FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORP /DE/ Form 10-Q November 07, 2011

## **UNITED STATES**

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

## QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

## **THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

Commission File Number: 001-12117

# FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction **75-1328153** (I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

#### 3813 Green Hills Village Drive

Nashville, Tennessee (Address of principal executive offices) **37215** (Zip Code)

(615) 844-2800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

#### Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No  $\ddot{}$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  $x = No^{-1}$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

 Large accelerated filer
 ...
 Accelerated filer
 ...

 Non-accelerated filer
 ...
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
 Smaller reporting company
 x

 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
 Yes
 No
 x

At November 7, 2011, there were 47,998,418 shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

## FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

## FORM 10-Q

## FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

## INDEX

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements	1
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	28
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	29
Item 6. Exhibits	29
SIGNATURES	

i

#### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

## FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### (in thousands, except per share data)

	_	otember 30, 2011 Jnaudited)	June 30, 2011
ASSETS			
Investments, available-for-sale at fair value (amortized cost of \$171,649 and \$177,300, respectively)	\$	181,989	\$ 186,815
Cash and cash equivalents		28,055	29,305
Premiums and fees receivable, net of allowance of \$418 and \$406		42,834	40,447
Other assets		8,012	7,999
Property and equipment, net		2,847	2,533
Deferred acquisition costs		3,705	3,305
Goodwill		21,090	21,090
Identifiable intangible assets		4,800	4,800
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	293,332	\$ 296,294
IOTAL ASSETS	φ	293,332	\$ 290,294
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	\$	68,934	\$ 68,424
Unearned premiums and fees		52,413	50,772
Debentures payable		41,240	41,240
Other liabilities		12,026	13,630
Total liabilities		174,613	174,066
Stockholders equity:			
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000 shares authorized			
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 75,000 shares authorized; 47,998 and 48,458 shares issued and outstanding,			
respectively		480	485
Additional paid-in capital		466,136	466,777
Accumulated other comprehensive income		10,340	9,515
Accumulated deficit		(358,237)	(354,549)
Total stockholders equity		118,719	122,228
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$	293,332	\$ 296,294

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

## (in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Mon Septem 2011	
Revenues:		
Premiums earned	\$ 40,505	\$ 43,934
Commission and fee income	7,500	7,276
Investment income	2,021	2,137
Net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale	(57)	(224)
	49,969	53,123
Costs and expenses:		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	33,248	32,057
Insurance operating expenses	18,625	18,508
Other operating expenses	244	387
Stock-based compensation	91	192
Depreciation and amortization	344	476
Interest expense	990	991
	53,542	52,611
Income (loss) before income taxes	(3,573)	512
Provision for income taxes	115	120
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,688)	\$ 392
Net income (loss) per share:		
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01
Number of shares used to calculate net income (loss) per share:		
Basic	48,227	48,037
Diluted	48,227	48,509
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to comprehensive income (loss):		
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,688)	\$ 392
Net unrealized change in investments	825	3,820
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (2,863)	\$ 4,212
Detail of net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale:		
Net realized gains (losses) on sales and redemptions	\$ (26)	\$ 80
Other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ) charges		(17)
Non-credit portion included in comprehensive income (loss)		2
OTTI charges reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss)	(31)	(289)
OTTI charges recognized in net income (loss)	(31)	(304)

\$ (57) \$ (224)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,688)	\$ 392
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	344	476
Stock-based compensation	91	192
Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities	31	304
Net realized gains (losses) on sales and redemptions of investments	26	(80)
Other	110	96
Change in:		
Premiums and fees receivable	(2,399)	(3,371)
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	510	(2,007)
Unearned premiums and fees	1,641	2,448
Other	(1,997)	1,842
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(5,331)	292
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of investments, available-for-sale		(1,875)
Maturities and paydowns of investments, available-for-sale	5,237	3,650
Sales of investments, available-for-sale	259	749
Capital expenditures	(658)	(110)
Other	(1)	
Net cash provided by investing activities	4,837	2,414
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payments on borrowings	(20)	(19)
Purchase of treasury stock	(736)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(756)	(19)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(1,250)	2,687
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	29,305	26,184
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 28,055	\$ 28,871

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### 1. General

The consolidated financial statements of First Acceptance Corporation (the Company) included herein have been prepared without audit pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the interim periods. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year s consolidated financial statements to conform with the current year presentation.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

#### 2. Fair Value

Fair value is the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are generally based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs are based on market data from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company s view of market assumptions in the absence of observable market information. All assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices by independent pricing services for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and valuations, using models or other valuation techniques, that use observable market data. All significant inputs are observable, or derived from observable information in the marketplace, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the market place.

Level 3 Instruments that use non-binding broker quotes or model driven valuations that do not have observable market data. The Company categorizes methods used in its goodwill and intangible assets impairment tests as Level 3. The Company performs required annual impairment tests of its goodwill and intangible assets as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of each fiscal year. In the event that facts and circumstances indicate that the goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets may be impaired, an interim impairment test would be required. The Company uses a discounted cash flow model and recent market transactions to estimate the fair value of the reporting unit as a part of its goodwill impairment analysis. The Company s discounted cash flow analysis utilizes comprehensive cash flow projections, as well as assumptions based on risks and market data to the extent available. To determine the fair value of acquired trademarks and trade names, the Company uses the relief-from-royalty method which requires the Company to estimate the future revenue for the related brands, the appropriate royalty rate and the weighted average cost of capital.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### (Unaudited)

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values and fair values of certain of the Company s financial instruments were as follows (in thousands).

	September	September 30, 2011		June 30, 2011	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	
Assets:					
Investments, available-for-sale	\$ 181,989	\$ 181,989	\$ 186,815	\$ 186,815	
Liabilities:					
Debentures payable	41,240	14,975	41,240	17,841	

The fair values as presented represent the Company s best estimates and may not be substantiated by comparisons to independent markets. The fair value of the debentures payable was based on current market rates offered for debt with similar risks and maturities. Carrying values of certain financial instruments, such as cash and cash equivalents and premiums and fees receivables, approximate fair values due to the short-term nature of the instruments and are not required to be disclosed. Therefore, the aggregate fair values presented in the preceding table do not purport to represent the Company s underlying value.

The Company holds available-for-sale investments, which are carried at fair value. The following table presents the fair-value measurements for each major category of assets that are measured on a recurring basis (in thousands).

