

STONEMOR PARTNERS LP  
Form 424B5  
February 04, 2011  
[Table of Contents](#)

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)5  
Registration Number 333-170870

**PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT**

(To prospectus dated December 15, 2010)

**4,874,366 Common Units**

**StoneMor Partners L.P.**

**Representing Limited Partner Interests**

*We are selling 3,025,000 common units representing limited partner interests in us and the selling unitholders named in this prospectus supplement are selling 1,849,366 common units pursuant to this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of common units by the selling unitholders.*

*Common units are traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol STON. On February 3, 2011, the last reported sale price of common units on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$29.25 per common unit.*

**You should consider the risks which we have described in Risk Factors beginning on page S-14 of this prospectus supplement and on page 4 of the accompanying prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus before buying common units.**

	Per Common Unit	Total
Public offering price	\$ 29.25	\$ 142,575,206
Underwriting discount	\$ 1.24	\$ 6,044,214
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$ 28.01	\$ 84,730,250
Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling unitholders	\$ 28.01	\$ 51,800,742

Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

*The underwriters may purchase up to an additional 731,155 common units from us at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, within 30 days from the date of this prospectus supplement to cover overallotments, if any.*

**Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus to which it relates is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.**

*The underwriters expect to deliver the common units to purchasers on or about February 9, 2011.*

*Joint Book-Running Managers*

**RAYMOND JAMES**

**BARCLAYS CAPITAL**

*Co-Managers*

**BAIRD**

**JANNEY MONTGOMERY SCOTT**

**The date of this prospectus supplement is February 3, 2011.**

## **Table of Contents**

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **Prospectus Supplement**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>About This Prospectus Supplement</u>	S-ii
<u>Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	S-iii
<u>Summary</u>	S-1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	S-14
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	S-29
<u>Capitalization</u>	S-30
<u>Price Range of Our Common Units and Distributions</u>	S-31
<u>Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Operating Data</u>	S-32
<u>Business</u>	S-36
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations</u>	S-48
<u>Selling Unitholders</u>	S-50
<u>Underwriting</u>	S-51
<u>Legal Matters</u>	S-55
<u>Experts</u>	S-55
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	S-55

#### **Prospectus**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Guide To Reading This Prospectus</u>	1
<u>Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	2
<u>StoneMor Partners L.P.</u>	3
<u>Risk Factors</u>	4
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	17
<u>Description of the Common Units</u>	18
<u>Cash Distribution Policy</u>	24
<u>Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences</u>	28
<u>Selling Unitholder</u>	43
<u>Plan of Distribution</u>	45
<u>Legal Matters</u>	47
<u>Experts</u>	47
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	47

**Table of Contents**

**ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT**

We are providing information to you about this offering of common units in two parts. The first part is this prospectus supplement, which provides the specific details regarding this offering. The second part is the accompanying prospectus, which provides general information. Generally, when we refer to this prospectus, we are referring to both documents combined, as well as to the documents incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. Some of the information in the accompanying prospectus may not apply to this offering. If information in this prospectus supplement is inconsistent with the accompanying prospectus, you should rely on this prospectus supplement.

Any statement made in this prospectus or in a document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into this prospectus will be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes of this prospectus to the extent that a statement contained in this prospectus or in any other subsequently filed document that is also incorporated by reference into this prospectus modifies or supersedes that statement. Any statement so modified or superseded will not be deemed, except as so modified or superseded, to constitute a part of this prospectus. The sections captioned **Where You Can Find More Information** and **Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements** in the accompanying base prospectus are superseded in their entirety by the similarly titled sections included in this prospectus supplement.

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We and the selling unitholders have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different from that contained in this prospectus. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We and the selling unitholders are offering to sell common units and seeking offers to buy common units only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus, as well as information we previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, and incorporated herein by reference, is accurate only as of their respective dates or other dates which are specified in those documents, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or of any sale of the common units. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

**Table of Contents**

**INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Some statements contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus, including, but not limited to, information regarding the status and progress of our operating activities, the plans and objectives of our management, assumptions regarding our future performance and plans, and any financial guidance provided are forward-looking statements. The words believe, may, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, expect, predict and similar expressions identify these forward-looking statements. Our actual results of operations could differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements contained in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus. Important factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those factors discussed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus, as well as the following:

uncertainties associated with future revenue and revenue growth;

the effect of the current economic downturn;

the impact of our significant leverage on our operating plans;

our ability to service our debt and pay distributions;

the decline in the fair value of certain equity and debt securities held in our trusts;

our ability to attract, train and retain an adequate number of sales people;

uncertainties associated with the volume and timing of pre-need sales of cemetery services and products;

increased use of cremation;

changes in the political or regulatory environments, including potential changes in tax accounting and trusting policies;

our ability to successfully implement a strategic plan relating to operating improvement, strong cash flows and further deleveraging;

uncertainties associated with the integration or the anticipated benefits of our recent acquisitions and any future acquisitions;

our ability to complete and fund additional acquisitions;

various other uncertainties associated with the death care industry and our operations in particular; and

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

other risks set forth in Risk Factors in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC on March 16, 2010, or the 2009 Form 10-K, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2010 filed with the SEC May 10, 2010, or the First Quarter 2010 Form 10-Q, our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010 filed with the SEC September 13, 2010, or the Second Quarter 2010 Form 10-Q/A, and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended

S-iii

**Table of Contents**

September 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on November 9, 2010, or the Third Quarter 2010 Form 10-Q, each of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus present our views only as of the date of the applicable document containing forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements made by us, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. See [Where You Can Find More Information](#).

