we acquired our sixth and largest FDIC assisted acquisition of a failed financial institution with eight branches in Jacksonville. Mr. Pomar will use this acquisition to help develop a market presence in Jacksonville. These additional expenses were not included in our 2010 non-interest expenses.

We hired additional management and senior level staff including an economist, wealth management salesmen, managerial level staffing in loan operations, deposit operations, retail marketing, accounting and finance throughout 2010. These additional expenses were outstanding all of 2011 but only for a portion of 2010.

## Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

We recognized an income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 of $\$ 3,419$ (an effective tax rate of $30.2 \%$ ) compared to an income tax benefit of $\$ 4,240$ (an effective tax rate of $41.7 \%$ ) for the year ended December 31, 2010. The reason our effective tax rate was lower than our statutory tax rate in 2011 is because we had tax exempt income in excess of non-deductible expenses thereby decreasing our taxable income below our book pre-tax income as recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. The reason our effective tax rate is higher than our statutory tax rate in 2010 is because we had substantial tax exempt income in excess of non-deductible expenses thereby increasing our taxable loss substantially above our book loss as recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. The table below demonstrates this difference.

|  |  | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| income before provision for income taxes | Year 2011 | $\$(10,178)$ |
| net tax exempt income | $\$ 11,328$ | $(1,090)$ |
|  |  | $(2,242)$ |
| taxable income (loss) | 9,086 | $(11,268)$ |
| statutory income tax rates | $37.63 \%$ | $37.63 \%$ |
| income tax expense | $\$ 3,419$ | $\$(4,240)$ |
| effective tax rates |  | $30.2 \%$ |

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009.

## Net Loss

Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 5,938$, or $\$ 0.22$ loss per share basic and diluted, compared to net loss of $\$ 6,217$, or $\$ 0.47$ loss per share basic and diluted for the year ended December 31, 2009.

This was our second consecutive year we reported losses, and in both years the primary underlying reason was related to credit costs, which is a reflection of the continued deterioration of the real estate market in Florida. Although our overall credit cost was higher in 2010 versus 2009, our net loss was lower, due to a variety of other factors including higher commissions earned on correspondent banking bond sales, higher gain on sale of securities available for sale, a bargain purchase gain from one of our FDIC assisted transactions, and earnings growth from the acquisitions during the year. These and other factors contributing to our 2010 results are discussed below.

## Net Interest Income/Margin

Net interest income consists of interest income generated by earning assets, less interest expense.
Net interest income increased $\$ 6,184$, or $12 \%$ to $\$ 57,838$ during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 51,654$ for the same period in 2009. The increase was the result of a $\$ 636$ increase in interest income plus a $\$ 5,548$ decrease in interest expense.
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Interest earning assets averaged $\$ 1,734,746$ during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to $\$ 1,628,798$ for the same period in 2009, an increase of $\$ 105,948$, or $6.5 \%$. The yield on average interest earning assets decreased 24 basis points ( bps ) to $4.30 \%$ ( 24 bps to $4.34 \%$ tax equivalent basis) during the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to $4.54 \%$ ( $4.58 \%$ tax equivalent basis) for the same period in 2009. The combined net effects of the $\$ 105,948$ increase in average interest earning assets and the 24 bps decrease in yield on average interest earning assets resulted in the $\$ 636$ ( $\$ 668$ tax equivalent basis) increase in interest income between the two years.

Interest bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 1,369,417$ during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to $\$ 1,346,051$ for the same period in 2009 , an increase of $\$ 23,366$, or $1.7 \%$. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased 44 bps to $1.22 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to $1.66 \%$ for the same period in 2009. The combined net effects of the $\$ 23,366$ increase in average interest bearing liabilities and the 44 bps decrease in cost of average interest bearing liabilities resulted in the $\$ 5,548$ decrease in interest expense between the two years. See the tables Average Balances Yields \& Rates, and Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Expenses below.

## Average Balances (8) Yields \& Rates

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance |  | 2010 <br> Interest <br> Inc / Exp | Average Rate | Average Balance |  | 2009 <br> Interest <br> Inc / Exp | Average Rate |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noncovered loans (1) (2) (7) |  | 940,198 | \$ 51,651 | 5.49\% | \$ | 923,080 | \$ 53,528 | 5.80\% |
| Covered loans (9) |  | 83,399 | 4,159 | 4.99\% |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale taxable |  | 524,336 | 16,833 | 3.21\% |  | 475,160 | 18,436 | 3.88\% |
| Securities available for sale tax exempt (7) |  | 34,852 | 2,069 | 5.94\% |  | 36,634 | 2,098 | 5.73\% |
| Federal funds sold and other |  | 151,961 | 626 | 0.41\% |  | 193,924 | 608 | 0.31\% |
| TOTAL INTEREST EARNING ASSETS |  | 1,734,746 | \$ 75,338 | 4.34\% |  | 1,628,798 | \$ 74,670 | 4.58\% |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(25,578)$ |  |  |  | $(15,958)$ |  |  |
| All other assets |  | 226,327 |  |  |  | 158,194 |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 1,935,495 |  |  |  | 1,771,034 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES \& STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now |  | 217,083 | \$ 868 | 0.40\% | \$ | 167,269 | \$ 849 | 0.51\% |
| Money market |  | 190,778 | 1,486 | 0.78\% |  | 152,005 | 1,960 | 1.29\% |
| Savings |  | 177,632 | 1,165 | 0.66\% |  | 119,768 | 1,197 | 1.00\% |
| Time deposits |  | 628,942 | 12,203 | 1.94\% |  | 608,394 | 16,515 | 2.71\% |
| Repurchase agreements |  | 21,254 | 89 | 0.42\% |  | 24,276 | 100 | 0.41\% |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 105,344 | 107 | 0.10\% |  | 228,815 | 553 | 0.24\% |
| Other borrowed funds (3) |  | 15,884 | 403 | 2.54\% |  | 33,024 | 643 | 1.95\% |
| Corporate debenture (4) |  | 12,500 | 421 | 3.37\% |  | 12,500 | 473 | 3.78\% |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES |  | 1,369,417 | 16,742 | 1.22\% |  | 1,346,051 | \$ 22,290 | 1.66\% |
| Demand deposits |  | 302,867 |  |  |  | 206,733 |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 20,148 |  |  |  | 11,336 |  |  |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 243,063 |  |  |  | 206,914 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
\$ 1,935,495
\$ 1,771,034
NET INTEREST INCOME (tax equivalent basis)
(1) Loan balances are net of deferred origination fees and costs. Non-accrual loans are included in total loan balances.
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(2) Interest income on average loans includes loan fee recognition of $\$ 293$ and $\$ 432$ for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(3) Includes short-term (usually overnight) Federal Home Loan Bank advances, Federal Funds Purchased and correspondent bank deposits (Federal Funds Purchased).
(4) Includes net amortization of origination costs and amortization of purchase accounting adjustment of \$0 and (\$19) during year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
(5) Represents the average rate earned on interest earning assets minus the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
(6) Represents net interest income divided by total earning assets.
(7) Interest income and rates include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using applicable statutory tax rates to adjust tax exempt investment income on tax exempt investment securities and loans to a fully taxable basis.
(8) Averages balances are average daily balances.
(9) Covered loans are loans purchased from the FDIC pursuant to assisted acquisitions of failed financial institutions, and are covered with respect to certain loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.
Non-accrual loans: A loan is moved to nonaccrual status in accordance with the Company s policy typically after 90 days of non-payment, or less than 90 days of non-payment if management determines that the full timely collection of principal and interest becomes doubtful. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual, is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

## Analysis of Changes in Interest Income and Expenses

\left.|  | Net Change Dec 31, 2010 versus 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net |  |  |
| Change |  |  |$\right)$
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The table above details the components of the changes in net interest income for the last two years. For each major category of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes due to average volume and changes due to rates, with the changes in both volumes and rates allocated to these two categories based on the proportionate absolute changes in each category.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses (expense) increased $\$ 5,728$ to $\$ 29,624$ during the year ending December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 23,896$ for the comparable period in 2009. Our policy is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings, and is decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries on prior loan charge-offs. Therefore, the provision for loan losses (Income Statement effect) is a residual of management s determination of allowance for loan losses (Balance Sheet approach). In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we consider those levels maintained by conditions of individual borrowers, the historical loan loss experience, the general economic environment, the overall portfolio composition, and other information. As these factors change, the level of loan loss provision changes. See credit quality and allowance for loan losses regarding the allowance for loan losses for additional information.

## Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 55,566$ compared to $\$ 30,052$ for the comparable period in 2009. This increase was the result of the following components listed in the table below.

|  | 2010 | 2009 | \$ increase (decrease) | \% increase (decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 6,873 | \$ 5,450 | \$ 1,423 | 26.1\% |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division | 32,696 | 17,916 | 14,780 | 82.5\% |
| Correspondent banking division other fees | 996 | 403 | 593 | 147.1\% |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities | 1,118 | 532 | 586 | 110.2\% |
| Debit card and ATM fees | 1,890 | 1,341 | 549 | 40.9\% |
| Loan related fees | 534 | 452 | 82 | 18.1\% |
| BOLI income | 774 | 550 | 224 | 40.7\% |
| Trading securities revenue | 622 | 427 | 195 | 45.6\% |
| FDIC indemnification asset- accretion of discount rate | 598 |  | 598 | n/a |
| Other service charges and fees | 421 | 465 | (44) | (9.5\%) |
| Gain on sale of securities | 7,034 | 2,516 | 4,518 | 179.6\% |
| Subtotal | \$ 53,556 | \$ 30,052 | \$ 23,504 | 78.2\% |
| Bargain purchase gain, acquisition of failed institution | 1,377 |  | 1,377 | n/a |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 54,933 | \$ 30,052 | \$ 24,881 | 82.8\% |

As shown in the table above, the primary reasons for the increase in non-interest income year to year was the significant revenue growth in the correspondent banking division, the increased gain on sale of securities available for sale, the bargain purchase gain from one of the FDIC assisted acquisitions, and the overall growth in fee revenue due to the business growth from the three FDIC assisted acquisitions.

The correspondent banking bond sales division had an outstanding year most of which can be attributed to a record third quarter. We expected significant volatility in the fixed income business, and therefore do not consider their initial three year performance necessarily a trend.

We sold a significant amount of securities available for sale during the current year pursuant to our asset/liability management process.
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## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased $\$ 24,611$, or $35.8 \%$, to $\$ 93,325$, compared to $\$ 68,714$ for 2009. The table below breaks down the individual components.

|  | 2010 | 2009 | \$ increase (decrease) | \% increase (decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee salaries and wages | \$ 44,985 | \$ 29,955 | \$ 15,030 | 50.2\% |
| Employee incentive/bonus compensation | 3,620 | 2,223 | 1,397 | 62.8\% |
| Employee stock based compensation | 699 | 419 | 280 | 66.8\% |
| Employer 401K matching contributions | 814 | 538 | 276 | 51.3\% |
| Deferred compensation expense | 342 | 233 | 109 | 46.8\% |
| Health insurance and other employee benefits | 2,208 | 1,869 | 339 | 18.1\% |
| Payroll taxes | 2,373 | 1,714 | 659 | 38.4\% |
| Other employee related expenses | 594 | 439 | 155 | 35.3\% |
| Incremental direct cost of loan origination | (602) | (720) | 118 | (16.4\%) |
| Total salaries, wages and employee benefits | \$ 55,033 | \$ 36,670 | \$ 18,363 | 50.1\% |
| Occupancy expense | 6,652 | 5,375 | 1,277 | 23.8\% |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 3,350 | 2,882 | 468 | 16.2\% |
| Supplies, stationary and printing | 1,091 | 848 | 243 | 28.7\% |
| Marketing expenses | 2,498 | 1,910 | 588 | 30.8\% |
| Data processing expense | 2,789 | 2,417 | 372 | 15.4\% |
| Legal, auditing and other professional fees | 2,995 | 2,354 | 641 | 27.2\% |
| FDIC acquisition expenses | 769 |  | 769 | n/a |
| Bank regulatory related expenses | 2,989 | 3,114 | (125) | (4.0\%) |
| Postage and delivery | 735 | 425 | 310 | 72.9\% |
| ATM and debit card related expenses | 1,298 | 1,060 | 238 | 22.5\% |
| CDI amortization | 519 | 792 | (273) | (34.5\%) |
| CDI impairment |  | 1,200 | $(1,200)$ | n/a |
| Impairment of excess bank owned land held for sale | 74 | 939 | (865) | (92.1\%) |
| Loss on sale of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) | 756 | 772 | (16) | (2.1\%) |
| Valuation write down of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) | 2,951 | 2,188 | 763 | 34.9\% |
| Loss on repossessed assets other than real estate | 458 | 544 | (86) | (15.8\%) |
| Foreclosure related expenses | 2,113 | 1,049 | 1,064 | 101.4\% |
| Internet and telephone banking | 679 | 499 | 180 | 36.1\% |
| Operational write-offs and losses | 920 | 225 | 695 | 308.9\% |
| Correspondent accounts and Federal Reserve charges | 348 | 319 | 29 | 9.1\% |
| Conferences/Seminars/Education/Training | 807 | 392 | 415 | 105.9\% |
| Director fees | 368 | 332 | 36 | 10.8\% |
| Travel expenses | 561 | 463 | 98 | 21.2\% |
| Other expenses | 2,572 | 1,945 | 627 | 32.2\% |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 93,325 | \$ 68,714 | \$ 24,611 | 35.8\% |

Overall, our non-interest expenses increased significantly due primarily to the following:
significant increase in variable compensation at our correspondent banking division related to increase sales volume;
hired a team of experienced seasoned bankers and opened a denovo branch for them in Vero Beach, Florida;
hired another team of experienced seasoned bankers and opened a denovo branch for them in Okeechobee, Florida;
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acquired three failed financial institutions pursuant to FDIC assisted transactions; the three FDIC failed banks have not yet been converted and merged into our lead bank causing our lead bank to operate on four different core processing systems adding elevated cost in terms of data processing, personnel and other temporary inefficiencies;
converted three of our subsidiary banks to a new enhanced data processing system;
merged three of our banks in December 2010;
temporarily carried a conversion team of employee bankers with different specialties whose sole purpose is to focus on conversions, mergers and due diligence projects;
created and significantly upgraded our Special Asset Disposition (SAD) department to work through our troubled assets in our loan portfolio and OREO; and,
hired additional management and senior level staff including an economist, wealth management salesmen, managerial level staffing in loan operations, deposit operations, accounting and finance.
Our largest non-interest expense is employee and employee related expenses. Total salaries, wages and employee benefits for 2010 accounted for $59 \%$ of our total non-interest expense, and $53 \%$ for 2009 . Referring to the table above, employee salaries and wages increased by $\$ 15,030$, or $50.2 \%$ to $\$ 44,985$ for 2010 , compared to $\$ 29,955$ for 2009. The increase was primarily due to the increase in variable compensation at our correspondent banking division. The majority of employee salaries and wage expense from the correspondent banking division is related to commissions on bond sales, which is all variable based. The expense for 2010 was approximately $\$ 22,184$ compared to $\$ 12,022$ for 2009 , an increase of $\$ 10,162$ or $85 \%$. The increase was primarily, but not completely, related to the increase in sales. Gross commissions from sales of fixed income securities was approximately $\$ 32,696$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 17,916$ in 2009 , an increase of $\$ 14,780$, or $83 \%$.

Excluding the correspondent banking division, discussed above, total salaries and wage expense was approximately $\$ 22,801$ for 2010 compared to $\$ 17,933$ for 2009 , an increase of $27 \%$. This increase was due to the increase in full time equivalent employees ( FTEs ), excluding the correspondent banking division. At the end of 2010 we had approximately 602 FTEs, however, since most of these employees were added mid-year, the average FTEs for 2010 is approximately 550. In addition, our average cost per FTE, excluding the correspondent division, increased from $\$ 44$ in 2009 to $\$ 47$ in 2010 because many of the additional hires discussed above are managerial levels, and has added some upward pressure to our averages. The table below summarizes the above discussion. In addition, year 2008 is also included in the table below for reference only.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Year 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee salary and wages | \$ 44,985 | \$ 29,955 | \$ 17,172 |
| Remove correspondent banking division salary and wages | $(22,184)$ | $(12,022)$ | (674) |
| Employee salary and wages, excluding correspondent banking division | \$ 22,801 | \$ 17,933 | \$ 16,498 |
| Total average FTEs | 550.0 | 447.7 | 388.2 |
| Remove correspondent banking division average FTEs | (61.0) | (38.1) | (2.8) |
| Average FTEs excluding correspondent banking division | 489.0 | 409.6 | 385.4 |
| Cost per average FTE, excluding correspondent banking division | \$ 47 | \$ 44 | \$ 43 |

We use a combination of performance incentive/bonus guidelines to motivate our employees and influence behavior. These are primarily tied to earnings performance and growth metrics at each business unit. As our net
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income increases and our assets grow, our employee incentive/bonus compensation also increases. In addition, we also have incentive compensation programs tied to growth of core deposits for certain employee classes responsible for these types of deposit customer relationships. Although we reported a net loss for 2010 on a consolidated basis, two of our banks were profitable. In addition, our performance guidelines reward balance sheet growth as well, up to certain levels. As a result, several business units qualified for an incentive award. Approximately $91 \%$ of the $\$ 3,620$ employee incentive/bonus compensation recognized during 2010 relates to our largest subsidiary bank, CSB, excluding the employees from the other two national chartered banks that were merged into it during December 2010. The remaining 9\% was dispersed among most of the remaining business units and several of the senior corporate officers.

During 2010 our occupancy expense increased by $\$ 1,277$, or $23.8 \%$ and our depreciation expense increased by $\$ 468$, or $16.2 \%$ compared to 2009. This increase was due to the nine additional offices we acquired and the two denovo branches discussed above.

Marketing expenses increased by $\$ 588$, or $30.8 \%$ year to year. Most of this increase relates to the continued checking account marketing campaign as well as an effort to develop internet related marking programs. In addition, we grew in geographical markets as our branch network continues to grow.

Data processing expenses increased by $\$ 372$, or $15.4 \%$ during 2010 compared to 2009 . This increase was primarily due to conversion and merger related activities and adding additional offices.

The increase in the line item legal, accounting and other professional expenses was primarily due to consulting fees paid for services relating to managing foreclosure and repossessed properties, outsourcing fees related to certain internal audit functions, and increases in legal fees associated with various new and potentially new business lines and various regulatory issues.

Loss from the sale of repossessed real estate, loss from the write down in valuation of repossessed real estate and losses from other repossessed assets as well as foreclosure related expenses as a group was $\$ 6,278$ during 2010 compared to $\$ 4,553$ during 2009, resulting in an increase of $\$ 1,725$, or $37.9 \%$. The increase was reflective of the continuing deterioration in the real estate market in Florida and the economy in general.

## Income Tax Benefit

We recognized an income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2010 of $\$ 4,240$ (an effective tax rate of $41.7 \%$ ) compared to an income tax benefit of $\$ 4,687$ (an effective tax rate of $43.0 \%$ ) for the year ended December 31, 2009. The reason our effective tax rates are higher than our statutory tax rate is because we had substantial tax exempt income in excess of non-deductible expenses thereby increasing our taxable loss substantially above our book loss as recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. The table below demonstrates this difference.

|  | Year 2010 | Year 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| loss before provision for income taxes | $\$(10,178)$ | $\$(10,904)$ |
| net tax exempt income | $(1,090)$ | $(1,551)$ |
|  |  |  |
| taxable loss | $(11,268)$ | $(12,455)$ |
| statutory income tax rates | $37.63 \%$ | $37.63 \%$ |
| income tax benefit | $\$(4,240)$ | $\$(4,687)$ |
| effective tax rates | $41.7 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ |
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## COMPARISON OF BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 31, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

## Overview

Our total assets grew by $\$ 221,535$, or $10.7 \%$, from $\$ 2,062,924$ at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 2,284,459$ at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to our January 2011 acquisition of four branches, approximately $\$ 119,000$ of loans and approximately $\$ 115,000$ of deposits from TD Bank, N.A. and our November 2011 acquisition of five branches, approximately $\$ 157,000$ of loans and approximately $\$ 198,000$ of deposits from Hartford.

## Investment securities available for sale

We account for our securities at fair value and classify them as available for sale, except for trading securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses are included as a separate component of shareholders equity, net of the effect of deferred income taxes.

If our management intends to sell or it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of our amortized cost basis, less any current period credit loss, the other than temporary impairment ( OTTI ) will be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment $s$ amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If our management does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current period loss, the OTTI will be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment. The assessment of whether an OTTI decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

Our available for sale portfolio totaled $\$ 591,164$ at December 31, 2011 and $\$ 500,927$ at December 31, 2010, or $26 \%$ and $24 \%$, respectively, of total assets. See the tables below for a summary of security type, maturity and average yield distributions.

We use our security portfolio primarily as a tool to manage our balance sheet, manage our regulatory capital ratios, as a source of liquidity and a base from which to pledge assets for repurchase agreements and public deposits. When our liquidity position exceeds expected loan demand, other investments are considered as a secondary earnings alternative. Typically, we remain short-term in our decision to invest in certain securities. As these investments mature, they will be used to meet cash needs or will be reinvested to maintain a desired liquidity position. We have designated all of our securities as available for sale, except our trading portfolio, to provide flexibility, in case an immediate need for liquidity arises. We believe the composition of the portfolio offers flexibility in managing our liquidity position and interest rate sensitivity, without adversely impacting our regulatory capital levels. The available for sale portfolio is carried at fair market value and had a net unrealized gain of approximately $\$ 9,160$ at December 31, 2011, compared to a net unrealized gain of approximately $\$ 4,675$ at December 31, 2010.

