Tower International, Inc. Form 10-Q August 04, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from

For the transition period from ______ to ____

Commission file number 001-34903

TOWER INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

17672 Laurel Park Drive North

Suite 400 E

Livonia, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices)

(248) 675-6000

48152

(Zip Code)

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

27-3679414 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes þ No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes þ No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Securities and Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer " Accelerated Filer " Non-Accelerated Filer b Smaller Reporting Company "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12(b)-2 of the Securities and Exchange Act).

Yes " No þ

As of August 4, 2011, there were 19,683,032 shares of the registrant s common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, issued and outstanding.

PART I. Financial Information

Tower International, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Page

Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited):	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	3
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	41
PART II. C	Other Information	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	42
Item 6.	Exhibits	42
Signatures		
Exhibit Inde	ex	
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer	
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer	
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer	
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer	
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	

PART 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements.

TOWER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands - unaudited)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 127,969	\$ 150,345
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$3,935 and \$1,674	372,678	297,086
Inventories (Note 3)	90,658	73,189
Deferred tax asset - current	12,438	12,406
Assets held for sale (Note 4)	8,690	8,178
Prepaid tooling and other	70,618	57,754
Total current assets	683,051	598,958
Property, plant and equipment, net	670,655	627,497
Goodwill (Note 6)	71,821	66,309
Deferred tax asset - non-current	15,863	17,377
Other assets, net	32,539	30,035
Total assets	\$ 1,473,929	\$ 1,340,176
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	¢ 170.072	¢ 100.040
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations (Note 8)	\$ 170,273	\$ 109,848
Accounts payable	407,065	366,761
Accrued liabilities	131,828	132,614
Total current liabilities	709,166	609,223
Long-term debt, net of current maturities (Note 8)	426,910	432,726
Obligations under capital leases, net of current maturities (Note 8)	15,322	15,604
Deferred tax liability - non-current	10,299	12,710
Pension liability (Note 11)	71,758	76,403
Other non-current liabilities	82,759	81,884
Total non-current liabilities	607,048	619,327
Total liabilities	1,316,214	1,228,550
Commitments and contingencies (Note 20)		
Stockholders equity:		
Tower International, Inc. s stockholders equity		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 350,000,000 authorized, 19,101,588 issued and		
outstanding (Note 13)	191	191

Additional paid in capital Accumulated deficit	303,760 (186,308)	296,262 (192,556)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (Note 12)	(8,050)	(36,530)
Total Tower International, Inc. s stockholders equity	109,593	67,367
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	48,122	44,259
Total stockholders equity	157,715	111,626
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,473,929	\$ 1,340,176

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

TOWER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts - unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended					
		June 2011		2010		June 2011	e 30,	2010
Revenues	\$	602,718	\$	501,682	\$	1,202,353	\$	980,811
Cost of sales		544,019		446,144		1,074,084		872,048
Gross profit		58,699		55,538		128,269		108,763
Selling, general and administrative expenses (Note 9)		39,365		31,940		77,087		64,961
Amortization expense (Note 6)		1,262		831		2,154		1,541
Restructuring and asset impairment charges, net (Note								
7)		1,169		579		1,652		4,686
Operating income		16,903		22,188		47,376		37,575
Interest expense		16,061		14,035		28,579		27,825
Interest income		176		380		439		569
Other expense		-		-		850		-
Income before provision for income taxes		1,018		8,533		18,386		10,319
Provision for income taxes (Note 10)		2,570		4,228		9,183		8,362
Net income / (loss)		(1,552)		4,305		9,203		1,957
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests		1,222		2,394		2,955		4,528
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International,								
Inc.	\$	(2,774)	\$	1,911	\$	6,248	\$	(2,571)
Less: Preferred unit dividends (Note 14)	\$	-	\$	(4,380)	\$	-	\$	(8,649)
Net income / (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	(2,774)	\$	(2,469)	\$	6,248	\$	(11,220)
Weighted average common shares outstanding								
Basic	10	9,101,588	14	167.966		10 101 500	17	167 966
Diluted		9,101,588 9,101,588		2,467,866 2,467,866		19,101,588 19,991,615		2,467,866 2,467,866
	19	,101,388	14	2,407,000		17,771,015	1.	2,407,800
Net income / (loss) per share attributable to Tower International, Inc. (Note 15):								
Basic	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.33	\$	(0.90)
Diluted		(0.15)		(0.20)		0.31		(0.90)
The accompanying notes are an inte	egral par	t of these Cond	lensed (Consolidated F	inancia	al Statements.		

TOWER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands - unaudited)

	Six Months Ended Jun 2011 20	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 9,203	\$ 1,957
Adjustments required to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Non-cash restructuring and asset impairment charges	-	2,699
Deferred income tax provision	(869)	-
Depreciation and amortization	61,708	58,706
Non-cash share-based compensation	7,498	-
Pension expense, net of contributions	(3,771)	(1,848)
Change in working capital and other operating items	(66,901)	(34,444)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 6,868	\$ 27,070
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Cash disbursed for purchases of property, plant and equipment, net	\$ (52,559)	\$ (40,096)
Net assets acquired, net of cash acquired	(22,300)	(16,687)
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (74,859)	\$ (56,783)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Repayments of term debt	\$ -	\$ (2,340)
Partial redemption of senior secured notes	(17,000)	-
Preferred unit dividends	-	(95)
Proceeds from borrowings, net	315,202	276,357
Repayments of borrowings	(257,569)	(237,612)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 40,633	\$ 36,310
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4.982	\$ (6,779)
	φ 1 ,702	\$ (0,779)
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$ (22,376)	\$ (182)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:		
Beginning of period	\$ 150,345	\$ 149,802
	ψ 150,545	ψ 119,002
End of period	\$ 127,969	\$ 149,620

Table of Contents

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 33,111	\$ 26,008
Income taxes paid	8,057	4,583
Non-cash Activities:		
Capital expenditures in liabilities for purchases of property, plant and equipment	\$ 22,145	\$ 16,291
Cumulative preferred stock units accrued	-	8,554
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		

TOWER INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Tower International, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the Company or Tower International) is a leading integrated global producer of engineered structural metal components and assemblies primarily serving automotive original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, including Volkswagen Group, Hyundai/Kia, Ford, Fiat, Volvo, Chrysler, Nissan, Daimler, Toyota, BMW, Chery, and Honda. Products include body structures stampings, chassis structures (including frames), and complex welded assemblies for small and large cars, crossovers, pickups and SUVs. Including both 100% owned subsidiaries and majority owned subsidiaries, the Company has strategically located production facilities in the United States, Belgium, Germany, Italy, Slovakia, Poland, Brazil, South Korea, and China, supported by engineering and sales locations in the United States, Germany, Italy, Brazil, South Korea, Japan, China, and India.

On October 14, 2010, in connection with its initial public offering (the IPO), Tower Automotive, LLC was converted to a Delaware corporation named Tower International, Inc. (the Corporate Conversion). Upon the Corporate Conversion, all of the equity interests in Tower Automotive, LLC were converted into common stock of Tower International, Inc. (see note 13 for further discussion).

On October 15, 2010, the Company s common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange pursuant to the Company s IPO. On October 20, 2010, the Company received \$75.6 million of proceeds, after underwriting discounts and commissions, in connection with the sale of 6,250,000 shares of common stock in the IPO. On November 8, 2010, the Company sold an additional 383,722 shares of common stock resulting in additional proceeds of \$4.6 million, after underwriting discounts and commissions, pursuant to a partial exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option.

All references to the Company in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for periods prior to the effective time of our Corporate Conversion are to Tower Automotive, LLC and its subsidiaries. All references to the Company in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for periods subsequent to the effective time of our Corporate Conversion are to Tower International, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The information furnished in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements includes normal recurring adjustments and reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements. Certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited year end financial statements and the notes thereto included in the most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by the Company with the SEC. The interim results for the periods presented may not be indicative of the Company s actual annual results.

Principles of Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and all subsidiaries that are more than 50% owned and over which the Company exercises control. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation.

4

Change in Accounting Principle

The Company did not adopt any new accounting standards during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Note 2. New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

Fair Value

On May 12, 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04 which amended ASC No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The ASU is the result of joint efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop a single, converged fair value framework that provides guidance on how to measure fair value and on what disclosures to provide about fair value measurements. The ASU expands ASC No. 820 s existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements and makes other amendments. Many of these amendments eliminate unnecessary wording differences between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for public entities. The Company is currently evaluating the effects, if any, that this ASU may have on its financial condition and results of operations.

Other Comprehensive Income

On June 16, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, which revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The ASU removes the presentation options in ASC No. 220, *Comprehensive Income*, and requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. The ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for public entities. The Company is currently evaluating the effects, if any, that this ASU may have on its financial condition and results of operations.

Note 3. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. In addition, the Company uses a valuation account for inventory obsolescence, which has not been material for any periods presented. Maintenance, repair and non-productive inventory, which are considered consumables, are expensed when acquired in cost of sales. Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,	
	2011		2010
Raw materials	\$ 41,009	\$	29,762
Work in process	25,494		19,335
Finished goods	24,155		24,092
Total	\$ 90,658	\$	73,189

Note 4. Assets Held for Sale

The Company has three locations that are considered held for sale in accordance with FASB ASC No. 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*. The three locations are Gunpo, South Korea; Bergisch Gladbach, Germany; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The Gunpo facility was classified as held for sale in 2009 and the Bergisch and Milwaukee facilities were classified as held for sale in the first quarter of 2010. The Company s management has demonstrated intent to sell these locations by listing the properties with local real estate agencies at prices deemed reasonable in comparison to their respective fair values and has continued efforts to sell the properties; thus, the Company expects to sell these locations within one year. Accordingly, the Company has recorded these locations at fair value, ceased depreciation on them, and classified them as held for sale. The change in balances relates to foreign exchange fluctuations. The following table summarizes assets held for sale by category (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31		
	2011	2010		
Land	\$ 6,852	\$	6,426	
Building	1,838		1,752	

Total \$ 8,690 \$ 8,178

Note 5. Tooling

Tooling represents costs incurred by the Company in the development of new tooling used in the manufacture of the Company s products. All pre-production tooling costs, incurred for tools that the Company will not own and that will be used in producing products supplied under long-term supply agreements, are expensed as incurred unless the supply agreement provides the Company with the non-cancellable right to use the tools or the reimbursement of such costs is contractually guaranteed by the customer. Generally, the customer agrees to reimburse the Company for certain of its tooling costs at the time the customer awards a contract to the Company.

When the part for which tooling has been developed reaches a production-ready status, the Company is reimbursed by its customer for the cost of the tooling, at which time the tooling becomes the property of the customer. The Company has certain other tooling costs, which are capitalized and amortized over the life of the related product program, related to tools which the Company has the contractual right to use during the life of the supply arrangement. Customer-owned tooling is included in prepaid tooling and other and company-owned tooling is included in other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. The components of capitalized tooling costs are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	e 30, December 3	
	2011		2010
Customer-owned tooling	\$ 52,911	\$	38,683
Company-owned tooling	2,892		3,828
Total	\$ 55,803	\$	42,511

Any gain recognized, which is defined as the excess of reimbursement over cost, is amortized over the life of the program. If estimated costs are expected to be in excess of reimbursement, a loss is recorded in the period when the loss is estimated.

Note 6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Good will

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill is set forth below on a reportable segment and consolidated basis (in thousands):

	International	Americas	Consolidated
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 62,646	\$ 3,663	\$ 66,309
Currency translation adjustment	5,304	208	5,512
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 67,950	\$ 3,871	\$ 71,821

Intangibles

The Company has certain intangible assets that are related to customer relationships in Europe and Brazil. During the second quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a new intangible asset in North America for a covenant not to compete agreement retated to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries (see note 19). These intangible assets have definite lives and are amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the recognition of related revenue, over the estimated lives of the related assets. The intangible assets are recorded in other assets. The Company anticipates amortization expense of \$4.6 million, \$4.9 million, \$2.9 million, and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, respectively, at which time no further amortization expense will be incurred. The Company incurred amortization expense of \$1.3 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company incurred amortization expense of \$0.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

The following table presents information about the intangible assets of the Company at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively (in thousands):

		As of Ju	ine 30, 2011	As of Decen	nber 31, 2010
	Weighted	Gross		Gross	
	Average Life	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible:					
Europe	6 years	\$ 16,686	\$ 8,881	\$16,011	\$ 7,504
Brazil	7 years	6,099	3,202	5,935	2,749
North America	2 years	2,271	324	-	-
Total		\$ 25,056	\$ 12,407	\$ 21,946	\$ 10,253

Note 7. Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges

The Company has executed various restructuring plans and may execute additional plans in the future to realign manufacturing capacity to prevailing global automotive production and to improve the utilization of remaining facilities. Estimates of restructuring charges are based on information available at the time such charges are recorded. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in estimating restructuring expenses, actual amounts paid for such activities may differ from amounts initially recorded. Accordingly, the Company may record revisions of previous estimates by adjusting previously established reserves.

Restructuring Charges

Restructuring charges and asset impairments for each of the Company s reportable segments include the following (in thousands):

	Three Months	Three Months Ended June 30,		nded June 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
International	\$ -	\$ 106	\$ -	\$ 2,867
Americas	1,169	473	1,652	1,819
Total	\$ 1,169	\$ 579	\$ 1,652	\$ 4,686

The following table sets forth the Company s net restructuring expense by type for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			nded June 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Employee termination costs	\$ 514	\$ 68	\$ 1,217	\$ 219
Other exit costs	655	511	435	1,768
Asset impairments	-	-	-	2,699
Total	\$ 1,169	\$ 579	\$ 1,652	\$ 4,686

The charges incurred during 2011 and 2010 primarily related to the following actions:

2011 Actions

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the charges incurred in the Americas segment related to the ongoing maintenance of facilities closed as a result of prior actions and severance costs in Brazil related to improved manufacturing efficiencies, which were offset partially by the favorable adjustment of a liability pertaining to closed facilities.