		Fair Value Meas Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable
September 30, 2011	Total	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:	Total	(Level I)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 26,318	\$ 26,318	\$	\$
State	6.655		6.655	Ť
Political subdivisions	783		783	
Revenue and assessment	25,622		25,622	
Corporate bonds	83,373		83,373	
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Agency backed	19,751		19,751	
Non-agency backed residential	5,707		5,707	
Non-agency backed commercial	6,314		6,314	
Redeemable preferred stock	167	167		
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	174,690	26,485	148,205	
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	7,299	7,299		
Total investments, available-for-sale	181,989	33,784	148,205	
Cash and cash equivalents	28,055	28,055		
Total	\$ 210,044	\$ 61,839	\$ 148,205	\$

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### (Unaudited)

		Fair Value Meas Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	urements Using Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
June 30, 2011	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:		( ,		( ,
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 26,147	\$ 26,147	\$	\$
State	7,676		7,676	
Political subdivisions	1,817		1,817	
Revenue and assessment	26,771		26,771	
Corporate bonds	82,645		82,645	
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Agency backed	20,981		20,981	
Non-agency backed residential	5,828		5,828	
Non-agency backed commercial	6,760		6,760	
Redeemable preferred stock	173	173		
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	178,798	26,320	152,478	
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	8,017	8,017		
Total investments, available-for-sale	186,815	34,337	152,478	
Cash and cash equivalents	29,305	29,305		
·	,	,		
Total	\$ 216,120	\$ 63,642	\$ 152,478	\$

The fair values of the Company s investments are determined by management after taking into consideration available sources of data. All of the portfolio valuations classified as Level 1 or Level 2 in the above tables are priced exclusively by utilizing the services of independent pricing sources using observable market data. The Level 2 classified security valuations are obtained from a single independent pricing service. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The Company s policy is to recognize transfers between levels at the end of the reporting period. The Company has not made any adjustments to the prices obtained from the independent pricing sources.

The Company has reviewed the pricing techniques and methodologies of the independent pricing service for Level 2 investments and believes that its policies adequately consider market activity, either based on specific transactions for the security valued or based on modeling of securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure that were recently traded. The Company monitored security-specific valuation trends and has made inquiries with the pricing service about material changes or the absence of expected changes to understand the underlying factors and inputs and to validate the reasonableness of the pricing.

Based on the above categorization, there were no Level 3 classified security valuations at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and June 30, 2011 and 2010.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

## (Unaudited)

#### 3. Investments

## Investments, Available-for-Sale

The following tables summarize the Company s investment securities (in thousands).

September 30, 2011	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 24,900	\$ 1,418	\$	\$ 26,318
State	6,375	280		6,655
Political subdivisions	754	29		783
Revenue and assessment	24,121	1,501		25,622
Corporate bonds	77,753	6,020	(400)	83,373
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Agency backed	18,282	1,469		19,751
Non-agency backed residential	5,680	182	(155)	5,707
Non-agency backed commercial	6,107	272	(65)	6,314
Redeemable preferred stock	176		(9)	167
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	164,148	11,171	(629)	174,690
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	7,501		(202)	7,299
	\$ 171,649	\$ 11,171	\$ (831)	\$ 181,989

June 30, 2011	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 24,897	\$ 1,250	\$	\$ 26,147
State	7,396	280		7,676
Political subdivisions	1,798	20	(1)	1,817
Revenue and assessment	25,819	1,123	(171)	26,771
Corporate bonds	78,199	4,686	(240)	82,645
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Agency backed	19,541	1,440		20,981
Non-agency backed residential	5,758	243	(173)	5,828
Non-agency backed commercial	6,215	556	(11)	6,760
Redeemable preferred stock	176		(3)	173
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	169,799	9,598	(599)	178,798
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	7,501	516		8,017
	\$ 177,300	\$ 10,114	\$ (599)	\$ 186,815

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

The following tables set forth the scheduled maturities of the Company s fixed maturity securities based on their fair values (in thousands). Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain securities may be called or prepaid by the issuers.

September 30, 2011	Securities with Unrealized Gains	Securities with Unrealized Losses	Securities with No Unrealized Gains or Losses	All Fixed Maturity Securities
One year or less	\$ 16,025	\$	\$ 300	\$ 16,325
After one through five years	66,846	3,489		70,335
After five through ten years	42,121	669		42,790
After ten years	8,197	5,104		13,301
No single maturity date	29,728	2,211		31,939
	\$ 162,917	\$ 11,473	\$ 300	\$ 174,690

June 30, 2011	Securities with Unrealized Gains	Securities with Unrealized Losses	Securities with No Unrealized Gains or Losses	All Fixed Maturity Securities
One year or less	\$ 14,120	\$ 80	\$ 1,500	\$ 15,700
After one through five years	75,186	26		75,212
After five through ten years	37,510			37,510
After ten years	8,980	7,827		16,807
No single maturity date	31,450	2,119		33,569
	\$ 167,246	\$ 10,052	\$ 1,500	\$ 178,798

The following table reflects the number of fixed maturity securities with gross unrealized gains and losses. Gross unrealized losses are further segregated by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	Gross Unrea	Gross Unrealized Losses		
	Less	Less		
	than	Greater		
	or equal to	than	Gross	
	12	12	Unrealized	
At:	months	months	Gains	
September 30, 2011	9	4	145	
June 30, 2011	7	5	151	

The following tables reflect the fair value and gross unrealized losses of those fixed maturity securities in a continuous unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months. Gross unrealized losses are further segregated by the percentage of amortized cost (in thousands, except number of securities).

Gross Unrealized Losses	Number		Gross
at Sontombor 20, 2011.	of Securities	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
at September 30, 2011:	Securities		
Less than or equal to 10%	2	\$ 2,351	\$ (78)
Greater than 10%	2	626	(177)
	4	\$ 2,977	\$ (255)

Gross Unrealized Losses	Number of	Fair	Gross Unrealized
at June 30, 2011:	Securities	Value	Losses
Less than or equal to 10%	2	\$ 1,435	\$ (57)
Greater than 10%	3	2,388	(373)
	5	\$ 3,823	\$ (430)

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

The following tables set forth the amount of gross unrealized losses by current severity (as compared to amortized cost) and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position (in thousands).

	Fair Value of Securities		Severity of	f Gross Unreal	lized Losses
Length of			·		
Gross Unrealized Losses	with Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Less	5% to	Greater than
at September 30, 2011:	Losses	Losses	than 5%	10%	10%
Less than or equal to:					
Three months	\$ 14,689	\$ (566)	\$ (252)	\$ (94)	\$ (220)
Six months	940	(1)	(1)		
Nine months	166	(9)	(9)		
Twelve months					
Greater than twelve months	2,977	(255)	(35)	(43)	(177)
Total	\$ 18,772	\$ (831)	\$ (297)	\$(137)	\$ (397)
Length of	Fair Value of Securities		Severity of	f Gross Unreal	lized Losses
Length of Gross Unrealized Losses	of Securities	Gross	Severity of	f Gross Unreal	lized Losses Greater
	of	Gross Unrealized Losses	Severity of Less than 5%	f Gross Unreal 5% to 10%	
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011: Less than or equal to:	of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses	Unrealized	Less than 5%	5% to 10%	Greater than 10%
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011:	of Securities with Gross Unrealized	Unrealized Losses \$ (166)	Less	5% to	Greater than
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011: Less than or equal to:	of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses	Unrealized Losses	Less than 5%	5% to 10%	Greater than 10%
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011: Less than or equal to: Three months	of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses \$ 6,056	Unrealized Losses \$ (166)	Less than 5% \$ (166)	5% to 10%	Greater than 10%
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011: Less than or equal to: Three months Six months	of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses \$ 6,056 173	Unrealized Losses \$ (166)	Less than 5% \$ (166)	5% to 10%	Greater than 10% \$
Gross Unrealized Losses at June 30, 2011: Less than or equal to: Three months Six months Nine months	of Securities with Gross Unrealized Losses \$ 6,056	Unrealized Losses \$ (166)	Less than 5% \$ (166)	5% to 10%	Greater than 10%