S-iv

## Table of Contents

### SUMMARY

*This summary highlights information about our business and about this offering contained elsewhere in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference. It does not contain all of the information that you should consider before making an investment decision. You should read this entire prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, as well as the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein in their entirety, including the risk factors and our financial statements and related notes, before making an investment decision. You should carefully consider the information set forth under Risk Factors beginning on page S-14 of this prospectus supplement and page 4 of the accompanying prospectus about important risks that you should consider before buying common units in this offering. Unless we indicate otherwise, the information we present in this prospectus supplement assumes that the underwriters do not exercise their option to purchase additional common units.*

*In this prospectus supplement, unless the context otherwise requires, references to we, us or our are to StoneMor Partners L.P., its subsidiaries and its general partner, collectively.*

### StoneMor Partners L.P.

We are the second largest owner and operator of cemeteries in the United States. As of September 30, 2010, we operated 256 cemeteries. We own 237 of these cemeteries, and we manage or operate the remaining 19 under long-term agreements. As of September 30, 2010, we also owned and operated 57 funeral homes in 17 states and Puerto Rico. Thirty of these funeral homes are located on the grounds of the cemeteries we own.

We were formed as a Delaware limited partnership in April 2004. Unlike certain of our competitors that are not treated as partnerships for federal income tax purposes, our primary business objective is to increase distributable cash flow over time for our unitholders. We aim to set unitholder distributions at a level that can be sustained over time, while maintaining resources sufficient for the ongoing stability and growth of our business.

We are the only one of the four publicly-traded U.S. deathcare companies that derives a majority of its revenue from cemetery operations rather than funeral home services. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our total revenues were approximately \$141.5 million.

The cemetery products and services that we sell include the following:

Interment Rights	Merchandise	Services
burial lots	burial vaults	installation of burial vaults
lawn crypts	caskets	installation of caskets
mausoleum crypts	grave markers and grave marker bases	installation of other cemetery merchandise
cremation niches	memorials	other service items
perpetual care rights		



S-1

## **Table of Contents**

We sell these products and services both at the time of death, which we refer to as at-need, and prior to the time of death, which we refer to as pre-need. We market our products and services through an experienced staff of commissioned sales representatives. We had approximately 672 of these representatives on staff as of September 30, 2010. Our sales of real property, including burial lots (with and without installed vaults), lawn and mausoleum crypts and cremation niches, generate qualifying income sufficient for us to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

In 2009, we performed 37,782 burials and sold 25,842 interment rights (net of cancellations). Based upon the ratio of the number of interment rights sold to total spaces available, at December 31, 2009, our cemeteries had a weighted average estimated remaining sales life of 226 years. In the first nine months of 2010, we performed 29,852 burials and sold 20,756 interment rights (net of cancellations). We do not believe that there has been any material change to the weighted average estimated remaining sales life of our cemeteries since December 31, 2009.

As of September 30, 2010, our cemetery properties were located in Alabama, California, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia. In 2009 and in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our cemetery revenues accounted for approximately 87.1% and 87.2% of our total revenues, respectively.

Our primary funeral home products are caskets and related items. Our funeral home services include consultation, the removal and preparation of remains, and the use of funeral home facilities for visitation and prayer services.

As of September 30, 2010, our funeral homes were located in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maryland, Missouri, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington and West Virginia. In 2009 and in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our funeral home revenues accounted for approximately 12.9% and 12.8% of our total revenues, respectively. Our funeral home operations are conducted through various wholly owned subsidiaries that are treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

## **Competitive Strengths**

We believe that the following competitive strengths contribute to our position as a leading cemetery operator:

***Long-lived and Geographically Diverse Cemeteries.*** We have a large portfolio of cemetery properties, which will enable us to offer cemetery products and services in the markets we serve for many years. Because we operate cemeteries in 25 states and Puerto Rico, we have not historically been materially affected by localized economic downturns or changes in laws regulating cemetery operations in any one state. Our portfolio consists of 256 cemetery properties with a total of more than 9,800 acres as of December 31, 2009. Our cemeteries have an aggregated weighted average remaining sales life of 226 years based upon interment spaces sold in 2009. In addition, we increase capacity in our cemeteries by building mausoleum crypts and lawn crypts as the number of unsold lots decreases.

## **Table of Contents**

**Highly Trained and Professional Sales Force.** Our highly trained and professional sales force is the key to our success in executing our pre-need sales strategy. We had approximately 672 commissioned sales representatives as of September 30, 2010.

**Ability to Successfully Execute and Integrate Acquisitions.** Our acquisitions have been based on targeted guidelines that include projected cash flow and profitability, location, heritage and reputation, physical size, market value and volume of pre-need business. We believe our targeted approach, combined with our management team's industry contacts and experience will allow us to continue to maintain a competitive advantage in executing and integrating acquisitions. Since inception, we have successfully acquired and integrated an additional 133 cemeteries and 53 funeral homes into our operations. With the completion of this offering, and the execution of the concurrent credit agreement commitment, we will have the ability to fund additional acquisition opportunities should they arise. Our \$65 million acquisition facility will have a zero balance and be fully available. We expect to continue to see businesses become available for purchase that meet our acquisition criteria.

**Diversified Product Mix.** Our mix of pre-need cemetery merchandise and services and at-need cemetery and funeral home merchandise and services represents a diversified product mix and allows us to provide customers with a comprehensive product offering. These products and services provide us with a presence in the major segments of the death care industry.

**Operating Efficiencies Attributable to Our Size.** Due to our size, we are able to generate economies of scale and operating efficiencies. These include shared best practices in the area of pre-need marketing, lower purchasing costs for cemetery and funeral home merchandise through volume purchasing, lower operating expenses through centralized administrative functions, shared maintenance equipment and personnel and more effective strategic and financial planning. As a result, we are able to compete favorably in the areas we serve and to potentially improve the profitability of cemetery operations we acquire.