2010 Actions

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company classified its Bergisch Gladbach facility as held for sale (see note 4) which resulted in an impairment charge of \$2.7 million to align the book value with the estimated fair value less costs to sell. The additional charges incurred in 2010 in both the International and Americas segments related to other severance

costs and ongoing maintenance of facilities closed as a result of prior actions.

Restructuring Reserve

The table below summarizes the activity in the accrual by reportable segment, reflected in accrued liabilities, for the above-mentioned actions through June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	International		Ame	ericas	Cons	olidated
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	8,187	\$	1,561	\$	9,748
Payments		(7,713)	((3,419)		(11,132)
Increase in liability		580		3,731		4,311
Adjustment to liability		(233)		(986)		(1,219)
Balance at December 31, 2010		821		887		1,708
Payments		(300)		(66)		(366)
Increase in liability		-		669		669
Adjustment to liability		-		-		-
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$	521	\$	1,490	\$	2,011

Except as disclosed in the table above, the Company does not anticipate incurring additional material cash charges associated with the actions described above. The increase in the liability above does not agree with the restructuring charges in the table above as certain items are expensed as incurred related to the actions described. The liability primarily relates to severance, with the exception of costs accrued resulting from the sale of closed facilities.

The liability increased during the first six months of 2011 primarily due to the pending sale of a closed facility in North America. The majority of the \$2 million restructuring reserve accrued as of June 30, 2011 is expected to be paid in 2011. In the International segment, the liability decreased during the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due to severance payments made relating to the closure of the Company s facility in Bergisch Gladbach, Germany. In the Americas segment, the decrease in the liability during the year ended December 31, 2010 related primarily to severance payments for prior actions and payments relating to the sale of a closed facility, offset partially by the charges accrued related to the sale of the closed facility.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011 the Company incurred severance payments related to prior accruals in Europe of \$0.3 million and North America of \$0.1 million. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company incurred severance payments related to prior accruals in Europe of \$7.7 million and in North America of \$3.4 million.

Note 8. Debt

Senior Secured Notes

On August 24, 2010, the Company s subsidiaries, Tower Automotive Holdings USA, LLC and TA Holdings Finance, Inc. (collectively, the Issuers), issued \$430 million in senior secured notes (the notes offering). The senior secured notes (the notes) were issued at an original issue discount of \$12.8 million and bear an annual interest rate of 10.625%. The original issue discount will be amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, through interest expense over the term of the notes which increases the effective annual interest rate to 11.25%. The notes mature on September 1, 2017. The notes are jointly and severally and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company on a senior unsecured basis and by the existing domestic subsidiaries of the Company, other than the Issuers, that are guarantors under Tower Automotive Holdings USA, LLC s existing revolving credit facility (the Amended ABL revolver) and existing letter of credit facility (the

Letter of Credit Facility) (such domestic subsidiaries, the Subsidiary Guarantors) on a senior secured basis. The notes are senior secured obligations of the Issuers that, subject to certain permitted liens and exceptions, and subject to certain limitations with respect to enforcement, rank equally in right of payment to any existing and future senior indebtedness of the Issuers and are effectively junior to the extent of the collateral securing the Issuers and the Subsidiary Guarantors obligations on a first priority basis under the Amended ABL revolver. The notes and the subsidiary guarantees are effectively junior to any existing and future indebtedness of the Company subsidiaries that are not

guaranteeing the notes. The notes also restrict the Company from paying cash dividends on its common stock.

The notes are secured, on a pari passu basis with the obligations under the Letter of Credit Facility, by (i) a first priority security interest in the assets of the Issuers and the Subsidiary Guarantors which have been pledged on a first priority basis to the agent for the benefit of the lenders under the Letter of Credit Facility and (ii) on a second priority basis to all other assets of the Issuers and the Subsidiary Guarantors which have been pledged on a first priority basis and the Subsidiary Guarantors which have been pledged on a first priority basis to the agent for the benefit of the lenders under the Amended ABL revolver.

Upon the occurrence of certain specified changes of control, the holders of the notes will have the right to require the Issuers to purchase all or a part of their notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

At any time prior to September 1, 2014, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest. Additionally, prior to September 1, 2014, during any 12-month period, the Issuers may redeem up to 10% of the principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 105% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Further, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the notes at any time on or after September 1, 2014 at a redemption price equal to 105.313% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed through September 1, 2015 and at 100% of the principal amount thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, prior to September 1, 2013, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the original principal amount of the notes from the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a price of 110.625% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Company has concluded that bifurcation is not required for the embedded derivative related to the redemption provisions of the notes as it is clearly and closely related to the debt instrument or is not material.

On December 16, 2010, the Issuers redeemed \$26 million of the notes at 105% which resulted in a premium paid of \$1.3 million that was recognized as other expense. In connection with the redemption, the Issuers accelerated the amortization of the original issue discount and associated debt issue costs by \$1.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2010.

On March 30, 2011, the Issuers redeemed \$17 million of the notes at 105% which resulted in a premium paid of \$0.9 million that was recognized as other expense. In connection with the redemption, the Issuers accelerated the amortization of the original issue discount and associated debt issue costs by \$0.8 million in the first quarter of 2011.

As of June 30, 2011, the outstanding principal balance on the notes was \$376.9 million (net of a remaining \$10.1 million original issue discount).

First Lien Term Loan

The first lien term loan was borrowed in two tranches, with \$250 million advanced to a U.S. borrower (the U.S. Borrower) and the Euro currency equivalent of \$260 million (or 190.8 million) advanced to a European borrower (the European Borrower). The first lien term loan required principal payments of 1%, paid quarterly at the end of each January, April, July and October. Immediately prior to the repayment described below, funds and accounts managed by Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. (collectively with Cerberus Capital Management, L.P., Cerberus or Members) owned approximately 90% of the first lien term loan. The first lien term loan was scheduled to mature on July 31, 2013.

On August 13, 2010, in connection with the offering of the senior secured notes described above, Cerberus agreed to convert \$25 million aggregate principal amount of indebtedness under the first lien term loan and, in exchange, received equity in the Company; however, no new units were issued.

On August 24, 2010, the outstanding principal balance on the U.S. Dollar and Euro tranches was repaid in full in connection with the issuance of the senior secured notes described above.

On June 13, 2011, the Company terminated its first lien term loan agreement with the repayment of the \$27.5 million Letter of Credit Facility.

Amended Revolving Credit Facility

On June 13, 2011, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement (the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement) by and among Tower Automotive Holdings USA, LLC (the

Borrower), the Company, Tower Automotive Holdings I, LLC (Holdco), Tower Automotive Holdings II(a), LLC, Tower Automotive Holdings II(b), LLC, the subsidiary guarantors named therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC and each of the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto, as Lenders and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Issuing Lender, as Swing Line Lender and as Administrative Agent (in such capacity, the Agent) for the Lenders.

The Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement amends and restates in its entirety the Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement dated as of July 31, 2007, by and among the Borrower, its domestic affiliate and domestic subsidiary guarantors named therein and the lenders party thereto and the Agent. The Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement provides for an asset-based revolving credit facility (the Amended ABL Revolver) in the aggregate amount of up to \$150 million, subject to a borrowing base limitation. The maturity date for the Amended ABL Revolver is June 13, 2016.

Advances under the Amended ABL Revolver will bear interest at an alternate base rate (which is the highest of the Prime Rate, the Federal Funds Rate plus 1/2% and the Adjusted LIBOR (as each such term is defined in the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement) for a one month interest period plus 1%) plus a base rate margin or LIBOR plus a Eurodollar margin. The applicable margins are determined by the average availability under the Amended ABL Revolver over the preceding three consecutive full calendar months and as of the date of the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement were 2.25% per annum and 3.25% per annum for base rate and LIBOR based borrowings, respectively.

The Amended Revolving Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company, on an unsecured basis, and certain of the Company s direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries, on a secured basis (the Subsidiary Guarantors). The Amended Revolving Credit Facility is secured by the same assets of the Borrower and the Subsidiary Guarantors that secured the obligations under the ABL revolver.

The Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement includes customary events of default and amounts due thereunder may be accelerated upon the occurrence of an event of default.

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$116.6 million of borrowing availability under the Amended ABL revolver, of which \$38 million of borrowings were outstanding. As of June 30, 2011, the applicable margins were 2% per annum and 3% per annum for base rate and LIBOR based borrowings, respectively.

Revolving Credit Facility

The revolving credit facility (the ABL revolver) provided for a revolving credit facility in the aggregate amount of \$150 million, subject to a borrowing base limitation. Advances under the ABL revolver bore interest at a base rate or LIBOR, plus a margin. The applicable margins were determined by the average availability under the ABL revolver during the preceding three months. The ABL revolver was scheduled to mature on July 31, 2012 and was amended and restated on June 13, 2011 pursuant to the terms of the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement.

The ABL revolver was secured by (i) a first-priority lien on all accounts receivable, inventory, cash, investments and property, plant and equipment of the Borrower and Subsidiary Guarantors; (ii) a second-priority pledge of 65% of any voting and 100% of any non-voting equity interests held in any foreign subsidiary by the Borrower and Subsidiary Guarantors; and (iii) a second-priority lien on all other tangible and intangible assets of the Borrower and Subsidiary Guarantors.

Detroit Investment Fund

The Company acquired an unsecured debt instrument of \$1 million owed to the Detroit Investment Fund, L.P. (DIF) upon the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries (see note 19). The debt instrument requires monthly principal and interest payments with an annual interest rate of 8.5%. The instrument is scheduled to mature in April 2014. As of June 30, 2011, the outstanding principal balance was \$0.9 million.

Letter of Credit Facility

On June 13, 2011, the Company entered into a Letter of Credit Facility Agreement dated as of June 13, 2011 (the Letter of Credit Facility Agreement) by and among Tower Automotive Holdings USA, LLC (the L/C Borrower),

the Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., in its capacity as participant in respect of letters of credit issued thereunder, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent and Issuing Lender.

The Letter of Credit Facility Agreement provides for a letter of credit facility (the Letter of Credit Facility) for the issuance of up to \$38 million of letters of credit with a sublimit for Euro dominated letters of credit (with an option to increase the Letter of Credit Facility to \$44.5 million in the future). Upon a third party drawing on letters of credit issued under the Letter of Credit Facility, the L/C Borrower will become obligated to pay to the lenders the amounts so drawn. The maturity date of the Letter of Credit Facility is June 13, 2014.

As of June 30, 2011, the outstanding letters of credit under the Letter of Credit Facility were \$28.1 million. As of June 30, 2011, an 8.5% per annum fee is due on the total amount of the facility. This fee is subject to change in the future based upon then current market conditions.

The Letter of Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company and certain of the Company s direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries on an unsecured basis pursuant to a Guaranty entered into and made as of June 13, 2011.

The Letter of Credit Facility is unsecured. The Letter of Credit Facility Agreement contains customary covenants applicable to certain of the Company s subsidiaries. The Letter of Credit Facility Agreement includes customary events of default and amounts due thereunder may be accelerated upon the occurrence of an event of default.

\$27.5 million Letter of Credit Facility

The \$27.5 million synthetic letter of credit facility (the \$27.5 million Letter of Credit Facility) was fully cash collateralized by third party deposit lenders for purposes of replacing or backstopping letters of credit outstanding. The \$27.5 million Letter of Credit Facility was part of the First Lien Term Loan and Guaranty Agreement (the First Lien Agreement), dated as of July 31, 2007, by and among Tower Automotive Holdings USA, LLC, Tower Automotive Holding Europe B.V., the guarantors named therein, the lenders, named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as agent, but remained outstanding as it was not terminated when the first lien term loan was paid off in August 2010. The cash collateral was deposited by such third party deposit lenders in a deposit account, and the Company had no right, title or interest in the deposit account.

On June 13, 2011, the Company terminated the First Lien Agreement. At termination, Cerberus owned all of the \$27.5 million Letter of Credit Facility. In connection with the termination of the First Lien Agreement, a \$27.5 million deposit was returned to Cerberus in their capacity as a deposit lender.

Debt Issue Costs

The Company incurred interest expense related to the amortization of debt issue costs of \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company incurred interest expense related to the amortization of debt issue costs of \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Interest Rate Swaps

The Company was required by its credit agreements to enter into two interest rate swap agreements during the third quarter of 2007 with notional principal amounts of \$182.5 million and 100 million. These derivative agreements effectively fixed interest rates at 5.06% and 4.62%, respectively, on a portion of the Company s floating debt and qualified for cash flow hedge accounting treatment under FASB ASC No. 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. The swaps were designated as hedging instruments to offset the changes in cash flows resulting from changes in interest rates on this variable rate debt. The swaps were terminated in August 2010 in connection with the retirement of the first lien term loan. Under FASB ASC No. 815, each swap was recorded as a cash flow hedge in which the fair value was recorded as an asset or liability and the changes in the fair value were recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Measurement of hedge effectiveness was performed quarterly. Any changes in the effective portion of these derivatives were recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income / (loss), a component of stockholders equity. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, \$3.9 million and \$7.2 million was expensed through interest expense that had been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Other Foreign Subsidiary Indebtedness

As of June 30, 2011, other foreign subsidiary indebtedness of \$178.2 million consists primarily of borrowings in South Korea of \$128.5 million, receivables factoring in Europe of \$27 million, and borrowings in Brazil of \$22.7 million.

Generally, borrowings of foreign subsidiaries are made under credit agreements with commercial lenders and are used to fund working capital and other operating requirements.