#### Restrictions

At September 30, 2011, fixed maturities and cash equivalents with a fair value of \$5.8 million (amortized cost of \$5.3 million) were on deposit with various insurance departments as a requirement of doing business in those states. Fixed maturities and cash equivalents with a fair value of \$8.7 million (amortized cost of \$8.6 million) were on deposit with another insurance company as collateral for an assumed reinsurance contract.

#### Investment Income and Net Realized Gains and Losses

The major categories of investment income follow (in thousands).

		nths Ended nber 30,
	2011	2010
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ 2,002	\$ 2,124
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	150	145
Cash and cash equivalents		4
Other	29	29
Investment expenses	(160)	(165)
-		
	\$ 2,021	\$ 2,137

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### (Unaudited)

The components of net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale at fair value follow (in thousands).

		onths Ended nber 30,
	2011	2010
Gains	\$ 7	\$ 81
Losses	(33)	(1)
Other-than-temporary impairment	(31)	(304)
	\$ (57)	\$ (224)

Realized gains and losses on sales and redemptions are computed based on specific identification. The non-credit related portion of other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ) is included in other comprehensive income (loss). The amounts of non-credit OTTI for securities still owned was \$1.1 million for non-agency backed residential collateralized mortgage obligations ( CMOs ) and \$0.2 million for non-agency backed commercial CMOs at both September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011.

#### **Other-Than-Temporary Impairment**

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 320-10-65, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FASB ASC 320-10-65), the Company separates OTTI into the following two components: (i) the amount related to credit losses, which is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations and (ii) the amount related to all other factors, which is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). The credit-related portion of an OTTI is measured by comparing a security s amortized cost to the present value of its current expected cash flows discounted at its effective yield prior to the impairment charge.

The determination of whether unrealized losses are other-than-temporary requires judgment based on subjective as well as objective factors. The Company routinely monitors its investment portfolio for changes in fair value that might indicate potential impairments and performs detailed reviews on such securities. Changes in fair value are evaluated to determine the extent to which such changes are attributable to (i) fundamental factors specific to the issuer or (ii) market-related factors such as interest rates or sector declines.

Securities with declines attributable to issuer-specific fundamentals are reviewed to identify all available evidence to estimate the potential for impairment. Resources used include historical financial data included in filings with the SEC for corporate bonds and performance data regarding the underlying loans for CMOs. Securities with declines attributable solely to market or sector declines where the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security before the full recovery of its amortized cost basis are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

The issuer-specific factors considered in reaching the conclusion that securities with declines are not other-than-temporary include (i) the extent and duration of the decline in fair value, including the duration of any significant decline in value, (ii) whether the security is current as to payments of principal and interest, (iii) a valuation of any underlying collateral, (iv) current and future conditions and trends for both the business and its industry, (v) changes in cash flow assumptions for CMOs and (vi) rating agency actions. Based on these factors, the Company makes a determination as to the probability of recovering principal and interest on the security.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

The number and amount of securities for which the Company has recognized OTTI charges in net income (loss) are presented in the following tables (in thousands, except for the number of securities).

	Three Months Ended September 30 2011 2010			/
	Number of Securities	OTTI	Number of Securities	OTTI
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Non-agency backed residential		\$	1	\$ (13)
Non-agency backed commercial			1	(4)
			2	(17)
Portion of loss recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(31)		(287)
Net OTTI recognized in net income (loss)		\$ (31)		\$ (304)

The following is a progression of the credit-related portion of OTTI on investments owned at September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands).

	Three Mon Septem	
	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ (3,343)	\$ (3,301)
Additional credit impairments on:		
Previously impaired securities	(31)	(304)
Securities without previous impairments		
	(31)	(304)
Reductions for securities sold (realized)	45	
	\$ (3,329)	\$ (3,605)

On a quarterly basis, the Company reviews cash flow estimates for certain non-agency backed CMOs of lesser credit quality following the guidance of FASB ASC 325-40-65, *Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20* (FASB ASC 325-40-65). Accordingly, when changes in estimated cash flows occur due to actual or estimated prepayment or credit loss experience, and the present value of the revised cash flows is less than the present value previously estimated, OTTI is deemed to have occurred. For non-agency backed CMOs not subject to FASB ASC 325-40-65, the Company reviews quarterly projected cash flow analyses and recognizes OTTI when it determines that a loss is probable. The Company has recognized OTTI related to certain non-agency backed CMOs as the underlying cash flows have been adversely impacted due to a reduction in prepayments from mortgage refinancing and an increase in actual and projected delinquencies in the underlying mortgages.

The Company s review of non-agency backed CMOs included an analysis of available information such as collateral quality, anticipated cash flows, credit enhancements, default rates, loss severities, the securities relative position in their respective capital structures, and credit ratings from statistical rating agencies. The Company reviews quarterly projected cash flow analyses for each security utilizing current assumptions regarding (i) actual and anticipated delinquencies, (ii) delinquency transition-to-default rates and (iii) loss severities. Based on its quarterly reviews, the Company determined that there had not been an adverse change in projected cash flows, except in the case of those securities for

which OTTI charges have been recorded. The Company believes that the unrealized losses on the remaining securities for which OTTI charges have not been recorded are not necessarily predictive of the ultimate performance of the underlying collateral. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

The Company believes that the remaining securities having unrealized losses at September 30, 2011 were not other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company also does not intend to sell any of these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell any of these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

#### 4. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share data).

	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	
Net income (loss)	\$ (3,688)	\$ 392	
Weighted average common basic shares	48,227	48,037	
Effect of dilutive securities		472	
Weighted average common dilutive shares	48,227	48,509	
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.01	

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the computation of diluted net loss per share did not include 0.1 million shares of unvested restricted common stock as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, the computation of diluted net income per share included 0.5 million shares of unvested restricted common stock. Options to purchase approximately 4.5 million shares and 4.6 million shares for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share as their exercise prices were in excess of the average stock prices for the periods presented.