**Oversight and Management of Trust Assets to Preserve Capital and Generate Income.** While our business model calls for us to release funds from our merchandise trusts on an accelerated basis upon pre-need delivery and performance, at any point in time we will have a significant corpus of invested assets. We have employed an investment strategy that focuses on a balanced approach to preserving capital while generating returns in excess of current inflation rates. We invest the funds held in merchandise trusts and perpetual care trusts in investments in intermediate term, investment grade, fixed income securities, high-yield fixed income securities, real estate investment trusts, master limited partnerships and, to a lesser extent, other types of equity securities and cash. The funds that are held in trusts are managed by third-party professional investment managers within specified investment guidelines adopted by the Trust and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors of our general partner and standards imposed by state law. Our merchandise trusts had an aggregate market value of approximately \$293.0 million as of September 30, 2010. Our perpetual care trusts had an aggregate market value of approximately \$241.9 million as of September 30, 2010.

**Experienced Management Team.** We believe that we have one of the most experienced management teams in the death care industry, led by Lawrence Miller, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and William R. Shane, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, who have extensive senior-level experience in managing large death care companies. Our 11 senior officers have an average industry experience of over 29 years.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Business Strategies**

Our primary business objective is to increase distributable cash flow over time for our unitholders. We attempt to achieve this objective by employing the following core strategies:

***Develop and Maintain a Diversified Revenue Stream.*** Our business model is constructed so that sales revenues are generated from pre-need sales of cemetery merchandise and services as well as at-need sales of cemetery merchandise and services and at-need or pre-need sales of funeral home merchandise and services. This diverse revenue stream should prove to be more stable over economic cycles than a more concentrated revenue stream.

Sales of pre-need merchandise and services allow us to focus on sales to new customers and sales of additional merchandise to existing customers in order to establish a loyal customer base. These sales tend to generate additional pre-need sales and at-need sales to close family members of our pre-need customers. We have developed a sales force and marketing platform dedicated to this revenue stream. This marketing platform is built around direct response marketing programs and relationship marketing. This has improved the quality of our company-generated sales leads which in turn has led to improved sales from these sources. We have also established an inside sales department to create an additional avenue for customers to purchase our products and services. The inside sales representatives work hand-in-hand with their counterparts in the field, and their joint efforts have helped to increase the amount of sales to each customer.

Sales of at-need cemetery merchandise and services and funeral home merchandise and services are a complement to our pre-need sales program. From a strategic standpoint, they also provide us with a revenue stream that is less sensitive to changes in economic cycles and do not require considerable upfront sales and marketing resources.

***Consistently Review and Improve Operating Efficiencies.*** We have a dedicated senior executive team that actively monitors our operating costs and efficiently executes cost-containment and operational improvement strategies. We believe this team is quick to react to changes in the marketplace and implement both long- and short-term strategies that allow us to meet our primary objective on a continuing basis.

***Purchase Products and Perform Services That Are Subject to Trusting Requirements in Advance of the Time of Need.*** We are required by various state laws to deposit a portion of funds that we receive from our pre-need sales of cemetery merchandise and services into merchandise trusts to ensure that we will have sufficient funds in the future to purchase these products and perform these services. In many cases, we are allowed to release these funds from the trust once we have delivered the product or performed the services. We have instituted a program wherein we deliver certain of these products or perform certain of these services in advance of the time of need, thereby allowing us to release the funds from trust and relieve ourselves of the obligation of trusting any additional funds. This in turn makes cash available to pay operating expenses, pursue investment opportunities, service debt and make distributions.

***Acquire and Integrate Additional Cemeteries and Funeral Homes.*** One of our core strategies has been to grow our business through the acquisition of additional properties. We plan to continue to evaluate potential acquisitions and identify properties that we believe complement our existing portfolio.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Recent Developments**

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we purchased one cemetery and one funeral home in Kansas for approximately \$0.7 million, plus a bond of approximately \$0.3 million placed to fund permanent maintenance trust shortfalls, and incurred approximately \$0.6 million of liabilities in connection with the purchase.

During the first quarter of 2011, we purchased three cemeteries in North Carolina for approximately \$1.7 million and incurred approximately \$0.5 million of liabilities in connection with the purchase.

### **Use of Proceeds**

We intend to use the net proceeds to us from this offering and the related capital contribution by our general partner (i) to redeem in full the \$17.5 million of our outstanding Series B senior secured notes due 2012 and the \$17.5 million of our outstanding Series C senior secured notes due 2012, and pay an aggregate of \$3.8 million in a make-whole premium related thereto; (ii) to prepay the borrowings outstanding under our acquisition credit facility; (iii) to pay down the borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility; and (iv) to fund capital improvements or for general partnership purposes. If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional common units in full, we will use the additional net proceeds and related capital contribution by our general partner to fund capital improvements or for general partnership purposes.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of common units by the selling unitholders. See Use of Proceeds.

### **Concurrent Transaction**

Concurrently with the closing of this offering, we expect to amend our credit agreement. The amended credit agreement is expected to increase our borrowing capacity from \$100 million to \$120 million and to extend the maturity date from August 15, 2012 to January 29, 2016. The amended credit agreement is also expected to reduce the applicable margins for Eurodollar rate loans and base rate loans by 50 basis points, which will result in margins of 3.75% and 2.75%, respectively, upon the consummation of the amendment. In addition, we expect the amended credit agreement to use the same consolidated leverage ratio of 3.65 to 1.0 as is currently in effect for measurement periods ending after December 31, 2010 and to permit us to use the proceeds of this offering as contemplated herein. See Use of Proceeds. We will be required to pay certain fees to the lenders in connection with such amendment.

The closing of the offering of common units by us is contingent upon the execution of the amendment to our credit agreement. If this amendment is not executed on or prior to the closing of this offering, the offering of common units by us will not be consummated.

This offering of common units and the related capital contribution by our general partner, and the use of proceeds therefrom and the amendment of our credit agreement are collectively referred to in this prospectus supplement as the Transactions.

**Table of Contents**

**Structure and Management**

Our operations are conducted through, and our operating assets are owned by, our direct and indirect subsidiaries. We have one direct subsidiary, StoneMor Operating LLC, a limited liability company that owns equity interests in a number of our subsidiary operating companies.