South Korea

As of June 30, 2011, the Company s South Korean subsidiary had borrowings of \$128.5 million (KRW 137.3 billion), consisting of secured indebtedness of \$54.6 million (KRW 58.3 billion), unsecured indebtedness of \$27.1 million (KRW 29 billion), secured bonds of \$23.4 million (KRW 25 billion), unsecured corporate bonds of \$18.7 million (KRW 20 billion) issued in connection with a government sponsored collateralized bond program, and unsecured bonds of \$4.7 million (KRW 5 billion) which have annual interest rates ranging from 5.17% to 9.96% and maturity dates ranging from July 2011 to April 2013. The majority of these borrowings are subject to annual renewal. Substantially all of the assets of the Company s South Korean subsidiary serve as collateral for the secured indebtedness and secured bonds.

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company renewed \$6.8 million (KRW 7.3 billion) of maturing secured indebtedness for an additional year. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company renewed \$15.4 million (KRW 16.5 billion) of maturing secured indebtedness for an additional year. In addition, the Company was provided a \$2.1 million (KRW 2.2 billion) new one-year unsecured term loan. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans.

Brazil

As of June 30, 2011, the Company s Brazilian subsidiary had borrowings of \$22.7 million (R\$35.6 million) which have annual interest rates ranging from 5.5% to 15.39% and maturity dates ranging from July 2011 to June 2014. This credit is provided through bilateral agreements with four local banks. Periodic interest and principal payments are required. The loans are secured by certain fixed and current assets.

During the second quarter of 2011, three of the local banks provided the Company with \$10.8 million (R\$16.9 million) in new term loans. All three loans have maturity dates greater than one-year ranging from October 2012 through June 2014. The interest rates are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans except that one of the loans (in the initial principal amount of \$0.6 million (R\$0.9 million)) has an interest rate of 5.5%, which is significantly lower than the interest rates on the other portfolio loans.

During the first quarter of 2011, one of the local banks provided the Company with a \$2.5 million (R\$4 million) new one-year term loan. In addition, two of the local banks provided the Company with aggregate loans of \$5.7 million (R\$9 million) that refinanced previous loans. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans.

Italy

As of June 30, 2011, the receivables factoring facilities available to the Company were \$37.4 million (25.8 million), of which \$27 million (18.6 million) was drawn. These are uncommitted, demand facilities which are subject to termination at the discretion of the banks, and bear interest rates based on the average three month EURIBOR plus a spread ranging from 1.45% to 2.00%. The effective annual interest rates as of June 30, 2011 ranged from 2.94% to 3.74%. Any receivables factoring under these facilities is with recourse, and is secured by the accounts receivable factored. These receivables factoring transactions are recorded in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in current maturities of long-term debt.

Covenants

As of June 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants that govern its credit agreements.

Capital Leases

The Company had capital lease obligations of \$18.5 million and \$18.6 million, of which \$3.2 million represents current maturities, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, that expire between March 2013 and March 2018.

Note 9. Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

The Company s selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses include costs associated with the Company s sales efforts; engineering; centralized finance, human resources, purchasing, and information technology services; and other administrative functions. During 2010, the Company implemented one-time compensation programs that resulted in compensation charges against earnings. These compensation programs will also result in compensation charges against earnings in 2011 and subsequent periods. See notes 16 and 20 for further description of each program. SG&A expenses include the following (in thousands):

	Three Months	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010
SG&A (excluding items below)	\$ 33,608	\$ 31,591	\$66,832	\$ 63,775
Special incentive program	-	349	-	507
Supplemental value creation plan	1,218	-	2,277	-
Restricted stock units granted in connection with the IPO	3,439	-	6,878	-
Acquisition costs	1,100	-	1,100	679
Total	\$ 39,365	\$ 31,940	\$ 77,087	\$ 64,961

Note 10. Income Taxes

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized income tax expense of \$2.6 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in relation to income before provision for income taxes of \$1 million and \$18.4 million, respectively. The income tax expense resulted primarily from the recognition of foreign income taxes, withholding taxes, and certain state taxes. The income tax expense is higher than the expected income tax expense based on statutory rates primarily because the Company did not record tax benefits on net losses during the periods presented in certain jurisdictions, including the U.S., that have had historical cumulative losses. The Company did not record an income tax benefit on these losses due to the uncertainty of the future realization of the deferred tax assets generated by the cumulative losses. In the first quarter of 2011, the income tax expense recorded included a \$1.3 million deferred income tax expense on the favorable settlement of a value added tax audit in Brazil. In the second quarter of 2011, the income tax expense recorded included a \$1.4 million deferred income tax benefit from the elimination of two deferred income tax liabilities. In the International segment, the Company made an election which eliminated the need to maintain a \$1 million deferred income tax liability on the potential payment of dividends.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized income tax expense of \$4.2 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, in relation to income before provision for income taxes of \$8.5 million and \$10.3 million, respectively. The income tax expense resulted primarily from the recognition of foreign income taxes, withholding taxes, and state taxes. The income tax expense is higher than the expected income tax expense based on statutory rates primarily because the Company did not record tax benefits on net losses during the periods presented in certain jurisdictions, including the U.S., that have had historical cumulative losses. The Company did not record an income tax benefit on these losses due to the uncertainty of the future realization of the deferred tax assets generated by the cumulative losses.

Note 11. Retirement Plans

The Company sponsors various pension and other postretirement benefit plans for its employees.

In accordance with FASB ASC No. 805, *Business Combinations*, on August 1, 2007, the Company recorded a liability for the total projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets for the pension plans and a liability for the total

accumulated postretirement benefit obligation in excess of the fair value of plan assets for other postretirement benefit plans and for postretirement benefit settlement agreements, which were approved by the Bankruptcy Court and assumed by the Company.

The Tower Automotive Consolidated Pension Plan (the Pension Plan) provides benefits for certain current and former U.S. employees. Benefits under the Pension Plan are based on years of service, compensation, and other factors. Effective October 1, 2006, the plan was frozen and ceased accruing any additional benefits. Contributions by the Company are intended to fund benefits that accrued through October 1, 2006.

The Company sponsors various qualified defined contribution retirement plans. Each plan serves a defined group of employees and has varying levels of Company contributions. The Company s contributions to certain plans may be required by the terms of the Company s collective bargaining agreements.

The following tables provide the components of net periodic pension benefit cost and other post-retirement benefit cost (in thousands):

	Pension	Pension Benefits				
	Three Mon June		Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ -		
Interest cost	3,120	3,357	236	252		
Expected return on plan assets (a)	(2,822)	(2,659)	-	-		
Amortization of net losses	437	399	-	-		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 744	\$ 1,105	\$ 236	\$ 252		

	Pension Six Mont June	hs Ended	Other Benefits Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Service cost	\$ 18	\$ 16	\$-	\$ -	
Interest cost	6,240	6,714	472	504	
Expected return on plan assets (a)	(5,644)	(5,318)	-	-	
Amortization of net losses	874	798	-	-	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,488	\$ 2,210	\$ 472	\$ 504	

(a) Expected rate of return on plan assets for 2011 is 7.4%

The Company expects its minimum pension funding requirements to be \$16.3 million during 2011, of which the Company made contributions of \$3.1 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

The Company contributed \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 to its defined contribution employee savings plans.

As of July 31, 2007, the Company assumed the liabilities associated with a Voluntary Employee Benefit Association (VEBA) trust and future post-retirement benefit payments were capped at specified amounts to be paid through April 2011. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made contributions of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, to the VEBA trust that administers medical insurance benefits. As of June 30, 2011, the Company has no remaining payments to the VEBA trusts.

Note 12. Stockholders Equity and Noncontrolling Interests

The table below provides a reconciliation of the carrying amount of total stockholders equity, including stockholders equity attributable to Tower International, Inc. (Tower) and equity attributable to the noncontrolling interests (NCI) (in thousands):

			Six Months H	Ended June 30,		
	Tower	2011 NCI	Total	Tower	2010 NCI	Total
Equity / (deficit) beginning balance	\$ 67,367	\$ 44,259	\$ 111,626	\$ (186,723)	\$ 39,564	\$ (147,159)
Net income / (loss)	6,248	2,955	9,203	(2,571)	4,528	1,957
Other comprehensive income / (loss):						
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	27,293	908	28,201	(10,961)	293	(10,668)
Amortization of actuarial loss	874	-	874	798	-	798
Unrealized gain on qualifying cash flow						
hedge, net	313	-	313	7,173	-	7,173
Total comprehensive income / (loss)	34,728	3,863	38,591	(5,561)	4,821	(740)
Preferred unit dividends paid	-	-	-	(95)	-	(95)
Cumulative preferred units accrued	-	-	-	(8,554)	-	(8,554)
Share based compensation expense	7,498	-	7,498	-	-	-
Unit based compensation expense	-	-	-	263	-	263
Equity / (deficit) ending balance	\$ 109,593	\$48,122	\$ 157,715	\$ (200,670)	\$ 44,385	\$ (156,285)

The following tables present the components of accumulated other comprehensive income / (loss) (in thousands):

Other Comprehensive

	As of	As of	come ibutable
	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	to ower
Foreign currency translation	\$ 57,101	\$ 29,808	\$ 27,293
Defined benefit plans, net	(65,209)	(66,083)	874
Unrealized gain / (loss) on qualifying cash flow hedge, net	58	(255)	313
Accumulated other comprehensive income / (loss)	\$ (8,050)	\$ (36,530)	\$ 28,480

Note 13. Stockholders Equity and Unit Based Compensation

Stockholders Equity

Effective October 14, 2010, prior to the IPO, (i) all of the Company s equity owners transferred their equity interests in Tower Automotive, LLC to a newly created limited liability company, Tower International Holdings, LLC, (ii) Tower Automotive, LLC converted into a Delaware corporation named Tower International, Inc., and (iii) all of the equity interests in Tower Automotive, LLC were converted into common stock of Tower International, Inc (Corporate Conversion). Thus, immediately prior to the IPO and the commencement of trading of the Company s common stock, all of the Company s outstanding common stock was owned by Tower International Holdings, LLC. On October 20, 2010, the Company received \$75.6 million of proceeds, after underwriting discounts and commissions, in connection with the sale of 6,250,000 shares of its common stock at the closing of the IPO. On November 3, 2010, the Company sold an additional 383,722 shares of its common stock resulting

in additional proceeds of \$4.6 million, after underwriting discounts and commissions, pursuant to a partial exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had 19,101,588 shares of common stock outstanding.

Members Equity

Prior to August 12, 2010, the membership interests in Tower Automotive, LLC (Membership Interests) were represented by issued and outstanding Units divided into three series consisting of Redeemable Preferred Units,

Common Units and MIP Units. Effective August 12, 2010, the three series of units of the Company were converted into one series of Capital Units.

Capital Units

On August 12, 2010, the Company s operating agreement was amended and restated (the Unit Conversion) to provide for the conversion of the Company s Redeemable Preferred Units, Common Units, and MIP Units into a single class of membership interests, referred to as Capital Units. Prior to the Corporate Conversion, a total of 8,500 Capital Units of Tower Automotive, LLC were owned by Cerberus (Cerberus is sometimes referred to herein as the Vested Members) and a total of 1,500 Capital Units of Tower Automotive, LLC were owned by Tower Management, LLC (Management LLC Capital Units).

The Management LLC Capital Units held by the former owner of MIP Units were not entitled to distributions until the holders of the other Capital Units have received distributions, in addition to tax distributions, equal to \$180.9 million (the Reference Amount) plus a return on the unpaid portion of such amount accruing from July 31, 2010 (Reference Date) on a quarterly cumulative basis at a rate of 10% per annum. As a result of the contribution of indebtedness (see note 8), the Reference Amount was increased by \$25 million, together with a return (on a quarterly cumulative basis at a rate of 10% per annum) on the unpaid portion of such amount accruing from August 24, 2010.

The Management LLC Capital Units did not vest until the first time subsequent to the Reference Date when the aggregate distributions made by the Company with respect to all Vested Members since the Reference Date equaled the Reference Amount plus accruals (Vesting Time).

Common Units

Prior to the Unit Conversion, the Company had authorized, issued, and outstanding 8,500 units of Membership Interest (designated as Common Units). Cerberus made initial capital contributions for all of the Common Units, for total cash proceeds of \$11.3 million. The Common Units were entitled to all of the rights of ownership, including voting rights. Due to the Unit Conversion, no Common Units were outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

MIP Units / Unit Based Compensation

Prior to the Unit Conversion, the Company authorized 1,500 units of Membership Interest (designated as MIP Units) to be eligible for grants in connection with the Company s Management Incentive Plan (MIP). The MIP was designed to promote the long-term success of the Company through unit based compensation by aligning the interests of participants with those of its members. The Company s management determined vesting at the date of grant and assigned the original MIP Units with both service and performance conditions. Effective February 19, 2010, the Board of Managers (Board) removed the performance conditions from the MIP Units, which resulted in only a service condition remaining to each unit. The modification resulted in no incremental compensation cost as the fair value of the awards did not change based on the modified terms.

Under the fair value recognition provisions, unit based compensation cost was measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and was recognized as expense over the applicable vesting period of the award. The fair value of each MIP Unit was based on the fair value of the Common Units on the date of grant. The compensation cost for the MIP Plan was insignificant for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, with no income tax benefit due to the valuation allowance in the United States recognized during 2010.

MIP Units were entitled to all of the rights of ownership but were not entitled to vote, unless required by the Limited Liability Act of the State of Delaware. In addition, MIP Units were entitled to share in the residual value of the Company based on the liquidation preferences described below.

There was no established trading market for the Company s former Common Units, Redeemable Preferred Units, or MIP Units.