#### 5. Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets are attributable to the Company s insurance operations and were initially recorded at their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. Goodwill and other intangible assets, primarily comprised of trade names, having an indefinite useful life are not amortized for financial statement purposes. The Company performs required annual impairment tests of its goodwill and intangible assets as of the last day of the fourth quarter of each fiscal year. In the event that facts and circumstances indicate that the goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets may be impaired, an interim impairment test would be required. Intangible assets with finite lives have been fully amortized over their useful lives.

The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process that requires management to make judgments in determining what assumptions to use in the calculation. The first step of the process consists of estimating the fair value of each reporting unit based on valuation techniques, including a discounted cash flow model using revenue and profit forecasts and recent industry transaction and trading multiples of our peers, and comparing those estimated fair values with the carrying values of those assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, which includes the allocated goodwill. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment, if any, by determining an implied fair value of goodwill. The determination of the implied fair value of goodwill of a reporting unit requires the Company to allocate the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Any unallocated fair value represents the implied fair value of goodwill, which is compared to its corresponding carrying value.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets primarily consist of acquired trademarks and trade names. In measuring the fair value for these intangible assets, the Company utilizes the relief-from-royalty method. This method assumes that trademarks and trade names have value to the extent that their owner is relieved of the obligation to pay royalties for the benefits received from them. This method requires the Company to estimate the future revenue for the related brands, the appropriate royalty rate and the weighted average cost of capital.

The Company s evaluation includes multiple assumptions that may change over time. If future discounted cash flows become less than those projected by the Company or unfavorable industry transaction multiples and trading trends continue, further impairment charges may become

necessary that could have a materially adverse impact on the Company s results of operations in the period in which the write-off occurs. As quoted market prices in active stock markets are relevant evidence of fair value, a significant decline in the Company s common stock trading price may indicate an impairment of goodwill.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

#### 6. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes consisted of the following (in thousands).

		nths Ended nber 30, 2010
Federal:	2011	2010
Current	\$	\$
Deferred		
State:		
Current	115	120
Deferred		
	115	120
	\$ 115	\$ 120

The provision for income taxes differs from the amounts computed by applying the statutory federal corporate tax rate of 35% to income (loss) before income taxes as a result of the following (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Provision (benefit) for income taxes at statutory rate	\$ (1,250)	\$ 179
Tax effect of:		
Tax-exempt investment income	(1)	(4)
Change in the beginning of the year balance of the valuation allowance for		
deferred tax assets allocated to income taxes	1,247	(180)
Restricted stock		1
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit and valuation allowance	115	120
Other	4	4
	\$ 115	\$ 120

The Company had a valuation allowance of \$23.1 million and \$22.1 million at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The change in the total valuation allowance for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was an increase of \$1.0 million. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the change in the valuation allowance included reductions of \$0.3 million related to the unrealized change in investments included in other comprehensive income (loss).

In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considered whether it was more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company is required to assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against the Company s net deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a more likely than not standard. In making such

judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. In assessing the Company s ability to support the realizability of its deferred tax assets, management considered both positive and negative evidence. The Company placed greater weight on historical results than on the Company s outlook for future profitability and established a deferred tax valuation allowance at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011. The deferred tax valuation allowance may be adjusted in future periods if management determines that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. In the event the deferred tax valuation allowance is adjusted, the Company would record an income tax benefit for the adjustment.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

#### (Unaudited)

#### 7. Segment Information

The Company operates in two business segments with its primary focus being the selling, servicing and underwriting of non-standard personal automobile insurance. The real estate and corporate segment consists of the activities related to the disposition of foreclosed real estate held for sale, interest expense associated with all debt and other general corporate overhead expenses.

The following table presents selected financial data by business segment (in thousands).

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010		
Revenues:				
Insurance	\$	49,939	\$	53,093
Real estate and corporate		30		30
Consolidated total	\$	49,969	\$	53,123
Income (loss) before income taxes:				
Insurance	\$	(2,278)	\$	2,051
Real estate and corporate		(1,295)		(1,539)
Consolidated total	\$	(3,573)	\$	512
	Sep	tember 30, 2011	J	une 30, 2011
Total assets:				
Insurance	\$	278,340	\$	281,399
Real estate and corporate		14,992		14,895
Consolidated total	\$	293,332	\$	296,294

#### 8. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-26, Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or *Renewing Insurance Contracts (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force) (Topic 944)* (FASB ASU No. 2010-26), which amends FASB ASC 944-340, *Other Assets and Deferred Costs*. FASB ASU No. 2010-26 clarifies what costs should be deferred by insurance companies when issuing or renewing insurance contracts. FASB ASU 2010-26 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of FASB ASU 2010-26 will have on future consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which amends certain measurement and disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements to improve consistency with international reporting standards. This guidance is effective prospectively for public entities for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption by public entities prohibited. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (FASB ASU No. 2011-05), which will require a company to present components of net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive statements. There are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under current GAAP. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

(Unaudited)

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*, which allows companies to waive comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount in assessing the recoverability of goodwill if, based on qualitative factors, it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. ASU 2011-08 will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

## FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 10-Q

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included with this report and our consolidated financial statements and related Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### General

We are principally a retailer, servicer and underwriter of non-standard personal automobile insurance. We also own two tracts of land in San Antonio, Texas that are held for sale. Non-standard personal automobile insurance is made available to individuals who are categorized as non-standard because of their inability or unwillingness to obtain standard insurance coverage due to various factors, including payment history, payment preference, failure in the past to maintain continuous insurance coverage, driving record and/or vehicle type. Generally, our customers are required by law to buy a minimum amount of automobile insurance.

At September 30, 2011, we leased and operated 383 retail locations (or stores ) staffed by employee-agents who primarily sell non-standard personal automobile insurance products underwritten by us as well as certain commissionable ancillary products. In most states, our employee-agents also sell a complementary tenant homeowner insurance product underwritten by us. At September 30, 2011, we wrote non-standard personal automobile insurance in 12 states and were licensed in 13 additional states. See the discussion in Item 1. Business General in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 for additional information with respect to our business.

The following table shows the number of our retail locations. Retail location counts are based upon the date that a location commenced or ceased writing business.

		Three	Months
		E	nded
		Septe	mber 30,
		2011	2010
Retail locations	beginning of period	385	394
Opened			
Closed		(2)	(1)
Retail locations	end of period	383	393

The following tables show the number of our retail locations by state.