We invest primarily in direct obligations of the United States, obligations guaranteed as to the principal and interest by the United States, mortgage backed securities, municipal securities and obligations of government sponsored entities and agencies of the United States. The Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank also require equity investments to be maintained by us, which are shown separately in our consolidated balance sheet.
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The tables below summarize the maturity distribution of securities, weighted average yield by range of maturities, and distribution of securities for the periods provided. Yields are not presented on a tax equivalent basis in the table below.

|  | One year or less |  | Over one through five years |  | Over five through ten years |  | Over ten years |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \% | \$ | \% |  |  |  | \$ | \% | \$ | \% |
| AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ |  | \$ 10,006 | 1.29\% | \$ 20,474 | 3.05\% | \$ | 48,397 | 2.76\% | \$ 78,877 | 2.65\% |
| State, county, and municipal |  | \% | 1,945 | 3.49\% | 9,607 | 3.79\% |  | 29,741 | 3.81\% | 41,293 | 3.79\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 800 | 4.90\% | 5,646 | 5.19\% | 43,272 | 4.02\% |  | 21,276 | 4.40\% | 470,994 | 4.38\% |
| Total | \$800 | 4.90\% | \$ 17,597 | 2.73\% | \$73,353 | 3.72\% |  | 99,414 | 4.21\% | \$ 591,164 | 4.10\% |


|  | December 31, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  | December 31, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ 78,455 | \$ 78,877 | \$ 113,183 | \$ 113,416 | \$ 17,826 | \$ 17,910 |
| State, county, and municipal | 39,312 | 41,293 | 34,079 | 33,253 | 35,376 | 35,496 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 464,237 | 470,994 | 348,990 | 354,258 | 398,482 | 409,780 |
| Total | \$ 582,004 | \$ 591,164 | \$ 496,252 | \$ 500,927 | \$ 451,684 | \$ 463,186 |

Beginning in 2009, we initiated a trading securities portfolio at our lead subsidiary bank. For this portfolio, realized and unrealized gains and losses are included in trading securities revenue, a component of non interest income in our Consolidated Statement of Operations. Securities purchased for this portfolio have primarily been municipal securities and are held for short periods of time. This activity was initiated to take advantage of market opportunities, when presented, for short term revenue gains. The table below summarizes our trading activity during the years presented.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 5}$ | $\$$ |
| Purchases | 249,430 | 304,750 |
| Proceeds from sales | $(252,140)$ | $(303,147)$ |
| Net realized gain on sales | 485 | 597 |
| Mark-to-market adjustment |  | 25 |
| Ending balance | $\$$ | $\$$ |

## Loans

Lending-related income is the most important component of our net interest income and is a major contributor to profitability. The loan portfolio is the largest component of earning assets, and it therefore generates the largest portion of revenues. The absolute volume of loans and the volume of loans as a percentage of earning assets is an important determinant of net interest margin as loans are expected to produce higher yields than securities and other
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earning assets. Average loans during the year ended December 31, 2011, were $\$ 1,216,086$, or $64 \%$ of average earning assets, as compared to $\$ 1,023,597$, or $59 \%$ of average earning assets, for the year ending December 31, 2010. Total loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 1,283,766$ and $\$ 1,128,955$, respectively, an increase of $\$ 154,811$, or $13.7 \%$. Substantially all of this net increase is a result of our two acquisitions during 2011. This also represents a loan to total asset ratio of $56 \%$ and $55 \%$ and a loan to deposit ratio of $67 \%$ and $67 \%$, at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Approximately $12.8 \%$ of our total loans, or $\$ 164,051$, is covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements related to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse us for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to the covered loans beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred, subject to the terms of the agreements. We will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to the covered loans. The loss sharing agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provide for FDIC loss sharing and our reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to commercial loans provides for FDIC loss sharing for five years and our reimbursement to the FDIC for a total of eight years for recoveries.

Approximately $7.1 \%$ of our loans, or $\$ 90,457$, are subject to a two year put back option, commencing January 20, 2011, with TD Bank, N.A., so that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to TD Bank, N.A.

Approximately $12.1 \%$ of our loans, or $\$ 155,823$, are subject to a one year put back option, commencing November 1, 2011, with Hartford, so that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to Hartford.

Approximately $68 \%$ of the Company s loans, or $\$ 873,435$, are not covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements or subject to a put back option with TD Bank, N.A. or Hartford.

Of the $87.2 \%$ of our loans, or $\$ 1,119,715$ not covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements, approximately $84 \%$ are collateralized by real estate, $11 \%$ are commercial non real estate loans and the remaining $5 \%$ are consumer and other non real estate loans. The loans collateralized by real estate are further delineated as follows.

Residential real estate loans: These are single family home loans originated within our local market areas by employee loan officers or purchased from TD Bank, N.A. and Hartford with put back options, as described above. We do not use loan brokers to originate loans for our own portfolio, nor do we acquire loans outside of our geographical markets. The size of this portfolio is $\$ 405,923$ representing approximately $36 \%$ of our total loans, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Within this category there are approximately $\$ 14,810$ non performing (non-accrual) loans (99 loans) as of December 31, 2011.

Commercial real estate loans: This is the largest category $(\$ 447,459)$ of our loan portfolio representing approximately $40 \%$ of our total loans, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share agreements. This category, along with commercial non real estate lending, is our primary business. There is no significant concentration by type of property in this category but there is a geographical concentration such that the properties are all located in central Florida. The borrowers are a mix of professionals, doctors, lawyers, and other small business people. Approximately $58 \%$ of these loans are owner occupied. Within this category there are approximately $\$ 11,637$ non performing (non-accrual) loans ( 31 loans) as of December 31, 2011.

Land, development and construction loans: We have no construction or development loans with national builders. We do business with local builders and developers that have typically been long time customers. This category represents approximately $8 \%(\$ 89,517)$ of our total loan portfolio. The majority of this amount is land development, lots, and other land loans. Approximately $\$ 10,482$ of loans in this category are non performing (non-accrual) loans ( 35 loans) as of December 31, 2011, of which substantially all are collateralized by residential building lots, commercial building lots, undeveloped land and vacant land both residential and commercial.
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Loan concentrations are considered to exist where there are amounts loaned to multiple borrowers engaged in similar activities, which collectively could be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions and when the total of such amounts would exceed $25 \%$ of total capital. Due to the lack of diversified industry and the relative proximity of markets served, we have concentrations in geographic regions as well as in types of loans funded.

The tables below provide a summary of the loan portfolio composition and maturities for the periods provided below.

## Loan Portfolio Composition

## Types of Loans

| at December 31: |  | 2011 |  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ | 405,923 | \$ | 255,571 | \$ 251,634 | \$ 223,290 | \$ 209,186 |
| Commercial |  | 447,459 |  | 410,162 | 438,540 | 434,488 | 385,669 |
| Construction, development, land |  | 89,517 |  | 109,380 | 115,937 | 92,475 | 108,615 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 942,899 |  | 775,113 | 806,111 | 750,253 | 703,470 |
| Commercial |  | 126,064 |  | 100,906 | 98,273 | 80,523 | 78,231 |
| Consumer and other loans |  | 51,391 |  | 55,379 | 55,376 | 61,939 | 60,687 |
| Total loans gross |  | 1,120,354 |  | 931,398 | 959,760 | 892,715 | 842,388 |
| Less: unearned fees/costs |  | (639) |  | (728) | (739) | (714) | (983) |
| Total loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 1,119,715 |  | 930,670 | 959,021 | 892,001 | 841,405 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 99,270 |  | 110,586 |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 54,184 |  | 68,286 |  |  |  |
| Construction, development, land |  | 8,231 |  | 13,653 |  |  |  |
| Total real estate loans |  | 161,685 |  | 192,525 |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 2,366 |  | 5,760 |  |  |  |
| Total loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 164,051 |  | 198,285 |  |  |  |
| Total loans |  | 1,283,766 |  | ,128,955 | \$ 959,021 | \$ 892,001 | \$ 841,405 |

The repayment of loans is a source of additional liquidity for us. The following table sets forth the loans maturing within specific intervals at December 31, 2011, excluding unearned net fees and costs.

## Loan Maturity Schedule

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 12 \\ \text { Months } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 5 \\ \text { Years } \end{array}$ | Over 5 Years | Total |
| All loans other than construction, development, land | \$ 172,477 | \$ 434,038 | \$ 580,142 | \$ 1,186,657 |
| Real estate construction, development, land | 35,580 | 42,082 | 20,086 | 97,748 |


| Total | $\$ 208,057$ | $\$ 476,120$ | $\$ 600,228$ | $\$ 1,284,405$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fixed interest rate | $\$ 101,531$ | $\$ 350,837$ | $\$ 172,104$ | $\$$ |
| Variable interest rate | 106,526 | 125,283 | 428,124 | 659,933 |
| Total | $\$ 208,057$ | $\$ 476,120$ | $\$ 600,228$ | $\$ 1,284,405$ |
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The information presented in the above table is based upon the contractual maturities of the individual loans, including loans which may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon their maturity. Consequently, management believes this treatment presents fairly the maturity structure of the loan portfolio. See Liquidity and Market Risk Management for a discussion regarding the repricing structure of the loan portfolio.

## Credit Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we believe is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in our loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings and decreased by loan charge-offs net of recoveries of prior period loan charge-offs. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes collection of the principal is unlikely.

The allowance consists of three components. The first component consists of amounts reserved for impaired loans, as defined by ASC 310 . Impaired loans are those loans that management has estimated will not repay as agreed pursuant to the loan contract. Each of these loans is required to have a written analysis supporting the amount of specific reserve allocated to the particular loan, if any. That is to say, a loan may be impaired (i.e. not expected to repay as agreed), but may be sufficiently collateralized such that we expect to recover all principle and interest eventually, and therefore no specific reserve is warranted.

The second component is a general reserve on all of our loans other than those identified as impaired and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced over the most recent two years. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. The following portfolio segments have been identified:

Residential real estate loans

First mortgage residential real estate

Second mortgage residential real estate
Home equity lines of credit
Commercial real estate loans

Apartment loans
Commercial real estate other than multifamily apartment loans

Construction, development, land loans
Commercial loans not collateralized by real estate

Consumer and other loans not collateralized by real estate
The historical loss factors for each portfolio segment is adjusted for current internal and external environmental factors, as well as for certain loan grading factors. The environmental factors that we consider are listed below.

We consider changes in the levels of and trends in past due loans, non-accrual loans and impaired loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans. Also, we consider changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans.

We consider levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries.

We consider changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and in the terms of loans.

We consider changes in lending policies, procedures and practices, including changes in underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices not considered elsewhere in estimating credit losses. We also consider changes in the quality of our loan review system.
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We consider changes in the experience, ability, and depth of our lending management and other relevant staff.

We consider changes in international, national, regional, and local economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectibility of the portfolio, including the condition of various market segments (national and local economic trends and conditions).

We consider the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in our existing portfolio (industry conditions).

We consider the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations.

The third component consists of amounts reserved for purchased credit-impaired loans. On a quarterly basis, the Company updates the amount of loan principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected, incorporating assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected loan principal cash flows trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related foregone interest cash flows discounted at the pool s effective interest rate. Impairments that occur after the acquisition date are recognized through the provision for loan losses. Probable and significant increases in expected principal cash flows would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan losses; any remaining increases are recognized prospectively as interest income. The impacts of (i) prepayments, (ii) changes in variable interest rates, and (iii) any other changes in the timing of expected cash flows are recognized prospectively as adjustments to interest income. Disposals of loans, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payments in full by the borrower, or foreclosure, result in removal of the loan from the purchased credit impaired portfolio. The aggregate of these three components results in our total allowance for loan losses.

In the table below we have shown the components, as discussed above, of our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

|  | Dec 31, 2011 |  |  |  | Dec 31, 2010 |  |  |  | increase (decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { loan } \\ \text { balance } \end{gathered}$ | ALLL <br> balance | \% |  | loan <br> balance | ALLL <br> balance | \% | loan <br> balance | ALLL <br> balance |  |
| Impaired loans | \$ | 53,668 | \$ 3,304 | 6.16\% | \$ | \$ 86,977 | \$ 4,584 | 5.27\% | $(\$ 33,309)$ | $(\$ 1,280)$ | 89bps |
| Non impaired loans |  | 819,767 | 24,281 | 2.96\% |  | 843,693 | 21,683 | 2.57\% | $(23,926)$ | 2,598 | 39bps |
| TD loans (note 1) |  | 90,457 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90,457 |  |  |
| FTB loans (note 2) |  | 155,823 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155,823 |  |  |
| Loans (note 3) |  | 1,119,715 | 27,585 | 2.46\% |  | 930,670 | 26,267 | 2.82\% | 189,045 | 1,318 | -36bps |
| Covered loans (note 4) |  | 164,051 | 359 |  |  | 198,285 |  |  | $(34,234)$ | 359 |  |
| Total loans |  | 1,283,766 | \$ 27,944 | 2.18\% |  | \$1,128,955 | \$ 26,267 | 2.33\% | \$ 154,811 | \$ 1,677 | -8bps |

Note 1: $\quad$ Performing loans purchased from TD Bank subject to a two year put back option commencing on January 20, 2011, such that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loans to TD Bank.
Note 2: Performing loans purchased from Hartford s wholly owned bank, FTB, subject to a one year put back option commencing on November 1, 2011, such that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loans to Hartford.
Note 3: Total loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
Note 4: Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Eighty percent of any losses in this portfolio will be reimbursed by the FDIC and recognized as FDIC Indemnification income and included in non-interest income within the Company s condensed consolidated statement of operations.
The general loan loss allowance (non impaired loans) increased by $\$ 2,598$, or 39 bps to $2.96 \%$ of non-impaired loan balance outstanding as of the end of the current period as compared to $2.57 \%$ at the end of the previous period.
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This is a result of changes in historical charge off rates, changes in current environmental factors and changes in the loan portfolio mix. Currently, there is no general loan loss allowance associated with the performing loans purchased from TD Bank and for the FTB performing loans purchased from Hartford for the reasons described in notes 1 and 2 above.

The specific loan loss allowance (impaired loans) is the aggregate of the results of individual analyses prepared for each one of the impaired loans not covered by an FDIC loss sharing agreement on a loan by loan basis. We recorded partial charge offs in lieu of specific allowance for a number of the impaired loans. The Company s impaired loans have been written down by $\$ 10,039$ to $\$ 53,668$ ( $\$ 50,364$ when the $\$ 3,304$ specific allowance is considered) from their legal unpaid principal balance outstanding of $\$ 63,761$. As such, in the aggregate, our total impaired loans have been written down to approximately $80 \%$ of their legal unpaid principal balance.

Any losses in loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as described in note 3 above, are reimbursable from the FDIC to the extent of $80 \%$ of any losses. These loans are being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. On a quarterly basis, the Company updates the amount of loan principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected, incorporating assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected loan principal cash flows trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related foregone interest cash flows discounted at the pool s effective interest rate. Impairments that occur after the acquisition date are recognized through the provision for loan losses.

We believe our allowance for loan losses was adequate at December 31, 2011. However, we recognize that many factors can adversely impact various segments of the Company s market and customers, and therefore there is no assurance as to the amount of losses or probable losses which may develop in the future. The table below summarizes the changes in allowance for loan losses during the previous five years.
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The table below sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented.

## Activity in Allowance for Loan Losses

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 26,267 | \$ | 23,289 | \$ | 13,335 | \$ | 10,828 | \$ | 7,355 |
| Loans charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | $(1,971)$ |  | (774) |  | (830) |  | (856) |  | (206) |
| Real estate mortgage |  | $(28,495)$ |  | $(17,431)$ |  | $(12,900)$ |  | $(2,697)$ |  | (386) |
| Consumer |  | $(1,091)$ |  | (523) |  | (353) |  | (636) |  | (405) |
| Total loans charged-off |  | $(31,557)$ |  | $(18,728)$ |  | $(14,083)$ |  | $(4,189)$ |  | (997) |
| Loans charged-off loan sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | (220) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | $(14,628)$ |  | $(8,361)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loans charged-off loan sales |  | $(14,848)$ |  | $(8,361)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 82 |  | 11 |  | 29 |  | 14 |  | 1 |
| Real estate mortgage |  | 1,751 |  | 387 |  | 65 |  | 95 |  | 16 |
| Consumer |  | 258 |  | 45 |  | 47 |  | 67 |  | 44 |
| Total loan recoveries |  | 2,091 |  | 443 |  | 141 |  | 176 |  | 61 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(44,314)$ |  | $(26,646)$ |  | $(13,942)$ |  | $(4,013)$ |  | (936) |
| Provision for loan losses charged to expense |  | 45,632 |  | 29,624 |  | 23,896 |  | 6,520 |  | 2,792 |
| Acquisition of VSB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,617 |
| Allowance at end of period for loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | \$ | 27,585 | \$ | 26,267 | \$ | 23,289 | \$ | 13,335 | \$ | 10,828 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Loans charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | (293) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loans charged-off |  | (293) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged-off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | 293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loan recoveries |  | 293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses charged to expense |  | 359 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance at end of period for loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | \$ | 359 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Total allowance at end of period | \$ | 27,944 | \$ | 26,267 |  | 23,289 | \$ | 13,335 | \$ | 10,828 |

Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Loans at year end (note 1) | \$ 1,119,715 | \$ 931,749 | \$ 959,021 | \$ 892,001 | \$ 841,405 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average loans outstanding (note 1) | \$ 1,035,496 | \$ 940,198 | \$ 923,080 | \$ 856,260 | \$ 791,886 |
| Net charge-offs (note 1) | \$ 44,314 | \$ 26,646 | \$ 13,942 | \$ 4,013 | \$ 936 |
| Allowance for loan losses as percentage of year end loans (note 1) | 2.46\% | 2.82\% | 2.43\% | 1.49\% | 1.29\% |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans outstanding (note 1) | 4.28\% | 2.83\% | 1.51\% | 0.47\% | 0.12\% |

Note 1: Excludes loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
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Non-performing loans consist of non-accrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans plus (a) OREO (i.e. real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure); (b) other repossessed assets that are not real estate; and (c) are not covered by FDIC loss share agreements. We place loans on non-accrual status when they are past due 90 days and management believes the borrower s financial condition, after giving consideration to economic conditions and collection efforts, is such that collection of interest is doubtful. When we place a loan on non-accrual status, interest accruals cease and uncollected interest is reversed and charged against current income. Subsequent collections reduce the principal balance of the loan until the loan is returned to accrual status or interest is recognized only to extent received in cash.

The largest component of non-performing loans is non-accrual loans, which as of December 31, 2011 totaled $\$ 38,858$ (221 loans), excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. This amount is further delineated by loan category as follows:


The other component of non-performing loans are loans past due greater than 90 days and still accruing interest. Loans which are past due greater than 90 days are placed on non-accrual status, unless they are both well secured and in the process of collection.

At December 31, 2011, total OREO was $\$ 18,181$. Of this amount, $\$ 9,469$ was acquired pursuant to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010. The acquired OREO is covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements. Pursuant to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Company for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to the covered OREO beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred, subject to the terms of the agreements. The Company will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to the covered OREO. The loss sharing agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provide for FDIC loss sharing and our reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to commercial loans provides for FDIC loss sharing for five years and our reimbursement to the FDIC for a total of eight years for recoveries.

OREO not covered by FDIC loss share agreements was $\$ 8,712$ at December 31, 2011, and is included in our non-performing assets ( NPA ). OREO is carried at the lower of cost or market less the estimated cost to sell. Further declines in real estate values can affect the market value of these assets. Any further decline in market value beyond its cost basis is recorded as a current expense in our Statement of Operations. The current carrying value represents approximately $42 \%$ of the unpaid legal balance of the related loan when the asset was repossessed. OREO is further delineated in the following table.
(unaudited)

| Description of repossessed real estate (OREO) | carrying amount <br> at Dec 31, 2011 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 22 single family homes | $\$, 704$ |
| 1 mobile home with land | 126 |
| 43 residential building lots | 1,664 |
| 15 commercial buildings | 3,167 |
| Land/various acreages | 2,051 |
|  | $\$$ |
| Total, excluding OREO covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 8,712 |
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At December 31, 2011 we had repossessed assets other than real estate with an aggregate estimated fair value of approximately $\$ 1,619$. This includes 23 mobile homes without land, an RV and common stock on a non-publicly traded financial institution that had been used as collateral on a business loan and subsequently repossessed.

Interest income not recognized on non-accrual loans was approximately $\$ 2,224, \$ 1,087$ and $\$ 974$ for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The table below summarizes non performing loans and assets for the periods provided. The increases in non-performing loans and non-performing assets are a reflection of the real estate market in Florida and the overall economy in general.

## Non Performing Loans and Non Performing Assets

|  | $20112010 \quad$December 31, <br> 2009 |  |  | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans (Note 1) | \$ 38,858 | \$ 62,553 | \$ 42,059 | \$ 19,863 | \$ 3,797 |
| Past due loans 90 days or more and still accruing interest (Note 1) | 120 | 3,200 | 282 | 50 | 277 |
| Total non-performing loans (Note 1) | 38,978 | 65,753 | 42,341 | 19,913 | 4,074 |
| Repossessed real estate ( OREO ) (Note 1) | 8,712 | 12,239 | 10,196 | 4,494 | 583 |
| Repossessed assets other than real estate (Note 1) | 1,619 | 532 | 915 | 428 | 170 |
| Total non-performing assets (Note 1) | \$49,309 | \$ 78,524 | \$ 53,452 | \$ 24,835 | \$ 4,827 |
| Total non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans (Note 1) | 3.48\% | 7.06\% | 4.42\% | 2.23\% | 0.48\% |
| Total non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets (Note 1) | 2.16\% | 3.81\% | 3.05\% | 1.86\% | 0.40\% |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans (Note 1) | 71\% | 40\% | 55\% | 67\% | 266\% |

Note 1: Excludes loans, OREO and other repossessed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
Management considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that we will not be repaid as agreed pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once the loan has been identified as impaired, a written analysis is performed to determine if there is a potential for a loss. If it is probable that a loss may occur, a specific allowance, or a partial charge down, for that particular loan is then recognized. The loan is then placed on non-accrual status and included in non-performing loans. If the analysis indicates that a loss is not probable, then no specific allowance, or partial charge down, is recognized. If the loan is still accruing, it is not included in non-performing loans.

Loans that are monitored for impairment pursuant to ASC 310 generally include commercial, commercial real estate, land, acquisition \& development of land, and construction loans greater than $\$ 500,000$. Smaller homogeneous loans, such as single family first and second mortgages, consumer loans, and small business and commercial related loans are not generally subject to impairment monitoring pursuant to ASC 310, but are analyzed for potential losses based on historical loss factors, current environmental factors and to some extent loan grading.

Interest income recognized on impaired loans was approximately $\$ 1,517, \$ 2,330$ and $\$ 1,731$ for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 , respectively. The average recorded investment in impaired loans during 2011, 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 74,502, \$ 82,695$ and $\$ 42,962$, respectively.
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In this current distressed real estate market that the Nation in general and Florida in particular have been experiencing, it has become more common to restructure or modify the terms of certain loans under certain conditions. In certain circumstances it may be more beneficial to restructure the terms of a loan and work with the borrower for the benefit of both parties, versus forcing the property into foreclosure and having to dispose of it in an unfavorable and depressed real estate market. When we have modified the terms of a loan, we usually reduce the monthly payment for generally about twelve to eighteen months. We have approximately $\$ 12,361$ of troubled debt restructures ( TDRs ). Of this amount $\$ 6,554$ are performing pursuant to their modified terms, and $\$ 5,807$ are not performing and have been placed on non-accrual status and included in our non performing loans ( NPLs ).