Membership Interest Distributions

Prior to the Corporate Conversion, each fiscal year, the Company was able to make certain distributions to its Members, absent a Liquidation Event (as defined below), and after all amounts were paid by the Company for such fiscal year for

ordinary and necessary business expenses, employee salaries and benefits, and payments of principal and interest on any Company indebtedness, in accordance with the following order of priority. First, to the Members, a tax distribution amount which is intended to enable the Members to use such distributions to satisfy their estimated and final income tax liabilities for that fiscal year. Second, until the Vesting Time, to the holders of all Capital Units other than holders of Management LLC Capital Units, an amount that is pro rata in proportion to their respective number of Capital Units. Thereafter, following the Vesting Time, to the holders of all Capital Units (including holders of Management LLC Capital Units), an amount that is pro rata in proportion to their respective number of Capital Units. If distributions are not made with respect to any fiscal year, the distributions to the holders of all Capital Units of Management LLC Capital Units. Thereafter, following the Vesting Time, to the holders of Management LLC Capital Units. If distributions are not made with respect to any fiscal year, the distributions to the holders of all Capital Units of Management LLC Capital Units.

Prior to the Corporate Conversion, in the event of (i) a liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company; (ii) a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company to an unrelated third party; (iii) a merger, acquisition, or sale of Membership Interests, in which Members immediately prior to such event received consideration for no less than half of the value of their Membership Interests; or (iv) a recapitalization, reorganization, reclassification, or other similar transaction in which the Company receives proceeds from a financing for the purpose of distributing such proceeds to the Members and the consummation of which the Board determines is a liquidation event (each, a Liquidation Event), the Board was required to make distributions in the following order of priority. First, payment of all debts and liabilities owing to creditors including, if applicable, Members in their capacity as creditors and the expenses of dissolution or liquidation; second, establishment of such reserves as are deemed necessary by the Board for any contingent or unforeseen liabilities of the Company; third, until the Vesting Time, to the holders of all Capital Units other than holders of Management LLC Capital Units; and (d) thereafter, following the Vesting Time, to the holders of all Capital Units (including holders of Management LLC Capital Units), pro rata in proportion to their respective number of Capital Units.

In connection with the Corporate Conversion and initial public offering of common stock, all Capital Units were converted into shares of common stock.

Note 14. Redeemable Preferred Units

Prior to the Unit Conversion (see note 13), the Company had outstanding units of Membership Interest designated as Redeemable Preferred Units. The Members made initial capital contributions for all of the Redeemable Preferred Units in the amount of \$213.8 million in July 2007. Redeemable Preferred Units were entitled to all of the rights of ownership, including a profits interest and a distribution preference, but had no conversion rights. Redeemable Preferred Units were non-voting, unless required by the Limited Liability Act of the State of Delaware.

The redemption value of the Redeemable Preferred Units was an amount that was equal to the holders initial capital contribution less all distributions previously made to such Redeemable Preferred Unit holders (the Unpaid Preference Amount) plus an amount accruing at the rate of 10% per quarter on the holder s Unpaid Preference Amount (the Preferred Return Amount). Therefore, if distributions were not made with respect to any fiscal year, the Redeemable Preferred Unit holders distributions were cumulative. These units were recorded at redemption value at each balance sheet date and the Preferred Return Amount was recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company paid distributions to the Redeemable Preferred Unit holders of \$0 million and \$0.1 million.

On August 12, 2010, the Redeemable Preferred Units were converted to Capital Units in connection with the Unit Conversion at carrying value, which approximated fair value. Due to the Unit Conversion, no Redeemable Preferred Units were outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

Note 15. Earnings per Share (EPS)

Immediately prior to the Corporate Conversion, the Company had outstanding Capital Units (see note 13). In connection with the Corporate Conversion and IPO (see note 13), existing holders of Capital Units contributed their Capital Units to Tower International Holdings, LLC and that entity received 12,467,866 shares of the Company s common stock through conversion of the Capital Units into common stock. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2010, prior to the Corporate Conversion, the Company completed the Unit Conversion whereby the Company converted its

Common Units, MIP Units, and Redeemable Preferred Units into Capital Units (see note 13). The units outstanding before and after the Unit Conversion were held by the same parties. In addition, the units outstanding before and the shares outstanding after the Corporate Conversion were held by those same parties.

The impact of the Corporate Conversion has been applied on a retrospective basis to determine earnings per share for the periods presented. The weighted average number of common shares reflected in the calculation prior to the IPO is the total number of shares issued to Tower International Holdings based upon units held on the IPO date.

Basic earnings / (loss) per share is calculated by dividing the net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc., less preferred unit dividends, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding.

The share count for diluted earnings / (loss) per share is computed on the basis of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus the effects of dilutive common stock equivalents (CSEs) outstanding during the period. CSEs, which are securities that may entitle the holder to obtain common stock, include outstanding stock options and restricted stock units. When the average price of the common stock during the period exceeds the exercise price of a stock option, the options are considered potentially dilutive CSEs. To the extent these CSEs are anti-dilutive they are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share. Also, when there is a loss from continuing operations, potentially dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of earnings per share as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

The Company excluded 2.3 million and less than 0.1 million, respectively, of potentially anti-dilutive shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. There were no potentially anti-dilutive shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

A summary of information used to compute basic and diluted net income / (loss) per share attributable to Tower International, Inc. is shown below (in thousands except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months E June 30,			ded		
		2011	2010		2011			2010	
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower									
International, Inc.	\$	(2,774)	\$	1,911	\$	6,248	\$	(2,571)	
Less: Preferred unit dividends		-		(4,380)		-		(8,649)	
Net income / (loss) available to common									
shareholders	\$	(2,774)	\$	(2,469)	\$	6,248	\$	(11,220)	
Weighted average common shares outstanding									
Basic	19	,101,588	12	,467,866	19,	101,588	1	2,467,866	
Diluted	19	,101,588	12	,467,866	19,	991,615	1	2,467,866	
Net income / (loss) per share attributable to Tower International, Inc.									
Basic	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.20)	\$	0.33	\$	(0.90)	
Diluted		(0.15)		(0.20)		0.31		(0.90)	
Note 16. Share Based Compensation									

The Company s Board of Directors granted 10,129 stock options and 104,471 restricted stock units (RSUs) during the first quarter of 2011 under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The weighted-average per share exercise price of the options issued was \$16.65. The weighted-average per share fair value at grant date of the options issued was \$9.16. The weighted-average per share grant date fair value of the RSUs was \$16.65. The Company s Board of Directors granted 339 RSUs during the second quarter of 2011 under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The weighted-average per share grant date fair value of the RSUs was \$17.70. No stock options were exercised and 11,137 stock options were forfeited during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The Company calculated the weighted-average fair value of each option at the date of the grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model. The weighted-average key assumptions used in the model for options granted during the first quarter of 2011 are an expected term of 6.5 years and expected volatility of 62%. The dividend yield is assumed to be zero since there are no current plans to pay common stock dividends. The Company used the simplified method to calculate

the expected term because the Company has insufficient historical exercise data due to the limited period of time the Company s common stock has been publicly traded.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company has an aggregate of 467,227 stock options and 1,868,435 RSUs that have been granted but have not yet vested. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized an expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, relating to the options and \$3.6 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, relating to the RSUs. As of June 30, 2011, the Company has \$2.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense associated with the stock options that will be amortized on a straight-line basis through March 2014. As of June 30, 2011, the Company has \$10.9 million and \$1.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense associated in 2011 that will be amortized on a straight-line basis through April 2012 and March 2014, respectively.

On July 20, 2011, one half of the RSUs granted at the time of the Company s initial public offering vested. After offsets for withholding taxes, a total of 581,444 shares of common stock were issued in connection with this initial vesting. The Company paid \$5.1 million to acquire 300,371 vested shares to cover the withholding taxes. The remaining RSUs relating to this grant remain outstanding and will vest on April 20, 2012.

Note 17. Segment Information

The Company defines its operating segments as components of its business where separate financial information is available and is routinely evaluated by management. The Company s chief operating decision maker (CODM) is the Chief Executive Officer.

The Company produces engineered structural metal components and assemblies primarily serving the global automotive industry. The Company s operations have similar economic characteristics, and share fundamental characteristics including the nature of the products, production processes, customers, and distribution channels. The Company s products include body structures stampings, chassis structures (including frames), and complex welded assemblies for small and large cars, crossovers, pickups and SUVs. The Company is comprised of four operating segments: Europe, Asia, North America, and South America. These operating segments are aggregated into two reportable segments. The International segment consists of Europe and Asia while the Americas segment consists of North and South America.

The Company measures segment operating performance based on Adjusted EBITDA. The Company uses segment Adjusted EBITDA as the basis for the CODM to evaluate the performance of each of the Company s reportable segments.

The following is a summary of selected data for each of the Company s reportable segments (in thousands):

	Ir	ternational	Americas	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011				
Revenues	\$	337,180	\$ 265,538	\$ 602,718
Adjusted EBITDA		29,497	26,056	55,553
Capital Expenditures		18,942	10,369	29,311
Total assets	\$	965,868	\$ 508,061	\$ 1,473,929
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010				
Revenues	\$	285,659	\$ 216,023	\$ 501,682
Adjusted EBITDA		30,600	21,088	51,688
Capital Expenditures		9,051	7,184	16,235
Total assets	\$	819,191	\$ 481,459	\$ 1,300,650
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011				
Revenues	\$	673,284	\$ 529,069	\$ 1,202,353
Adjusted EBITDA		63,273	57,987	121,260
Capital Expenditures		30,333	17,662	47,995
Total assets	\$	965,868	\$ 508,061	\$ 1,473,929
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010				
Revenues	\$	558,583	\$ 422,228	\$ 980,811
Adjusted EBITDA		65,500	36,925	102,425
Capital Expenditures		13,601	19,631	33,232
Total assets	\$	819,191	\$ 481,459	\$ 1,300,650

Inter-segment sales are not significant for any period presented. Capital expenditures do not equal cash disbursed for purchases of property, plant, and equipment as presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, as capital expenditures above include amounts paid and accrued during the periods presented.

The following is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to income before provision for income taxes (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Three Months Ended June 30,			Three Months Ended			Si	ix Months l	Ended J	June 30,
		2011		2010		2011		2010					
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	55,553	\$	51,688	\$	121,260	\$	102,425					
Restructuring and asset impairment		(1,169)		(579)		(1,652)		(4,686)					
Depreciation and amortization		(31,561)		(28,433)		(61,708)		(58,706)					
Receivable factoring charges		(163)		(139)		(268)		(272)					
Acquisition costs		(1,100)		-		(1,100)		(679)					
Incentive compensation related to funding events		(4,657)		(349)		(9,156)		(507)					
Interest expense, net		(15,885)		(13,655)		(28,140)		(27,256)					
Other expense		-		-		(850)		-					
Income before provision for income taxes	\$	1,018	\$	8,533	\$	18,386	\$	10,319					

Note 18. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is generally determined based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. If quoted market prices are not available, the Company uses valuation techniques that place greater reliance on observable inputs and less reliance on unobservable inputs. In measuring fair value, the Company may make adjustments for risks and uncertainties, if a market participant would include such an adjustment in its pricing.

FASB ASC No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between assumptions based on market data, referred to as observable inputs, and the Company s assumptions, referred to as unobservable inputs. Determining where an asset or liability falls within that hierarchy depends on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement as a whole. An adjustment to the pricing method used within either Level 1 or Level 2 inputs could generate a fair value measurement that effectively falls in a lower level in the hierarchy. The hierarchy consists of three broad levels as follows:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 2: Inputs other than level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs developed using internal estimates and assumptions, which reflect those that market participants would use.

The Company has the following assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Fair Value at Reporting Date Using			
	Quoted Prices in			
	Act			
		Identical	Other	Significant
	Six Months	Assets	Observable	Unobservable
	Ended	(Level	Inputs (Level	Inputs
	June 30, 201	1 1)	2)	(Level 3)
Foreign exchange hedges, net	\$ 58	\$ -	\$ 58	\$ -

The Company has the following assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Fair Value at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in
	Active
	Markets
	for Significant
	Identical Other Significant
	Year Ended Assets Observable Unobservable
	December 31, (Level Inputs (Level Inputs
	2010 1) 2) (Level 3)
Foreign exchange hedges, net	\$ (255) \$ - \$ (255) \$ -

The Company is party to the derivative financial instruments above that are recorded in prepaid tooling and other, which are all classified as level 2 measurements determined using significant other observable inputs. The Company engages in foreign exchange hedges to limit exposure on foreign currency related to certain intercompany payments. These foreign exchange hedges have an immaterial impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements for the periods presented.

The Company did not have any assets or liabilities that were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The Company has the following assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

		Fair	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in			
		Active			
		Markets			
		for	Significant		
		Identical	Other	Significant	
	Year Ended	Assets	Observable	Unobservable	
	December 31,	(Level	Inputs	Inputs	
	2010	1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
Long-lived assets held for sale	\$ 8,178	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,178	

The Company has certain assets that have been classified as held for sale. The fair value of the long-lived assets held for sale was determined using third-party appraisals. The third-party appraisals use current market conditions to determine the fair market value; therefore, such long-lived assets are classified as level 3. The change in fair value relates to exchange rate fluctuations.