	Septem	September 30,		e 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Alabama	24	25	24	25
Florida	31	31	31	31
Georgia	60	60	60	60
Illinois	67	74	68	74
Indiana	17	17	17	17
Mississippi	8	8	8	8
Missouri	12	12	12	12
Ohio	27	27	27	27
Pennsylvania	16	16	16	16
South Carolina	26	26	26	26
Tennessee	20	19	20	19
Texas	75	78	76	79

Total	383	393	385	394

## FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 10-Q

#### **Consolidated Results of Operations**

#### Overview

Our primary focus is the selling, servicing and underwriting of non-standard personal automobile insurance. Our real estate and corporate segment consists of activities related to the disposition of real estate held for sale, interest expense associated with debt, and other general corporate overhead expenses. Our insurance operations generate revenues from selling, servicing and underwriting non-standard personal automobile insurance policies in 12 states. We conduct our underwriting operations through three insurance company subsidiaries: First Acceptance Insurance Company, Inc., First Acceptance Insurance Company of Georgia, Inc. and First Acceptance Insurance Company of Tennessee, Inc. Our insurance revenues are primarily generated from:

premiums earned, including policy and renewal fees, from sales of policies written and assumed by our insurance company subsidiaries;

commission and fee income, including installment billing fees on policies written, agency fees and commissions and fees for other ancillary products and services; and

investment income earned on the invested assets of the insurance company subsidiaries.

The following table presents gross premiums earned by state (in thousands). Effective August 1, 2010, our insurance company subsidiaries began utilizing excess-of-loss reinsurance with an unaffiliated reinsurer to limit our exposure to losses under liability coverages for automobile insurance policies issued with limits greater than the minimum statutory requirements.

	Septeml	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010		
Gross premiums earned:				
Georgia	\$ 8,711	\$ 9,596		
Texas	5,219	5,910		
Illinois	5,268	5,809		
Florida	4,810	4,826		
Alabama	3,986	4,388		
Ohio	3,367	3,227		
Tennessee	2,524	2,716		
South Carolina	2,389	2,500		
Pennsylvania	2,020	2,422		
Indiana	1,049	1,146		
Missouri	614	739		
Mississippi	593	687		
Total gross premiums earned	40,550	43,966		
Premiums ceded	(45)	(32)		
Total net premiums earned	\$ 40,505	\$ 43,934		

The following table presents the change in the total number of policies in force ( PIF ) for the insurance operations. PIF increase as a result of new policies issued and decrease as a result of policies that are canceled or expire and are not renewed.

		Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010		
Policies in force beginning of period	144,410	154,655		
Net decrease during period	(3,480)	(4,480)		
Policies in force end of period	140,930	150,175		

#### FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 10-Q

The following tables present total PIF for the insurance operations segregated by policies that were sold through our open and closed retail locations as well as our independent agents. For our retail locations, PIF are further segregated by (i) new and renewal and (ii) liability-only or full coverage. New policies are defined as those policies issued to both first-time customers and customers who have reinstated a lapsed or cancelled policy. Renewal policies are those policies which renewed after completing their full uninterrupted policy term. Liability-only policies are defined as those policies including only bodily injury (or no-fault) and property damage coverages, which are the required coverages in most states. For comparative purposes, the PIF data with respect to closed retail locations for each of the periods presented below includes all retail locations closed at September 30, 2011.

	Septem	ber 30,
	2011	2010
Retail locations:		
Open retail locations:		
New	61,138	65,651
Renewal	75,888	78,087
	137,026	143,738
Closed retail locations:		
New	119	1,094
Renewal	1,971	3,344
	2,090	4,438
Independent agents	1,814	1,999
Total policies in force	140,930	150,175

	Septem	ber 30,
	2011	2010
Retail locations:		
Open retail locations:		
Liability-only	83,365	87,688
Full coverage	53,661	56,050
	137,026	143,738
Closed retail locations:		
Liability-only	1,224	2,784
Full coverage	866	1,654
	2,090	4,438
Independent agents	1,814	1,999
Total policies in force	140,930	150,175

Insurance companies present a combined ratio as a measure of their overall underwriting profitability. The components of the combined ratio are as follows.

*Loss Ratio* Loss ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred to premiums earned and is a basic element of underwriting profitability. We calculate this ratio based on all direct and assumed premiums earned, net of ceded reinsurance.

*Expense Ratio* Expense ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of insurance operating expenses to net premiums earned. Insurance operating expenses are reduced by commission and fee income from insureds. This is a measurement that illustrates relative management efficiency in administering our operations.

*Combined Ratio* Combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. If the combined ratio is at or above 100%, an insurance company cannot be profitable without sufficient investment income.

#### FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 10-Q

The following table presents the loss, expense and combined ratios for our insurance operations.

		Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010		
Loss and loss adjustment expense	82.1%	73.0%		
Expense	27.5%	25.5%		
Combined	109.6%	98.5%		

#### **Operational Initiatives**

We believe that our retail stores are the foundation of our business providing an opportunity for us to directly interact with our customers on a regular basis. Therefore, we remain dedicated to improving the customer experience in our retail stores. Our retail sales and marketing initiatives include:

investment in our sales management organization to improve the quality and consistency of the customer experience in our retail stores,

development of a new brand logo and cohesive brand strategy, and

investment in rebranding our store fronts and refurbishing our stores interiors. We also recognize that customer preferences are changing and that we need to adapt to meet those needs. For that reason, we are focused on expanding the ways that customers can purchase automobile insurance, access customer service and interact with our claims department. Our customer interaction initiatives include:

development of electronic signature capabilities, thereby enabling most customers to receive quotes and bind policies over the phone and through the internet, and

development of a consumer-based website that reflects our branding strategy, improves the customer experience, and allows for full-service capabilities including quoting, binding and receiving payments.

We have also been focused on expanding our potential customer base through improvements to our insurance products and product offerings. Our product initiatives include:

implementation of our new multivariate pricing program, which is now being utilized in eleven of the twelve states in which we operate, and

expansion of ancillary product offerings. **Investments** 

We use the services of an independent investment manager to manage our investment portfolio. The investment manager conducts, in accordance with our investment policy, all of the investment purchases and sales for our insurance company subsidiaries. Our investment policy has been established by the Investment Committee of our Board of Directors and specifically addresses overall investment goals and objectives, authorized investments, prohibited securities, restrictions on sales by the investment manager and guidelines as to asset allocation, duration and credit quality. Management and the Investment Committee meet regularly with our investment manager to review the performance of the portfolio and compliance with our investment guidelines.

The invested assets of the insurance company subsidiaries consist substantially of marketable, investment grade, U.S. government securities, municipal bonds, corporate bonds and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). Investment income is comprised primarily of interest earned on these securities, net of related investment expenses. Realized gains and losses may occur from time to time as changes are made to our holdings based upon changes in interest rates or the credit quality of specific securities.

## FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION 10-Q

The value of our consolidated investment portfolio was \$182.0 million at September 30, 2011 and consisted of fixed maturity securities and an investment in a mutual fund, all carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders equity. At September 30, 2011, we had gross unrealized gains of \$11.2 million and gross unrealized losses of \$0.8 million in our consolidated investment portfolio.

At September 30, 2011, 94.5% of the fair value of our fixed maturity portfolio was rated investment grade (a credit rating of AAA to BBB-) by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The average credit rating of our fixed maturity portfolio was A+ at September 30, 2011. Investment grade securities generally bear lower yields and have lower degrees of risk than those that are unrated or non-investment grade. We believe that a high quality investment portfolio is more likely to generate a stable and predictable investment return.