Our general partner, StoneMor GP LLC, manages our operations and activities. Our general partner does not receive any management fee or other compensation in connection with its management of our business, but is reimbursed for expenses that it incurs on our behalf and holds incentive distribution rights in us. Members of our management hold direct and indirect interests in our general partner.

Our principal executive offices are located at 311 Veterans Highway, Suite B, Levittown, Pennsylvania 19056, and our phone number is (215) 826-2800. Our website is located at <http://www.stonemor.com>. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement and accompanying prospectus and does not constitute a part of this prospectus supplement and accompanying prospectus.

**Table of Contents**

**Organizational Structure**

The following diagram depicts our organizational structure and ownership giving effect to the offering of common units contemplated by this prospectus supplement and our general partner's proportionate capital contribution:

S-7

## Table of Contents

### **The Offering**

Common units offered:

By StoneMor Partners L.P. 3,025,000 common units

By the selling unitholders 1,849,366 common units

Common units outstanding after this offering 18,604,035 common units, or 19,335,190 if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase an additional 731,155 common units.

Use of proceeds

We estimate that our net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$83.2 million after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses payable by us (\$103.7 million if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional common units), plus a related capital contribution of approximately \$1.8 million by our general partner to maintain its 2.0% general partner interest in us (\$2.2 million if the underwriters exercise in full their option to purchase additional common units). We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering of common units and the related contribution by our general partner:

- (i) to redeem in full the \$17.5 million of our outstanding Series B senior secured notes due 2012 and the \$17.5 million of our outstanding Series C senior secured notes due 2012, and pay an aggregate of \$3.8 million in a make-whole premium relating thereto;
- (ii) to prepay the borrowings outstanding under our acquisition credit facility;
- (iii) to pay down the borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility; and
- (iv) to fund capital improvements or for general partnership purposes.

If the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional common units, we will use the additional net proceeds and related capital contribution by our general partner to fund capital improvements or for general partnership purposes.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of common units by the selling unitholders.

An affiliate of Raymond James & Associates, Inc., or Raymond James, is a lender under our credit agreement and



## Table of Contents

as such will receive a portion of the proceeds of this offering. See Use of Proceeds.

### Cash distributions

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, we distribute our available cash from operations, after we have paid our expenses, funded merchandise and perpetual care trusts and established necessary cash reserves, to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. In general, we will pay any cash distributions we make each quarter in the following manner:

first, 98% to the common units and 2% to our general partner, until each common unit has received a minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.4625; and

second, 98% to all units, pro rata, and 2% to our general partner, until each unit has received a distribution of \$0.5125.

If cash distributions per unit exceed \$0.5125 in any quarter, our general partner will receive increasing percentages, up to a maximum of 50%, of the cash we distribute in excess of that amount. We refer to these distributions in excess of distributions on our 2% general partner interest as incentive distributions.

On January 20, 2011, we declared a cash distribution of \$0.5750 per common unit payable on February 14, 2011 to unitholders of record as of January 31, 2011. Purchasers of our common units in this offering will not be entitled to this quarterly cash distribution. For a discussion of our cash distribution policy, see Cash Distribution Policy in the accompanying prospectus.

### Issuance of additional units

We may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type without the approval of the unitholders.

### Limited voting rights

Our general partner manages and operates us. Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, you will have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business. You will have no right to elect our general partner or its directors on an annual or other continuing basis. Our general partner may not be removed except by a vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of the outstanding units, including any units owned by our general partner and its affiliates, voting together as a single class. After giving effect to this offering, affiliates of our general partner will own approximately 4.1% of the common units. See Description of the Common Units Voting Rights in the accompanying prospectus.

---

**Table of Contents**

Limited call right	If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the outstanding common units, our general partner has the right, but not the obligation, to purchase all of the remaining common units at a price not less than the then-current market price of the common units.
Estimated ratio of taxable income to distributions	We estimate that if you hold the common units that you purchase in this offering through December 31, 2013, you will be allocated, on a cumulative basis, an amount of taxable income for that period that will be less than 75% of the cash distributed to you with respect to that period. Thereafter, we anticipate that the ratio of allocable taxable income to cash distributions to the unitholders will increase. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations in this prospectus supplement.
Material U.S. federal income tax consequences	For a discussion of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to prospective unitholders who are individual citizens or residents of the United States, see U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations in this prospectus supplement and Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences in the accompanying prospectus.
Risk factors	You should refer to the section entitled Risk Factors in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein to ensure you understand the risks associated with an investment in our common units.
NASDAQ Global Select Market symbol	STON

**Table of Contents****Summary Historical Consolidated Financial and Operating Data**

The following tables present our summary historical consolidated financial and operating data for the periods and as of the dates indicated. Our summary historical consolidated financial data as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and are incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement. Our summary historical consolidated financial data as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2010 are derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

In the opinion of our management, our unaudited historical consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as our audited historical consolidated financial statements and contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations for the relevant periods.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the entire year or any future period. The following tables should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 9, 2010 for the year ended December 31, 2009 and our Third Quarter 2010 Form 10-Q, each of which is incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, and the audited and unaudited historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 9, 2010 for the year ended December 31, 2009 and our Third Quarter 2010 Form 10-Q, each of which is incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31,			As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 (as restated)	
	2007	2008	2009		2010
	(dollars in thousands)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Cemetery revenues:					
Merchandise	\$ 74,509	\$ 90,968	\$ 87,836	\$ 65,460	\$ 68,576
Services	28,547	36,894	36,947	28,959	29,562
Investment and other	31,476	31,623	33,055	25,156	25,241
Funeral home revenues:					
Merchandise	4,655	9,249	9,701	7,189	7,377
Services	6,127	14,714	13,665	10,223	10,781
Total revenues	145,314	183,448	181,203	136,987	141,537
Costs of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below):					
Perpetual care	3,553	4,326	4,727	3,658	3,727
Merchandise	16,118	18,556	17,120	13,017	12,572
Cemetery expense	30,767	41,651	41,246	30,450	34,840
Selling expense	29,245	34,806	34,123	25,177	27,381
Interest income	153	5,259	190		
	(28,086)	5,256	795		
Income before income taxes					
	2,004	5,122	51,519		
Income tax (provision) benefit					
	2,379	(2,331)	(1,301)		
Net income	\$ 4,383	\$ 2,791	\$ 50,218		