At June 30, 2011, the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company s long-term debt was \$594 million and \$635.6 million, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company s long-term debt was \$539.6 million and \$578.5 million, respectively. The majority of the Company s long-term debt at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is traded in the market and is classified as a level 2 measurement as the debt is thinly traded. The fair value was determined based on the quoted market values. The remainder of the Company s long-term debt, primarily consisting of foreign subsidiaries debt, is asset-backed and is classified as level 3. As this debt carries variable rates and minimal credit risk, the book value approximates the fair value for this debt.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivables, accounts payables, and accruals approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

Note 19. Acquisitions

W Industries

On April 11, 2011, Tower Defense and Aerospace, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, acquired substantially all of the assets of W Industries located in Detroit, Michigan. The Company exchanged its ownership in the W Industries secured debt acquired during the first quarter of 2011 (fair value of \$11.3 million) for substantially all of the assets of W Industries and agreed to assume certain liabilities. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase under the acquisition method in accordance with FASB ASC No. 805, *Business Combinations*. The total purchase price was approximately \$22.3 million, which did not include direct acquisition costs of approximately \$1.1 million. The acquisition was recorded by allocating the purchase price to the assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. There was no goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisition. Supplemental pro forma disclosures are not included as the amounts are deemed immaterial. Revenues and earnings of the acquiree since the acquisition date included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations are immaterial for all periods presented.

In accordance with FASB ASC No. 805, the preliminary purchase price allocation is subject to additional adjustment within one year after the acquisition as additional information on asset and liability valuations becomes available. The Company expects that adjustments to recorded fair values may include those relating to:

Property, plant, and equipment, and intangibles, all of which may change based on consideration of additional analysis by the Company and its valuation consultants;

Accrued expenses, which may change based on identification of final fees and costs associated with the acquisition and resolution of any disputed claims;

Tax liabilities and deferred taxes, which may be adjusted based upon additional information to be received from taxing authorities and which result from changes in the allocated book basis of items for which deferred taxes are provided. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price for the acquisition was made to the following major opening balance sheet categories (in millions):

Assets Acquired	
Current assets	\$ 4.2
Property, plant and equipment, net	26.1
Intangibles	2.3
Total assets acquired	32.6
Total liabilities assumed	10.3
Net assets acquired	\$ 22.3

Facility in Artern, Germany

On March 14, 2010, a foreign subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets of the manufacturing plant of TWB Fahrzeugtechnik GmbH & Co, KG i.L. located in Artern, Germany from an insolvency administrator. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase under the acquisition method in accordance with FASB ASC No. 805, *Business Combinations*. The total purchase price was approximately \$17.7 million, which did not include direct acquisition costs of approximately \$0.7 million. The acquisition was recorded by allocating the purchase price to the assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and liabilities assumed, based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. There was no goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisition. Supplemental pro forma disclosures are not included as the amounts are deemed immaterial. Revenues and earnings of the acquiree since the acquisition date included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations are immaterial for all periods presented.

In accordance with FASB ASC No. 805, the preliminary purchase price allocation was subject to additional adjustment within one year after the acquisition. As of June 30, 2011, the purchase price allocation period has passed; thus, no further adjustments will be recorded.

Note 20. Commitments and Contingencies

Compensation Programs

The primary objectives of the Company s compensation programs are to (i) attract, motivate and retain the best executive officers with the skills necessary to successfully manage the business, and (ii) align the interests of the executive officers with stockholders by rewarding them for strong Company performance.

Special Incentive Program

The Board established the Special Incentive Program on February 19, 2010. The Special Incentive Program provided for a \$5.5 million cash bonus to be paid to eight executives if a Qualifying Event occurred. For this program, a Qualifying Event was defined as the consummation of an initial public offering or the repayment of the Company s first lien term loan in full. The Company initially believed it would repay the first lien term loan on or before its July 31, 2013 expiration date; thus, the Company began recording an expense related to the Special Incentive Program on a straight line basis through July 31, 2013. On July 22, 2010, the Board modified the Special Incentive Program to provide that, in addition to the \$5.5 million cash bonus payable upon consummation of an initial public offering or retirement of the Company s first lien term loan, an additional cash bonus of \$1.2 million would be payable to specified executive officers of the Company on the earlier of the one year anniversary of the consummation of a notes offering or the consummation of an initial public offering. As the Company retired its first lien term loan on August 24, 2010, the Company recognized the remaining expense related to the \$5.5 million in August and began recording the additional \$1.2 million over the one year vesting period. On October 20, 2010, the additional \$1.2 million Special Incentive Program vested immediately upon the closing of the IPO. The full amount of the compensation paid pursuant to the Special Incentive Program that had not been previously expensed was charged as a compensation expense against

earnings in the fourth quarter of 2010 when the compensation was paid. The Company recorded an expense of \$6.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had no liability remaining related to the Special Incentive Program.

Amended Value Creation Plan (VC Plan)

The Board amended the VC Plan on February 19, 2010. The VC Plan provided for special cash bonuses to be paid to approximately 70 executives if a Funding Event were to occur and certain other conditions were satisfied. A Funding Event was defined as either the consummation of an initial public offering or the occurrence of a liquidation event (as defined in the Company s operating agreement). However, based upon the size of the IPO, the necessary conditions to the payment of any benefits under the VC Plan were not satisfied.

Supplemental Value Creation Program

The Supplemental Value Creation Program was created in addition to the VC Plan discussed above on February 19, 2010. The Supplemental Value Creation Program provided for a \$7.5 million cash bonus to be paid to approximately 70 executives, subject to vesting requirements of 9 and 18 months, if a Qualifying Liquidation Event were to occur. A Qualifying Liquidation Event was initially defined to have occurred if the Preferred Unit holders received a cash distribution in an amount equal to the full value of their preferred investment in the Company. On July 22, 2010, the Supplemental Value Creation Program was modified to include the retirement of the existing first lien term loan in full or consummation of an initial public offering as Qualifying Liquidation Events. As the Company retired its first lien term loan on August 24, 2010, the Company began recording a liability in August 2010 related to this Program. The Company recorded an expense of \$1.2 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company paid \$3.3 million upon the 9 month vesting of this Program during the second quarter of 2011 and has a liability recorded of \$0.5 million related to this Program as of June 30, 2011.

Long Term Incentive Program

The Board established the Long Term Incentive Program on February 19, 2010. Participants were entitled to receive special cash bonuses if a Qualifying Transaction occurred. For this program, a Qualifying Transaction was defined as a distribution to the Company's Preferred Unit holders in excess of \$50 million. In the event of an IPO, the special bonuses were expected to be paid in the form of restricted stock units (RSUs), the number of which was to be determined on the basis of the amount of value attributable to the Preferred Unit holders. A Qualifying Transaction was not a prerequisite to such award of RSUs. In connection with the Company's IPO, the special bonuses were paid in the form of RSUs under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (see note 16); therefore, no cash bonuses will be paid under this Program.

Environmental Matters

The Company owns properties which have been impacted by environmental releases. The Company is actively involved in investigation and/or remediation at several of these locations. Total costs and liabilities associated with environmental contamination could be substantial and may have a significant impact on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Accruals for environmental matters are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. The established liability for environmental matters is based upon management s best estimates of expected investigation/remediation costs related to environmental contamination. It is possible that actual costs associated with these matters will exceed the environmental reserves established by the Company. Inherent uncertainties exist in the estimates, primarily due to unknown environmental conditions, changing governmental regulations and legal standards regarding liability and evolving technologies for handling site remediation and restoration. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$2.5 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, for environmental matters.

Contingent Matters

The Company will establish reserves for matters in which losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. These types of matters may involve additional claims that, if granted, could require the Company to pay penalties or make other expenditures in amounts that will not be estimable at the time of discovery of the matter. In these cases, a liability

will be recorded at the low end of the range if no amount within the range is a better estimate in accordance with FASB ASC No. 450, *Accounting for Contingencies*.

In connection with the bankruptcy of Tower Automotive, Inc., all of the assets not acquired by Tower Automotive, LLC were transferred to a Post-Consummation Trust (the Post-Consummation Trust). The Company agreed to pay up to \$70 million to the Post-Consummation Trust to relinquish certain defined liabilities to date. The Company has made payments of \$57.5 million and remains contingently liable to pay an additional \$12.5 million. As of June 30, 2011, the Company has not recorded a liability for the \$12.5 million since it does not believe it is probable that any additional payments to the trust will be required; therefore, these amounts were eliminated as part of the final purchase accounting adjustments. To the extent that future payments are required, the payments will be expensed.

The Company has been subject to various governmental audits in Brazil. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company received a favorable court ruling on one of these matters and was able to reduce its liability by \$7 million. Therefore, the Company has a remaining liability recorded of \$3.8 million as of June 30, 2011 and may be required to pay up to \$7 million. To the extent that future payments are required above the amount recorded as a liability, the payments will be expensed.

Litigation

The Company is subject to various legal actions and claims incidental to its business, including potential lawsuits with customers or suppliers. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties and the outcome of individual litigated matters is not probable and estimable. After discussions with counsel litigating these matters, it is the opinion of management that the outcome of such matters will not have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 21. Subsequent Events

In July 2011, a foreign subsidiary of the Company reached an agreement with Xiangtan Ditong Automotive Industrial Machinery Co., Ltd. (DIT), subject to the approval of the Chinese government, to form a majority owned joint venture. The agreement is expected to be approved during the second half of 2011.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains statements which constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including but not limited to statements relating to trends in the operations, financial results, business and products of our Company and anticipated production trends. The forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, exp intend, project, and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report and are based upon management s current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. The following important factors, as well as any risk factors described elsewhere in this report or in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, could affect (and in some cases have affected) our actual results and could cause such results to differ materially from estimates or expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements:

automobile production volumes;

the financial condition of our customers and suppliers;

our ability to make scheduled payments of principal or interest on our indebtedness and comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in the instruments governing our indebtedness;

our ability to refinance our indebtedness;

our ability to generate non-automotive revenues;

our ability to operate non-automotive businesses;

our customers ability to obtain equity and debt financing for their businesses;

our dependence on our largest customers;

significant recalls experienced by our customers;

pricing pressure from our customers;

work stoppages or other labor issues at our facilities or at the facilities of our customers or suppliers;

risks associated with non-U.S. operations, including foreign exchange risks and economic uncertainty in some regions;

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

our ability to integrate acquired businesses;

costs or liabilities relating to environmental and safety regulations; and

any increase in the expense and funding requirements of our pension and other postretirement benefits. We do not assume any obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements contained in this report.

This report also contains estimates and other statistical data made by independent parties and by us relating to market size and growth and other data about our industry. This data involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and you are cautioned not to give undue weight to such estimates. We have not independently verified the statistical and other industry data generated by independent parties that is contained in this report and, accordingly, we cannot assure you of its accuracy or completeness. In addition, projections, assumptions and estimates of our future performance and the future performance of the industries in which we operate are necessarily subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly revise our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this Form 10-Q.

Overview of the Business

Tower International, Inc., headquartered in Livonia, Michigan, is a leading integrated global producer of engineered structural metal components and assemblies primarily serving automotive original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, including Volkswagen Group, Hyundai/Kia, Ford, Fiat, Volvo, Chrysler, Nissan, Daimler, Toyota, BMW, Chery, and

Honda. Products include body structures stampings, chassis structures (including frames), and complex welded assemblies for small and large cars, crossovers, pickups and SUVs. Including both 100% owned subsidiaries and majority owned subsidiaries, we have strategically located production facilities in the United States, Belgium, Germany, Italy, Slovakia, Poland, Brazil, South Korea, and China, supported by engineering and sales locations in the United States, Germany, Italy, Brazil, South Korea, Japan, China, and India.

Recent Trends

During the second quarter of 2011, production volumes increased from 2010; however, the increase was not as significant as the increase experienced in the first quarter of 2011 reflecting primarily the economic turmoil experienced in Japan. IHS Automotive[®] expects production volumes to continue to increase in all regions in which we operate throughout the remainder of 2011, especially during the fourth quarter. The anticipated overall increase in volume for 2011 continue the trend of production volume improvements that began in 2010. These increases are reversing the trend experienced during the latter half of 2008 and throughout 2009, when the automotive industry experienced an unprecedented downturn, which was led by the recession in the United States and followed by declines in many major markets around the world. Although vehicle production continues to improve; the economies in our largest markets have not recovered to levels previously experienced.

Business Strategy

Our strategy is to strengthen our leadership position as a supplier to the global automotive industry and to expand opportunistically into non-automotive markets, seeking to capitalize on opportunities beyond the expected industry recovery. We believe that we are positioned to continue to provide a high-quality, compelling value proposition to our customers, enabling profitable growth.

We believe that our product capabilities, our geographic, customer and product diversification and the cost reductions that we achieved in 2008 through 2010 position us to benefit from an expected recovery in global automotive industry production. We intend to further strengthen our position through additional reductions in leverage and by capitalizing on the above-average growth expected in China and Brazil. For example, we are launching an additional facility in Contagem, Brazil and we are expanding capacity at our existing joint ventures in China through additional facilities located in Chengdu, Changchun, and Dalian. Further expansion in China may occur through the formation of additional joint ventures. We also intend to leverage our program management and engineering experience to pursue growth opportunities outside our existing automotive markets. For example, we acquired substantially all of the assets of W Industries, which is in the defense and aerospace industry, during the second quarter of 2011. In addition, the solar industry shows promise for us, as many applications require highly engineered large stampings and complex welded structural assemblies that must be produced in high volume at repeatable tight tolerances similar to our product requirements in the automotive industry.

Recent Developments

In July 2011, a foreign subsidiary of the Company reached an agreement with Xiangtan Ditong Automotive Industrial Machinery Co., Ltd. (DIT), subject to the approval of the Chinese government, to form a majority owned joint venture. The agreement is expected to be approved during the second half of 2011.

Factors Affecting our Industry, Revenues and Expenses

For information regarding factors that affect our industry, our revenues and our expenses, see our Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Adjusted EBITDA

We use the term Adjusted EBITDA throughout this report. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income / (loss) before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, restructuring items and other adjustments described in the reconciliations provided in this report. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of performance defined in accordance with U.S. GAAP (GAAP). We use Adjusted EBITDA as a supplement to our GAAP results in evaluating our business.