Investments in CMOs had a fair value of \$31.8 million at September 30, 2011 and represented 17% of our fixed maturity portfolio. At September 30, 2011, 81% of our CMOs were considered investment grade by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. In addition, 14% of our CMOs were rated AAA and 62% of our CMOs were backed by agencies of the United States government. Of the non-agency backed CMOs, 36% were rated AAA.

The following table summarizes our investment securities at September 30, 2011 (in thousands).

	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
September 30, 2011	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 24,900	\$ 1,418	\$	\$ 26,318
State	6,375	280		6,655
Political subdivisions	754	29		783
Revenue and assessment	24,121	1,501		25,622
Corporate bonds	77,753	6,020	(400)	83,373
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Agency backed	18,282	1,469		19,751
Non-agency backed residential	5,680	182	(155)	5,707
Non-agency backed commercial	6,107	272	(65)	6,314
Redeemable preferred stock	176		(9)	167
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	164,148	11,171	(629)	174,690
Investment in mutual fund, available-for-sale	7,501		(202)	7,299
	\$ 171,649	\$ 11,171	\$ (831)	\$ 181,989

The following table sets forth the scheduled maturities of our fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2011 based on their fair values (in thousands). Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain securities may be called or prepaid by the issuers.

	Securities with Unrealized Gains	with	Securities with No Unrealized Gains or Losses	All Fixed Maturity Securities
One year or less	\$ 16,025	5 \$	\$ 300	\$ 16,325
After one through five years	66,840	5 3,489		70,335
After five through ten years	42,12	l 669		42,790
After ten years	8,197	5,104		13,301
No single maturity date	29,728	3 2,211		31,939

\$ 162,917 \$ 11,473	\$	300	\$ 174,690
----------------------	----	-----	------------

## **Other-Than-Temporary Impairment**

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 320-10-65, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, we separate other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) into the following two components: (i) the amount related to credit losses, which is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations and (ii) the amount related to all other factors, which is recorded in other comprehensive income. The credit-related portion of an OTTI is measured by comparing a security s amortized cost to the present value of its current expected cash flows discounted at its effective yield prior to the impairment charge.

The determination of whether unrealized losses are other-than-temporary requires judgment based on subjective as well as objective factors. We routinely monitor our investment portfolio for changes in fair value that might indicate potential impairments and perform detailed reviews on such securities. Changes in fair value are evaluated to determine the extent to which such changes are attributable to (i) fundamental factors specific to the issuer or (ii) market-related factors such as interest rates or sector declines.

Securities with declines attributable to issuer-specific fundamentals are reviewed to identify all available evidence to estimate the potential for impairment. Resources used include historical financial data included in filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission for corporate bonds and performance data regarding the underlying loans for CMOs. Securities with declines attributable solely to market or sector declines where we do not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell the security before the full recovery of its amortized cost basis are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

The issuer-specific factors considered in reaching the conclusion that securities with declines are not other-than-temporary include (i) the extent and duration of the decline in fair value, including the duration of any significant decline in value, (ii) whether the security is current as to payments of principal and interest, (iii) a valuation of any underlying collateral, (iv) current and future conditions and trends for both the business and its industry, (v) changes in cash flow assumptions for CMOs and (vi) rating agency actions. Based on these factors, we make a determination as to the probability of recovering principal and interest on the security.

On a quarterly basis, we review cash flow estimates for certain non-agency backed CMOs of lesser credit quality following the guidance of FASB ASC 325-40-65, *Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20* (FASB ASC 325-40-65). Accordingly, when changes in estimated cash flows occur due to actual or estimated prepayment or credit loss experience, and the present value of the revised cash flows is less than the present value previously estimated, OTTI is deemed to have occurred. For non-agency backed CMOs not subject to FASB ASC 325-40-65, we review quarterly projected cash flow analyses and recognize OTTI when it is determined that a loss is probable. We have recognized OTTI related to certain non-agency backed CMOs as the underlying cash flows have been adversely impacted due to a reduction in prepayments from mortgage refinancing and an increase in actual and projected delinquencies in the underlying mortgages.

Our review of non-agency backed CMOs included an analysis of available information such as collateral quality, anticipated cash flows, credit enhancements, default rates, loss severities, the securities relative position in their respective capital structures and credit ratings from statistical rating agencies. We review quarterly projected cash flow analyses for each security utilizing current assumptions regarding (i) actual and anticipated delinquencies, (ii) delinquency transition-to-default rates and (iii) loss severities. Based on our quarterly reviews, we determined that there had not been an adverse change in projected cash flows, except in the case of those securities discussed in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements which incurred OTTI charges recognized in the consolidated statement of operations of \$31 thousand and \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We believe that the unrealized losses on the remaining non-agency backed CMOs for which OTTI charges have not been recorded are not necessarily predictive of the ultimate performance of the underlying collateral. We do not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

We believe that the remaining securities having unrealized losses at September 30, 2011 were not other-than-temporarily impaired. We also do not intend to sell any of these securities and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell any of these securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis.

# Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared with the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

# **Consolidated Results**

Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased 6% to \$50.0 million from \$53.1 million in the same period in the prior year. Loss before income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.6 million, compared with income before income taxes of \$0.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$3.7 million, compared with net income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Basic and diluted net loss per share were \$0.08 for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with basic and diluted net income per share of \$0.01 for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

# **Insurance** Operations

Revenues from insurance operations were \$49.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with \$53.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Loss before income taxes from insurance operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$2.3 million, compared with income before income taxes from insurance operations of \$2.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

# Premiums Earned

Premiums earned decreased by \$3.4 million, or 8%, to \$40.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, from \$43.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in premiums earned was primarily due to a decline in the number of PIF from 150,175 at September 30, 2010 to 140,930 at September 30, 2011, which was impacted by the closure of underperforming stores. At September 30, 2011, we operated 383 stores, compared with 393 stores at September 30, 2010. Premiums earned were also negatively impacted by an increase in the percentage of PIF with liability-only coverage. Although the number of PIF sold through our open stores decreased from 143,738 at September 30, 2010 to 137,026 at September 30, 2011, for those policies quoted, we continue to experience a higher close ratio for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in the prior year.

# Commission and Fee Income

Commission and fee income increased 3% to \$7.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, from \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. This increase in commission and fee income was a result of higher fee income related to commissionable ancillary products sold through our retail locations offset by the decrease in the number of PIF.

#### Investment Income

Investment income decreased to \$2.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011 from \$2.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010. This decrease in investment income was primarily a result of the decline in invested assets as a result of cash used in operations during the prior fiscal year. At September 30, 2011 and 2010, the tax-equivalent book yields for our fixed maturities portfolio were 4.6% and 4.4%, respectively, with effective durations of 3.30 and 3.05 years, respectively.