Current accounting standards require TDRs to be included in our impaired loans, whether they are performing or not performing. Only non performing TDRs are included in our NPLs. The table below summarizes our impaired loans and TDRs for the periods provided.

Impaired Loans and Troubled Debt Restructure ( TDRs )

|  | 2011 | 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performing TDRs | \$ 6,554 | \$ 10,591 | \$ 14,517 | \$ | \$ |
| Non performing TDRs | 5,807 | 11,731 | 11,982 |  |  |
| Total TDRs | \$ 12,361 | \$ 22,322 | \$ 26,499 | \$ | \$ |
| Impaired loans that are not TDRs | \$ 41,307 | \$ 64,655 | \$ 52,449 | \$ 24,191 | \$ 11,803 |
| Impaired loans that are TDRs | 12,361 | 22,322 | 26,499 |  |  |
| Recorded investment in impaired loans | \$ 53,668 | \$ 86,977 | \$ 78,948 | \$ 24,191 | \$ 11,803 |
| Allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans | \$ 3,304 | \$ 4,584 | \$ 4,612 | \$ 1,799 | \$ 812 |

TDRs as of December 31, 2011 quantified by loan type classified separately as accrual (performing loans) and non-accrual (non-performing loans) are presented in the table below.

| TDRs | Accruing | Non-Accrual | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans: | $\$ 4,894$ | $\$$ | 4,270 | $\$ 9,164$ |
| Residential | 692 |  | 1,200 | 1,892 |
| Commercial | 208 | 233 | 441 |  |
| Construction, development, land | 5,794 |  | 5,703 | 11,497 |
| Total real estate loans | 344 |  | 344 |  |
| Commercial | 416 | 104 | 520 |  |
| Consumer and other | $\$ 6,554$ | $\$$ | 5,807 | $\$ 12,361$ |

Our policy is to return non-accrual TDR loans to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due, pursuant to its modified terms, are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Our policy also considers the payment history of the borrower, but is not dependent upon a specific number of payments.

Loans are modified to minimize loan losses when we believe the modification will improve the borrower s financial condition and ability to repay the loan. Generally we do not forgive principal, we generally either
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reduce interest rates or decrease monthly payments for a temporary period of time and those reductions of cash flows are capitalized into the loan balance. A summary of the types of concessions made are presented in the following table.

|  | Dec 31, 2011 |  | Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 months interest only | \$ | 136 | \$ | 282 |
| 6 months interest only |  | 1,386 |  | 2,553 |
| 9 months interest only |  | 25 |  | 382 |
| 12 months interest only |  | 3,471 |  | 11,073 |
| 18 months interest only |  | 189 |  | 191 |
| payment reduction for 12 months |  | 2,527 |  | 4,947 |
| all other |  | 4,627 |  | 2,658 |
| Total TDRs | \$ | 12,361 | \$ | 22,322 |

It is still early in our experience with these types of activities, but approximately $53 \%$ of our TDRs are current pursuant to their modified terms. On the other hand, $\$ 5,807$, or approximately $47 \%$ of our total TDRs are not performing pursuant to their modified terms. Long-term success with our performing TDRs is an unknown, and will depend to a great extent on the future of our economy and our local real estate markets. The decrease in TDRs is mainly due to loan sales of both performing and nonperforming loans and partial charge-offs of nonperforming loans. Thus far, there does not appear to be any significant difference in success rates with one type of concession versus another. Non performing TDRs average approximately 21 months in age from their modification date through December 31, 2011. Performing TDRs average approximately 15 months in age from their modification date through December 31, 2011.

We are continually analyzing our loan portfolio in an effort to recognize and resolve our problem assets as quickly and efficiently as possible. While we believe we use the best information available at the time to make a determination with respect to the allowance for loan losses, we recognize that many factors can adversely impact various segments of our markets, and subsequent adjustments in the allowance may be necessary if future economic indications or other factors differ from the assumptions used in making the initial determination or if regulatory policies change. We continuously focus our attention on promptly identifying and providing for potential problem loans, as they arise. The table below summarizes our accruing loans past due greater than 30 days and less than 90 days for the periods presented, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements.

|  | December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| past due loans 30-89 days | $\$ 16,257$ | $\$ 18,249$ | $\$ 12,237$ | $\$ 19,346$ | $\$ 9,399$ |
| as percentage of total loans | $1.45 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $2.17 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ |

Although the total allowance for loan losses is available to absorb losses from all loans, management allocates the allowance among loan portfolio categories for informational and regulatory reporting purposes. Regulatory examiners may require us to recognize additions to the allowance based upon the regulators judgments about the information available to them at the time of their examination, which may differ from our judgments about the allowance for loan losses.
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While no portion of the allowance is in any way restricted to any individual loan or group of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb losses from any and all loans, the following table summarizes our allocation of allowance for loan losses by loan category and loans in each category as a percentage of total loans, for the periods presented, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 年


|  | December 31, |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | $\$ 2,390$ | $25 \%$ | $\$$ | 1,441 | $25 \%$ |
| Commercial | 6,268 | $49 \%$ | 5,202 | $46 \%$ |  |
| Land, development, construction | 2,058 | $10 \%$ | 1,636 | $13 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total real estate loans | 10,716 | $84 \%$ | 8,279 | $84 \%$ |  |
| Commercial loans | 1,726 | $9 \%$ | 1,413 | $9 \%$ |  |
| Consumer and other loans | 892 | $7 \%$ | 929 | $7 \%$ |  |
| Unallocated | 1 |  | 207 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 13,335$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 10,828$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## Bank Premises and Equipment

Bank premises and equipment was $\$ 94,358$ at December 31, 2011 compared to $\$ 84,982$ at December 31, 2010, an increase of $\$ 9,376$ or $11 \%$. The increase is the result of purchases and construction costs totaling approximately $\$ 14,072$, less $\$ 489$ of disposition of fixed assets and less $\$ 4,207$ of depreciation expense.

At December 31, 2011, we operated from 58 banking locations in seventeen central Florida Counties. We acquired four branches in Putnam County in January 2011 and five branches in Volusia, Orange and Seminole counties in November 2011. We currently lease 14 of the 58 banking locations and own 44. In addition to our banking locations, we lease non-banking office space in Winter Haven, Florida for IT and operations purposes. We also lease office space in Birmingham, Alabama and in Atlanta, Georgia. Both are used by our correspondent banking division.

During 2011, we purchased and/or incurred construction costs for a total of fifteen buildings costing approximately $\$ 7,752$ including land. We also capitalized software development cost of approximately $\$ 681$ related to our correspondent banking division, and purchased software for approximately $\$ 1,485$. Our leasehold improvement and land improvement expenses were approximately $\$ 258$. We also purchased computer equipment, furniture and equipment of approximately $\$ 3,896$ excluding software purchases.
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## Deposits

Total deposits increased $\$ 234,195$, or $13.9 \%$, to $\$ 1,919,789$ as of December 31, 2011, compared to $\$ 1,685,594$ at December 31, 2010. Average deposit balances for the year 2011 compared to 2010 increased by $18.7 \%$. The growth in deposits was due to our 2011 acquisitions from TD Bank, N.A. and Hartford, checking accounts from our correspondent bank customers and internally generated growth. We believe the value of our franchise is our core deposit customers and as such this is where we focus our marketing efforts. We initiated and/or continued various incentive programs as well as other marketing efforts focusing on core deposit (defined as non time deposit accounts) growth. From December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011, our core deposits increased by $\$ 285,090$, or $27.7 \%$. Average core deposit balances for the year 2011 compared to 2010 increased by $32.6 \%$. The tables below summarize selected deposit information for the periods indicated.

|  | $2011 \quad$December 31, <br> 2010 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non time deposits | \$ 1,312,871 | 68.4\% | \$ 1,027,781 | 61.0\% | \$ | 734,728 | 56.3\% |
| Time deposits | 606,918 | 31.6\% | 657,813 | 39.0\% |  | 570,308 | 43.7\% |
| Total deposits | \$ 1,919,789 | 100\% | \$ 1,685,594 | 100\% |  | ,305,036 | 100\% |

Average deposit balance by type and average interest rates

|  | Average <br> Balance | Average <br> Rate | Average <br> Balance | 2010 <br> Average <br> Rate | Average <br> Balance | Average <br> Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non interest bearing <br> demand deposits | $\$ 393,056$ |  | $\%$ | $\$ 302,867$ |  | $\%$ | $\$ 206,733$ |

## Maturity of time deposits of $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ or more

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |
| Three months or less | $\$ 121,960$ | $\$ 86,748$ | $\$$ | 56,011 |
| Three through six months | 38,513 | 71,282 | 55,149 |  |
| Six through twelve months | 67,263 | 74,841 | 93,880 |  |
| Over twelve months | 115,656 | 158,198 | 107,190 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 343,392$ | $\$ 391,069$ | $\$ 312,230$ |  |

## Repurchase Agreements

We enter into borrowing arrangements with retail business customers by agreements to repurchase ( repurchase agreements ) under which we pledge investment securities owned and under our control as collateral against the one-day borrowing arrangement. These arrangements are not transactions with investment bankers or brokerage firms, but rather, with several of our larger commercial customers who periodically have excess cash balances and do not want to keep those balances in non-interest bearing checking accounts. Because our banks are not permitted to pay interest on commercial checking accounts, we offer an arrangement through a
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repurchase agreement whereby balances are transferred from their checking account into a repurchase agreement arrangement which we will pay a daily adjustable interest rate of the federal fund rate minus an amount that traditional ranged between $0.35 \%$ and $0.75 \%$, but currently is a much smaller due to the low interest rate environment during 2010.

The daily average balance of these short-term borrowing agreements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, was approximately $\$ 15,949, \$ 21,254$ and $\$ 24,276$, respectively. Interest expense for the same periods was approximately $\$ 84, \$ 89$ and $\$ 100$, respectively, resulting in an average rate paid of $0.53 \%, 0.42 \%$ and $0.41 \%$ for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The following table summarizes our repurchase agreements for the periods presented.

## Schedule of short-term borrowing (1)

|  | Maximum <br> outstanding <br> atany <br> month end | Average <br> balance | Average <br> interest rate <br> during the <br> year | Weighted <br> Average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ended December 31, | $\$ 18,652$ | $\$ 15,949$ | $0.53 \%$ | $\$ 14,652$ | interest rate <br> at year <br> end |
| 2011 | $\$ 125,367$ | $\$ 21,254$ | $0.42 \%$ | $\$ 13,789$ | $0.47 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 29,562$ | $\$ 24,276$ | $0.41 \%$ | $\$ 29,562$ | $0.50 \%$ |
| 2009 |  |  |  | $0.40 \%$ |  |

(1) Consist of securities sold under agreements to repurchase

## Other borrowed funds

From time to time we borrow on a short-term basis, usually overnight, either through Federal Home Loan Bank advances or Federal Funds Purchased. Included in Federal Funds Purchased are overnight deposits from correspondent banks. We began accepting correspondent bank deposits (classified as Federal Funds Purchased) during September 2008 pursuant to the initiation of our new correspondent banking division. At December 31, 2011 we had $\$ 54,624$ overnight Federal Funds Purchased correspondent bank deposits. During the year, these deposits had a daily average balance of approximately $\$ 70,940$. These accounts are included with other Federal Funds Purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank advances in the table below, which summarizes our other borrowings for the periods presented. For additional information refer to Notes 12 and 13 in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Schedule of short-term borrowing (1)

|  | Maximum <br> outstanding <br> at any <br> month end | Average <br> balance | Average <br> interest rate <br> during the <br> year | Weighted <br> Average <br> interest ate <br> anding <br> end <br> end |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ended December 31, | $\$ 98,211$ | $\$ 75,952$ | $0.23 \%$ | $\$ 54,624$ | $0.05 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 157,037$ | $\$ 121,228$ | $0.42 \%$ | $\$ 83,495$ | $0.52 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 343,370$ | $\$ 261,839$ | $0.46 \%$ | $\$ 165,939$ | $0.36 \%$ |

(1) Consist of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and Federal Funds Purchased

## Corporate debenture

We formed CenterState Banks of Florida Statutory Trust I (the Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 22, 2003, we issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of
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$\$ 10,000$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture of the Company. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 305 basis points). The rate is subject to change quarterly. The rate in effect during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 was $3.63 \%$. The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Trust, at their respective option, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board, if then required. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes.

In September 2004, Valrico Bancorp Inc. ( VBI ) formed Valrico Capital Statutory Trust ( Valrico Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 9, 2004, VBI issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 2,500$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 270 basis points). The rate is subject to change quarterly. The rate in effect during the quarter that included December 31, 2011 was $3.23 \%$. The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Valrico Trust, at their respective option, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. On April 2, 2007, the Company acquired all the assets and assumed all the liabilities of VBI pursuant to the merger agreement, including VBI s corporate debenture and related trust preferred security discussed above. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes.

In September 2003, Federal Trust Corporation ( FTC ) formed Federal Trust Statutory I ( FTC Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 17, 2003, FTC issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 5,000$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 295 basis points). The rate is subject to change quarterly. The rate in effect during the quarter that included December 31, 2011 was $3.50 \%$. The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the FTC Trust, at their respective option, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. On November 1, 2011, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of FTC pursuant to the merger agreement, including FTC s corporate debenture and related trust preferred security discussed above. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes.

## Liquidity and Market Risk Management

Market and public confidence in our financial strength and financial institutions in general will largely determine our access to appropriate levels of liquidity. This confidence is significantly dependent on our ability to maintain sound asset quality and appropriate levels of capital reserves.

Liquidity is defined as the ability to meet anticipated customer demands for funds under credit commitments and deposit withdrawals at a reasonable cost and on a timely basis. We measure our liquidity position by giving consideration to both on- and off-balance sheet sources of and demands for funds on a daily and weekly basis.

Liquidity risk involves the risk of being unable to fund assets with the appropriate duration and rate-based liabilities, as well as the risk of not being able to meet unexpected cash needs. Liquidity planning and management are necessary to ensure the ability to fund operations cost-effectively and to meet current and future potential obligations such as loan commitments, lease obligations, and unexpected deposit outflows. In this process, we focus on both assets and liabilities and on the manner in which they combine to provide adequate liquidity to meet our needs.

## Table of Contents

Interest rate sensitivity refers to the responsiveness of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to changes in market interest rates. The rate sensitive position, or gap, is the difference in the volume of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities, at a given time interval, including both floating rate instruments and instruments which are approaching maturity. The measurement of our interest rate sensitivity, or gap, is one of the principal techniques we use in our asset/liability management effort. Each of our banks generally attempts to maintain a range set by policy between rate-sensitive assets and liabilities by repricing periods. The range set by the banks has been approved by their board of directors. If any of our banks fall outside their pre-approved range, it requires board action and board approval, by that particular bank s board of directors. The asset mix of our balance sheet is evaluated continually in terms of several variables: yield, credit quality, and appropriate funding sources and liquidity. Management of the liability mix of the balance sheet focuses on expanding the various funding sources.

Our gap and liquidity positions are reviewed periodically to determine whether or not changes in policies and procedures are necessary to achieve financial goals. At December 31, 2011, approximately $51 \%$ of total gross loans were adjustable rate. Approximately $80 \%$ of our investment securities ( $\$ 470,994$ fair value) are invested in U.S. Government Agency mortgage backed securities. Although most of these have maturities in excess of five years, these are amortizing instruments that generate cash flows each month. The duration (average life of expected cash flows) of our securities at December 31, 2011 was approximately 3.9 years. Deposit liabilities, at that date, consisted of approximately $\$ 344,303(18 \%)$ in NOW accounts, $\$ 545,440(28 \%)$ in money market accounts and savings, $\$ 606,918(32 \%)$ in time deposits and $\$ 423,128$ $(22 \%)$ in non-interest bearing demand accounts.
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The table below presents the market risk associated with our financial instruments. In the Rate Sensitivity Analysis table, rate sensitive assets and liabilities are shown by repricing periods. The estimated fair value of each instrument category is also shown in the table. While these estimates of fair value are based on our judgment of the most appropriate factors, there is no assurance that, if we had to dispose of such instruments at December 31, 2011, the estimated fair values would necessarily have been achieved at that date, since market values may differ depending on various circumstances. The estimated fair values at December 31, 2011, should not necessarily be considered to apply at subsequent dates.

## RATE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

December 31, 2011

|  |  | 0-1Yr |  | 1-2Yrs |  | 2-3Yrs |  | 3-4Yrs |  | -5Yrs | 5Yrs + |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed rate loans (1) | \$ | 103,458 | \$ | 112,328 | \$ | 89,559 | \$ | 71,577 | \$ | 75,573 | \$ 171,977 | \$ | 624,472 |
| Variable rate loans (1) |  | 500,091 |  | 49,212 |  | 34,407 |  | 38,061 |  | 21,870 | 16,292 |  | 659,933 |
| Investment securities (2) |  | 14,208 |  | 1,040 |  | 342 |  | 11,347 |  | 4,344 | 550,723 |  | 582,004 |
| Federal funds sold and other (3) |  | 133,202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133,202 |
| Other earning assets (4) |  | 10,804 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,804 |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ | 761,763 | \$ | 162,580 | \$ | 124,308 | \$ | 120,985 | \$ | 101,787 | \$ 738,992 |  | 2,010,415 |
| Interest bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOW accounts | \$ | 344,303 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | 344,303 |
| Money market accounts |  | 340,053 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 340,053 |
| Savings accounts |  | 205,387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205,387 |
| Time deposits |  | 399,686 |  | 65,179 |  | 56,503 |  | 71,705 |  | 12,746 | 1,099 |  | 606,918 |
| Repurchase agreements (5) |  | 14,652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,652 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 54,624 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,624 |
| FHLB advances |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate debentures |  | 17,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,500 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities |  | 1,376,205 | \$ | 65,179 | \$ | 56,503 | \$ | 71,705 | \$ | 12,746 | \$ 1,099 |  | 1,583,437 |
| Interest sensitivity gap |  | $(614,442)$ |  | 97,401 |  | 67,805 |  | 49,280 |  | 89,041 | 737,893 |  |  |
| Cumulative gap |  | $(614,442)$ |  | $(517,041)$ |  | $(449,236)$ |  | $(399,956)$ |  | $(310,915)$ | 426,978 |  |  |
| Cumulative gap RSA/RSL (6) |  | 0.55 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.75 |  | 0.80 | 1.27 |  |  |

(1) Loans are shown at gross values and do not include $\$ 639$ of net deferred origination fees and costs. Estimated fair value of fixed loans and variable rate loans combined at December 31, 2011 is approximately $\$ 1,257,684$.
(2) Securities are shown at amortized cost. Includes $\$ 464,237$ (amortized cost basis) of mortgage backed securities of which the majority are fixed rate. Although most have maturities greater than five years, these are amortizing instruments which generate cash flows on a monthly basis. Estimated fair value of securities at December 31, 2011 is approximately $\$ 591,164$.
(3) Includes Federal Funds sold and interest bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank.
(4) Includes Federal Home Loan Bank stock and Federal Reserve Bank Stock.
(5) Time deposits are shown at carrying value. Estimated fair value at December 31, 2011 is approximately $\$ 617,526$.
(6) Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase. These are short-term borrowings, generally overnight, from our retail business customers.
(7) Rate sensitive assets (RSA) divided by rate sensitive liabilities (RSL), cumulative basis.
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As stated earlier, the rate sensitivity table above summarizes our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities by repricing periods at a point in time. It does not include assumptions about sensitivity to changes in various interest rates by asset or liability type, correlation between macro environment market rates and specific product types, lag periods, cash flows or other assumptions and projections. However, in addition to static gap analysis, our banks also use simulation models to estimate the sensitivity of their net interest income to changes in interest rates. Simulation is a better technique than gap analysis because variables are changed for the various rate conditions. Each category s interest change is calculated as rates ramp up and down. In addition, the repayment speeds and repricing speeds are changed. Rate Shock is a method for stress testing the net interest margin over the next four quarters under several rate change levels. These levels span in 100bps increments up and down from the current interest rates. In order to simulate activity, maturing balances are replaced with the new balances at the new rate level, and repricing balances are adjusted to the new rate shock level. The interest is recalculated for each level along with the new average yield. Net interest margin is then calculated and a margin risk profile is developed. The results of these calculations, as of December 31, 2011 looking four quarters into the future, for our combined banks, are summarized in the table below.

| change in interest rates | -300 bps | -200 bps | -100 bps | 0 bps | +100 bps | +200 bps | +300 bps |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| resulting effect on net <br> interest income (a) | $-14.19 \%$ | $-10.67 \%$ | $-6.09 \%$ | current | $+2.04 \%$ | $+2.27 \%$ | $+1.46 \%$ |

(a) The percentage change in each of these boxes represents a percentage change from the net interest income (dollars) that the models projected for the next four quarters. To put this in perspective, as an example, our net interest income for 2011 was $\$ 70,036$. Assuming a 100bps decrease in rates, our model is suggesting that our net interest income would decrease by $6.09 \%$, or approximately $\$ 4,265$. Likewise, assuming a 100bps increase in rates, our model is suggesting that our net interest income would increase by $2.04 \%$, or approximately $\$ 1,429$. It is important to reiterate again, that these models are built on a multitude of assumptions and predictions. This is not an exact science. The benefit that we see is measuring our overall interest rate risk profile. Although we are by no means suggesting the exactness of the numbers above, what we see as a take away is that in general, it appears that if market interest rates increase, it would suggest a benefit to our net interest income. If market interest rates decrease, it would suggest a negative effect on our net interest income. We believe that our interest rate risk is manageable and under control as of December 31, 2011.
Simulation and rate shock stress testing our net interest income ( NIM ) is a forward looking analysis. That is, it estimates, based on various assumptions, what the effect on our NIM might be given various changes in future interest rates. Another way of analyzing our interest rate risk profile is looking at history. The table below measures the correlation between our NIM and market interest rates over an eleven year period starting at the beginning of 2000 and ending on December 31, 2011. We used the Prime lending rate as a surrogate for market interest rates. This simple correlation is not perfect because we ignore changes in duration of our asset/liability portfolio over time and changes in the slope of the yield curve over time, as well as other significant environmental changes that may occur, such as the recent banking crisis. However, it will demonstrate that over time our asset/liability portfolio generally tended to be asset sensitive. That is, in general, over this historical period, when market interest rates increased, our NIM increased, and when market interest rates decreased, our NIM decreased. In the following table, the Prime rate is measured by the vertical bars, and their scale is on the left hand side of the graph. Each bar represents a month. Our NIM is represented by the line graph and its scale is on the right hand side of the graph. The line graph is connecting a series of dots, which represents our NIM for a given quarter.
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## Net Interest Margin vs. Prime

Managing interest rate risk is a dynamic process. Our philosophy is to not try to guess the market in either direction. We do not want to be excessively assets sensitive or excessively liability sensitive. We try to manage our asset/liability portfolio with the goal of optimizing our yield without taking on excessive interest rate risk.