Adjusted EBITDA is included in this report because it is one of the principal factors upon which our management assesses performance. Our Chief Executive Officer measures the performance of our segments on the basis of Adjusted EBITDA. As an analytical tool, Adjusted EBITDA assists us in comparing our performance over various reporting periods on a consistent basis because it excludes items that we do not believe reflect our core operating performance.

We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is useful in evaluating our performance because Adjusted EBITDA is a commonly used financial metric for measuring and comparing the operating performance of companies in our industry. We believe that the disclosure of Adjusted EBITDA offers an additional financial metric that, when coupled with the GAAP results and the reconciliation to GAAP results, provides a more complete understanding of our results of operations and the factors and trends affecting our business.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income / (loss) as an indicator of our performance, as an alternative to net cash provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity, or as an alternative to any other measure prescribed by GAAP. There are limitations to using non-GAAP measures such as Adjusted EBITDA. Although we believe that Adjusted EBITDA may make an evaluation of our operating performance more consistent because it removes items that do not reflect our core operations, (i) other companies in our industry may define Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do and, as a result, it may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies in our industry; and (ii) Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain financial information that some may consider important in evaluating our performance.

We compensate for these limitations by providing disclosure of the differences between Adjusted EBITDA and GAAP results, including providing a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to GAAP results, to enable investors to perform their own analysis of our operating results. For a reconciliation of consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to its most directly comparable GAAP measure, net income / (loss), see Results of Operations below.

Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of the income generated by our business or discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. Our management compensates for these limitations by analyzing both our GAAP results and Adjusted EBITDA.

Results of Operations Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared with the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

The following table presents production volumes in specified regions according to July IHS Automotive[®], for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2010 (in millions of units produced):

	Europe	Korea	China	North America	Brazil
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	5.2	1.2	3.7	3.1	0.8
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	5.0	1.1	3.4	3.1	0.8
Increase	0.2	0.1	0.3	-	-
Percentage change	4%	5%	7%	1%	3%

The following table presents selected financial information for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions):

	Intern: Three Mor June	ths Ended	Ame Three Mor June	ths Ended	Consolidated Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Revenues	\$ 337.2	\$ 285.7	\$ 265.6	\$ 216.0	\$ 602.8	\$ 501.7	
Cost of sales	306.1	252.9	238.0	193.2	544.1	446.1	
Gross profit	31.1	32.8	27.6	22.8	58.7	55.6	
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	15.5	15.5	23.9	16.5	39.4	32.0	
Amortization	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.2	1.2	0.8	
Restructuring and asset impairments	-	0.1	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.6	
Operating income	\$ 14.9	\$ 16.6	\$ 2.0	\$ 5.6	16.9	22.2	
Interest expense, net					15.8	13.7	

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Provision for income taxes Noncontrolling interest, net of tax	2.6 1.3	4.3 2.3
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc.	\$ (2.8)	\$ 1.9

Comparison of Periods GAAP Analysis of Consolidated Results

Revenues

Total revenues increased during the three months ended June 30, 2011 by \$101.1 million or 20% from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volume in both our Americas segment (\$43.1 million) and our International segment (\$10.5 million). Revenues were positively impacted by the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar in our International segment, primarily the Euro (\$25.1 million) and Korean Won (\$7.8 million) and in our Americas segment, primarily the Brazilian Real (\$4.2 million). Revenues were also positively impacted by favorable pricing and economics (\$8.5 million), primarily related to higher steel recoveries in our International segment.

Gross Profit

When we analyze our total gross profit, we separately categorize external factors volume, product mix and foreign exchange and all other factors which impact gross profit, which we refer to as other factors. When we refer to mix, we are referring to the relative composition of revenues and profitability of the products we sell in any given period. When we refer to pricing and economics, we are referring to (i) the impact of adjustments in the pricing of particular products, which we refer to as product pricing; (ii) the impact of steel price changes, taking into account the component of our product pricing attributable to steel, the cost of steel included in our cost of sales and the amounts recovered on the sale of offal, which in total we refer to as the net steel impact; and (iii) the impact of inflation and changes in operating costs such as labor, utilities and fuel, which we refer to as economics.

Total gross profit increased by \$3.1 million or 6% from the three months ended June 30, 2010 to the three months ended June 30, 2011, while our gross profit margin decreased from 11.1% during the 2010 period to 9.7% in the 2011 period. The increase in total gross profit reflects higher volume (\$10.6 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$2.4 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$4.1 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$5.8 million. Cost of sales was adversely affected by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$13.2 million), offset in part by favorable efficiencies (\$6.4 million). Gross profit was also adversely impacted by higher launch costs (\$3.6 million).

Total gross profit was negatively impacted by an increase in the depreciation included in cost of sales from \$26.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 to \$29.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase reflected primarily the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar and the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)

Total SG&A increased \$7.4 million or 23% from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily the higher charges for compensation costs related to the initial public offering and senior secured notes offering (\$4.3 million), the acquisition costs related to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries during the second quarter of 2011 (\$1.1 million), and the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar.

Amortization Expense

Total amortization expense increased \$0.4 million from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily the amortization of the intangible asset recorded at W Industries and the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar. Our amortization expense consists of the charges we incur to amortize certain intangible assets.

Restructuring and Asset Impairment Expense

Total restructuring expense increased \$0.6 million from the three months ended June 30, 2010. During 2011, we incurred charges of \$1.2 million which consisted of the recurring costs for maintaining our North American closed plants and severance costs in Brazil related to improved manufacturing efficiencies. During 2010, we incurred charges of \$0.6 million reflecting primarily ongoing maintenance of facilities closed as a result of prior actions.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net, increased \$2.1 million or 16% from the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting primarily the higher interest expense associated with our senior secured notes (\$2.2 million). During the third quarter of 2010, we issued \$430 million of senior secured notes with an original issue discount of \$12.8 million and subsequently retired our

Table of Contents

first lien term loan. The weighted average annual interest rate of the first lien term loan was approximately 8% during 2010 when taking into account our interest rate swaps compared with the senior secured notes that bear an annual interest rate of 11.25% when taking into account the amortization of the original issue discount.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense decreased \$1.7 million from the three months ended June 30, 2010. Our income tax expense varies each period depending on the level and mix of income and losses generated in the various jurisdictions in which we do business. Our income tax expense is higher than the expected income tax expense based on statutory rates primarily because we have not recorded tax benefits on net losses incurred in certain jurisdictions, including the U.S, that have had historical cumulative losses. We have not recorded an income tax benefit on these losses due to the uncertainty of the future realization of the deferred tax assets generated by the cumulative losses. The \$2.6 million income tax expense recorded includes a \$1.4 million deferred income tax benefit from the elimination of two deferred income tax liabilities related to tax law and tax election changes. In the International segment, the Company made an election which eliminated the need to maintain a \$1 million deferred income tax liability on the potential payment of dividends.

Noncontrolling Interest, Net of Tax

The adjustment to our earnings required to give effect to the elimination of noncontrolling interests decreased by \$1 million from the three months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting decreased earnings in our Chinese joint ventures related primarily to unfavorable product pricing.

Comparison of Periods Non-GAAP Analysis of Adjusted EBITDA

A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc. for the periods presented is set forth below (in millions):

	Interna Three Mon June	ths Ended	Amer Three Mont June	ths Ended	Consolidated Three Months End June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 29.5	\$ 30.6	\$ 26.1	\$ 21.1	\$ 55.6	\$ 51.7	
Intercompany charges	1.9	1.2	(1.9)	(1.2)	-	-	
Restructuring and asset impairments (a)	-	(0.1)	(1.2)	(0.5)	(1.2)	(0.6)	
Depreciation and amortization	(15.8)	(15.0)	(15.8)	(13.4)	(31.6)	(28.4)	
Receivable factoring charges and other (b)	(0.2)	(0.1)	0.1	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	
Acquisition costs (c)	-	-	(1.1)	-	(1.1)	-	
Incentive compensation related to funding events (d)	(0.5)	-	(4.2)	(0.3)	(4.7)	(0.3)	
Operating income	\$ 14.9	\$ 16.6	\$ 2.0	\$ 5.6	16.9	22.2	
Interest expense, net					(15.8)	(13.7)	
Provision for income taxes					(2.6)	(4.3)	
Noncontrolling interest, net of tax (e)					(1.3)	(2.3)	
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc.					\$ (2.8)	\$ 1.9	

(a) Represents asset impairments and costs associated with facilities closures or permanent layoffs, including (i) closure and other exit costs and (ii) termination and severance payments.

(b) Represents the discounts taken by our customers when making payments on our accounts receivable before the normal payment terms would require payment. We have excluded these amounts from Adjusted EBITDA because they represent a form of finance charge and finance charges have otherwise been excluded in calculating Adjusted EBITDA.

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (c) Represents one-time costs pursuant to FASB ASC No. 805, *Business Combinations*, related to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries during 2011.
- (d) Represents the one-time compensation programs triggered by the closing of the senior secured notes offering and the closing of the initial public offering in 2010. The compensation charges are incurred during the applicable vesting periods of each program.
- (e) Represents the net income attributable to non-controlling partners in entities that we consolidate in our financial results, given the controlling nature of our interests in these entities.

The following table presents revenues (a GAAP measure) and Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP measure) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions) as well as an explanation of variances:

	Inter Revenues	al usted TDA(f)	Am Revenues	s justed TDA(f)	Cons Revenues	ed justed TDA(f)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 results	\$ 337.2	\$ 29.5	\$ 265.6	\$ 26.1	\$ 602.8	\$ 55.6
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 results	285.7	30.6	216.0	21.1	501.7	51.7
Variance	\$ 51.5	\$ (1.1)	\$ 49.6	\$ 5.0	\$ 101.1	\$ 3.9
Variance attributable to:						
Volume and mix	\$ 10.5	\$ 0.4	\$ 43.1	\$ 6.1	\$ 53.6	\$ 6.5
Foreign exchange	32.9	3.5	6.7	0.4	39.6	3.9
Pricing and economics	8.8	(6.9)	(0.3)	(5.0)	8.5	(11.9)
Efficiencies	-	1.7	-	4.7	-	6.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses and other items						
(g)	(0.7)	0.2	0.1	(1.2)	(0.6)	(1.0)
Total	\$ 51.5	\$ (1.1)	\$ 49.6	\$ 5.0	\$ 101.1	\$ 3.9

(f) We have presented a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc. above.

(g) When we refer to selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) and other items, the other items refer to (i) savings which we generate after implementing restructuring actions, (ii) the costs associated with launching new products, and (iii) one-time items which may include reimbursement of costs.

Adjusted EBITDA

When we analyze Adjusted EBITDA, we separately categorize external factors volume, product mix and foreign exchange and all other factors which impact Adjusted EBITDA, which we refer to as other factors.

Consolidated Company: Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA improved by \$3.9 million or 8% from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volumes (\$10.6 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$3.9 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$4.1 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$6.5 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$6.4 million) were more than offset by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$11.9 million) and unfavorable SG&A expenses and other items (\$1 million).

International Segment: In our International segment, Adjusted EBITDA decreased by \$1.1 million or 4% from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily favorable foreign exchange (\$3.5 million) and higher volumes (\$1.3 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$0.9 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$5 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$1.7 million) and favorable SG&A expenses and other items (\$0.2 million) were more than offset by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$6.9 million), principally product pricing and labor costs.

Americas Segment: In our Americas segment, Adjusted EBITDA improved by \$5 million or 24% from the three months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volumes (\$9.3 million) offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$3.2 million). Foreign exchange had a negligible impact. All other factors were net unfavorable by \$1.5 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$4.7 million) were more than offset by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$5 million), principally product pricing and labor costs, and unfavorable SG&A expenses and other items (\$1.2 million). SG&A spending and other items reflect primarily higher launch costs (\$2 million).

Results of Operations Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared with the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

The following table presents production volumes in specified regions according to July IHS Automotive[®], for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in millions of units produced):

	Europe	Korea	China	North America	Brazil
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	10.4	2.2	7.6	6.5	1.6
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	9.7	2.1	7.1	6.0	1.5
Increase	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.1
Percentage change	8%	8%	8%	8%	3%

According to July IHS Automotive[®], vehicle production volume for the full year of 2011 is expected to increase compared to 2010. According to July IHS Automotive[®], full year vehicle production is expected to increase by 9% in North America and 6% in Europe during 2011 as compared to 2010.

The following table presents selected financial information for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions):

	International Six Months Ended		Ame Six Mont		Consolidated Six Months Ended		
	June	e 30,	June	e 30,	June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Revenues	\$ 673.3	\$ 558.6	\$ 529.1	\$ 422.2	\$ 1,202.4	\$ 980.8	
Cost of sales	607.7	489.2	466.4	382.8	1,074.1	872.0	
Gross profit	65.6	69.4	62.7	39.4	128.3	108.8	
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	31.0	30.5	46.1	34.5	77.1	65.0	
Amortization	1.4	1.1	0.7	0.4	2.1	1.5	
Restructuring and asset impairments	-	2.9	1.7	1.8	1.7	4.7	
Operating income	\$ 33.2	\$ 34.9	\$ 14.2	\$ 2.7	47.4	37.6	
Interest expense, net					28.1	27.3	
Other expense					0.9	-	
Provision for income taxes					9.2	8.4	
Noncontrolling interest, net of tax					3.0	4.5	
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc.					\$ 6.2	\$ (2.6)	

Comparison of Periods GAAP Analysis of Consolidated Results

Revenues

Total revenues increased during the six months ended June 30, 2011 by \$221.6 million or 23% from the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volume in both our Americas segment (\$96.3 million) and our International segment (\$65.4 million). Revenues were positively impacted by the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar in our International segment, primarily the Euro (\$25.1 million) and the Korean Won (\$11.7 million), and in our Americas segment, primarily the Brazilian Real (\$10.9 million). Revenues were also positively impacted by favorable pricing and economics (\$14.8 million), primarily related to higher steel recoveries in our International segment.