<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</b>				
<b>Basic</b>	<b>77,259,947</b>	<b>51,750,000</b>		
<b>Diluted</b>	<b>78,438,847</b>	<b>51,750,000</b>		
<b>Net income per common share:</b>				
<b>Basic and diluted</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>0.05</b>
			<b>\$</b>	

See accompanying notes to unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)**Boise Inc.****(Formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., a Corporation in the Development Stage)****Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss)**

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007</b>	<b>January 1 Through February 21, 2008</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>
<b>Sales</b>				
Trade	\$ 1,423,536	\$	\$ 258,430	\$ 1,215,777
Related parties	55,977		101,490	529,276
	1,479,513		359,920	1,745,053
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Materials, labor, and other operating expenses	1,266,250		313,931	1,464,284
Fiber costs from related parties	46,857		7,662	30,834
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	76,862		477	84,536
Selling and distribution expenses	34,563		9,097	43,587
General and administrative expenses	26,702	152	6,606	32,335
Other (income) expense, net	(160)		(989)	(567)
	1,451,074	152	336,784	1,655,009
<b>Income (loss) from operations</b>	28,439	(152)	23,136	90,044
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(1,511)		54	1,207
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives	204			
Interest expense	(65,064)	(3)	(2)	
Interest income	2,152	5,769	161	475
	(64,219)	5,766	213	1,682
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	(35,780)	5,614	23,349	91,726
Income tax (provision) benefit	5,742	(2,555)	(563)	(2,982)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (30,038)</b>	<b>\$ 3,059</b>	<b>\$ 22,786</b>	<b>\$ 88,744</b>
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</b>				
<b>Basic and diluted</b>	<b>72,418,643</b>	<b>27,628,512</b>		
<b>Net income (loss) per common share:</b>				
<b>Basic and diluted</b>	<b>\$ (0.41)</b>	<b>\$ 0.11</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.



[Table of Contents](#)**Boise Inc.****(Formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., a Corporation in the Development Stage)****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(unaudited, in thousands)

	September 30, 2008	Boise Inc. December 31, 2007	Predecessor December 31, 2007
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,128	\$ 186	\$ 8
Cash held in trust		403,989	
Receivables			
Trade, less allowances of \$880, \$0, and \$1,063	245,141		181,799
Related parties	4,154		36,452
Other	5,451		10,224
Inventories	334,502		324,679
Deferred income taxes	15,137	85	
Other	13,570	59	6,936
	645,083	404,319	560,098
<b>Property</b>			
Property and equipment, net	1,286,450		1,192,344
Fiber farms and deposits	14,213		17,843
	1,300,663		1,210,187
<b>Deferred financing costs</b>	75,368		
<b>Goodwill</b>			42,218
<b>Intangible assets, net</b>	35,860		23,967
<b>Other assets</b>	6,929	3,293	9,242
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,063,903</b>	<b>\$ 407,612</b>	<b>\$ 1,845,712</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Boise Inc.****(Formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., a Corporation in the Development Stage)****Consolidated Balance Sheets (continued)**

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2008	Boise Inc. December 31, 2007	Predecessor December 31, 2007
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 14,125	\$	\$
Income taxes payable	450	1,280	306
Accounts payable			
Trade	209,049		178,686
Related parties	9,427		299
Accrued liabilities			
Compensation and benefits	44,532		53,573
Interest payable	753		
Deferred underwriting fee		12,420	
Other	23,524	1,015	16,716
	301,860	14,715	249,580
<b>Debt</b>			
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,031,075		
Notes payable	64,083		
	1,095,158		
<b>Other</b>			
Deferred income taxes	22,448		896
Compensation and benefits	63,747		6,030
Other long-term liabilities	31,060		29,427
	117,255		36,353
<b>Common stock subject to possible conversion</b>		159,760	
(16,555,860 shares at conversion value at December 31, 2007)			
<b>Commitments and contingent liabilities</b>			
<b>Stockholders Equity</b>			
Business unit equity			1,559,779
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value per share: 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued			
Common stock, \$.0001 par value per share: 250,000,000 shares authorized; 79,722,147 shares and 51,750,000 shares issued and outstanding (which included 16,555,860 shares subject to possible conversion at December 31, 2007)	8	5	
Additional paid-in capital	573,990	227,640	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	178		
Income accumulated during development stage		5,492	



# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Accumulated deficit	(24,546)				
<b>Total stockholders equity</b>	549,630		233,137		1,559,779
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 2,063,903</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>407,612</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,845,712</b>

See accompanying notes to unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Boise Inc.****(Formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., a Corporation in the Development Stage)****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(unaudited, in thousands)