## Contractual Obligations

While our liquidity monitoring and management considers both present and future demands for and sources of liquidity, the following table of contractual commitments focuses only on our future obligations. In the table, all deposits with indeterminate maturities, such as demand deposits, checking accounts, savings accounts and money market accounts, are presented as having a maturity of one year or less.

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands of dollars) | Total | Due in one year or less | Due over one year and less than three years | Due over three years and less than five years |  | Due over <br> five <br> Years |
| Contractual commitments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposit maturities | \$ 1,919,789 | \$ 1,712,557 | \$ 121,682 | \$ | 84,451 | \$ 1,099 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 14,652 | 14,652 |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate debenture | 16,945 |  |  |  |  | 16,945 |
| Other borrowed funds | 54,624 | 54,624 |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred compensation | 17,486 | 2,939 | 838 |  | 882 | 12,827 |
| Operating lease obligations | 7,603 | 1,526 | 3,039 |  | 3,038 |  |
| Total | \$ 2,031,099 | \$ 1,786,298 | \$ 125,559 | \$ | 88,371 | \$ 30,871 |

## Table of Contents

## Primary Sources and Uses of Funds

Our primary sources of funds during the year ended December 31, 2011 included $\$ 176,898$ from the sale of securities, $\$ 93,051$ from security calls and maturities, $\$ 107,532$ from mortgage backed security pay downs, $\$ 77,577$ net cash received from other bank and branch acquisitions, $\$ 54,751$ net decrease in loans, proceeds of $\$ 14,095$ from our loan sales in the wholesale debt market, proceeds of $\$ 18,766$ from the sale of OREO, $\$ 9,763$ provided by operations, net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 26,420$, increase in repurchase agreements of $\$ 863$, sales of furniture, fixtures and equipment of $\$ 506$, and $\$ 96$ from exercise of stock options.

Our primary uses of funds during 2011 included $\$ 463,492$ purchases of securities, $\$ 9,341$ purchases and construction cost of bank premises and purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment, $\$ 77,413$ net decrease in deposits, repayment of federal funds purchased and other borrowings of $\$ 28,871$ and dividend payments of $\$ 1,201$.

## Capital Resources

Total stockholders equity at December 31, 2011 was $\$ 262,633$, or $11.5 \%$ of total assets compared to $\$ 252,249$, or $12.2 \%$ of total assets at December 31, 2010. The 10,384 increase was the result of the following items: net income ( $\$ 7,909$ ), plus exercise of stock options ( $\$ 96$ ), plus stock based compensation expense ( $\$ 783$ ), plus net change in unrealized gains in securities available for sale $(\$ 2,797)$, less dividends paid on common shares outstanding ( $\$ 1,201$ ).

The bank regulatory agencies have established risk-based capital requirements for banks. These guidelines are intended to provide an additional measure of a bank s capital adequacy by assigning weighted levels of risk to asset categories. Banks are also required to systematically maintain capital against such off- balance sheet activities as loans sold with recourse, loan commitments, guarantees and standby letters of credit. These guidelines are intended to strengthen the quality of capital by increasing the emphasis on common equity and restricting the amount of loan loss reserves and other forms of equity such as preferred stock that may be included in capital. Each of our subsidiary Banks objective is to maintain its current status as a well-capitalized institution as that term is defined by its regulators.

Under the terms of the guidelines, banks must meet minimum capital adequacy based upon both total assets and risk-adjusted assets. All banks are required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of $8 \%$, a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$ and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets of $4 \%$ ( leverage ratio ). In addition, our lead subsidiary bank, CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. has committed to the OCC, it s primary regulators, that it will maintain an $8 \%$ leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital divided by average assets). Adherence to these guidelines has not had an adverse impact on our Company.

Selected consolidated capital ratios at December 31, 2011, and 2010 were as follows:

## Capital Ratios

(Dollars are in thousands)

|  | Actual <br> Amount |  | Ratio | Well Capitalized <br> Amount <br> Ratio | Excess <br> Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of December 31, 2011: | $\$ 247,567$ | $19.1 \%$ | $\$ 129,927$ | $10.0 \%$ | $\$ 117,640$ |
| Total capital: (to risk weighted assets): | $\$ 231,182$ | $17.8 \%$ | $\$ 77,956$ | $6.0 \%$ | $\$ 153,226$ |
| Tier 1 capital: (to risk weighted assets): | $\$ 231,182$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 110,143$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\$ 121,039$ |
| Tier 1 capital: (to average assets): |  |  |  |  |  |
| As of December 31, 2010: | $\$ 227,907$ | $19.3 \%$ | $\$ 118,230$ | $10.0 \%$ | $\$ 109,677$ |
| Total capital: (to risk weighted assets): | $\$ 212,986$ | $18.0 \%$ | $\$ 70,938$ | $6.0 \%$ | $\$ 142,048$ |
| Tier 1 capital: (to risk weighted assets): | $\$ 212,986$ | $10.3 \%$ | $\$ 103,053$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\$ 109,933$ |
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## Effects of Inflation and Changing Prices

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on the performance of a financial institution than the effects of general levels of inflation. Although interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services, increases in inflation generally have resulted in increased interest rates. In addition, inflation affects financial institutions increased cost of goods and services purchased, the cost of salaries and benefits, occupancy expense, and similar items. Inflation and related increases in interest rates generally decrease the market value of investments and loans held and may adversely affect liquidity, earnings, and shareholders equity. Commercial and other loan originations and refinancings tend to slow as interest rates increase, and can reduce our earnings from such activities.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We generally do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, other than approved and unfunded loans and letters and lines of credit to our customers in the ordinary course of business.

## Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 1(ah) in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion on the effects of new accounting pronouncements.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Market risk is the risk of economic loss from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in (a) interest rates, (b) foreign exchange rates, or (c) other factors that relate to market volatility of the rate, index, or price underlying the financial instrument. Our market risk is composed primarily of interest rate risk. Each of our subsidiary Banks has an Asset/Liability Committee ( ALCO ) which is responsible for reviewing the interest rate sensitivity position, and establishing policies to monitor and limit the exposure to interest rate risk for their specific Bank. Substantially all of our interest rate risk exposure relates to the financial instrument activity of each of our subsidiary Banks. As such, the board of directors of each subsidiary Bank is responsible to review and approve the policies and guidelines established by their Bank s ALCO.

The primary objective of asset/liability management is to provide an optimum and stable net interest margin, after-tax return on assets and return on equity capital, as well as adequate liquidity and capital. Interest rate risk is measured and monitored through gap analysis, which measures the amount of repricing risk associated with the balance sheet at specific points in time. See Liquidity and Market Risk Management presented in Item 7 above for quantitative disclosures in tabular format, as well as additional qualitative disclosures.

## Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements of our Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are set forth in this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ beginning at page 79.

## Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.
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## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The Company maintains controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based upon their evaluation of those controls and procedures performed within 90 days of the filing date of this report, the Chief Executive and Chief Financial officers of the Company concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate.
(b) Changes in internal controls. The Company made no significant changes in its internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation of those controls by the Chief Executive and Chief Financial officers.
(c) Management s report on internal control over financial reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations, also referred to as the Treadway Commission. Based upon our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011. The effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by Crowe Horwath LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein. As permitted, the Company has excluded the current year acquisition of Federal Trust Corporation (represents approximately $11 \%$ of total assets at December 31, 2011) from the scope of management s report on internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.
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## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Our Company has a Code of Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer and principal financial officer (who is also our principal accounting officer), a copy of which is included on the Company s website, www.centerstatebanks.com, at Investor Relations / Governance Documents. The website also includes a copy of the Company s Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter and Nominating Committee Charter. The information contained under the sections captioned Directors and Executive Officers under Proposal One Election of Directors, and in the sections captioned Nominating Committee, Audit Committee Report and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, in the registrant s definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 26, 2012, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of our fiscal year end (the Proxy Statement ), is incorporated herein by reference.

The following sets forth information on Company directors whose term of office will end at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 26, 2012:

Bryan W. Judge, (Age 84): Year first elected a Director: 1999; Mr. Judge is a WWII veteran and graduate of the University of Florida. He has forty years experience in diary farming, agricultural management, real estate, investor and retail sales development. Mr. Judge was a founding director of CenterState and served as a director for over ten years. He also has twenty years experience as a director of CenterState Bank Central, N.A., one of the initial three banks which were merged together to form the Company. Mr. Judge s perspective of business in general and the real estate market in particular over the last half of the past century has been a valuable contribution to the Board in determining strategic direction.

Samuel L. Lupfer, IV, (Age 56); Year first elected a Director: 1999; Mr. Lupfer has 35 years of experience as a sales and marketing executive. A 1976 graduate of the University of Central Florida, Mr. Lupfer was President and majority owner of Lupfer-Frakes Insurance (1991 to 2003) and Divisional President of Bouchard Insurance (2003 to 2008). He has executed eight insurance agency acquisitions, five as a buyer and three as a seller. Mr. Lupfer retired from Bouchard Insurance on April 1, 2011. Mr. Lupfer was a founding director of CenterState and also a founding director of CenterState Bank Central Florida, N.A., one of the initial three banks which were merged together to form the Company. In addition to his experience as a bank director and business executive, Mr. Lupfer has contributed his skill, experience and knowledge in risk management, strategic planning and mergers and acquisitions.

Rulon D. Munns, (Age 62); Year first elected a Director: 2006; Mr. Munns is an attorney and shareholder of the law firm, Bogin, Munns \& Munns. He is also the owner of various small businesses and real estate investment companies. Mr. Munns has been a director of the Company since 2006. He was also a director of CenterState Bank MidFlorida, N.A., which was acquired by the CenterState in 2006. In addition, Mr. Munns was also a director of CenterState Bank, N.A., one of the initial three banks which were merged together to form the Company. As an attorney, he has contributed his experience and skill as a board resource primarily as it related to real estate law, as well as a businessman and entrepreneur.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information contained in the sections captioned Information About the Board of Directors and Its Committees under Proposal One Election of Directors, and the sections captioned Executive Compensation, Director Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation Committee Report, in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.
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Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Information contained in the section captioned Management and Principal Stock Ownership under Election of Directors, and under the table captioned Equity Compensation Plan Information under Executive Compensation in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information contained in the section entitled Certain Related Transactions and the section entitled Director Independence under Election of Directors in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information contained in the section captioned Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

## 1. Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## 2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted as the required information is either inapplicable or included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## 3. Exhibits

3.1 - Articles of Incorporation of CenterState Banks, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement No. 333-95087 (the Registration Statement ))
3.2 - Bylaws of CenterState Banks, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registration Statement)

Amendments to Articles of Incorporation of CenterState Banks, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to the Company s Form 8-K dated April 25, 2006).

- Amendment to bylaws of CenterState Banks, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Company s Form 10-K dated March 7, 2008.)
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3.5 - Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation authorizing the Preferred Shares (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 8 -K dated November 24, 2008.)
3.6 - Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation authorizing a change of the Corporation s name from CenterState Banks Florida, Inc. to CenterState Banks, Inc. effective June 17, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to the Company s Form 10-K dated March 4, 2010)
3.7 - Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation increasing the number of authorized common shares from $40,000,000$ to $100,000,000$ (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated December 16, 2009.)
10.2 - CenterState Banks, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company s Proxy Statement dated March 25, 2004)*
10.3 - Form of CenterState Banks, Inc. Split Dollar Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated January 11, 2006)*

- CenterState Banks, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Company s Proxy Statement dated March 30, 2007)*
- Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement between the Company and Ernest S. Pinner, its Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated December 31, 2008.)*
10.10 - Employment Agreement between the Company and Ernest S. Pinner, its President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated February 14, 2011.)*
- List of Subsidiaries of CenterState Banks, Inc.
- Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP
- Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
- Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
* Represents a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

CenterState Banks, Inc.

## Davenport, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CenterState Banks, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. We also have audited the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management s report on internal control over financial reporting contained in Item 9A.(c) of the accompanying Form 10-K. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (Untied States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A Company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of authorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company $s$ assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As permitted, the Company has excluded the current year acquisition of Federal Trust Corporation from the scope of management s report on internal control over financial reporting. As such, this acquired institution has also been excluded from the scope of our audit of internal control over financial reporting.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CenterState Banks, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

## /s/ Crowe Horwath LLP

## Crowe Horwath LLP

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

## March 13, 2012
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## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31, 2011 and 2010
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 17,893 | \$ 23,251 |
| Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits | 133,202 | 154,264 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 151,095 | 177,515 |
| Trading securities, at fair value |  | 2,225 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value | 591,164 | 500,927 |
| Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value | 3,741 | 673 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 164,051 | 198,285 |
| Loans, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,119,715 | 930,670 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | $(27,944)$ | $(26,267)$ |
| Net loans | 1,255,822 | 1,102,688 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,929 | 6,570 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost | 10,804 | 10,122 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 94,358 | 84,982 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 3,451 | 8,439 |
| Goodwill | 38,035 | 38,035 |
| Core deposit intangible | 5,203 | 3,921 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 36,520 | 27,440 |
| Other repossessed real estate owned covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 9,469 | 11,104 |
| Other repossessed real estate owned | 8,712 | 12,239 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 50,642 | 59,456 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 18,514 | 16,588 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,284,459 | \$ 2,062,924 |


|  | Liabilities and Stockholders | Equity |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits: | $\$ 1,496,661$ | $\$ 1,362,370$ |  |
| Interest bearing | 423,128 | 323,224 |  |
| Noninterest bearing |  |  |  |
|  | $1,919,789$ | $1,685,594$ |  |
| Total deposits | 14,652 | 13,789 |  |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 54,624 | 68,495 |  |
| Federal funds purchased | 15,000 |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 16,945 | 12,500 |  |
| Corporate debentures | 778 | 1,148 |  |
| Accrued interest payable | 2,599 | 6,258 |  |
| Settlement payments due FDIC | 12,439 | 7,891 |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | $2,021,826$ | $1,810,675$ |  |


| Stockholders equity: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock, $\$ .01$ par value, $\$ 1,000$ liquidation preference; $5,000,000$ shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010 |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ .01$ par value: $100,000,000$ shares authorized; $30,055,499$ and $30,004,761$ shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively | 301 | 300 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 228,342 | 227,464 |
| Retained earnings | 28,277 | 21,569 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 5,713 | 2,916 |
| Total stockholders equity | 262,633 | 252,249 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 2,284,459 | \$ 2,062,924 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements
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## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ | 65,893 | \$ | 55,697 | \$ | 53,428 |
| Investment securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 14,296 |  | 16,833 |  | 18,436 |
| Tax-exempt |  | 1,422 |  | 1,424 |  | 1,472 |
| Federal funds sold and other |  | 632 |  | 626 |  | 608 |
|  |  | 82,243 |  | 74,580 |  | 73,944 |


| Interest expense: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | 11,499 | 15,722 | 20,521 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 84 | 89 | 100 |
| Corporate debentures | 448 | 421 | 473 |
| Federal funds purchased | 49 | 107 | 553 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 127 | 403 | 643 |
|  | 12,207 | 16,742 | 22,290 |
| Net interest income | 70,036 | 57,838 | 51,654 |
| Provision for loan losses | 45,991 | 29,624 | 23,896 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 24,045 | 28,214 | 27,758 |


| Non interest income: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 6,316 | 6,873 | 5,450 |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division | 24,889 | 32,696 | 17,916 |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities | 1,801 | 1,118 | 532 |
| Debit card and ATM fees | 2,852 | 1,890 | 1,341 |
| Loan related fees | 747 | 534 | 452 |
| BOLI income | 967 | 774 | 550 |
| Trading securities revenue | 485 | 622 | 427 |
| Net gain on sale of securities | 3,464 | 7,034 | 2,516 |
| Bargain purchase gain | 57,020 | 1,377 |  |
| FDIC indemnification income | 1,132 |  |  |
| FDIC indemnification asset accretion/(amortization) | (503) | 597 |  |
| Other service charges and fees | 2,802 | 1,418 | 868 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 101,972 | 54,933 | 30,052 |
| Non interest expense: |  |  |  |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits | 58,245 | 55,033 | 36,670 |
| Occupancy expense | 8,271 | 6,652 | 5,375 |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 4,207 | 3,350 | 2,882 |
| Marketing expenses | 2,791 | 2,498 | 1,910 |
| Data processing expense | 4,680 | 2,789 | 2,417 |
| Legal, audit and other professional fees | 2,729 | 3,764 | 2,354 |
| Supplies, stationary and printing | 1,285 | 1,091 | 848 |
| Core deposit intangible (CDI) amortization | 804 | 519 | 792 |
| Core deposit intangible impairment |  |  | 1,200 |
| Bank regulatory expenses | 2,621 | 2,989 | 3,114 |
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| ATM and debit card related expenses |  | 1,631 |  | 1,298 |  | 1,060 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postage and delivery |  | 930 |  | 735 |  | 425 |
| Loss on sale of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) |  | 545 |  | 756 |  | 772 |
| Valuation write down of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) |  | 6,751 |  | 2,951 |  | 2,188 |
| Loss on repossessed assets other than real estate |  | 377 |  | 458 |  | 544 |
| Foreclosure related expenses |  | 5,023 |  | 2,113 |  | 1,049 |
| Merger and acquisition related expenses |  | 7,696 |  | 769 |  |  |
| Other expenses |  | 6,103 |  | 5,560 |  | 5,114 |
| Total other expenses |  | 114,689 |  | 93,325 |  | 68,714 |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes |  | 11,328 |  | $(10,178)$ |  | $(10,904)$ |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 3,419 |  | $(4,240)$ |  | $(4,687)$ |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 7,909 | \$ | $(5,938)$ | \$ | $(6,217)$ |
| Earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.47) |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.47) |
| Common shares used in the calculation of earnings (loss) per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 30,034,573 |  | 27,608,211 |  | 17,905,042 |
| Diluted |  | 30,039,187 |  | 27,608,211 |  | 17,905,042 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Total comprehensive income |  |  | 10,706 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dividends paid common $(\$ 0.04$ per share |  |  | $(1,201)$ | $(1,201)$ |
| Stock options exercised, including tax benefit | 14,903 | 1 | 95 | 96 |
| Stock based compensation expense | 35,835 |  | 398 | 398 |
| Stock grants issued |  | 3,85 | 385 |  |

Balances at December 31, 2011
\$ 30,055,499 \$ 301 \$ 228,342 \$ 28,277 \$ 5,713 262,633

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disclosure of reclassification amounts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gain arising during the year, net of income taxes | \$ | 4,958 | \$ | 238 | \$ | 6,979 |
| Less: reclassified adjustments for gain included in net income, net of income taxes, at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 of $\$ 1,303$, $\$ 2,647$ and \$947, respectively |  | 2,161 |  | 4,387 |  | 1,569 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net of income taxes | \$ | 2,797 | \$ | $(4,149)$ | \$ | 5,410 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
(in thousands of dollars)

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 7,909 | \$ $(5,938)$ | \$ $(6,217)$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 45,991 | 29,624 | 23,896 |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 4,207 | 3,350 | 2,882 |
| Amortization of purchase accounting adjustments | $(12,849)$ | $(4,788)$ | (800) |
| Impairment of core deposit intangible |  |  | 1,200 |
| Net amortization of investment securities | 7,284 | 6,235 | 5,017 |
| Net deferred loan origination fees | (90) | (11) | 25 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 545 | 756 | 772 |
| Valuation write down on other real estate owned | 6,751 | 2,951 | 2,188 |
| Loss on sale of repossessed assets other than real estate | 234 | 270 | 227 |
| Valuation write down on repossessed assets other than real estate | 143 | 188 | 317 |
| (Gain) loss on sale or disposal of fixed assets | (17) | 25 | (79) |
| Deferred income taxes | 3,300 | $(2,266)$ | $(5,386)$ |
| Net realized gain on sale or call of available for sale securities | $(3,464)$ | $(7,034)$ | $(2,516)$ |
| Trading securities revenue | (485) | (622) | (427) |
| Purchases of trading securities | $(249,430)$ | $(304,750)$ | $(32,714)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of trading securities | 252,140 | 303,147 | 33,141 |
| Gain on sale of loans held for sale | (143) | (95) |  |
| Loans originated and held for sale | $(12,309)$ | $(7,858)$ |  |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 9,384 | 7,280 |  |
| Stock based compensation expense | 705 | 699 | 414 |
| Bank owned life insurance income | (967) | (774) | (550) |
| Bargain purchase gain from bank acquisitions | $(57,020)$ | $(1,377)$ |  |
| Cash provided by (used in) changes in: |  |  |  |
| Net change in accrued interest receivable, prepaid expenses, and other assets | 10,749 | 11,250 | $(7,519)$ |
| Net change in interest payable, accounts payable and accrued expenses | $(2,805)$ | $(8,541)$ | 2,069 |
| Net cash from operating activities | 9,763 | 21,721 | 15,940 |


| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | $(93,618)$ | $(336,692)$ | $(25,792)$ |
| Purchases of mortgage backed securities available for sale | $(369,874)$ | $(272,152)$ | $(530,920)$ |
| Purchases of FHLB and FRB stock |  | (866) | $(2,724)$ |
| Proceeds from callable investment securities available for sale | 91,970 | 198,865 | 11,039 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale | 1,081 | 14,827 | 5,993 |
| Proceeds from pay-downs of mortgage backed securities available for sale | 107,532 | 127,599 | 143,570 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale | 30,765 | 34,817 | 43,333 |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage backed securities available for sale | 142,572 | 200,456 | 159,524 |
| Proceeds from sales of FHLB and FRB stock | 3,561 | 272 | 143 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance |  | $(11,000)$ | $(5,000)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in loans, net of repayments | 50,595 | 3,324 | $(93,977)$ |
| Proceeds from the sale of loans in wholesale market | 18,251 | 8,579 |  |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | $(9,341)$ | $(24,121)$ | $(3,917)$ |
| Proceeds from the sale of premises and equipment, net | 506 |  | 92 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 18,766 | 7,370 | 4,419 |
| Net cash from bank acquisitions | 77,577 | 55,368 | 155,640 |
| Net cash from investing activities | 70,343 | 6,646 | $(138,577)$ |

Cash flows from financing activities:
Net (decrease) increase in deposits

| $(77,413)$ | 37,208 | 132,536 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreement to repurchase |  | 863 |  | $(15,773)$ |  | 3,105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (decrease) increase in federal funds purchased |  | $(13,871)$ |  | $(76,444)$ |  | 55,963 |
| Net decrease in other borrowed funds |  | $(15,000)$ |  | $(20,741)$ |  | $(4,750)$ |
| Redemption of perpetual preferred stock |  |  |  |  |  | $(27,875)$ |
| Repurchase of TARP warrant |  |  |  |  |  | (212) |
| Adjustment to net proceeds from preferred stock and warrant issue |  |  |  |  |  | (6) |
| Net proceeds from public stock offering |  |  |  | 32,872 |  | 80,879 |
| Stock options exercised, including tax benefit |  | 96 |  | 735 |  | 187 |
| Dividends paid |  | $(1,201)$ |  | $(1,116)$ |  | $(2,335)$ |
| Net cash from financing activities |  | $(106,526)$ |  | $(43,259)$ |  | 237,492 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(26,420)$ |  | $(14,892)$ |  | 114,855 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, at beginning of year |  | 177,515 |  | 192,407 |  | 77,552 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, at end of year | \$ | 151,095 | \$ | 177,515 | \$ | 192,407 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ | 20,900 | \$ | 15,427 | \$ | 13,081 |
| Cash paid during the year for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 14,090 | \$ | 17,853 | \$ | 23,932 |
| Income taxes | \$ | 235 | \$ | 422 | \$ | 892 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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# CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES 

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements<br>(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

## (1) Summary of significant accounting policies

## (a) Nature of operations and principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of CenterState Banks, Inc. (the Company ) include the accounts of CenterState Banks, Inc. (the Parent Company ), and its wholly owned subsidiaries CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A., Valrico State Bank and R4ALL, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company, through its subsidiary banks, operates through 58 full service banking locations in seventeen counties throughout Central Florida, providing traditional deposit and lending products and services to its commercial and retail customers. The Company s primary deposit products are checking, savings and term certificate accounts, and its primary lending products include commercial real estate loans, residential real estate loans, commercial loans and consumer loans. Substantially all loans are secured by commercial real estate, residential real estate, business assets or consumer assets. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers ability to repay their loans is dependent on the real estate and general economic conditions in the area.