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Gross Profit

Total gross profit increased by \$19.5 million or 18% from the six months ended June 30, 2010 to the six months ended June 30, 2011, while our gross profit margin decreased from 11.1% during the 2010 period to 10.7% in the 2011 period. The increase in total gross profit reflects higher volume (\$34.8 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$3.2 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$8.8 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$9.7 million. Cost of sales was adversely affected by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$25.2 million), offset in part by favorable efficiencies (\$19.2 million). Gross profit was also adversely impacted by higher launch costs (\$8 million) and lower customer cost recoveries in 2011 (\$3 million), offset partially by a favorable settlement associated with a value added tax audit in Brazil (\$2.7 million).

Total gross profit was negatively impacted by an increase in the depreciation included in cost of sales from \$55.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$57.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase reflected primarily the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar and the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses (SG&A)

Total SG&A increased \$12.1 million or 19% from the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily the higher charges for compensation costs related to the initial public offering and senior secured notes offering (\$8.7 million), the acquisition costs related to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries during the second quarter of 2011 (\$1.1 million), and the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar, offset partially by the acquisition costs related to the acquisition of a manufacturing plant in Artern, Germany during the first quarter of 2010 (\$0.7 million).

Amortization Expense

Total amortization expense increased \$0.6 million from the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting primarily the amortization of the intangible asset recorded in Artern at the end of the first quarter of 2010, the amortization of the intangible asset recorded at W Industries during the second quarter of 2011, and the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar. Our amortization expense consists of the charges we incur to amortize certain intangible assets.

Restructuring and Asset Impairment Expense

Total restructuring expense decreased \$3 million from the six months ended June 30, 2010. During 2011, we incurred charges of \$1.7 million which consisted of the recurring costs for maintaining our North American closed plants and severance costs in Brazil related to improved manufacturing efficiencies, which were offset partially by the favorable adjustment of a liability pertaining to our North American closed facilities. During 2010, we incurred charges of \$4.7 million reflecting primarily an impairment charge taken of \$2.7 million on our press shop in Bergisch Gladbach, Germany to align the book value to fair value less costs to sell when the facility was classified as held for sale and the recurring costs for maintaining our North American closed plants.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense, net, increased \$0.9 million or 3% from the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting primarily the higher interest expense associated with our senior secured notes (\$4.3 million) and the strengthening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar, offset partially by a favorable settlement relating to the interest associated with a value added tax audit in Brazil (\$4.3 million). During the third quarter of 2010, we issued \$430 million of senior secured notes with an original issue discount of \$12.8 million and subsequently retired our first lien term loan. The weighted average annual interest rate of the first lien term loan was approximately 8% during 2010 when taking into account our interest rate swaps compared with the senior secured notes that bear an annual interest rate of 11.25% when taking into account the amortization of the original issue discount. On March 30, 2011, we redeemed \$17 million of the senior secured notes which resulted in the acceleration of the amortization of the original issue discount and associated debt issue costs by \$0.8 million.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense increased \$0.8 million from the six months ended June 30, 2010. Our income tax expense varies each period depending on the level and mix of income and losses generated in the various jurisdictions in which we do business. Our income tax expense is higher than the expected income tax expense based on statutory rates primarily because we have not recorded tax benefits on net losses incurred in certain jurisdictions, including the U.S., that have had historical cumulative losses. We did not record an income tax benefit on these losses due to the uncertainty of the future realization of the deferred tax assets generated by the cumulative losses. The \$9.2 million income tax expense recorded includes a \$1.3 million deferred income tax expense on the favorable settlement of a value added tax audit in Brazil during the first quarter of 2011. The tax expense also includes a \$1.4 million deferred income tax benefit during the second quarter of 2011 from the elimination of two deferred income tax liabilities related to tax law and tax election changes. In the International segment, the Company made an election which eliminated the need to maintain a \$1 million deferred income tax liability on the potential payment of dividends.

Noncontrolling Interest, Net of Tax

The adjustment to our earnings required to give effect to the elimination of noncontrolling interests decreased by \$1.6 million from the six months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting decreased earnings in our Chinese joint ventures related primarily to unfavorable product pricing.

Comparison of Periods Non-GAAP Analysis of Adjusted EBITDA

A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc. for the periods presented is set forth below (in millions):

	International Six Months Ended June 30,		Amer Six Montl June	ns Ended	Consolidated Six Months Ende June 30,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 63.3	\$ 65.5	\$ 58.0	\$ 36.9	\$ 121.3	\$ 102.4	
Intercompany charges	3.8	3.5	(3.8)	(3.5)	-	-	
Restructuring and asset impairments	-	(2.9)	(1.7)	(1.8)	(1.7)	(4.7)	
Depreciation and amortization	(32.6)	(30.4)	(29.1)	(28.3)	(61.7)	(58.7)	
Receivable factoring charges and other	(0.3)	(0.1)	0.1	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	
Acquisition costs (a)	-	(0.7)	(1.1)	-	(1.1)	(0.7)	
Incentive compensation related to funding events	(1.0)	-	(8.2)	(0.5)	(9.2)	(0.5)	
Operating income	\$ 33.2	\$ 34.9	\$ 14.2	\$ 2.7	47.4	37.6	
Interest expense, net					(28.1)	(27.3)	
Other expense (b)					(0.9)	-	
Provision for income taxes					(9.2)	(8.4)	
Noncontrolling interest, net of tax					(3.0)	(4.5)	
Net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc.					\$ 6.2	\$ (2.6)	

(a) Represents one-time costs pursuant to FASB ASC No. 805, *Business Combinations*, related to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries during 2011 and a facility in Artern, Germany during 2010.

(b) Represents the premium paid in connection with the redemption of our senior secured notes.

The following table presents revenues (a GAAP measure) and Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP measure) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in millions) as well as an explanation of variances:

	00000 Inter	0 nation	0000 al	00000 Am	00 nericas	000	0	00000 Conse) olidate	00000 e d
	Adjusted			_	Adjusted					justed
	Revenues	EBI	FDA(c)	Revenues	EBIT	DA(c)	Rev	venues	EBI	TDA(c)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 results	\$673.3	\$	63.3	\$ 529.1	\$	58.0	\$ 1	,202.4	\$	121.3
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 results	558.6		65.5	422.2		36.9		980.8		102.4
Variance	\$ 114.7	\$	(2.2)	\$ 106.9	\$	21.1	\$	221.6	\$	18.9

Variance attributable to:

Volume and mix	\$ 65.4	\$ 8.2	\$ 96.3	\$ 17.8	\$ 161.7	\$ 26.0
Foreign exchange	36.8	4.1	10.9	0.8	47.7	4.9
Pricing and economics	15.2	(15.5)	(0.4)	(8.4)	14.8	(23.9)
Efficiencies	-	6.8	-	12.4	-	19.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses and other						
items	(2.7)	(5.8)	0.1	(1.5)	(2.6)	(7.3)
Total	\$114.7	\$ (2.2)	\$ 106.9	\$ 21.1	\$ 221.6	\$ 18.9

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(c) We have presented a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income / (loss) attributable to Tower International, Inc. above.

Adjusted EBITDA

Consolidated Company: Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA improved by \$18.9 million or 18% from the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volumes (\$34.8 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$4.9 million) offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$8.8 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$12 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$19.2 million) were more than offset by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$23.9 million) and unfavorable SG&A expenses and other items (\$7.3 million).

International Segment: In our International segment, Adjusted EBITDA decreased by \$2.2 million or 3% from the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volumes (\$10.5 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$4.1 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$2.3 million). All other factors were net unfavorable by \$14.5 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$6.8 million) were more than offset by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$15.5 million), principally product pricing and labor costs, and higher SG&A expenses and other items (\$5.8 million). The adverse impact from SG&A spending and other items resulted primarily from higher launch costs (\$3.4 million) and lower customer cost recoveries in 2011 (\$3 million).

Americas Segment: In our Americas segment, Adjusted EBITDA improved by \$21.1 million or 57% from the six months ended June 30, 2010, reflecting primarily higher volumes (\$24.3 million) and favorable foreign exchange (\$0.8 million), offset partially by unfavorable product mix (\$6.5 million). All other factors were net favorable by \$2.5 million. Favorable efficiencies (\$12.4 million) were offset partially by unfavorable pricing and economics (\$8.4 million), principally product pricing and labor costs, and higher SG&A expenses and other items (\$1.5 million). SG&A spending and other items reflect primarily higher launch costs (\$4.6 million) offset partially by the favorable settlement associated with a value added tax audit in Brazil (\$2.7 million).

Restructuring

The following table sets forth our net restructuring expense by type for the periods presented (in millions):

	Three Mor June		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Employee termination costs	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.2	\$ 0.2		
Other exit costs	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.8		
Asset impairments	-	-	-	2.7		
Total	\$ 1.2	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.7	\$ 4.7		

We restructure our global operations in an effort to align our capacity with demand and to reduce our costs. Restructuring costs include employee termination benefits and other incremental costs resulting from restructuring activities. These incremental costs principally include equipment and personnel relocation costs. Restructuring costs are recognized in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with FASB ASC No. 420 and appear in our statement of operations under a line item entitled restructuring and asset impairment charges, net. We believe the restructuring actions discussed below will help our efficiency and results of operations on a going forward basis.

The charges incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to the ongoing maintenance of facilities closed in our Americas segment as a result of prior actions and severance costs in Brazil related to improved manufacturing efficiencies, which were offset partially by the favorable adjustment of a liability pertaining to closed facilities in our Americas segment.

In March 2010, we recorded an impairment charge of \$2.7 million on our Bergisch Gladbach, Germany facility which was closed in 2009. This charge was recorded to align the book value to fair value less costs to sell when the facility was classified as held for sale. The additional charges incurred in 2010 related to other severance costs and ongoing maintenance of facilities closed as a result of prior actions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

We generally expect to fund expenditures for operations, administrative expenses, capital expenditures and debt service obligations with internally generated funds from operations, and satisfy working capital needs from time-to-time with

borrowings under our revolving credit facility or use of cash on hand. We believe that we will be able to meet our debt service obligations and fund our short-term and long-term operating requirements for at least the next twelve months with cash flow from operations and borrowings under our revolving credit facility, although no assurance can be given in this regard.

Cash Flows and Working Capital

The following table shows the components of our cash flows for the periods presented (in millions):

		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	
Net cash provided by / (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 6.9	\$ 27.1	
Investing activities	(74.9)	(56.8)	
Financing activities	40.6	36.3	

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we generated \$6.9 million of cash flow from operations compared with \$27.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The primary reason for this decrease was the fluctuation in working capital items. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we utilized \$66.9 million of cash through working capital items, reflecting primarily the timing of the net effect of payments and receipts of customer funded tooling which was \$28.5 million unfavorable during the first six months of 2011, increased inventory of \$17.5 million due to higher volumes and supply and production disruptions, and higher net trade accounts receivable offset partially by higher trade accounts payable (net \$17.2 million) due to increased sales during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the fourth quarter of 2010.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities. Net cash utilized in investing activities was \$74.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to net cash utilized of \$56.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$18.1 million increase in cash used reflects the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of W Industries in the second quarter of 2011 and the increase in capital expenditures primarily related to the timing of program launches, offset partially by an acquisition of a manufacturing plant in Artern, Germany in the first quarter of 2010.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$40.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to \$36.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The \$4.3 million change was attributable primarily to increased borrowings to assist in funding of operations, offset partially by the redemption of \$17 million of our senior secured notes and the financing costs related to the redemption of the Amended ABL Revolver.

Working Capital

We manage our working capital by monitoring key metrics principally associated with inventory, accounts receivable and accounts payable. We have implemented various inventory control processes that have allowed us to maintain our quarterly average inventory days on hand, which have remained approximately 14 days. Although our inventory levels increased from \$73.2 million at December 31, 2010 to \$90.7 million at June 30, 2011, that increase reflects primarily higher volumes and supply and production disruptions. We have continued our efforts to match the terms on which we pay our suppliers with the payment terms we receive from our customers in an effort to remain cash flow neutral with respect to our trade payables and receivables.

On June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$(26.1) million and (\$10.3) million, respectively. We negotiate our payment terms to our vendors to either match or exceed the payment terms that we receive from our customers on our accounts receivable and our pre-paid tooling. In addition, we actively manage our inventory balances to minimize the inventory on hand which is facilitated by our customers just-in-time manufacturing process. We also have a substantial portion of our foreign subsidiary debt subject to annual renewal. Historically, we have been successful in renewing this debt as it becomes due. As of June 30, 2011, we had available liquidity (the components of which are described below under Sources and Uses of Liquidity) of \$220.9 million, which we believe is adequate to fund our working capital requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Our working capital usage is seasonal in nature. During the first half of the year, production and sales typically increase substantially, which causes our working capital to increase because our accounts receivable and inventory increase. In the second half of the year, production and sales typically decline as a result of scheduled customer shutdowns, which results in lower sales. The lower production and sales generally results in a reduction of accounts receivable and inventory which decreases our working capital.

Our working capital is also affected by our net position in respect to customer funded tooling with our customers. Tooling costs represent costs incurred by us in the development of new tooling used in the manufacture of our products. All pre-production tooling costs, incurred for tools that we will not own and that will be used in producing products supplied under long-term supply agreements, are expensed as incurred unless the supply agreement provides us with the non-cancellable right to use the tools or the reimbursement of such costs is contractually guaranteed by the customer. Generally, when the customer awards a contract to us, the customer agrees to reimburse us for certain of our tooling costs. As the tooling is developed, we experience cash outflows because we bear the costs, and we typically do not receive reimbursement from our customers until the manufacture of the particular program commences. This timing delay causes our working capital to fluctuate between periods due to the timing of the cash inflows and outflows.