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007</b>	<b>January 1 Through February 21, 2008</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>
<b>Cash provided by (used for) operations</b>				
Net income (loss)	\$ (30,038)	\$ 3,059	\$ 22,786	\$ 88,744
Items in net income (loss) not using (providing) cash				
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion of deferred financing costs and other	83,803		477	84,536
Share-based compensation expense	1,934			
Related-party interest expense	2,760			
Notes payable interest expense	2,989			
Interest income on cash held in trust		(5,766)		
Pension and other postretirement benefit expense	7,128		1,826	9,938
Deferred income taxes	(5,742)		11	130
Change in fair value of energy derivatives	7,471		(37)	375
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives	(204)			
Gain on changes in retiree healthcare programs				(4,367)
(Gain) loss on sales of assets, net	4		(943)	1,271
Other	1,511		(54)	(1,207)
Decrease (increase) in working capital, net of acquisitions				
Receivables	(1,851)		(23,522)	(31,030)
Inventories	(20,660)		5,343	(8,083)
Prepaid expenses	(5,400)	(53)	875	(793)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	29,869	14	(10,718)	8,001
Current and deferred income taxes	(1,488)	2,463	335	1,831
Pension and other postretirement benefit payments	(291)		(1,826)	(9,938)
Other	(3,388)		2,326	548
Cash provided by (used for) operations	68,407	(283)	(3,121)	139,956
<b>Cash provided by (used for) investment</b>				
Acquisition of businesses and facilities	(1,215,641)	(694)		
Cash released from (held in) trust, net	403,989	(398,765)		
Expenditures for property and equipment	(58,928)		(10,168)	(106,021)
Sales of assets	241		17,662	5,163
Other	(1,838)		863	1,759
Cash provided by (used for) investment	(872,177)	(399,459)	8,357	(99,099)
<b>Cash provided by (used for) financing</b>				
Issuances of long-term debt	1,105,700			
Payments of long-term debt	(60,500)			
Issuances of short-term debt		137		
Payments of short-term debt		(137)		
Payments to stockholders for exercise of conversion rights	(120,170)			

# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Payments of deferred financing fees	(81,898)				
Payments of deferred underwriters fees	(12,420)	(16,560)			
Proceeds from sale of shares of common stock to initial stockholders		25			
Proceeds from public offering		414,000			
Proceeds from issuance of insider warrants		3,000			
Net equity transactions with related parties			(5,237)	(40,856)	
Other		(640)			
Cash provided by (used for) financing	830,712	399,825	(5,237)	(40,856)	
<b>Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>26,942</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>1</b>	
<b>Balance at beginning of the period</b>	<b>186</b>		<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	
<b>Balance at end of the period</b>	<b>\$ 27,128</b>	<b>\$ 83</b>	<b>\$ 7</b>	<b>\$ 8</b>	

See accompanying notes to unaudited quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

*Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements*

**1. Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation**

Boise Inc. (formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp.) or the Company, we, us, or our was a blank check company created on February 1, 2007 (inception) and organized for the purpose of effecting a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition, or other similar business combination with an operating business. On February 22, 2008, Boise Inc. completed the acquisition (the Acquisition) of Boise White Paper, L.L.C., Boise Packaging & Newsprint, L.L.C., Boise Cascade Transportation Holdings Corp. (collectively, the Paper Group), and other assets and liabilities related to the operation of the paper, packaging and newsprint, and transportation businesses of the Paper Group and part of the headquarters operations of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. (Boise Cascade). The business we acquired is referred to in this report on Form 10-Q as the Predecessor. The Acquisition was accomplished through the Company's acquisition of Boise Paper Holdings, L.L.C. See Note 2, Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations, for more information related to the Acquisition.

The following sets forth our corporate structure following the Acquisition:

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Boise Inc. operates its business in three reportable segments, Paper, Packaging, and Corporate and Other (support services), and is headquartered in Boise, Idaho. Boise Inc. manufactures packaging products and papers, including corrugated containers, containerboard, label and release and flexible packaging papers, imaging papers for the office and home, printing and converting papers, newsprint, and market pulp.

The accompanying consolidated statements of income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, include the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp. prior to the Acquisition and the operations of the acquired businesses from February 22, 2008, through September 30, 2008. The consolidated statements of income (loss) for the period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, of the Predecessor are presented for comparative purposes. The three months ended September 30, 2007, and the period of

Table of Contents

February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, represents the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp.

The quarterly consolidated financial statements presented have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm but, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the results for the periods presented. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements involves the use of estimates and accruals. Actual results may vary from those estimates. Quarterly results are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the full year. These condensed notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in Boise Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 28, 2008, which includes the accounting policies of the Predecessor that we adopted in conjunction with the Acquisition, as well as the other reports we file with the SEC.

For the Predecessor periods presented, the consolidated financial statements include accounts specifically attributed to the Paper Group and a portion of Boise Cascade's shared corporate general and administrative expenses. These shared services include, but are not limited to, finance, accounting, legal, information technology, and human resource functions. Some corporate costs related solely to the Predecessor and were allocated totally to these operations. Shared corporate general and administrative expenses not specifically identifiable to the Paper Group were allocated primarily based on average sales, assets, and labor costs. The Predecessor consolidated financial statements do not include an allocation of Boise Cascade's debt, interest, and deferred financing costs, because none of these items were specifically identified as corporate advances to, or borrowings by, the Predecessor. Boise Cascade used interest rate swaps to hedge variable interest rate risk. Because debt and interest costs are not allocated to the Predecessor, the effects of the interest rate swaps are not included in the consolidated financial statements. During the Predecessor periods presented, income taxes, where applicable, were calculated as if the Predecessor were a separate taxable entity. For the period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the majority of the businesses and assets of the Predecessor were held and operated by limited liability companies, which are not subject to entity-level federal or state income taxation. In addition to the businesses and assets held and operated by limited liability companies, the Predecessor had taxable corporations subject to federal, state, and local income taxes for which taxes were recorded. Information on the allocations and related-party transactions is included in Note 4, Transactions With Related Parties.

Certain amounts in prior periods' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

## **2. Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations**

On February 22, 2008, we acquired the paper, packaging, and most of the corporate and other segments of Boise Cascade for cash and securities. We have five pulp and paper mills, five corrugated container plants, a corrugated sheet plant, and two paper distribution facilities located in the United States. Our corporate headquarters office is in Boise, Idaho.

The Acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*. Upon completion of the transaction, Boise Cascade owned 37.9 million, or 49%, of our outstanding shares. Subsequent to the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the shares to its parent company, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C.