The Company, through its CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. subsidiary, also operates a correspondent banking and bond sales division. The division is integrated with and part of the lead subsidiary bank located in Winter Haven, Florida, although the majority of the bond salesmen, traders and support personnel are physically located in leased facilities in Birmingham, Alabama and Atlanta, Georgia. The primary revenue generating activity of this division is commissions earned on fixed income security sales. Other revenue generating activities include correspondent bank deposits (i.e. federal funds purchased), fees earned on correspondent bank checking accounts, fees earned from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, and asset/liability consulting related activities.

R4ALL, Inc. is a non bank subsidiary incorporated during the third quarter of 2009. The primary purpose of this subsidiary is to purchase, hold, and dispose of troubled assets acquired from the Company s subsidiary banks.

The following is a description of the basis of presentation and the significant accounting and reporting policies, which the Company follows in preparing and presenting its consolidated financial statements.

## (b) Use of estimates

To prepare financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. Significant items subject to estimates and assumptions include allowance for loan losses, FDIC Indemnification asset, fair values of financial instruments, useful life of intangibles and valuation of goodwill, fair value estimates of stock-based compensation, fair value estimates of OREO, and deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## (c) Cash flow reporting

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, money market and non interest bearing deposits in other banks with a purchased maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Net cash flows are reported for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, proceeds from capital offering and other borrowed funds.
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# CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES 

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

## (d) Interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions

Interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions mature within one year and are carried at cost.

## (e) Trading securities

The Company engages in trading activities for its own account. Securities that are held principally for resale in the near term are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value included in earnings. Interest is included in net interest income.

## (f) Investment securities available for sale

Debt securities not classified as held to maturity or trading are classified as available for sale. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage backed securities where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

Securities are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ) on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2 ) other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis.

## (g) Bond commissions revenue recognition

Bond sales transactions and related revenue and expenses are recorded on a settlement date basis. The effect on the financial statements of using the settlement date basis rather than the trade date basis is not material.

## (h) Loans held for sale

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings. Mortgage loans held for sale are generally sold with servicing rights released. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

## (i) Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balance net of purchase premiums and discounts, deferred loan fees and costs, and an allowance for loan losses. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. The recorded investment in a loan excludes accrued interest receivable, deferred fees, and deferred costs because they are not considered material.

Loan origination fees and the incremental direct cost of loan origination, are deferred and recognized in interest income without anticipating prepayments over the contractual life of the loans. If the loan is prepaid, the remaining unamortized fees and costs are charged or credited to interest income. Amortization ceases for nonaccrual loans.

A loan is moved to nonaccrual status in accordance with the Company s policy typically after 90 days of non-payment, or less than 90 days of non-payment if management determines that the full timely collection of principal and interest becomes doubtful. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual, is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Non real estate consumer loans are typically charged off no later than 120 days past due.

The Company, considering current information and events regarding the borrower s ability to repay their obligations, considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the secondary market value of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized in accordance with the Company s non-accrual policy. Impaired loans are written down to the extent that principal is judged to be uncollectible and, in the case of impaired collateral dependent loans where repayment is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral and there is no other available and reliable sources of repayment, are written down to the lower of cost or collateral value. Impairment losses are included in the allowance for loan losses. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

## (j) Purchased credit-impaired loans

As a part of business acquisitions, the Company acquires loans, some of which have shown evidence of credit deterioration since origination. These purchased credit-impaired ( PCI ) loans were determined to be credit impaired based on specific risk characteristics of the loan, including product type, domicile of the borrower, past due status, owner occupancy status, geographic location of the collateral, and loan to value ratios. Purchasers are permitted to aggregate credit impaired loans acquired in the same fiscal
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009
quarter into one or more pools, provided that the loans have common risk characteristics. A pool is then accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. For the loan portfolios acquired through failed bank acquisitions, the Company aggregated the commercial, consumer, and residential loans into ten pools of loans with common risk characteristics for each FDIC failed institution acquired. These acquired loans were recorded at the acquisition date fair value, and after acquisition, losses are recognized through the allowance for loan losses. The Company estimates the amount and timing of expected cash flows for each acquired loan pool and the expected cash flows in excess of the amount paid is recorded as interest income over the remaining life of the loan pools.

On a quarterly basis, the Company updates the amount of loan principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected, incorporating assumptions regarding default rates, loss severities, the amounts and timing of prepayments and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected loan principal cash flows trigger the recognition of impairment, which is then measured as the present value of the expected principal loss plus any related foregone interest cash flows discounted at the pool s effective interest rate. Impairments that occur after the acquisition date are recognized through the provision for loan losses. Probable and significant increases in expected principal cash flows would first reverse any previously recorded allowance for loan losses; any remaining increases are recognized prospectively as interest income. The impacts of (i) prepayments, (ii) changes in variable interest rates, and (iii) any other changes in the timing of expected cash flows are recognized prospectively as adjustments to interest income. Disposals of loans, which may include sales of loans, receipt of payments in full by the borrower, or foreclosure, result in removal of the loan from the purchased credit impaired portfolio.

## (k) Concentration of credit risk

Most of the Company s business activity is with customers located within central Florida. Therefore, the Company s exposure to credit risk is significantly affected by changes in the economy and the real estate market within central Florida.

## (l) Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management s judgment, should be charged-off.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. The general component covers loans that are not individually classified as impaired and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans, for which the terms have been modified resulting in a concession, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Commercial, commercial real estate, land, acquisition and development, and construction loans over \$500 (over \$250 in the case of Valrico State Bank) are individually evaluated for impairment. During the fourth quarter of 2010 CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. changed its policy relating to the size of commercial, commercial real estate, land, acquisition and development, and construction loans to be individually evaluated for impairment from over $\$ 250$ to over $\$ 500$. The reason for the change was due to growth in the size of CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. as compared to its size when the initial $\$ 250$ policy guideline was originally initiated.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company changed from one quarter lag to current quarter when calculating historical loss rates, because it is more reflective of the most recent allowance for loan loss activities.

If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan s existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan s effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Company determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.

The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Company over the most recent two years. The portfolio segments identified by the Company are residential loans, commercial real estate loans, construction and land development loans, commercial and industrial and consumer and other. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations.

The Company has segregated and evaluates its loan portfolio through five portfolio segments. The five segments are residential real estate, commercial real estate, land/ land development/construction, commercial and consumer/other.

Residential real estate loans are a mixture of fixed rate and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans, including first mortgages, second mortgages or home equity lines of credit. As a policy, the Company
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holds adjustable rate loans and sells a portion of its fixed rate loan originations into the secondary market. Changes in interest rates or market conditions may impact a borrower s ability to meet contractual principal and interest payments. Residential real estate loans are secured by real property.

Commercial real estate loans include loans secured by office buildings, warehouses, retail stores and other property located in or near our markets. These loans are originated based on the borrower s ability to service the debt and secondarily based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

Land/land development/construction loans include residential and commercial real estate loans and include a mixture of owner occupied and non-owner occupied. The majority of the loans in this category are land related, either undeveloped land, land held for development, residential building lots and commercial building lots. Generally the terms are three to five years, with a potential for renewal at maturity.

Commercial loans consist of small-to medium-sized businesses including professional associations, medical services, retail trade, transportation, wholesale trade, manufacturing and tourism. Commercial loans are derived from our market areas and underwritten based on the borrower s ability to service debt from the business s underlying cash flows. As a general practice, we obtain collateral such as inventory, accounts receivable, equipment or other assets although such loans may be uncollateralized but guaranteed.

Consumer and other loans include automobiles, boats, mobile homes without land, or uncollateralized but personally guaranteed loans. These loans are originated based primarily on credit scores, debt-to-income ratios and loan-to-value ratios.

## (m) Transfer of financial assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been relinquished. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when the assets have been isolated from the Company, the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

## (n) Foreclosed assets

Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. If fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed.

## (o) Premises and equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Buildings are depreciated over a 39 year period, and furniture, fixtures and equipment are depreciated over their related useful life (3 to 15 years). Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of their useful lives or the term of the lease. Major renewals and betterments of property are capitalized; maintenance, repairs, and minor renewals and betterments are expensed in the period incurred. Upon retirement or other disposition of the asset, the asset cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and gains or losses are included in income.
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## (p) Software costs

Costs of software developed for internal use, such as those related to software licenses, programming, testing, configuration, direct materials and integration, are capitalized and included in premises and equipment. Included in the capitalized costs are those costs related to both our personnel and third party consultants involved in the software development and installation. Once placed in service, the capitalized asset is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life, generally three to five years. Capitalized costs of software developed for internal use are reviewed periodically for impairment.

## (q) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) stock

The Company s banks are members of the FHLB and FRB system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. FHLB and FRB stock is carried at cost, classified as a restricted security, and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

## (r) Bank owned life insurance (BOLI)

The Company, through its subsidiary banks, has purchased life insurance policies on certain key executives. Bank owned life insurance is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement.
(s) Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill resulting from business combinations prior to January 1, 2009 represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009, is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but tested for impairment at least annually. The Company has selected November 30 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on the Company s balance sheet.

The core deposit intangibles are intangible assets arising from either whole bank acquisitions or branch acquisitions. They are initially measured at fair value and then amortized over a ten-year period on an accelerated basis using the projected decay rates of the underlying core deposits.

## (t) FDIC Indemnification Asset

The FDIC Indemnification Asset represents the estimated amounts due from the FDIC pursuant to the Loss Share Agreements related to the acquisition of the three failed banks acquired in 2010. The estimate represents the discounted value of the FDIC s reimbursed portion of the estimated losses the
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Company expects to realize on the loans and other real estate (Covered Assets ) acquired as a result of the acquisitions. The range of discount rates used on the FDIC Indemnification Asset was $1.21 \%$ to $4.53 \%$. As losses are realized on Covered Assets, the portion that the FDIC pays the Company in cash for principal and up to 90 days of interest reduces the FDIC Indemnification Asset. On a quarterly basis the Company will evaluate the FDIC Indemnification Asset to determine if the estimated losses on Covered Assets support the amount recorded as the FDIC Indemnification Asset. Income accretion is recognized during the loss share period. If the expectation of future losses decline, the income accretion is reduced prospectively.

## (u) Loan commitments and related financial instruments

Financial instruments include off-balance sheet credit instruments, such as commitments to make loans and commercial letters of credit, issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss, before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

## (v) Stock-based compensation

Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period.

## (w) Income taxes

Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is more likely than not that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than $50 \%$ likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the more likely than not test, no tax benefit is recorded.

The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in other expenses.

## (x) Retirement plans

Employee 401 (k) plan expense is the amount of matching contributions. Deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plan expense allocates the benefits over years of service.
(y) Marketing and advertising costs

Marketing and advertising costs are expensed as incurred.
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## (z) Earnings per common share

Basic earnings per common share is net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. All outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to nonforfeitable dividends are considered participating securities for this calculation. Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options. Earnings and dividends per share are restated for all stock splits and stock dividends through the date of issuance of the financial statements.

## (aa) Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, which are also recognized as separate components of shareholders equity.
(ab) Loss contingencies
Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there now are such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

## (ac) Restrictions on cash

Cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank is generally required to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements.

## (ad) Dividend restriction

Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the banks to the holding company or by the holding company to stockholders.

## (ae) Fair value of financial instruments

Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in a separate note. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

## (af) Segment reporting

The Company s correspondent banking and bond sales division represents a distinct reportable segment which differs from the Company s primary business of commercial and retail banking in central Florida. Accordingly, a reconciliation of reportable segment revenues, expenses
and profit to the Company s consolidated total has been presented in note 25 .
(ag) Reclassifications
Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior years net income or shareholders equity.
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## (ah) Effect of new pronouncements

In December 2010, the FASB amended existing guidance relating to goodwill impairment testing. This guidance requires that if the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative, a qualitative assessment be performed to determine if it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. Step 2 of the impairment test shall be performed if it is determined that it is more likely than not that goodwill is impaired. The amendments in this guidance are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The effect of adopting this standard did not have a material effect on the Company s operating results or financial condition.

In April 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance for assisting a creditor in determining whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments clarify the guidance for a creditor s evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. With regard to determining whether a concession has been granted, the ASU clarifies that creditors are precluded from using the effective interest method to determine whether a concession has been granted. In the absence of using the effective interest method, a creditor must now focus on other considerations such as the value of the underlying collateral, evaluation of other collateral or guarantees, the debtor $s$ ability to access other funds at market rates, interest rate increases and whether the restructuring results in a delay in payment that is insignificant. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. For purposes of measuring impairment on newly identified troubled debt restructurings, the amendments should be applied prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is expected to not have a material effect on the Company s operating results or financial condition.

In May, 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. and International accounting principles. Overall, the guidance is consistent with existing U.S. accounting principles; however, there are some amendments that change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in this guidance are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this amendment on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance and eliminated the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholder s equity. The amendment requires that comprehensive income be presented in either a single continuous statement or in two separate consecutive statements. The amendments in this guidance are effective as of the beginning of a fiscal reporting year, and interim periods within that year, that begins after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this amendment will change the presentation of the components of comprehensive income for the Company as part of the consolidated statement of shareholder s equity.

## Table of Contents

# CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES 

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)
December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

## (2) Trading Securities

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company initiated a trading securities portfolio at its lead subsidiary bank. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are included in trading securities revenue, a component of non interest income. Securities purchased for this portfolio have primarily been municipal securities. A list of the activity in this portfolio for 2011 and 2010 is summarized below.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Purchases | 249,225 | $\$$ |
| Proceeds from sales | $(252,140)$ | 304,750 |
| Net realized gain on sales | 485 | $(303,147)$ |
| Mark to market adjustment |  | 597 |
|  | $\$$ | 25 |
| Ending balance | $\$$ | $\$ 2,225$ |

## (3) Investment Securities Available for Sale

All of the mortgage backed securities ( MBS ) listed below are residential FNMA, FHLMC, and GNMA MBSs. The fair value of available for sale securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies and government sponsored enterprises | \$ 78,455 | \$ | 422 | \$ |  | \$ 78,877 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 464,237 |  | 7,309 |  | 552 | 470,994 |
| Municipal securities | 39,312 |  | 2,141 |  | 160 | 41,293 |
| Total | \$ 582,004 | \$ | 9,872 | \$ | 712 | \$ 591,164 |


|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies and government sponsored enterprises | \$ 113,183 | \$ | 732 | \$ | 499 | \$ 113,416 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 348,990 |  | 6,563 |  | 1,295 | 354,258 |
| Municipal securities | 34,079 |  | 259 |  | 1,085 | 33,253 |
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Sales of available for sale securities were as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Proceeds | $\$ 173,337$ | $\$ 235,273$ | $\$ 202,857$ |  |
| Gross gains | $\$$ | 3,595 | $\$$ | 7,056 |
| Gross losses | $\$$ | 131 | $\$$ | 22 |$) \$ 2,567$

The tax provisions related to these net realized gains were $\$ 1,303, \$ 2,647$ and $\$ 947$, respectively.

The fair value and amortized cost of available for sale securities at year end 2011 by contractual maturity were as follows. Mortgage-backed securities are not due at a single maturity date and are shown separately.

|  | Fair <br> Value | Amortized <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities available for sale | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| Due in one year or less | 11,951 |  |
| Due after one year through five years | 30,081 | 11,809 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 78,138 | 29,325 |
| Due after ten years through thirty years | 470,994 | 46,633 |
| Mortgage backed securities | $\$ 591,164$ | $\$ 582,004$ |

Securities pledged at December 31, 2011 and 2010 had a carrying amount (estimated fair value) of $\$ 147,620$ and $\$ 157,087$, respectively. These securities were pledged primarily to secure public deposits and repurchase agreements.

At year-end 2011 and 2010, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than $10 \%$ of stockholders equity.

The following tables show the Company s investments gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | nrealized <br> Losses |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { alue } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Valu } \end{aligned}$ |  | nrealized <br> Losses |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies and government sponsored enterprises | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 96,004 |  | 552 |  |  |  |  | 96,0 |  | 552 |

Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Municipal securities | 4,426 |  | 152 | 597 |  | 8 | 5,023 |  | 160 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | $\$ 100,430$ | $\$$ | 704 | $\$ 597$ | $\$$ | 8 | $\$ 101,027$ | $\$$ | 712 |
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|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 12 months |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government agencies and government sponsored enterprises | \$ 14,501 | \$ | 499 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 14,501 | \$ | 499 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 130,937 |  | 1,295 |  |  |  | 130,937 |  | 1,295 |
| Municipal securities | 19,135 |  | 880 | 1,246 |  | 205 | 20,381 |  | 1,085 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 164,573 | \$ | 2,674 | \$ 1,246 | \$ | 205 | \$ 165,819 | \$ | 2,879 |

Mortgage-backed securities: At December 31, 2011, 100\% of the mortgage-backed securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae, institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to support. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these mortgage-backed securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011

Municipal securities: Unrealized losses on municipal securities have not been recognized into income because the issuers bonds are of high quality, and because management does not intend to sell these investments or more likely than not will not be required to sell these investments before their anticipated recovery. The fair value is expected to recover as the securities approach maturity.
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(4) Loans

Major categories of loans included in the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements: |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 405,923 | \$ 255,571 |
| Commercial | 447,459 | 410,162 |
| Land, development, construction | 89,517 | 109,380 |
| Total real estate | 942,899 | 775,113 |
| Commercial | 126,064 | 100,906 |
| Consumer and other loans | 51,391 | 55,379 |
|  | 1,120,354 | 931,398 |
| Less: Deferred loan origination fees, net | 639 | 728 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses for noncovered loans | 27,585 | 26,267 |
| Net loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,092,130 | 904,403 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements: |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |
| Residential | 99,270 | 110,586 |
| Commercial | 54,184 | 68,286 |
| Land, development, construction | 8,231 | 13,653 |
| Total real estate | 161,685 | 192,525 |
| Commercial | 2,366 | 5,760 |
|  | 164,051 | 198,285 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses for covered loans | 359 |  |
| Net loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 163,692 | 198,285 |
| Total net loans | \$ 1,255,822 | \$ 1,102,688 |
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Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

|  | Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> Industrial |  | Consumer \& Other |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Land, develop., construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the period | \$ | 7,704 | \$ | 8,587 | \$ | 6,893 | \$ | 2,182 | \$ | 901 | \$ 26,267 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(9,306)$ |  | $(11,179)$ |  | $(7,717)$ |  | $(1,971)$ |  | $(1,091)$ | $(31,264)$ |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  | $(3,019)$ |  | $(11,153)$ |  | (456) |  | (220) |  |  | $(14,848)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 542 |  | 665 |  | 251 |  | 82 |  | 258 | 1,798 |
| Provisions |  | 10,779 |  | 21,905 |  | 10,127 |  | 1,911 |  | 910 | 45,632 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | 6,700 | \$ | 8,825 | \$ | 9,098 | \$ | 1,984 | \$ | 978 | \$ 27,585 |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the period |  | 5,840 | \$ | 9,378 | \$ | 4,887 | \$ | 2,023 | \$ | 1,161 | \$ 23,289 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(4,306)$ |  | $(8,131)$ |  | $(4,994)$ |  | (774) |  | (523) | $(18,728)$ |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  |  |  | $(8,361)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(8,361)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 178 |  | 42 |  | 167 |  | 11 |  | 45 | 443 |
| Provisions |  | 5,992 |  | 15,659 |  | 6,833 |  | 922 |  | 218 | 29,624 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | 7,704 | \$ | 8,587 | \$ | 6,893 | \$ | 2,182 | \$ | 901 | \$ 26,267 |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the period |  | 2,455 | \$ | 6,211 | \$ | 2,080 | \$ | 1,740 | \$ | 849 | \$ 13,335 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(3,442)$ |  | $(3,001)$ |  | $(6,457)$ |  | (830) |  | (353) | $(14,083)$ |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries |  | 16 |  | 6 |  | 43 |  | 29 |  | 47 | 141 |
| Provisions |  | 6,811 |  | 6,162 |  | 9,221 |  | 1,084 |  | 618 | 23,896 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | 5,840 | \$ | 9,378 | \$ | 4,887 | \$ | 2,023 | \$ | 1,161 | \$ 23,289 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the period | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |  | (293) |  |  |  |  | (293) |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  | 293 |  |  |  |  | 293 |
| Provisions |  | 82 |  | 223 |  | 40 |  | 14 |  |  | 359 |


| Twelve months ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of the period | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Twelve months ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning of the period | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge-offs loan sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
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The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Accrued interest receivable is not included in the recorded investment because it is not material.