Sources and Uses of Liquidity

At June 30, 2011, we had available liquidity in the amount of \$220.9 million, which consisted of \$128 million of cash on hand and unutilized borrowing availability of \$78.6 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, under our U.S. and foreign credit facilities. As of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, we had available liquidity in the amount of \$285.4 million and \$215 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2011, we had current maturities of long term debt of \$167.1 million, of which \$123.8 million related to debt in South Korea, \$27 million related to receivables factoring in Europe, and \$16 million related to debt in Brazil. The majority of our South Korean debt and Brazilian debt is subject to annual renewal. Historically, we have been successful in renewing this debt on an annual basis, but we cannot assure you that this debt will continue to be renewed or, if renewed, that this debt will continue to be renewed under the same terms. The receivables factoring in Europe consists of uncommitted, demand facilities which are subject to termination at the discretion of the banks, although we have not experienced any terminations by the banks at any time since the inception of Tower Automotive, LLC. We believe that we will be able to continue to renew the majority of our South Korean and Brazilian debt and to continue the receivables factoring in Europe.

During the second quarter of 2011, we renewed \$6.8 million (KRW 7.3 billion) of maturing secured indebtedness for an additional year. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans. In Brazil, three local banks provided us with \$10.8 million (R\$16.9 million) in new term loans. All three loans have maturity dates greater than one-year ranging from October 2012 through June 2014. The interest rates are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans except that one of the loans (in the initial principal amount of \$0.6 million (R\$0.9 million)) has an interest rate of 5.5%, which is significantly lower than the interest rates on the other portfolio loans.

During the first quarter of 2011, we renewed \$15.4 million (KRW 16.5 billion) of maturing secured indebtedness for an additional year. In addition, we were provided a \$2.1 million (KRW 2.2 billion) new one-year unsecured term loan. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans. In Brazil, one of the local banks provided us with a \$2.5 million (R\$4 million) new one-year term loan. In addition, two of the local banks provided us with aggregate loans of \$5.7 million (R\$9 million) that refinanced previous loans. The terms of the new loans are substantially the same as the other portfolio loans.

Debt

As of June 30, 2011, we had outstanding indebtedness, excluding capital leases, of approximately \$594 million, which consisted of the following:

\$38 million indebtedness outstanding under our asset-based lending revolving credit facility;

\$376.9 million (net of a \$10.1 million discount) of indebtedness outstanding on our senior secured notes;

\$178.2 million of foreign subsidiary indebtedness; and

Edgar Filing: Tower International, Inc. - Form 10-Q

\$0.9 million of indebtedness owed to the Detroit Investment Fund.

Our asset-based revolving credit facility, which we refer to as our Amended ABL revolver, provides for a revolving credit facility in the aggregate amount of \$150 million, subject to a borrowing base limitation. Our Amended ABL revolver provides for the issuance of letters of credit in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50 million, provided that the total amount of credit (inclusive of revolving loans and letters of credit) extended under our Amended ABL revolver is subject to an overall cap, on any date, equal to the lesser of \$150 million or the amount of the borrowing base on such date. The borrowing base is based upon the value of certain of our assets, including certain of our accounts receivable, inventory and PP&E, and thus changes from time to time depending on the value of the assets included within the borrowing base. The administrative agent for this facility causes to be performed an appraisal of the assets (other than the accounts receivable) included in the calculation of the borrowing base either on an annual basis or, if our availability under the facility is less than the greater of (i) 15 percent of the total commitment (which is currently \$150 million) or (ii) \$22.5 million during any twelve month period, as frequently as on a semi-annual basis. In addition, if certain material defaults under the facility have occurred and are continuing, the administrative agent has the right to perform any such appraisal as often as it deems necessary in its sole discretion. Our administrative agent may make adjustments to our borrowing base pursuant to these appraisals. These adjustments may negatively impact our ability to obtain revolving loans or support our letters of credit needs under our Amended ABL revolver. Based on the value of our assets at June 30, 2011, we were entitled to borrow \$116.6 million under our Amended ABL revolver at June 30, 2011. On that date, we had \$38 million of borrowings under the Amended ABL revolver and no letters of credit outstanding under the Amended ABL Revolver. Thus, we could have borrowed an additional \$78.6 million under the Amended ABL revolver as of June 30, 2011, calculated as follows (in millions):

Revolver borrowing base	\$ 116.6
Borrowings on revolver	38.0
Letters of credit outstanding on revolver	-
č	
Availability	\$ 78.6

Our Amended ABL revolver bears interest at a base rate plus a margin or at LIBOR plus a margin. The applicable margin is determined by reference to the average availability under the Amended ABL revolver over the preceding three months. The applicable margins as of June 30, 2011 were 2% and 3% for base rate and LIBOR based borrowings, respectively. Borrowings outstanding under our Amended ABL revolver may vary significantly from time to time depending on our cash needs at any given time. Our Amended ABL revolver matures in June 2016.

Our Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement contains customary covenants applicable to certain of our subsidiaries. The Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement contains a financial maintenance covenant ratio (the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio) based on the ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA to consolidated fixed charges, each as defined in the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement. If less than 12.5 percent of the total commitment is available under the facility for more than two consecutive days, we are required to maintain a consolidated Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 on a rolling four quarter basis. If we are required at any time to maintain the consolidated Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio, such requirement will end if more than 12.5 percent of the total commitment is available (provided that such number cannot be less than \$12.5 million) for twenty consecutive days. Our Letter of Credit Facility contains the same Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement (as such covenant is only applicable under the Letter of Credit Facility Agreement to the same extent, and at the same times, that it is applicable under the Amended Revolving Credit Facility Agreement). During the second quarter of 2011, we were not required to maintain the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio; thus, were in compliance with our covenants. Our financial condition and liquidity would be adversely impacted by the violation of any of our covenants.

Our first lien term loan was borrowed in two tranches, a \$250 million U.S. dollar denominated tranche and a 190.8 million Euro denominated tranche (\$260 million at the time of the initial borrowing). Our first lien term loan carried an initial rate of interest equal to 4.00% per annum plus the applicable U.S. Dollar LIBOR or EURIBOR rate. Subsequently, the applicable margin has increased to 4.25% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the interest rates in effect were 4.56% per annum and 4.79% per annum on the U.S. Dollar and Euro tranches, respectively. The effective rates on the U.S. Dollar and Euro tranches increased to 8.81% and 6.92%, respectively, when taking into account the impact of the interest rate swaps that were in effect. Our first lien term loan, scheduled to mature in July 2013, was repaid on August 24, 2010, funded principally by our offering of \$430 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes. Under our first lien term loan agreement, we also had a \$27.5 million letter of credit facility which was owned by funds

and accounts managed by Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. (an affiliate of our principal stockholder). On June 13, 2011, the first lien term loan agreement was terminated. In connection with the termination, a \$27.5 million deposit was returned to Cerberus in their capacity as a deposit lender.

Our other foreign subsidiary indebtedness consists primarily of borrowings in South Korea and Brazil and factoring in Italy. Factoring involves the sale of our receivables at a discount, which discount is included in interest expense. A majority of the South Korean debt and all of the Brazilian debt is subject to annual renewal. The factoring in Italy consists of uncommitted demand facilities which are subject to termination at the discretion of the applicable banks. Interest on the South Korean borrowings ranges from 5.17% to 9.96% per annum. Interest on the Brazilian debt ranges from 5.5% to 15.39% per annum.

On August 24, 2010, we consummated the sale of \$430 million aggregate principal amount of our 10.625% senior secured notes (the notes) due 2017. The indenture governing the notes contains a provision that gives each holder of notes the right, upon a change of control, to require the Issuers to purchase all or any part of such holder s notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest. We may also redeem some or all of the notes from a portion of the net proceeds of our initial public offering which resulted in a premium paid of \$1.3 million. On March 30, 2011, we redeemed an additional \$17 million of the senior secured notes which resulted in a premium paid of \$0.9 million.

The indenture governing the notes contains customary covenants applicable to our subsidiaries and places some restrictions on Tower Automotive, LLC which became restrictions on Tower International, Inc. after the Corporate Conversion. The indenture governing the notes contains certain restrictions on, among other things, our subsidiaries ability to: incur debt; incur liens; declare or make distributions to us or our equity holders; repay debt; enter into mergers, acquisitions and other business combinations; engage in asset and equity sales; enter into sale and lease-back transactions; enter into restrictive agreements; and enter into transactions with affiliates. The indenture governing the notes includes customary events of default, including, but not limited to, in respect of payment defaults; breaches of covenants; bankruptcy; material judgments; failure to have perfected liens on substantially all or all the collateral securing the notes; and cross-acceleration to material indebtedness.

Capital and Operating Leases

We maintain capital leases mainly for a manufacturing facility and certain manufacturing equipment. We have several operating leases, including leases for office and manufacturing facilities and certain equipment, with lease terms expiring between the years 2011 and 2021. As of December 31, 2010, our total future operating lease payments amounted to \$136.9 million and the present value of minimum lease payments under our capital leases amounted to \$18.3 million. As of December 31, 2010, we were committed to making lease payments of not less than \$24.1 million on our operating leases and not less than \$4.1 million on our capital leases during 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Obligations

Our only off-balance sheet obligations consist of obligations under our Letter of Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2011, letters of credit outstanding were \$28.1 million under such facility.

Our Letter of Credit Facility is fully cash collateralized by third parties for purposes of replacing or backstopping letters of credit outstanding. The cash collateral was deposited by the third parties in a trust account, and we have no right, title or interest in the trust account. Applicable fees are 8.5% of the aggregate letters of credit outstanding for commissions and fronting fees.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. We are exposed to market risk in the normal course of our business operations due to our purchases of steel, our sales of scrap steel, our ongoing investing and financing activities and our exposure to foreign currency exchange rates. We have established policies and procedures to govern our management of market risks.

Commodity Pricing Risk

Steel is the primary raw material that we use. We purchase a portion of our steel from certain of our customers through various OEM resale programs. The purchases through customer resale programs have buffered the impact of price swings associated with the procurement of steel. The remainder of our steel purchasing requirements are met through contracts with steel mills. At times, we may be unable to either avoid increases in steel prices or pass through any price increases to our customers. We refer to the net steel impact as the combination of the change in steel prices that are reflected in product pricing, the change in the cost to procure steel from the mill, and the change in our recovery of scrap steel, which we refer to as offal. Our strategy is to be economically indifferent to steel pricing by having these factors offset each other. While we strive to achieve a neutral net steel impact, we are not always successful in achieving that goal, in large part due to timing differences. Depending upon when a steel price change or offal price change occurs, that change may have a disproportionate effect, within any particular fiscal period, on our product pricing, our steel costs and the results of our sales of scrap steel. Net imbalances in any one particular fiscal period may be reversed in a subsequent fiscal period, although we cannot provide assurance that, or when, these reversals will occur.

Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2011, we had total debt of \$594 million (net of a \$10.1 million discount), consisting of fixed rate debt of \$432.4 million (73%) and floating rate debt of \$161.6 million (27%). Assuming no changes in the monthly average variable-rate debt levels of \$141.9 million and \$155 million, excluding the retired first lien term loan, for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, we estimate that a hypothetical change of 100 basis points in the LIBOR and alternate base rate interest rates would have impacted interest expense for each of the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 by \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. A 100 basis point increase in interest rates would not materially impact the fair value of our fixed rate debt.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

A significant portion of our revenues is derived from manufacturing operations in Europe, Asia and South America. The results of operations and financial condition of our non-United States businesses are principally measured in their respective local currency and translated into U.S. dollars. The effects on us of foreign currency fluctuations in Europe, Asia and South America are mitigated by the fact that expenses are generally incurred in the same currency in which revenues are generated, since we strive to manufacture our products in close proximity to our customers. Nevertheless, the reported income of our foreign subsidiaries will be higher or lower depending on a weakening or strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the respective foreign currencies.

Assets located in our foreign facilities are translated into U.S. dollars at foreign currency exchange rates in effect as of the end of each reporting period. The effect of such translations is reflected as a separate component of consolidated stockholders equity. As a result, our consolidated stockholders equity will fluctuate depending upon the weakening or strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the respective foreign currencies.

Our strategy for managing currency risk relies primarily upon conducting business in a foreign country in that country s currency. We may, from time to time, also participate in hedging programs intended to reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations. We believe that the effect of a 100 basis point movement in foreign currency rates against the U.S. dollar would not have materially affected our results of operations or cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. However, we believe that the effect of a 100 basis point movement in the Euro to the U.S. dollar has the potential to materially affect our stockholders equity whereas we do not believe a 100 basis point movement in other foreign currencies would have a material impact. As of June 30, 2011, we estimated that a hypothetical change of 100 basis points in the Euro to the U.S. dollar exchange rate would have impacted stockholders equity by approximately \$3.1 million.

Inflation

We have experienced a continued rise in inflationary pressures impacting certain commodities, such as petroleum-based products, resins, yarns, ferrous metals, base metals and certain chemicals. Additionally, because we purchase various types of equipment, raw materials and component parts from our suppliers, we may be adversely affected by their inability to adequately mitigate inflationary, industry, or economic pressures. These pressures have proven to be insurmountable to some of our suppliers and we have seen the number of bankruptcies and insolvencies in our industry increase. The overall condition of our supply base may possibly lead to delivery delays, production issues or delivery of non-conforming products by our suppliers in the future. As such, we continue to monitor our vendor base for the best

sources of supply and work with those vendors and customers to attempt to mitigate the impact of the pressures mentioned above.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer *
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer *
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**

* Furnished, not filed

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Tower International, Inc.

Date: August 4, 2011

/s/ James C. Gouin James C. Gouin Chief Financial Officer

Index to Exhibits

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer*
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer*
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document**
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document**
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

^{*} Furnished, not filed