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

The purchase price was paid with cash, the issuance of shares of our common stock, and a note payable. These costs, including direct transaction costs and purchase price adjustments, are summarized as follows:

Table of Contents

	<b>February 22, 2008 (thousands)</b>
Cash paid to Boise Cascade	\$ 1,252,281
Cash paid to Boise Cascade for financing and other fees	24,915
Less: cash contributed by Boise Cascade	(38,000)
Net cash	1,239,196
Equity at \$9.15 average price per share	346,395
Lack of marketability discount	(41,567)
Total equity	304,828
Note payable to Boise Cascade at closing	41,000
Working capital adjustment	17,334
Total note payable to Boise Cascade	58,334
Fees and expenses	60,967
Total purchase price	<b>\$ 1,663,325</b>

*Cash*

Upon closing, we paid Boise Cascade \$1,252.3 million in cash related to the base purchase price plus \$24.9 million incurred by Boise Cascade for transaction financing costs and fees. Immediately prior to the Acquisition, Boise Cascade contributed \$38.0 million of cash to the acquired businesses.

*Equity*

The number of shares issued to Boise Cascade totaled 37,857,374. The equity price per share was calculated based on the average per-share closing price of our common stock for the 20 trading days ending on the third trading day immediately prior to the consummation of the Acquisition. Since that average price was below the \$9.54 floor provided in the purchase agreement, we determined a new measurement date in accordance with Issue No. 2 of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 99-12, *Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination*. We calculated a \$9.15 average price per share based on two days before and after the Acquisition measurement date, which was February 14, 2008. The value of stock consideration paid to Boise Cascade was reduced by a 12% discount for a lack of marketability, since the stock delivered as consideration was not registered for resale.

*Note Payable*

In connection with the Acquisition, Boise Inc. issued a \$41.0 million subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade. After the Acquisition, and pursuant to the purchase agreement, the note was amended to increase the amount payable to \$58.3 million effective February 22, 2008. The increase of the note reflects \$17.3 million of postclosing working capital adjustments in Boise Cascade's favor. After the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the note payable to its parent, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., and on June 10, 2008, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., sold



## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

the note payable to eight individual parties for \$53.8 million, including accrued interest but before transaction costs. The full amount of the original note, as amended, together with accrued and unpaid interest from March 31, 2008, is now represented by eight separate notes payable, each with terms (other than the amount) identical to the original note payable. Because none of the eight holders of the notes are related to either Boise Inc. or Boise Cascade, we no longer record the notes as related-party notes payable on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. See Note 4, Transactions With Related Parties, and Note 13, Debt, for further information on the notes.

Fees and expenses consist primarily of debt issuance fees and direct costs of the transaction.

Table of Contents

We have substantially completed our purchase price allocation of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. However, we continue to evaluate the amounts included in our allocation as information is received from third parties. We expect to be complete by the one-year anniversary date of the Acquisition. The following table summarizes the fair value allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Acquisition as of September 30, 2008:

	February 22, 2008, Fair Value (thousands)
Current assets	\$ 571,936
Property and equipment	1,305,616
Fiber farms and deposits	11,006
Intangible assets:	
Trademark and trade name	16,800
Customer list	13,700
Technology	6,860
Deferred financing costs	81,898
Other long-term assets	4,465
Current liabilities	(247,292)
Long-term liabilities	(101,664)
Total purchase price	\$ 1,663,325

The following pro forma results are based on the individual historical results of Boise Inc. and the Predecessor (prior to the Acquisition on February 22, 2008) with adjustments to give effect to the combined operations as if the Acquisition had been consummated on January 1, 2007. The pro forma results are intended for information purposes only and do not purport to represent what the combined companies' results of operations would actually have been had the transaction in fact occurred on January 1, 2007.

	Three Months Ended September 30 2007	Pro Forma 2008 (thousands, except per-share data)	Nine Months Ended September 30 2007
Sales	\$ 583,730	\$ 1,839,433	\$ 1,745,053
Net income (loss)	16,365	(40,961)	(12,205)
Net income (loss) per share basic and diluted	0.21	(0.53)	(0.16)

### 3. *Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share*

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, the three months ended September 30, 2007, and the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, when we had publicly traded shares outstanding, net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share is calculated as follows (in thousands, except per-share amounts):



Table of Contents

	Boise Inc.			
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,383	\$ (30,038)	\$ 2,791	\$ 3,059
Weighted average number of common shares for basic net income (loss) per share	77,260	72,419	51,750	27,629
Incremental effect of dilutive common stock equivalents:				
Common stock warrants (a) (b)				
Restricted stock (c)	898			
Restricted stock units (c)	281			
Weighted average number of shares for diluted net income (loss) per share	78,439	72,419	51,750	27,629
Net income (loss) per share basic and diluted (a) (b) (c)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.41)	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.11

- (a) Warrants to purchase 44.4 million shares of common stock for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share because the exercise price exceeded the average market price of our common stock for each respective reporting date.
- (b) Warrants to purchase 44.4 million shares of common stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, and for the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because the warrants were contingently exercisable.
- (c) Restricted stock and restricted stock units for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per share because inclusion of these amounts would be antidilutive.

#### 4. *Transactions With Related Parties*

During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Predecessor participated in Boise Cascade's centralized cash management system. Cash receipts attributable to the Predecessor's operations were collected by Boise Cascade, and cash disbursements were funded by Boise Cascade. The net effect of these transactions has been reflected as

Net equity transactions with related parties in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The following table includes the components of these related-party transactions:

	January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Predecessor Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 (thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Cash collections	\$ (354,222)	\$ (567,015)	\$ (1,720,035)
Payment of accounts payable	336,605	511,749	1,561,691
Capital expenditures and acquisitions	10,168	37,322	106,021

# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Income taxes		217		(208)		1,021
Corporate general and administrative expense allocation		1,995		3,652		10,446
Net equity transactions with related parties	\$	(5,237)	\$	(14,500)	\$	(40,856)

Table of Contents

*Related-Party Sales*

During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Predecessor sold paper and paper products to OfficeMax Incorporated (OfficeMax) at sales prices that were designed to approximate market prices. For the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, sales to OfficeMax were \$90.1 million, \$154.5 million, and \$471.8 million, respectively. During each of these periods, sales to OfficeMax represented 25%, 26%, and 27% of total sales, respectively. These sales are included in Sales, Related parties in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). Subsequent to the Acquisition, OfficeMax is no longer a related party. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, sales to OfficeMax were \$147.4 million and \$357.5 million, or 23% and 24% of total sales.