|  |  |  |  | Estate L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of December 31, 2011 |  | sidential |  | mmercial |  | develop, ruction |  | mm. \& dustrial |  | nsumer <br> other |  | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 783 | \$ | 188 | \$ | 2,292 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 3,304 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 5,917 |  | 8,637 |  | 6,806 |  | 1,983 |  | 912 |  | 24,255 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 82 |  | 223 |  | 40 |  | 14 |  | 26 |  | 385 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ | 6,782 | \$ | 9,048 | \$ | 9,138 | \$ | 1,998 | \$ | 978 | \$ | 27,944 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment |  | 10,647 |  | 24,213 |  | 11,955 |  | 6,333 |  | 520 |  | 53,668 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 395,276 |  | 423,246 |  | 77,562 |  | 19,731 |  | 49,479 |  | ,065,294 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 99,270 |  | 54,184 |  | 8,231 |  | 2,366 |  | 1,392 |  | 165,443 |
| Total ending loans balance |  | 505,193 | \$ | 501,643 | \$ | 97,748 |  | 28,430 | \$ | 51,391 |  | 1,284,405 |


| Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of December 31, 2010 |  |  | Commercial |  | Land, develop, constr |  | Comm. \& industrial |  | Consumer \& other |  | Total |  |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 1,664 | \$ | 2,038 | \$ | 800 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 56 | \$ | 4,584 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 6,040 |  | 6,549 |  | 6,093 |  | 2,156 |  | 845 |  | 21,683 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ | 7,704 | \$ | 8,587 | \$ | 6,893 | \$ | 2,182 | \$ | 901 | \$ | 26,267 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment |  | 14,856 |  | 49,427 |  | 16,298 |  | 5,712 |  | 684 |  | 86,977 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 240,715 |  | 360,735 |  | 93,082 |  | 95,194 |  | 51,431 |  | 841,157 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | 110,586 |  | 68,286 |  | 13,653 |  | 5,760 |  | 3,264 |  | 201,549 |
| Total ending loans balance |  | 366,157 | \$ | 478,448 | \$ | 123,033 |  | 06,666 | \$ | 55,379 |  | 129,683 |
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The following is a summary of information regarding impaired loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| Individually impaired loans were as follows: | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Impaired loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses | $\$ 40,465$ | $\$ 61,498$ |
| Impaired loans with allocated allowance for loan losses | 13,203 | 25,479 |
|  |  | $\$ 53,668$ |
| Total impaired loans | $\$ 86,977$ |  |
| Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans | 3,304 | $\$ 4,584$ |
| Performing Trouble Debt Restructurings (TDRs) | $\$ 6,554$ | $\$ 10,591$ |
| Nonperforming TDRs, included in nonperforming loans | 5,807 | 11,731 |
| Total TDRs (TDRs are required to be included in impaired loans) | $\$ 12,361$ | $\$ 22,322$ |
| Impaired loans that are not TDRs | 41,307 | 64,655 |
| Total impaired loans | $\$ 53,668$ | $\$ 86,977$ |

## Troubled Debt Restructurings:

In this current real estate environment it has become more common to restructure or modify the terms of certain loans under certain conditions (i.e. troubled debt restructure or TDRs ). In those circumstances it may be beneficial to restructure the terms of a loan and work with the borrower for the benefit of both parties, versus forcing the property into foreclosure and having to dispose of it in an unfavorable real estate market. When we have modified the terms of a loan, we usually either reduce the monthly payment and/or interest rate for generally about twelve months. We have not forgiven any material principal amounts on any loan modifications to date. We have approximately $\$ 12,361$ of TDRs. Of this amount $\$ 6,554$ are performing pursuant to their modified terms, and $\$ 5,807$ are not performing and have been placed on non-accrual status and included in our non performing loans ( NPLs ). TDRs decreased during 2011 due to loan sales, charge-offs and transfers to OREO.

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Troubled debt restructured loans ( TDRs ): | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Performing TDRs | $\$ 6,554$ | $\$ 10,591$ |
| Non performing TDRs | 5,807 | 11,731 |
|  |  | $\$ 12,361$ |

TDRs as of December 31, 2011 quantified by loan type classified separately as accrual (performing loans) and non-accrual (non performing loans) are presented in the table below.

| TDRs | Accruing | Non-Accrual | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans: | $\$ 4,894$ | $\$$ | 4,270 | $\$ 9,164$ |
| Residential | 692 | 1,200 | 1,892 |  |
| Commercial | 208 | 233 | 441 |  |
| Land, development, construction |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,794 | 5,703 | 11,497 |  |
| Total real estate loans | 344 |  | 344 |  |
| Commercial | 416 | 104 | 520 |  |
| Consumer and other | $\$ 6,554$ | $\$$ | 5,807 | $\$ 12,361$ |
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Our policy is to return non-accrual TDR loans to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due, pursuant to its modified terms, are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Our policy also considers the payment history of the borrower, but is not dependent upon a specific number of payments. The Company recorded a provision for loan loss expense of $\$ 1,054$ and partial charge offs of $\$ 1,790$ on the TDR loans described above during the period ending December 31, 2011.

Loans are modified to minimize loan losses when we believe the modification will improve the borrower sfinancial condition and ability to repay the loan. We typically do not forgive principal. We generally either reduce interest rates or decrease monthly payments for a temporary period of time and those reductions of cash flows are capitalized into the loan balance. A summary of the types of concessions made are presented in the table below.

|  | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3 months interest only | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ |
| 6 months interest only | 1,386 |
| 9 months interest only | 25 |
| 12 months interest only | 3,471 |
| 18 months interest only | 189 |
| payment reduction for 12 months | 2,527 |
| all other | 4,627 |
| Total TDRs | $\$$ |

Approximately $53 \%$ of our TDRs are current pursuant to their modified terms, and about $\$ 5,807$, or approximately $47 \%$ of our total TDRs are not performing pursuant to their modified terms. Thus far, there does not appear to be any significant difference in success rates with one type of concession versus another. It appears that the longer the period from modification date, the higher the probability of not performing pursuant to the modified terms. Non performing TDRs average approximately twenty-one months in age from their modification date through December 31, 2011. Performing TDRs average approximately fifteen months in age from their modification date through December 31, 2011.

The following table presents loans by class modified as TDRs for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the year ending December 31, 2011.

|  | Number of <br> Loans | Recorded <br> Investment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 3 | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate | 563 |  |
| Land, development, construction |  |  |
| Commercial | 2 |  |
| Consumer and other | 5 | $\$$ |
| Total | 5 | 619 |

Provision for loan loss expense related to the table above was not material.

The Company has allocated $\$ 954$ and $\$ 2,668$ of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company has not committed to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.
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The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements, which are evaluated on a pool basis. The recorded investment is less than the unpaid principal balance primarily due to partial charge-offs of $\$ 10,039$ and $\$ 9,172$ as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

| As of December 31, 2011 | Unpaid <br> principal <br> balance | Recorded <br> investment | Allowance for <br> loan losses <br> allocated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: | $\$ 4,314$ | $\$ 8,402$ | $\$$ |
| Residential real estate | 26,966 | 23,854 |  |
| Commercial real estate | 11,665 | 6,888 |  |
| Land, development, construction | 6,409 | 6,321 |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |
| Consumer, other | 7,733 | 7,245 |  |
| With an allowance recorded: | 404 | 359 | 783 |
| Residential real estate | 5,713 | 5,067 | 2,292 |
| Commercial real estate | 12 | 12 | 1 |
| Land, development, construction | 545 | 520 | 40 |
| Commercial | $\$ 63,761$ | $\$ 53,668$ | $\$$ |
| Consumer, other |  | 3,304 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |


| As of December 31, 2010 | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment |  | Allowance for loan losses allocated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ 4,423 | \$ | 3,468 | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate | 45,864 |  | 41,870 |  |  |
| Land, development, construction | 14,413 |  | 10,691 |  |  |
| Commercial | 5,469 |  | 5,469 |  |  |
| Consumer, other |  |  |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | 11,569 |  | 11,387 |  | 1,664 |
| Commercial real estate | 7,875 |  | 7,557 |  | 2,038 |
| Land, development, construction | 5,609 |  | 5,608 |  | 800 |
| Commercial | 243 |  | 243 |  | 26 |
| Consumer, other | 684 |  | 684 |  | 56 |
| Total | \$ 96,149 | \$ | 86,977 | \$ | 4,584 |

## Table of Contents

## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

| December 30, 2011 | Average of impaired loans during the period |  | Interest income recognized during impairment |  |  | Cash basis interest income recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ | 13,035 | \$ | 261 |  | \$ |
| Commercial |  | 40,403 |  | 855 |  |  |
| Land, development, construction |  | 14,348 |  | 118 |  |  |
| Total real estate loans |  | 67,786 |  | 1,234 |  |  |
| Commercial loans |  | 6,144 |  | 262 |  |  |
| Consumer and other loans |  | 572 |  | 21 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 74,502 | \$ | 1,517 |  | \$ |
| December 31, |  |  |  |  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Average of impaired loans during the period |  |  |  |  | \$ 82,695 | \$ 42,962 |
| Interest income recognized during the period |  |  |  |  | 2,330 | 1,731 |
| Cash basis interest income recognized |  |  |  |  | 2,234 | 1,590 |

Nonperforming loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

| Nonperforming loans were as follows: | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Non accrual loans | $\$ 38,858$ | $\$ 62,553$ |
| Loans past due over 90 days and still accruing interest | 120 | 3,200 |
|  |  | $\$ 38,978$ |
| Total non performing loans | $\$ 65,753$ |  |

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of December 31, 2011 and, excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration:

|  |  | Loans past due <br> over 90 days <br> still accruing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As of December 31, 2011 | Nonaccrual | $\$ 4,810$ |


| Commercial | 1,464 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer, other |  | 465 | 120 |  |
| Total | \$ | 38,858 | \$ | 120 |
| As of December 31, 2010 | Nonaccrual |  | Loans past due over 90 days still accruing |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ | 17,282 | \$ | 1,820 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 28,364 |  | 869 |
| Land, development, construction |  | 15,546 |  | 366 |
| Commercial |  | 615 |  | 83 |
| Consumer, other |  | 746 |  | 62 |
| Total | \$ | 62,553 | \$ | 3,200 |
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The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements:

\left.|  | Accruing Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\right)$


\left.|  | Accruing Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

## Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than $\$ 500$ and non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on at least an annual basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management sclose attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution scredit position at some future date.

Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

## Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-K

Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.
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Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Loans listed as not rated are either less than $\$ 500$ or are included in groups of homogeneous loans. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans, excluding loans with evidence of deterioration of credit quality purchased from the FDIC and covered by FDIC loss share agreements, is as follows:

| Loan Category | As of December 31, 2011 Special |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Real Estate | \$ 373,833 | \$ 6,723 | \$ | 25,367 | \$ |
| Commercial Real Estate | 363,376 | 52,161 |  | 31,922 |  |
| Land/Dev/Construction | 61,854 | 13,070 |  | 14,593 |  |
| Commercial | 111,782 | 4,314 |  | 9,968 |  |
| Consumer | 49,693 | 689 |  | 1,009 |  |
|  | \$ 960,538 | \$ 76,957 | \$ | 82,859 | \$ |
| Loan Category | Pass | As of Dec Special Mention | Sul | 2010 <br> tandard | Doubtful |
| Residential Real Estate | \$ 216,164 | \$ 8,555 | \$ | 30,852 | \$ |
| Commercial Real Estate | 336,869 | 19,300 |  | 53,993 |  |
| Land/Dev/Construction | 77,811 | 8,001 |  | 23,568 |  |
| Commercial | 88,290 | 2,806 |  | 9,810 |  |
| Consumer | 52,850 | 838 |  | 1,691 |  |
|  | \$ 771,984 | \$ 39,500 | \$ | 119,914 | \$ |

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential and consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans, excluding loans with evidence of deterioration of credit quality purchased from the FDIC, based on payment activity as of December 31, 2011:

|  | Residential | Consumer |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Performing | $\$ 391,113$ | $\$ 49,414$ |
| Nonperforming | 14,810 | 585 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 405,923$ | $\$ 49,999$ |

## Purchased Loans:

Income recognized on loans we purchased from the FDIC is recognized pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. A portion of the fair value discount has been ascribed as an accretable yield that is accreted into interest income over the estimated remaining life of the loans. The remaining non-accretable difference represents cash flows not expected to be collected.
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The table below summarizes the total contractually required principal and interest cash payments, management s estimate of expected total cash payments and carrying value of the loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Contractually required principal and interest | $\$ 291,531$ | $\$ 320,220$ |
| Non-accretable difference | $(51,536)$ | $(79,658)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | 239,995 | 240,562 |
| Accretable yield | $(74,552)$ | $(39,013)$ |
| Carrying value of acquired loans | $\$ 165,443$ | $\$ 201,549$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(385)$ |  |
| Carrying value less allowance for loan losses | $\$ 165,058$ | $\$ 201,549$ |

$\$ 385$ and $\$ 0$ of the allowance for loan losses was recognized in the loan loss provision during 2011 and 2010, respectively. There were reversals in the loan loss allowance of \$293 for recoveries in 2011 and none for 2010.

The Company adjusted our estimates of future expected losses, cash flows and renewal assumptions during the current year. These adjustments resulted in an increase in expected cash flows and accretable yield, and a decrease in the non-accretable difference. The table below summarizes the changes in total contractually required principal and interest cash payments, management s estimate of expected total cash payments and carrying value of the loans during period ending December 31, 2011.

|  | Balance at <br> December 31, 2010 | income <br> accretion | all other <br> adjustments | Balance at <br> December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractually required principal and <br> interest | $\$$ | 320,220 |  | $\$(28,689)$ | $\$$ | 291,531 |
| Non-accretable difference | $(79,658)$ |  | 28,122 | $(51,536)$ |  |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected |  | 240,562 |  |  | $(567)$ | 239,995 |
| Accretable yield | $(39,013)$ | 11,658 | $(47,197)$ | $(74,552)$ |  |  |
| Carrying value of acquired loans | $\$$ | 201,549 | $\$ 11,658$ | $\$(47,764)$ | $\$$ | 165,443 |
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## (5) FDIC indemnification asset

The activity in the FDIC loss share indemnification asset which resulted from the July 16, 2010 acquisition of Olde Cypress Community Bank and the August 20, 2010 acquisitions of the Community National Bank of Bartow and Independent National Bank in Ocala loss share agreements is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of the year | $\mathbf{5 9 , 4 5 6}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 0 3}$ |
| Effect of acquisition | $(503)$ | 58,309 |
| Discount accretion | 845 | 598 |
| Indemnification income ORE | 2,177 |  |
| Indemnification of foreclosure expense | $(11,620)$ |  |
| Proceeds from FDIC | 287 |  |
| Impairment of loan pool | $\$ 50,642$ | $\$ 59,456$ |

## Impairment of loan pools

Loan pools covered by FDIC loss share agreements were impaired by $\$ 359$ which was an expense included in our loan loss provision expense. The $80 \%$ FDIC reimbursable amount of this expense ( $\$ 287$ ) was included in the Company s non interest income and as an increase in the Company s FDIC indemnification asset.

## Indemnification Income

Indemnification Income represents approximately $80 \%$ of the cost incurred pursuant to the repossession process and losses incurred on the sale of OREO, or writedown of OREO values to current fair value, and are included in non-interest income. These costs are reimbursable from the FDIC. Losses on the sale of OREO, or writedown of OREO to current fair value are included in non-interest expense.

## Discount Accretion

If expected cash flows from loan pools are greater than previously expected, the accretable yield increases and is accreted into interest income over the remaining lives of the related loan pools. The increase in future accretable income may result in less reimbursement from the FDIC (i.e. if the expected losses decrease, then the expected reimbursements from the FDIC decrease). The expected decrease in FDIC reimbursements is amortized over the period of the related increase in accretable yield from the related loan pools.

## Indemnification of foreclosure expense

Indemnification of foreclosure expense represents approximately $80 \%$ of the foreclosure related expenses incurred and reimbursable from the FDIC. Foreclosure expense is included in non interest expense. The amount of the reimbursable portion of the expense reduces foreclosure expense included in non interest expense.
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Other real estate owned means real estate acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure. Activity in the valuation allowance was as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of year | $\$ 2,650$ | $\$ 1,704$ | $\$$ |
| Additions charged to expenses | 6,751 | 2,951 | 2,188 |
| Sales and/or dispositions | $(4,721)$ | $(2,005)$ | $(823)$ |
| End of year | $\$ 4,680$ | $\$ 2,650$ | $\$ 1,704$ |

Expenses related to foreclosed real estate include:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net loss on sales | $\$ 545$ | $\$ \quad 756$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Provision for unrealized losses | 6,751 | 2,951 | 2,188 |
| Operating expenses, net of rental income | 4,268 | 2,113 | 1,049 |
| Total | $\$ 11,564$ | $\$ 5,820$ | $\$ 4,009$ |

## (7) Fair value

Generally accepted accounting principles establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).
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The fair values of trading securities are determined as follows: (1) for those securities that have traded prior to December 31, 2011 but have not settled (date of sale) until after such date, the sales price is used as the fair value; and (2) for those securities which have not traded as of December 31, 2011, the fair value was determined by broker price indications of similar or same securities.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

| at December 31, 2011 |  | Fair value measurements using |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Available for sale securities |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | 78,877 |  | 78,877 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities | 470,994 |  | 470,994 |  |
| Municipal securities | 41,293 |  | 41,293 |  |
| at December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities | \$ 2,225 |  | \$ 2,225 |  |
| Available for sale securities |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | 113,416 |  | 113,416 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities | 354,258 |  | 354,258 |  |
| Municipal securities | 33,253 |  | 33,253 |  |
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Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below.

Fair value measurements using


## at December 31, 2010

Assets:

| Impaired loans |  | $\$ 9,723$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Residential real estate | 5,519 | 9,723 |
| Commercial real estate | 4,808 | 5,519 |
| Construction, land development and land | 217 | 4,808 |
| Commercial | 628 | 217 |
| Consumer | $\$ 2,372$ | 628 |
| Other real estate owned | 6,851 | $\$$ |
| Residential real estate | 3,016 | 2,372 |
| Commercial real estate | 6,851 |  |
| Construction, land development and land |  | 3,016 |

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a recorded investment of $\$ 13,203$, with a valuation allowance of $\$ 3,304$, at December 31,2011 , and a recorded investment of $\$ 25,479$, with a valuation allowance of $\$ 4,584$, at December 31, 2010. The Company recorded a provision for loan loss expense of $\$ 3,480$ and $\$ 4,106$ on these loans during years ending 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach.

The fair value of repossessed real estate ( other real estate owned or OREO ) is determined using Level 3 inputs which include current and prior appraisals and estimated costs to sell. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. The decline in fair value of other real estate owned was $\$ 6,751$ and $\$ 2,951$ during the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Changes in fair value were recorded directly as an adjustment to current earnings

[^0]
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## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value that were not previously described are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using underlying collateral values. At December 31, 2011 the fair value of loans was estimated by a third party specialist in connection with the Company s goodwill impairment analysis, and at December 31, 2010 it was based upon management s internal valuation using management s software and management s estimates of credit losses as described above. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of the FDIC Indemnification Asset, Federal Home Loan Bank stock or Federal Reserve Bank stock due to restrictions placed on transferability. The fair value of off-balance-sheet items is not considered material.

The following tables present the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments:

|  | Dec 31, 2011 |  | Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value | Carrying Amount | Fair <br> Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 151,095 | \$ 151,095 | \$ 177,515 | \$ 177,515 |
| Trading securities |  |  | 2,225 | 2,225 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 591,164 | 591,164 | 500,927 | 500,927 |
| FHLB and FRB stock | 10,804 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 10,122 | n/a |
| Loans held for sale | 3,741 | 3,741 | 673 | 673 |
| Loans, less allowance for loan losses of $\$ 27,944$ and $\$ 23,289$, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 1,255,822 | 1,185,089 | 1,102,688 | 1,109,853 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 50,642 | 50,642 | 59,456 | 59,456 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,929 | 6,929 | 6,570 | 6,570 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits- without stated maturities | \$ 1,312,871 | \$ 1,312,871 | \$ 1,027,781 | \$ 1,027,781 |
| Deposits- with stated maturities | 606,918 | 616,238 | 657,813 | 667,632 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 14,652 | 14,652 | 13,789 | 13,789 |
| Federal funds purchased (correspondent bank deposits) | 54,624 | 54,624 | 68,495 | 68,495 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and |  |  | 15,000 | 15,113 |
| other borrowed funds |  |  | 12,500 | 6,075 |
| Corporate debentures | 16,945 | 8,367 | 1,148 | 1,148 |
| Accrued interest payable | 778 | 778 | \$ 1,027,781 | \$ 1,027,781 |
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(8) Bank Premises and Equipment

A summary of bank premises and equipment as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, is as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Land | $\$ 33,712$ | $\$$ |
| land |  |  |
| Land improvements | 833 | 751 |
| Buildings | 49,220 | 45,351 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,046 | 1,870 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 24,849 | 20,802 |
| Construction in progress | 7,277 | 3,314 |
|  |  |  |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | 117,937 | 104,735 |
|  | 23,579 | 19,753 |
|  | $\$ 94,358$ | $\$ 84,982$ |

The Company leases land and certain facilities under noncancellable operating leases. The following is a schedule of future minimum annual rentals under the noncancellable operating leases:

| Year ending December 31, | $\$ 1,320$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2012 | 942 |
| 2013 | 815 |
| 2014 | 502 |
| 2015 | 423 |
| 2016 | 1,285 |

occupancy expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Rental income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, was $\$ 487, \$ 527$, and $\$ 489$, respectively, and is included in occupancy expense.