# Net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale

Net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale during the three months ended September 30, 2011 included \$26 thousand in net realized losses on redemptions and \$31 thousand of charges related to OTTI on certain non-agency backed CMOs. Net realized losses on investments, available-for-sale during the three months ended September 30, 2010 included \$0.1 million in net realized gains on redemptions and \$0.3 million of charges related to OTTI on certain non-agency backed CMOs. For additional information with respect to the determination of OTTI losses on investment securities, see Critical Accounting Estimates Investments below and Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements.

## Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was 82.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with 73.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2010. We experienced unfavorable development related to prior periods of \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with favorable development of \$2.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The unfavorable development for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with favorable development of \$2.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The unfavorable development for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to higher than expected loss adjustment expense related to bodily injury and no-fault claims that occurred in fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

Excluding the development related to prior periods, the loss and loss adjustment expense ratios for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were 79.5% and 77.7%, respectively. The year-over-year increase in the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was primarily due to higher loss and loss adjustment expense driven by an increase in bodily injury frequency, as well as, higher losses for comprehensive coverage.

We have substantially completed the process of implementing a new multivariate pricing program. We believe this new pricing program provides us with greater pricing segmentation and improves our pricing relative to the risk we are insuring. Currently, approximately 43% of our PIF have been underwritten using this new pricing program, which has now been implemented in eleven of the twelve states in which we operate. We plan to implement the new pricing program in the single remaining state in which we operate by December 2011.

## **Operating Expenses**

Insurance operating expenses increased 1% to \$18.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 from \$18.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily a result of costs associated with sales and marketing organizational initiatives and enhancements to our underwriting processes associated with the new multivariate pricing program, substantially offset by the reduction in costs (such as employee-agent commissions and premium taxes) that varied along with the decrease in premiums earned as well as savings realized from the closure of underperforming stores.

The expense ratio was 27.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared with 25.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The year-over-year increase in the expense ratio was due to the decrease in premiums earned.

Overall, the combined ratio increased to 109.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 from 98.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

#### Provision for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$0.1 million for both the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The provision for income taxes related to current state income taxes for certain subsidiaries with taxable income. At September 30, 2011 and 2010, we established a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

# Real Estate and Corporate

Loss before income taxes from real estate and corporate operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.3 million, compared with a loss before income taxes from real estate and corporate operations of \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Segment losses consist of other operating expenses not directly related to our insurance operations, interest expense and stock-based compensation offset by investment income on corporate invested assets. We incurred \$1.0 million of interest expense during both the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 related to the debentures issued in June 2007.

# Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of funds are premiums, fees and investment income from our insurance company subsidiaries and commissions and fee income from our non-insurance company subsidiaries. Our primary uses of funds are the payment of claims and operating expenses. Net cash used by operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$5.3 million, compared with net cash provided by operating activities of \$0.3 million for the same period in the prior fiscal year. Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily the result of a decrease in cash collected from premiums written. Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$4.8 million, compared with net cash provided by investing activities of \$2.4 million for the same period in the prior fiscal year. The three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 included net reductions in our investment portfolio of \$5.5 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, primarily a result of maturities and redemptions. Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended \$0.7 million related to the repurchase of common stock held by a former executive officer in a privately negotiated transaction.

Our holding company requires cash for general corporate overhead expenses and for debt service related to our debentures payable. The holding company s primary source of unrestricted cash to meet its obligations is the sale of ancillary products to our insureds and, if necessary, the holding company may receive dividends from our insurance company subsidiaries. The holding company also receives cash from operating activities as a result of investment income. Through an intercompany tax allocation arrangement, taxable losses of the holding company provide cash to the holding company to the extent that taxable income is generated by the insurance company subsidiaries. At September 30, 2011, we had \$12.4 million available in unrestricted cash and investments outside of the insurance company subsidiaries. These funds and the additional unrestricted cash from the sources noted above will be used to pay our future cash requirements outside of the insurance company subsidiaries.

The holding company has debt service requirements related to the debentures payable. The debentures are interest-only and mature in full in July 2037. Interest is fixed annually through July 2012 at \$3.9 million. The debentures pay a fixed rate of 9.277% until July 30, 2012, after which time the rate becomes variable (LIBOR plus 375 basis points). Based on current LIBOR interest rates, our interest expense related to the debentures would decrease beginning in August 2012.

State insurance laws limit the amount of dividends that may be paid from our insurance company subsidiaries. Based on our earned surplus, we believe that we have total dividend capacity for the next twelve months of approximately \$15.5 million, of which \$3.6 million would be deemed extraordinary and subject to regulatory approval.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners Model Act for risk-based capital provides formulas to determine each December 31 on an annual basis the amount of statutory capital and surplus that an insurance company needs to ensure that it has an acceptable expectation of not becoming financially impaired. There are also statutory guidelines that suggest that on an annual calendar year basis an insurance company should not exceed a ratio of net premiums written to statutory capital and surplus of 3-to-1. On a combined basis, the ratios for our insurance company subsidiaries of net premiums written for the last twelve months to statutory capital and surplus were 1.53-to-1 at September 30, 2011. Based on our current forecast on a combined basis, we anticipate that our risk-based capital levels will be adequate and that our ratio of net premiums written to statutory capital and surplus will not exceed the 3-to-1 statutory guideline for the reasonably foreseeable future. We therefore believe that our insurance company subsidiaries have sufficient statutory capital and surplus available to support their net premium writings in this time frame.

We believe that existing cash and investment balances, when combined with anticipated cash flows as noted above, will be adequate to meet our expected liquidity needs, for both the holding company and our insurance company subsidiaries, in both the short-term and the reasonably foreseeable future. Any future growth strategy may require external financing, and we may from time to time seek to obtain external financing. We cannot assure that additional sources of financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all, or that any such financing would not negatively impact our results of operations.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any new off-balance sheet arrangements since June 30, 2011. For information with respect to our off-balance sheet arrangements at June 30, 2011, see Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

## **Critical Accounting Estimates**

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting estimates during the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared with those disclosed in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Estimates included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements made in this report, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. You can identify these statements from our use of the words may, should, could, potential. continue. plan, project, believe, intent. anticipate, expect, target, is likely, will, or the negative of these terms and similar expressions. estimate. are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may include, among other things statements and assumptions relating to:

our future growth, income, income per share and other financial performance measures;

the anticipated effects on our results of operations or financial condition from recent and expected developments or events;

the financial condition of, and other issues relating to the strength of and liquidity available to, issuers of securities held in our investment portfolio;

the accuracy and adequacy of our loss reserving methodologies; and

#### our business and growth strategies.

We believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions. However, these forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results to differ materially from our expectations of future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. We discuss these and other uncertainties in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as otherwise required by applicable laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or the risk factors described in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason after the date of this report.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk represents the potential economic loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments. Our exposures to market risk relate primarily to our investment portfolio, which is exposed primarily to interest rate risk and credit risk. The fair value of our investment portfolio is directly impacted by changes in market interest rates; generally, the fair value of fixed-income investments moves inversely with movements in market interest rates. Our fixed maturity portfolio is comprised of substantially all fixed rate investments with primarily short-term and intermediate-term maturities. Likewise, the underlying investments of our current mutual fund investment are also fixed-income investments. This portfolio composition allows flexibility in reacting to fluctuations of interest rates. The portfolios of our insurance company subsidiaries are managed to achieve an adequate risk-adjusted return while maintaining sufficient liquidity to meet policyholder obligations.