## (9) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill was a result of whole bank acquisitions, all within the Company s commercial and retail banking segment. The change in balance for goodwill during the years 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Beginning of year | $\$ 38,035$ | $\$ 32,840$ | $\$ 28,118$ |
| Acquired goodwill |  | 5,195 | 4,722 |
| Impairment |  |  |  |
| End of year | $\$ 38,035$ | $\$ 38,035$ | $\$ 32,840$ |

Our annual impairment analysis as of November 30, 2011, indicated that the Step 2 analysis was necessary. Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the impairment loss, if any. Step 2 requires that the implied fair value of the bank reporting unit goodwill be compared to the carrying amount of that
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goodwill. If the carrying amount of the bank reporting unit goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. After performing Step 2 it was determined that the implied value of goodwill was not less than the carrying costs and no impairment loss was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2011. In the step 2 analysis, management based the fair value estimate of the loan portfolio on a valuation performed by a third party specialist. The estimated fair value of land and buildings was based upon third party real estate appraisals. The fair value of the reporting unit at November 30, 2011 was estimated based upon the fair value determined by a third party specialist that estimated the value based upon a composite of a discounted cash flow estimate of the bank reporting unit s fair value, stock market capitalization, and deal value to book value of tangible equity ratios observed in recent comparable banking sector merger and acquisition transactions.

Acquired intangible assets consists of core deposit intangibles (CDI ) which are intangible assets arising from either whole bank or branch acquisitions. They are initially measured at fair value and then amortized over a ten-year period on an accelerated basis using the projected decay rates of the underlying core deposits. The change in balance for CDI during the years 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of year | $\$ 3,921$ | $\$ 2,422$ | $\$ 3,948$ |
| Acquired CDI | 2,086 | 2,018 | 466 |
| Amortization expense | $(804)$ | $(519)$ | $(792)$ |
| Impairment expense |  |  | $(1,200)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| End of year | $\$, 203$ | $\$ 3,921$ | $\$ 2,422$ |

Due to a sustained loss of previously acquired core deposit accounts combined with an overall decrease in the cost of alternative funds, a core deposit intangible impairment loss of $\$ 1,200$ was recognized at year end 2009. The fair value used to determine the impairment loss was estimated using present value of expected future cash flows.

Acquired intangible assets were as follows for years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross | Accumulated Amortization |  | Gross | Accumulated Amortization |  |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  |  | Carrying Amount |  |  |
| Amortized intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core deposit intangibles | \$ 9,711 | \$ | 4,508 | \$ 7,625 | \$ | 3,704 |

Estimated amortization expense for each of the next five years:

| 2012 | $\$ 893$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2013 | 739 |
| 2014 | 682 |
| 2015 | 656 |
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## (10) Deposits

A detail of deposits at December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | Weighted Average Interest Rate | 2010 |  | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | \$ | 423,128 | \% | \$ | 323,224 | \% |
| Interest bearing deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand deposits |  | 344,303 | 0.1\% |  | 282,405 | 0.3\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 205,387 | 0.2\% |  | 198,428 | 0.4\% |
| Money market accounts |  | 340,053 | 0.3\% |  | 223,724 | 0.5\% |
| Time deposits less than \$100,000 |  | 263,997 | 1.5\% |  | 266,744 | 1.5\% |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or greater |  | 342,921 | 1.8\% |  | 391,069 | 1.8\% |
|  |  | ,919,789 | 0.6\% |  | ,685,594 | 0.8\% |

The following table presents the amount of certificate accounts at December 31, 2011, maturing during the periods reflected below:

| Year | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2012 | $\$ 399,686$ |
| 2013 | 65,179 |
| 2014 | 56,503 |
| 2015 | 71,705 |
| 2016 | 12,746 |
| Thereafter | 1,099 |

Total
\$ 606,918

## (11) Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

The Company s subsidiary banks enter into borrowing arrangements with their retail business customers by agreements to repurchase ( repurchase agreements ) under which the banks pledge investment securities owned and under its control as collateral against the one-day borrowing arrangement.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had $\$ 14,652$ and $\$ 13,789$ in repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are secured by U.S. treasury securities and government agency securities with fair values of $\$ 42,929$ and $\$ 41,131$ at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Information concerning repurchase agreements is summarized as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average daily balance during the year | $\$ 15,949$ | $\$ 21,254$ | $\$ 24,276$ |
| Average interest rate during the year | $0.53 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ |
| Maximum month-end balance during the year | $\$ 18,652$ | $\$ 25,367$ | $\$ 29,562$ |
| Weighted average interest rate at year end | $0.47 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
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## (12) Federal Funds Purchased

Federal funds purchased, as listed below, are overnight deposits from correspondent banks. The Company commenced accepting correspondent bank deposits during September 2008. Information concerning these deposits is summarized as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average daily balance during the year | $\$ 70,940$ | $\$ 105,344$ | $\$ 228,815$ |
| Average interest rate during the period | $0.07 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ |
| Maximum month-end balance during the year | $\$ 92,111$ | $\$ 139,032$ | $\$ 298,620$ |
| Weighted average interest rate at year end | $0.05 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |

(13) Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowed funds

From time to time, the Company borrows either through Federal Home Loan Bank advances or Federal Funds Purchased, other than correspondent bank deposits listed in note 11 above. At year end, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Matures January 7, 2011, interest rate is fixed at $3.63 \%$ | $\$$ | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Matures January 10, 2011, interest rate is fixed at $1.84 \%$ |  | 3,000 |
| Matures January 11, 2011, interest rate is fixed at $0.61 \%$ |  | 3,000 |
| Matures June 27, 2011, interest rate is fixed at $3.93 \%$ | 3,000 |  |
| Matures December 30, 2011, interest rate is fixed at $2.30 \%$ |  |  |
|  | $\$ 15,000$ |  |

Advances are collateralized by residential and commercial loans under a blanket lien arrangement and based on this collateral, and the Company s holdings of FHLB stock, the Company is eligible to borrow up to $\$ 112,768$ at year end 2011.

## (14) Corporate Debenture

In September 2003, the Company formed CenterState Banks of Florida Statutory Trust I (the Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 22, 2003, the Company issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 10,000$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 305 basis points). The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Trust, at their respective option after five years, and sooner in specific events, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes. The Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of this Trust (variable interest entity), therefore the trust is not consolidated in the Company s financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability. The Company s investment in the common stock of the trust was $\$ 310$ and is included in other assets.
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In September 2004, Valrico Bancorp Inc. ( VBI ) formed Valrico Capital Statutory Trust ( Valrico Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 9, 2004, VBI issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 2,500$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture. On April 2, 2007, the Company acquired all the assets and assumed all the liabilities of VBI pursuant to the merger agreement, including VBI s corporate debenture and related trust preferred security discussed above. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 270 basis points). The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Valrico Trust, at their respective option after five years, and sooner in specific events, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes. The Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of this Trust (variable interest entity), therefore the trust is not consolidated in the Company s financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability. The Company s investment in the common stock of the trust was $\$ 77$ and is included in other assets.

In November 2011, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Federal Trust Corporation ( FTC ) from The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (Hartford ) pursuant to an acquisition agreement, including FTC s corporate debenture and related trust preferred security issued through FTC s finance subsidiary Federal Trust Statutory Trust ( FTC Trust). The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 295 basis points). The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives maturing in 2033. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the FTC Trust, at their respective option after five years, and sooner in specific events, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. The Company has treated the corporate debenture as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes. The Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of this Trust (variable interest entity), therefore the trust is not consolidated in the Company s financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability. The Company s investment in the common stock of the trust was $\$ 155$ and is included in other assets.
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## (15) Income Taxes

Allocation of federal and state income tax expense (benefit) between current and deferred portions for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, is as follows:

|  | Current | Deferred | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2011: |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ (169) | \$ 2,818 | \$ 2,649 |
| State | 288 | 482 | 770 |
|  | \$ 119 | \$ 3,300 | \$ 3,419 |
| December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ (1,772) | \$ (1,935) | \$ $(3,707)$ |
| State | (202) | (331) | (533) |
|  | \$ $(1,974)$ | \$ $(2,266)$ | \$ $(4,240)$ |
| December 31, 2009: |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ 417 | \$ (4,499) | \$ $(4,082)$ |
| State | 107 | (712) | (605) |
|  | \$ 524 | \$ $(5,211)$ | \$ $(4,687)$ |

The tax effect of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010, are presented below:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3eferred tax assets: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $\$ 10,450$ | $\$ 9,819$ |
| Deferred loan fees | 230 | 255 |
| Stock based compensation | 411 | 413 |
| Deferred compensation | 1,180 | 980 |
| Impairment expenses | 290 | 290 |
| Net operating loss carryforward | 16,013 | 2,332 |
| Other real estate owned expenses | 2,300 | 1,269 |
| Nonaccrual interest | 887 | 243 |
| Other | 520 | 476 |
|  |  |  |
| Total deferred tax asset | 32,281 | 16,077 |


| Deferred tax liabilities: |  | $(3,772)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Premises and equipment, due to differences in depreciation methods and useful lives | $(21,273)$ | $(9,612)$ |
| Fair value adjustments | $(293)$ | $(293)$ |
| Like kind exchange | $(3,447)$ | $(1,759)$ |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale | $(45)$ | $(45)$ |
| Accretion of discounts on investments | $(28,830)$ | $(7,638)$ |
| Total deferred tax liability | $\$ 3,451$ | $\$ 8,439$ |
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At December 31, 2011, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately $\$ 42,299$ for Federal and $\$ 35,373$ for state which will begin to expire in 2030. Deferred tax assets are recognized for net operating losses because the benefit is more likely than not to be realized.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In performing this analysis, the Company considers all evidence currently available, both positive and negative, in determining whether based on the weight of that evidence, it is more likely than not the deferred tax asset will be realized. The ultimate realization of the net deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Earnings forecasts were prepared for 2012 through 2015. These forecasts include projections of net interest income, non interest income, non interest expense, the provision for loan losses, and the impact of the acquisition of two failed banks in January of 2012. These forecasts also included the expected impact of cost savings initiatives, credit related costs, merger and acquisition related expenses, estimates of impaired loans, estimates of the levels of non performing assets and net charge-offs.

Management s evaluation also considered the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, the Company s long history of profitability, the three year cumulative loss position of the Company, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income, improvements in the Company s credit quality trends, the reduction in non performing assets, projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, and the probability of achieving the projected taxable income, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of the states of Florida, Georgia and Alabama. The Company is currently in the early stages of an Internal Revenue Service examination of years 2008 and 2009, and has not been informed of any material findings. The Company is no longer subject to examination by taxing authorities for the years before 2008. The Company has not paid any material interest or penalties in years 2009, 2010 and 2011.

A reconciliation between the actual tax expense and the expected tax (benefit) expense, computed by applying the U.S. federal corporate rate of 34 percent is as follows:

|  | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  |
| Expected tax (benefit) expense | $\$ 3,851$ | $\$(3,459)$ | $\$(3,707)$ |
| Tax exempt interest, net | $(856)$ | $(546)$ | $(546)$ |
| Bank owned life insurance | $(329)$ | $(263)$ | $(187)$ |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefits | 508 | $(353)$ | $(400)$ |
| Stock based compensation | 111 | 120 | 106 |
| Other, net | 134 | 261 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |
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(16) Related-Party Transactions

Loans to principal officers, directors, and their affiliates during 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$ 23,325 | \$ 36,508 |
| New loans | 6,875 | 3,982 |
| Effect of changes in composition of related parties |  | $(13,629)$ |
| Repayments | $(7,259)$ | $(3,536)$ |
| Ending balance | \$ 22,941 | \$ 23,325 |

At December 31, 2011 and 2010 principal officers, directors, and their affiliates had $\$ 6,680$ and $\$ 8,636$, respectively, of available lines of credit.
Deposits from principal officers, directors, and their affiliates at year-end 2011 and 2010 were approximately $\$ 18,321$ and $\$ 14,622$, respectively.

## (17) Regulatory Capital Matters

The Company and the Banks are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2011, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2011, the most recent notification from the Office of Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC categorized the Banks as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Banks must maintain total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the institution s category.
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A summary of actual, required, and capital levels necessary for capital adequacy purposes for the Company as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, are presented in the table below. There is no threshold for well-capitalized status for bank holding companies.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc} & & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { To be well } \\ \text { capitalized under } \\ \text { Prompt corrective }\end{array} \\ \text { action provision } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right]$

A summary of actual, required, and capital levels necessary for capital adequacy purposes in the case of the each of the Company s subsidiary banks as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, are presented in the table below.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccc} & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { To be well } \\ \text { capitalized under } \\ \text { prompt corrective } \\ \text { action provision } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right]$
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## (18) Dividends

The Company declared and paid cash dividends on its common stock of $\$ 1,201, \$ 1,116$ and $\$ 1,139$ during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company also paid dividends on its preferred stock issued pursuant to TARP of $\$ 1,196$ during the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company repurchased all of its preferred stock issued pursuant to TARP on September 30, 2009 and is no longer subject to preferred stock dividend payments. Banking regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid by the subsidiary banks to the Company without prior approval of the Bank s regulatory agency. At December 31, 2011, dividends from the subsidiary banks available to be paid to the Company, without prior approval of the Banks regulatory agency, was $\$ 21,927$, subject to the Banks meeting or exceeding regulatory capital requirements.

## (19) Stock-Based Compensation

On April 24, 2007, the Company s shareholders approved the CenterState 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan ) and approved an amendment to the 2007 Plan on April 28, 2009. The 2007 Plan, as amended, replaces the 1999 Plan discussed below. The 2007 Plan, as amended, authorizes the issuance of up to $1,350,000$ shares of the Company stock. Of this amount, $1,200,000$ shares are allocated to employees, all of which may be issued as incentive stock options, and 150,000 shares are allocated to directors. During 2011, the Company granted employee incentive stock options for 4,000 shares, with an average exercise price of $\$ 5.78$ per share, pursuant to this plan. Options were granted at fair market value of the underlying stock at date of grant. Each option expires ten years from the date of grant. These options vest over a nine year period. In addition to incentive stock options, on August 15, 2011, the Company also awarded 18,500 shares of restricted stock with a fair value of $\$ 5.78$ per share at the date of grate. These restricted stock awards vest ratably over periods ranging from five to seven years. At December 31, 2011, there were a total of 398,333 shares available for future grants pursuant to this Plan.

In 1999, the Company authorized 730,000 common shares for employees of the Company under an incentive stock option and non-statutory stock option plan (the 1999 Plan ). Options were granted at fair market value of the underlying stock at date of grant. Each option expires ten years from the date of grant. Options became $25 \%$ vested immediately as of the grant date and continued to vest at a rate of $25 \%$ on each anniversary date thereafter until fully vested. There were no stock options granted pursuant to the 1999 Plan
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subsequent to December 31, 2006. The 2007 Plan, discussed above, replaced the 1999 Plan. At December 31, 2011 there were 326,704 stock options outstanding which were granted pursuant to the 1999 Plan, all of which were currently exercisable. No future stock options will be granted from this Plan.

In addition to the 1999 Plan, the Company assumed and converted the stock option plans of its subsidiary banks consistent with the terms and conditions of their respective merger agreements. These options are all vested and exercisable. At December 31, 2011, they represented exercisable options for 66,800 shares of the Company s common stock.

In 2004, the Company s shareholders authorized an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( ESPP ). The number of shares of common stock for which options may be granted under the ESPP is 400,000 , which amount shall be increased on December 31 of each calendar year. At December 31, 2011, there were no options outstanding pursuant to this plan, and no activity occurred during the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 relating to our ESPP.

The Company s stock-based compensation consists primarily of stock options and commencing in 2009 also includes restricted stock grants ( RSA ). During the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized total stock-based compensation expense as listed in the table below.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock option expense | $\$ 398$ | $\$ 425$ | $\$ 405$ |
| RSA expense | 307 | 274 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | $\$ 705$ | $\$ 699$ | $\$ 420$ |

There is no income tax benefit provided for in the Company s tax provision for qualified incentive stock options. The Company receives a tax benefit when a non qualified stock option is exercised. The total income tax benefit related to the exercise of non qualified stock options was approximately $\$ 0, \$ 66$ and $\$ 7$ during the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company provided an income tax benefit in its tax provision for RSA expenses of approximately $\$ 115, \$ 103$ and $\$ 5$ during the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options, net of estimated forfeitures, was approximately $\$ 1,554$ and will be recognized over the next nine years. The weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is approximately 3.2 years.

As of December 31, 2011, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted grants, net of estimated forfeitures, was approximately $\$ 1,694$ and will be recognized over the next nine years. The weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is approximately 4.3 years.

The Company granted stock options for $4,000,70,800$ and 145,000 shares of common stock during the twelve month periods ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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The estimated fair value of options granted during these periods were calculated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The weighted-average assumptions as of the grant date are as follows:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Expected option life | 7.7 years | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ years | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ years |
| Risk-free interest rate | $1.60 \%$ | $2.96 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | $42.8 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| Dividend yield | $0.69 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ |

The Company determined the expected life of the stock options using the simplified method approach allowed for plain-vanilla share options as described in SAB 107. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect as of the grant date. Expected volatility was determined using historical volatility.

ASC 718 requires the recognition of stock-based compensation for the number of awards that are ultimately expected to vest. As a result, for most awards, recognized stock compensation would be reduced for estimated forfeitures prior to vesting. Based on historical data, the Company expects the annual forfeiture rates to be immaterial. Estimated forfeitures will be reassessed in subsequent periods and may change based on new facts and circumstances. Prior to January 1, 2006, actual forfeitures were accounted for as they occurred for purposes of required pro forma stock compensation disclosures.

The weighted-average estimated fair value of stock options granted during the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 2.46$ per share, $\$ 4.25$ per share and $\$ 4.12$ per share respectively.

The table below present s information related to stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 342$ | $\$ 58$ |
| Cash received from stock options exercised | 38 | 669 | 180 |
| Gross income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options |  | 66 | 7 |

A summary of stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted- |  |  |$\quad$| December 31, 2010 |
| :---: |
| Weighted- |
| Average |$\quad$| December 31, 2009 |
| :---: |
| Weighted- |
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|  | Number of <br> Options | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Contractual <br> Term | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Options outstanding, December 31, 2011 | $1,128,304$ | $\$ 14.03$ | 5.2 years | $\$ \$$ |
| Options fully vested and expected to vest, December 31, 2011 | $1,022,963$ | $\$$ | 14.16 | 5.1 years |
| Options exercisable, December 31, 2011 | 628,384 | $\$$ | $\$$ | 3 |

## (20) Employee Benefit Plan

Substantially all of the Company s employees are covered under it is $401(\mathrm{k})$ defined contribution retirement plan. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan after completing six months of continuous employment. The Company contributes an amount equal to a certain percentage of the employees contributions based on the discretion of the Board of Directors. In addition, the Company may also make additional contributions to the plan each year, subject to profitability and other factors, and based solely on the discretion of the Board of Directors. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company s contributions to the plan were $\$ 983, \$ 814$ and $\$ 541$, respectively, which are included in salary and benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In 2008, the Company entered into a salary continuation agreement with its chief executive officer. Five additional Company executive officers entered into salary continuation agreements during 2010. In 2007, an additional four pre-existing salary continuation agreements with certain Valrico State Bank s executive officers were assumed as part of the acquisition. The plans are nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements that are designed to provide supplemental retirement income benefits to participants. The Company expensed $\$ 532, \$ 342$ and $\$ 232$ for the accrual of future salary continuation benefits in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Other liabilities included salary continuation benefits payable of $\$ 2,096, \$ 1,563$ and $\$ 1,222$ at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In 2007, the Company entered into deferred compensation arrangements, through Rabbi Trust agreements, with two Valrico State Bank s executive officers pursuant to the acquisition. The Rabbi Trust asset is included in other assets, and the related deferred compensation payable is included in other liabilities. The Rabbi Trust asset and the related deferred compensation payable at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 were $\$ 1,034, \$ 986$ and $\$ 922$, respectively. Earnings from the Rabbi Trust increase the asset and increase the deferred compensation payable. Losses from the Rabbi Trust decrease the asset and decrease the deferred compensation payable. There is no net income statement effect other than the administration expenses of the Trust which approximates $\$ 5$ per year.
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## (21) Parent Company Only Financial Statements

Condensed financial statements of CenterState Banks, Inc. (parent company only) follow:

## Condensed Balance Sheet

December 31, 2011 and 2010

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 2,844 | \$ 679 |
| Inter-company receivable from bank subsidiaries | 19,381 | 15,513 |
| Investment in wholly-owned bank subsidiaries | 240,046 | 217,107 |
| Investment in other wholly-owned subsidiary | 16,389 | 30,214 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 4,293 | 3,774 |
| Total assets | \$ 282,953 | \$ 267,287 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 3,375 | \$ 2,538 |
| Corporate debenture | 16,945 | 12,500 |
| Total liabilities | 20,320 | 15,038 |
| Stockholders Equity: |  |  |
| Common stock | 301 | 300 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 228,342 | 227,464 |
| Retained earnings | 28,277 | 21,569 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 5,713 | 2,916 |
| Total stockholders equity | 262,633 | 252,249 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 282,953 | \$ 267,287 |

## Condensed Statements of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other income | \$ | 559 | \$ | \$ |
| Interest expense |  | 448 | 421 | 473 |
| Operating expenses |  | 3,480 | 2,741 | 2,576 |


| Loss before equity in net earnings of subsidiaries | $(3,369)$ | $(3,162)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries (net of income tax expense (benefit) of |  | $(3,049)$ |  |
| $\$ 4,568,(\$ 2,843)$ and $(\$ 3,559)$ at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively) | 10,129 | $(3,935)$ | $(4,296)$ |
| Net income before income tax benefit | 6,760 | $(7,097)$ | $(7,345)$ |
| Income tax benefit | $(1,149)$ | $(1,159)$ | $(1,128)$ |
| Net income (loss) | $\$ 7,909$ | $\$(5,938)$ | $\$(6,217)$ |
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## Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

## Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

| Cash flows from operating activities: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net (loss) income | $\$ 7,909$ | $\$(5,938)$ | $\$$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities: | $(10,129)$ | 3,935 | 4,296 |
| Equity in net loss (earnings) of subsidiaries | 466 | 131 | 209 |
| Increase (decrease) in payables and accrued expenses | $(837)$ | $(1,374)$ | $(420)$ |
| Increase in other assets | 203 | 97 | 125 |
| Stock based compensation expense | $(2,388)$ | $(3,149)$ | $(2,007)$ |
| Net cash flows used in operating activities |  |  |  |


| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inter-company receivables from subsidiary banks | $(3,550)$ | 32,581 | $(2,300)$ |
| Cash payments to VSB shareholders | $(151)$ | $(1)$ | $(562)$ |
| Cash payments to Mid FL shareholders |  | $(17)$ | $(89)$ |
| Net cash from bank acquisition | 5,020 | $(639$ | $(61,528)$ |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 5,658 | $(28,965)$ | $(49,408)$ |
| Net cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities |  |  | $(4)$ |


| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock options exercised, net of tax benefit | 96 | 735 | 188 |
| Dividends paid to shareholders | $(1,201)$ | $(1,116)$ | $(2,335)$ |
| Proceeds from public stock offering | 32,872 | 80,879 |  |
| Adjustment to preferred stock and warrants | $(6)$ |  |  |
| Redemption of preferred stock previously issued pursuant to TARP | $(27,875)$ |  |  |
| Purchased warrants previously issued pursuant to TARP | $(212)$ |  |  |


| Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities | $(1,105)$ | 32,491 | 50,639 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,165 | 377 | $(776)$ |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 679 | 302 | 1,078 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | $\$ 2,844$ | $\$$ | 679 | $\$$ |
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## (22) Credit Commitments

The Company has outstanding at any time a significant number of commitments to extend credit. These arrangements are subject to strict credit control assessments and each customer s credit worthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. A summary of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit written at December 31, 2011 and 2010, are as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Standby letters of credit | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Available lines of credit | 4,477 | $\$ 2,643$ |
| Unfunded loan commitments fixed | 130,600 | 68,495 |
| Unfunded loan commitments variable | 6,776 | 7,379 |

Because many commitments expire without being funded in whole or part, the contract amounts are not estimates of future cash flows.

Credit risk represents the accounting loss that would be recognized at the reporting date if counterparties failed completely to perform as contracted. The credit risk amounts are equal to the contractual amounts, assuming that the amounts are fully advanced and that the collateral or other security is of no value.

The Company s policy is to require customers to provide collateral prior to the disbursement of approved loans. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, real estate and income providing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are contractual commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

Outstanding commitments are deemed to approximate fair value due to the variable nature of the interest rates involved and the short-term nature of the commitments.

## (23) Concentrations of Credit Risk

Most of the Company s business activity is with customers located within Osceola, Orange, Pasco, Hernando, Citrus, Sumter, Lake, Hillsborough, Polk, Okeechobee, Indian River, Hendry, Marion, Putnam, Seminole, Counties of the State of Florida and portions of adjacent counties. The majority of commercial and mortgage loans are granted to customers doing business or residing in these areas. Generally, commercial loans are secured by real estate, and mortgage loans are secured by either first or second mortgages on residential or commercial property. As of December 31, 2011, substantially all of the Company s loan portfolio was secured. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its debtors ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon the economy of those Counties listed above and portions of adjacent counties. The Company does not have significant exposure to any individual customer or counterparty.

## (24) Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average number of common shares outstanding
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during the periods and the further dilution from stock options using the treasury method. There were $1,128,304$ stock options that were anti dilutive at December 31, 2011. The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented.

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 7,909 | \$ | $(5,938)$ | \$ | $(6,217)$ |
| Preferred stock dividend |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,045)$ |
| Preferred stock discount accretion |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,088)$ |
| Net income available for common shareholders | \$ | 7,909 | \$ | $(5,938)$ | \$ | $(8,350)$ |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| weighted-average shares |  | 34,573 |  | 608,211 |  | 205,042 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee stock based compensation awards |  | 4,614 |  |  |  |  |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| adjusted weighted-average shares |  | 39,187 |  | 608,211 |  | ,905,042 |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.47) |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | (0.22) | \$ | (0.47) |

## (25) Reportable segments

The Company s reportable segments represent the distinct product lines the Company offers and are viewed separately for strategic planning purposes by management. The tables below are reconciliations of the reportable segment revenues, expenses, and profit as viewed by management to the Company s consolidated total for the year ending December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

## Year ending December 31, 2011



Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-K

| Provision for loan losses |  | $(45,985)$ | (6) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | $(45,991)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other non interest income |  | 74,347 |  | 27,066 |  | 559 |  | 0 |  | 101,972 |
| Other non interest expense |  | $(87,327)$ |  | $(23,883)$ |  | $(3,479)$ |  | 0 |  | $(114,689)$ |
| Net (loss) income before taxes |  | 7,697 |  | 6,999 |  | $(3,368)$ |  | 0 |  | 11,328 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) |  | $(2,021)$ |  | $(2,633)$ |  | 1,235 |  | 0 |  | $(3,419)$ |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | 5,676 | \$ | 4,366 | (\$ | 2,133) | \$ | 0 | \$ | 7,909 |
| Total assets |  | ,115,552 | \$ | 164,660 | \$ | 282,954 |  |  |  | ,284,459 |
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## Year ending December 31, 2010

|  | Commercial <br> and retail <br> banking |  | Correspondent <br> banking and bond sales division |  | Corporate overhead and administration |  | Elimination entries | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 69,493 | \$ | 5,087 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 74,580 |
| Interest expense |  | $(16,201)$ |  | (120) |  | (421) |  |  | $(16,742)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 53,292 |  | 4,967 |  | (421) |  |  | 57,838 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(29,619)$ |  | (5) |  |  |  |  | $(29,624)$ |
| Other non interest income |  | 20,619 |  | 34,314 |  |  |  |  | 54,933 |
| Other non interest expense |  | $(61,747)$ |  | $(28,837)$ |  | $(2,741)$ |  |  | $(93,325)$ |
| Net (loss) income before taxes |  | $(17,455)$ |  | 10,439 |  | $(3,162)$ |  |  | $(10,178)$ |
| Income tax benefit (provision) |  | 7,009 |  | $(3,928)$ |  | 1,159 |  |  | 4,240 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | $(10,446)$ | \$ | 6,511 | \$ | $(2,003)$ |  | \$ | $(5,938)$ |
| Total assets |  | ,928,144 | \$ | 137,772 | \$ | 267,682 | \$ (270,674) |  | ,062,924 |

## Year ending December 31, 2009

|  | Commercial and retail banking |  | Correspondent banking and bond sales division |  | Corporate overhead and administration |  | Elimination entries | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 66,749 | \$ | 7,195 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 73,944 |
| Interest expense |  | $(21,244)$ |  | (573) |  | (473) |  |  | $(22,290)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 45,505 |  | 6,622 |  | (473) |  |  | 51,654 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(23,287)$ |  | (12) |  |  |  |  | $(23,299)$ |
| Other non interest income |  | 11,306 |  | 18,746 |  |  |  |  | 30,052 |
| Other non interest expense |  | $(50,781)$ |  | $(15,954)$ |  | $(2,576)$ |  |  | $(69,311)$ |
| Net income (loss) before taxes |  | $(17,257)$ |  | 9,402 |  | $(3,049)$ |  |  | $(10,904)$ |
| Income tax (provision) benefit |  | 6,841 |  | $(3,282)$ |  | 1,128 |  |  | 4,687 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | $(10,416)$ | \$ | 6,120 | \$ | $(1,921)$ |  | \$ | $(6,217)$ |

Total assets $\quad \$ 1,555,611 \quad \$ \quad 201,018 \quad \$ \quad 244,335 \quad \$(249,665) \quad \$ 1,751,299$

Commercial and retail banking: The Company s primary business is commercial and retail banking. Currently, the Company operates through two subsidiary banks and non bank subsidiary, R4ALL, with 58 locations in thirteen counties throughout Central Florida providing traditional deposit and lending products and services to its commercial and retail customers.

Correspondent banking and bond sales division: Operating as a division of the Company s largest subsidiary bank, its primary revenue generating activities are as follows: 1) the first, and largest, revenue generator is commissions earned on fixed income security sales; 2 ) the second category includes: spread income earned on correspondent bank deposits (i.e., federal funds purchased) and service fees on correspondent bank checking accounts; and, 3) the third, and smallest revenue generating category, includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, and asset/liability consulting related
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activities. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama and Georgia.

Corporate overhead and administration: Corporate overhead and administration is comprised primarily of compensation and benefits for certain members of management, interest on parent company debt, office occupancy and depreciation of parent company facilities, merger related costs and other expenses.

## (26) Business combinations

The acquisitions were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. Both the purchased assets and liabilities assumed are recorded at their respective acquisition date fair values. Determining the fair values of assets and liabilities, especially the loan portfolio and foreclosed real estate, is a complicated process involving significant judgment regarding methods and assumptions used to calculate estimated fair value.

## Measurement period adjustments

On July 16, 2010 the Company acquired substantially all the assets and assumed substantially all the deposits of Olde Cypress Community Bank through a purchase and assumption agreement, including loss sharing with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ). As previously disclosed, the fair values initially assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as new information relative to closing date fair values became available. The Company updated the previously reported consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations, of changes in Shareholders Equity and of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2010 for the final measurement period adjustments that are reflected in the table below.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { July 16, 2010 } \\ \text { (as }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { measurement } \\ \text { period } \\ \text { adjustments }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { July 16, 2010 } \\ \text { (as } \\ \text { adjusted) }\end{array} \\ \text { (nitially reported) }\end{array}\right)$

| Total liabilities assumed | $\$$ | 153,705 | $\$$ |  | $\$ 153,705$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net assets acquired (bargain purchase gain) | $\$$ | 2,010 | $\$$ | $(633)$ | $\$$ | 1,377 |
| Deferred tax impact | $\$$ | 775 | $\$$ | $(238)$ | $\$$ | 537 |
| Net assets acquired, including deferred tax impact | $\$$ | 1,235 | $\$$ | $(395)$ | $\$$ | 840 |
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Acquisition of certain assets and liabilities

On January 20, 2011 the Company completed its previously announced transaction as described in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of August 8, 2010 by and among CenterState, Carolina First Bank and, to the extent provided therein, The South Financial Group, Inc. and TD Bank, National Association (the P\&A Agreement). The reason for this transaction is as follows. The seller had recently entered into several acquisition transactions and pursuant to certain concentration of deposit regulations, was required to divest a certain amount of deposit liabilities in Putnam County, Florida. CenterState (purchaser) was in a position to assist them with this divesture, if the seller was willing to sell performing loans, selected by CenterState, and to sell them at a discount with a put back option.

Pursuant to the P\&A Agreement, CenterState acquired deposits with an estimated fair value of approximately $\$ 115,283$, two branch offices and assumed the leases on an additional two branch offices within Putnam County, Florida. CenterState did not pay a premium for the deposits and purchased the two owned branches for approximately $\$ 700$. In addition, CenterState purchased performing loans with an estimated fair value of approximately $\$ 119,387$ previously selected by CenterState and located within CenterState s fourteen County market areas within Central Florida. CenterState purchased the performing loans for $90 \%$ of their face value amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. During the two year period following the closing of this transaction and subject to the terms of the P\&A Agreement, CenterState may put back to TD Bank N.A. ( TD ) any acquired loan that (1) becomes more than 30 days delinquent or (2) becomes classified as nonaccrual, substandard, doubtful, or loss accordance with applicable regulatory standards for loss classification.

The loans acquired pursuant to this transaction are not being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. We arrived at this conclusion because none of these loans have specifically identifiable or implied credit deficiencies associated with them. We base this on the results of our due diligence team who reviewed and selected only qualified performing loans. In addition, the Company has the option during a two year period to put back any loan that becomes 30 days past due or becomes adversely classified, as discussed previously. This transaction has a different fact pattern than the three FDIC fail banks we purchased during the third quarter of 2010. The loans we purchased pursuant to the FDIC failed bank transactions are being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30 because we acquired all the loans in those troubled loan portfolios. These loans had either specifically identifiable credit deficiencies factors or implied factors such that we believed there to be an element of elevated risk as to whether all contractual cash flows will eventually be received. In this case, the loans were not hand selected from fourteen counties within Central Florida, but acquired as an entire portfolio in a single county. This is a combined loan portfolio of three failed financial institutions, which implies potentially deficient, or at least questionable, credit underwriting.
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The following table summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

| Assets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ 724 |
| Cash due from seller | 3,624 |
| Loans, net | 119,388 |
| Interest receivable | 357 |
| Premises and equipment | 731 |
| Put back option | 876 |
| CDI | 851 |
| Other assets | 3 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ 126,554 |
| Liabilities: |  |
| Deposits | \$ 115,283 |
| Interest payable | 131 |
| Other liabilities | 11 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$ 115,425 |
| Net assets acquired (bargain purchase gain) | \$ 11,129 |
| Deferred tax impact | 4,188 |
| Net assets acquired, including deferred tax impact | \$ 6,941 |

The operating results of the Company for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 includes the operating results of the acquired assets and liabilities assumed since the acquisition date of January 20, 2011 for the branches purchased from TD. Historical results of the branches acquired are unavailable. As a result, no pro forma information is presented.

## Acquisition of Federal Trust Corporation

On November 1, 2011 the Company completed its previously announced transaction as set forth in the Agreement with The Hartford Insurance Group ( Hartford ), and Federal Trust Corporation ( FTC ), whereby FTC merged with and into the Company. Pursuant to and simultaneously with the merger of FTC with and into the Company, FTC s wholly owned subsidiary bank, Federal Trust Bank ( FTB ), merged with and into the Company s lead bank, CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. ( Bank ).

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Company purchased approximately $\$ 161,450$ of selected performing loans. The purchase price of the loans was approximately $\$ 117,993$ or $73 \%$ of their outstanding unpaid principal balance ( UPB ). The estimated fair market value of the purchased loans, as of the purchase date was approximately $\$ 156,803$. The Company has the option, for a period of one year beginning November 1, 2011, to put back to Hartford any loan that is 30 days past due or is adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines. The Company acquired five of FTB s 11 banking offices. Four were purchased at market value based on current appraisals, approximately
$\$ 3,860$, and the Company assumed the existing lease on the fifth location. The other six offices were closed by FTB immediately prior to the acquisition date. All of the deposits, approximately $\$ 197,221$, were assumed by the Bank. The majority of
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the deposits were from the five branches acquired. The Company did not pay a premium for the deposits assumed. The Company also assumed a $\$ 5,000$ Corporate Debenture issued by FTC which qualifies for Tier 1 capital. Interest payments are due quarterly at a rate of LIBOR plus $2.95 \%$. The instrument matures in 2033.

The Acquisition increased the Company s total assets and total deposits by approximately $12 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively, as compared with the balances at December 31, 2010, and is expected to positively affect the Company s operating results, to the extent the Company earns more from interest earning assets than it pays in interest on its interest bearing liabilities. The ability of the Company to successfully collect interest and principal on loans acquired and collect reimbursement from Hartford on potential put backs of loans during the first year will also impact cash flows and operating results.

Hartford had purchased FTC and its wholly owned subsidiary, FTB, in June 2009, for the primary purpose of accessing TARP funds. CenterState helped Hartford resolve its ownership in FTC under certain terms and conditions which resulted in CenterState recognizing a bargain purchase price at the acquisition date. CenterState s reasons for the transaction was a relatively large gain on the transaction date with acceptable risk on the downside (only performing loans were acquired at a discount, with a one year put back option) and the Company s desire to further solidify its market share in the central Florida market, expand its customer base to enhance deposit fee income and leverage operating cost through economies of scale.

The list below summarizes the preliminary estimates, pending the final settlement of purchased loans from Hartford, of the fair value of the assets purchased and liabilities assumed as of the November 1, 2011 purchase date.

| Cash and cash items | $\$ 73,228$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loans | 156,803 |
| Interest receivable | 647 |
| Branch real estate | 3,860 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 140 |
| FHLB stock | 4,243 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 8,113 |
| Prepaid FDIC insurance | 2,287 |
| Core deposit intangible | 1,235 |
| Receivable from Hartford | 404 |
| Other assets | 472 |
| Total assets acquired | $\$ 251,432$ |
|  |  |
| Deposits | $\$ 197,841$ |
| Interest payable | 80 |
| Official checks outstanding | 1,564 |
| Escrow deposits | 1,341 |
| Trust Preferred Security | 4,440 |
| Other liabilities | 275 |
| Total liabilities assumed | $\$ 205,541$ |

Net assets acquired (bargain purchase gain) ..... \$ 45,891
Deferred tax impact ..... \$ 17,269
Net assets acquired, including deferred tax impact ..... \$ 28,622
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The operating results of the Company for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 includes the operating results of FTC since the acquisition date of November 1,2011. The following table presents pro-forma information as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of 2010 and 2011. The pro-forma information includes adjustments for interest income on loans acquired, amortization of intangibles arising from the transaction, depreciation expense on property acquired, interest expense on deposits acquired, and the related income tax effects. The pro-forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had the transactions been effected on the assumed dates.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income | $\$ 81,354$ | $\$$ | 79,288 |
| Net loss | $\$(23,436)$ | $\$(126,395)$ |  |
|  |  | $\$(0.78)$ | $\$$ |
| EPS basic | $\$(0.78)$ | $\$$ | $(4.58)$ |
| EPS diluted |  |  |  |

The Company omitted pro-forma income statements for the year ending December 31, 2009.

## (27) Capital offering

On August 4, 2009, the Company raised approximately $\$ 86,261$ through a previously announced public offering by issuing $13,271,000$ shares of common stock, including $1,731,000$ shares pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option. The net proceeds of the offering, after all expenses including underwriters fees, were approximately $\$ 81,300$.

On July 27, 2010, the Company raised approximately $\$ 35,190$ through a previously announced public offering by issuing 4,140,000 shares of common stock, including 540,000 shares pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option. The net proceeds of the offering, after all expenses including underwriters fees, were approximately $\$ 32,872$.

## (28) Preferred stock

On November 21, 2008, as part of the Troubled Asset Relief Program ( TARP ) Capital Purchase Program, the Company issued and sold to the U. S. Department of the Treasury (the Treasury ), (a) 27,875 shares (the Preferred Shares ) of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, having a liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share, and (b) a ten-year warrant (the Warrants ) to purchase up to 250,825 shares of voting common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share ( Common Stock ), at an exercise price of $\$ 16.67$ per share.

On September 30, 2009, the Company repurchased all of the outstanding Preferred Shares issued pursuant to TARP for $\$ 28,875$ plus accrued dividends. In October 2009, the Company purchased all remaining outstanding Warrants issued pursuant to TARP for \$212.

## (29) Subsequent events (unaudited)

Effective January 20, 2012, the Company assumed all deposits and certain other liabilities and acquired substantially all the assets of Central Florida State Bank in Belleview, Florida (Central FL ) from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ), as receiver for Central FL, pursuant to a Purchase and Assumption Agreement, including Loss Share Agreements ( Agreement ). Central FL has four branch
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banking locations in the greater Ocala, Florida market area, each of which is less than two miles from an existing Company branch. The Company has an option to purchase the branch real estate at current market value. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company acquired approximately $\$ 67,872$ of assets plus additional $\$ 1,440$ of window period charge-offs. Window period charge-offs are loans and/or repossessed real estate ( OREO ), that have been charged-off between the date of the bid data and the closing date of the transaction. Assets acquired include loans of approximately $\$ 48,102$, OREO of approximately $\$ 3,938$ plus window period charge-offs of $\$ 1,440$. Other assets acquired include cash and cash items of approximately $\$ 13,410$ and all other assets of approximately $\$ 2,422$. The Company also assumed total liabilities of approximately $\$ 65,325$, which included deposits of approximately $\$ 65,001$ and all other liabilities of approximately $\$ 324$. The final carrying values and the final list of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed remains subject to finalization by the FDIC and the Company. The Loss Sharing Agreements entered into with the FDIC provide that the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Company for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to substantially all of the single family residential loans, commercial loans and OREO. The Company expects to recognize an immaterial bargain purchase price from this acquisition. Certain disclosures for business combinations have been omitted because the Company is in the process of determining fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date.

Effective January 27, 2012, the Company assumed all deposits and certain other liabilities and acquired substantially all the assets of First Guaranty Bank and Trust Company of Jacksonville, in Jacksonville, Florida ( FGB ) from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ), as receiver for FGB, pursuant to a Purchase and Assumption Agreement, including Loss Share Agreements ( Agreement ). FGB has eight branch banking locations in Jacksonville, Florida. The Company has an option to purchase the branch real estate at current market value. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company acquired approximately $\$ 376,959$ of assets plus additional $\$ 134$ of window period charge-offs. Window period charge-offs are loans and/or repossessed real estate ( OREO ), that have been charged-off between the date of the bid data and the closing date of the transaction. Assets acquired include loans of approximately $\$ 264,759$, OREO of approximately $\$ 27,018$ plus window period charge-offs of $\$ 134$. Other assets acquired include cash and cash items of approximately $\$ 77,642$, U.S. government agencies securities of $\$ 3,500$, FHLB stock of $\$ 1,626$ and all other assets of approximately $\$ 2,414$. The Company also assumed total liabilities of approximately $\$ 362,979$, which included deposits of approximately $\$ 352,375$, an advance from the FHLB of $\$ 10,000$ and other liabilities of approximately $\$ 604$. The final carrying values and the final list of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed remains subject to finalization by the FDIC and the Company. The Loss Sharing Agreements entered into with the FDIC provide that the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Company for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to substantially all of the single family residential loans, commercial loans and OREO. The Company expects to recognize goodwill from this acquisition. Certain disclosures for business combinations have been omitted because the Company is in the process of determining fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Certain disclosures for business combinations have been omitted because the Company is in the process of determining fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date.

On January 25, 2012, the Company borrowed $\$ 10,000$ at the holding company level to help facilitate the acquisition of FGB. The loan mature 180 days from its origination date and bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 400 basis points. The Company paid a loan fee of $\$ 300$ plus normal closing costs. Pursuant to the borrowing arrangement, the Company and its banking subsidiaries are required to maintain Tier 1 Capital Ratios in excess of $8 \%$ at each calendar quarter end until the obligation is repaid.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this report to be duly signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Davenport, State of Florida, on the 13th day of March, 2012.

CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC.
/s/ Ernest S. Pinner Ernest S. Pinner
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ James J. Antal
James J. Antal
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on March 13, 2012.

| Signature | Title |
| :---: | :---: |
| /s/ Ernest S. Pinner | Chairman of the Board |
| Ernest S. Pinner | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| /s/ James H. Bingham | Director |
| James H. Bingham |  |
| /s/ G. Robert Blanchard, Jr. | Director |
| G. Robert Blanchard, Jr. |  |
| /s/ C. Dennis Carlton | Director |
| C. Dennis Carlton |  |
| /s/ John C. Corbett | Director |
| John C. Corbett |  |
| /s/ Bryan W. Judge | Director |
| Bryan W. Judge |  |
| /s/ Samuel L. Lupfer, IV | Director |
| Samuel L. Lupfer, IV |  |
| /s/ Rulon D. Munns | Director |
| Rulon D. Munns |  |
| /s/ G. Tierso Nunez II | Director |
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G. Tierso Nunez II

| /s/ | Thomas E. OAKLEY | Director |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Thomas E. Oakley |  |
| /s/ | J. Thomas Rocker | Director |
|  | J. Thomas Rocker |  |
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