## **Interest Rate Risk**

The fair values of our fixed maturity investments fluctuate in response to changes in market interest rates. Increases and decreases in prevailing interest rates generally translate into decreases and increases, respectively, in the fair values of those instruments. Additionally, the fair values of interest rate sensitive instruments may be affected by the creditworthiness of the issuer, prepayment options, relative values of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument and other general market conditions.

The following table summarizes the estimated effects of hypothetical increases and decreases in interest rates resulting from parallel shifts in market yield curves on our fixed maturity portfolio (in thousands). It is assumed that the effects are realized immediately upon the change in interest rates. The hypothetical changes in market interest rates do not reflect what could be deemed best or worst case scenarios. Variations in market interest rates could produce significant changes in the timing of repayments due to prepayment options available. For these and other reasons, actual results might differ from those reflected in the table.

	Sensitivity to Instantaneous Interest Rate Changes (basis points)					
	(100)	(50)	0	50	100	200
Fair value of fixed maturity portfolio	\$ 180,895	\$ 177,775	\$ 174,690	\$171,702	\$ 168,807	\$ 163,285

The following table provides information about our fixed maturity investments at September 30, 2011 which are sensitive to interest rate risk. The table shows expected principal cash flows (at par value, which differs from amortized cost as a result of premiums or discounts at the time of purchase and OTTI) by expected maturity date for each of the next five fiscal years and collectively for all fiscal years thereafter (in thousands). Callable bonds and notes are included based on call date or maturity date depending upon which date produces the most conservative yield. CMOs and sinking fund issues are included based on maturity year adjusted for expected payment patterns. Actual cash flows may differ from those expected.

Year Ended June 30,	Securities with Unrealized Gains	Securities with Unrealized Losses	Securities with No Unrealized Gains or Losses	Amount
2012	\$ 12,145	\$	\$	\$ 12,145
2013	24,195			24,195
2014	17,130	2,000		19,130
2015	19,362			19,362
2016	11,552	1,100		12,652
Thereafter	66,724	8,053		74,777
Total	\$ 151,108	\$ 11,153	\$	\$ 162,261
Fair value	\$ 162,917	\$ 11,473	\$ 300	\$ 174,690

On June 15, 2007, our trust entity, First Acceptance Statutory Trust I, used the proceeds from its sale of trust preferred securities to purchase \$41.2 million of junior subordinated debentures. The debentures pay a fixed rate of 9.277% until July 30, 2012, after which the rate becomes variable (LIBOR plus 375 basis points).

# **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is managed by diversifying our investment portfolio to avoid concentrations in any single industry group or issuer and by limiting investments in securities with lower credit ratings. Our largest investment in any one investment, excluding U.S. government and agency securities, is our investment in a single mutual fund with a fair value of \$7.3 million, or 4% of our investment portfolio. Our five largest investments make up 16% of our investment portfolio. The average credit quality rating for our fixed maturity portfolio was A+ at September 30, 2011.

The following table presents the underlying ratings of our fixed maturity portfolio by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations at September 30, 2011 (in thousands).

Comparable Rating	Amortized Cost	% of Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Fair Value
AAA	\$ 31,334	19.1%	\$ 33,021	18.9%
AA+, AA, AA-	61,237	37.3%	66,266	37.9%
A+, A, A-	53,261	32.5%	56,800	32.5%
BBB+, BBB, BBB-	8,937	5.4%	9,072	5.2%
Total investment grade	154,769	94.3%	165,159	94.5%
Not rated	3,567	2.2%	3,759	2.2%
BB+, BB, BB-	1,833	1.1%	1,759	1.0%
B+, B, B-	941	0.6%	940	0.5%
CCC+, CCC, CCC-	3,024	1.8%	3,039	1.7%
CC+, CC, CC-	14	0.0%	26	0.1%
C+, C, C-		0.0%		0.0%
D		0.0%	8	0.0%
Total non-investment grade	5,812	3.5%	5,772	3.3%
Total	\$ 164,148	100.0%	\$ 174,690	100.0%

The mortgage industry has experienced a significant number of delinquencies and foreclosures, particularly among lower quality exposures (sub-prime and Alt-A). As a result of these delinquencies and foreclosures, many CMOs with underlying sub-prime and Alt-A mortgages as collateral experienced significant declines in fair value. At September 30, 2011, our fixed maturity portfolio included three CMOs having sub-prime exposure with a fair value of \$0.9 million and no exposure to Alt-A investments.

Our investment portfolio consists of \$33.0 million of municipal bonds, of which \$20.3 million are insured. Of the insured bonds, 72% are insured with MBIA, 15% with AMBAC and 13% with XL Capital. These securities are paying their principal and periodic interest timely.

The following table presents the underlying ratings at September 30, 2011, represented by the lower of either Standard and Poor s, Fitch s, or Moody s ratings, of the municipal bond portfolio (in thousands).

	Insur	Insured		Uninsured		Total	
	Fair Value	% of Fair Value	Fair Value	% of Fair Value	Fair Value	% of Fair Value	
AAA	\$	0%	\$ 3,143	25%	\$ 3,143	9%	
AA+, AA, AA-	8,399	41%	5,347	42%	13,746	42%	
A+, A, A-	10,253	51%	4,234	33%	14,487	44%	
BBB+, BBB, BBB-	1,674	8%		0%	1,674	5%	
Not Rated	10	0%		0%	10	0%	
Total	\$ 20,336	100%	\$ 12,724	100%	\$ 33,060	100%	

## **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

# **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management team, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act ) as of September 30, 2011. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and Senior Vice President of Finance (principal financial officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2011 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

# **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

During the period covered by this report, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

28

# PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information regarding repurchases by us of our common stock during the periods indicated. We repurchased 459,760 shares from a former executive officer of the Company during the three months ended September 30, 2011 in a privately negotiated transaction, as authorized by the Board of Directors.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2011 July 31, 2011			U	U
August 1, 2011 August 31, 2011				
September 1, 2011 September 30, 2011	459,760	\$ 1.60		
Total Item 6. Exhibits	459,760	\$ 1.60		

The following exhibits are attached to this report:

# 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).

- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Principal Executive Officer s Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Principal Financial Officer s Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

# Edgar Filing: FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# FIRST ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

By: /s/ John R. Barnett John R. Barnett Senior Vice President of Finance

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

30

Date: November 7, 2011