Boise Inc. and the Predecessor provided transportation services to Boise Cascade. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, Boise Inc. recorded \$1.1 million and \$2.8 million of sales for transportation services. For the Period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Predecessor recorded \$0.6 million, \$1.4 million, and \$3.9 million, respectively, of sales for transportation services. During these same periods, the Predecessor sold \$10.8 million, \$18.4 million, and \$53.6 million of wood to Boise Cascade. These sales are included in Sales, Related parties in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

In connection with the Acquisition, we entered into an outsourcing services agreement under which we provide a number of corporate staff services to Boise Cascade at our cost. These services include information technology, accounting, and human resource services. The initial term of the agreement is for three years. It will automatically renew for one-year terms unless either party provides notice of termination to the other party at least 12 months in advance of the applicable term. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recognized \$3.7 million and \$9.1 million in Sales, Related parties and the same amounts in Cost and expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) related to this agreement.

Subsequent to the Acquisition, Louisiana Timber Procurement Company, L.L.C., a fully consolidated entity, began selling chips and logs to Boise Cascade. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$17.4 million and \$44.1 million of sales to Boise Cascade in Sales, Related parties in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and recorded approximately the same amounts of expenses in Materials, labor, and other operating expenses.

*Related-Party Costs and Expenses*

The Predecessor purchased fiber from related parties at prices that approximated market prices. During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, fiber purchases from related parties were \$7.7 million, \$9.5 million, and \$30.8 million, respectively. Most of these purchases related to chip and log purchases from Boise Cascade's wood products business. All of the costs associated with these purchases were recorded as Fiber costs from related parties in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

During the Predecessor periods, the Predecessor used services and administrative staff of Boise Cascade. These services included, but were not limited to, finance, accounting, legal, information technology, and human resource functions. The costs not specifically identifiable to the Predecessor were allocated based primarily on average sales, assets, and labor costs. These costs are included in General and administrative

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). The Predecessor believes the allocations are a reasonable reflection of its use of the services. However, had the Predecessor operated on a stand-alone basis, it estimates that its Corporate and Other segment would have reported approximately \$2.5 million, \$4.5 million, and \$13.5 million of segment expenses before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization for the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

During the Predecessor periods, some of the Predecessor's employees participated in Boise Cascade's noncontributory defined benefit pension and contributory defined contribution savings plans. The Predecessor treated its participants in the pension plans as participants in multiemployer plans. Accordingly, the Predecessor has not reflected any assets or liabilities related to the plans on the

Table of Contents

Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. The Predecessor, however, recorded costs associated with the employees who participated in these plans in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). For the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Statements of Income (Loss) included \$3.9 million, \$6.1 million, and \$16.8 million, respectively, of expenses attributable to its participation in Boise Cascade's defined benefit and defined contribution plans.

During the Predecessor periods presented, the Predecessor's employees and former employees also participated in Boise Cascade's other postretirement healthcare benefit plans. All of the Predecessor's postretirement healthcare benefit plans were unfunded (see Note 16, Retirement and Benefit Plans). In addition, some of the Predecessor's employees participated in equity compensation programs.

*Note Payable*

In connection with the Acquisition, we issued a \$41.0 million subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade. After the Acquisition, and pursuant to the purchase agreement, the note was amended to increase the amount payable to \$58.3 million effective February 22, 2008. The increase of the note reflects \$17.3 million of postclosing working capital adjustments in Boise Cascade's favor. The note bears interest at 15.75% compounded quarterly. To the extent that interest is not paid in cash, interest will be added to the principal amount of the note. The note matures on August 21, 2015, provided that if such date is more than 181 days after the scheduled maturity date of the indebtedness under our credit agreements, then the maturity date shall automatically be deemed to be 181 days after the latest maturity date of any such indebtedness. We may prepay the note at any time in whole or in part, subject to restrictions contained in our credit agreements.

After the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the note payable to its parent, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., and on June 10, 2008, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., sold the note payable to eight individual parties for \$53.8 million, including accrued interest but before transaction costs. The full amount of the original note, as amended, together with accrued and unpaid interest from March 31, 2008, is now represented by eight separate notes payable, each with terms (other than the amount) identical to the original note payable. Because none of the eight holders of the notes is related to either Boise Inc. or Boise Cascade, we no longer record the notes as related-party notes on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In 2008, prior to the sale of the note, we recorded \$2.8 million of related-party interest expense in *Interest expense* in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). At September 30, 2008, we had \$64.1 million recorded in *Notes payable* on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

**5. *Other (Income) Expense, Net***

*Other (income) expense, net* includes miscellaneous income and expense items. The components of *Other (income) expense, net* in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) are as follows (in thousands):

	Boise Inc.		Predecessor	
Three Months	Nine Months	January 1 Through	Three Months	Nine Months



Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

	Ended September 30, 2008	Ended September 30, 2008	February 21, 2008	Ended September 30, 2007	Ended September 30, 2007
Changes in retiree healthcare programs	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (4,367)
Sales of assets, net	24	4	(941)	(71)	1,271
Closure costs (a)				174	2,211
Other, net	(60)	(164)	(48)	214	318
	\$ (36)	\$ (160)	\$ (989)	\$ 317	\$ (567)

- 
- (a) The three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, included expenses related to the closure of a paper converting facility in Salem, Oregon, which was closed in third quarter 2007.

Table of Contents

During the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, and the three months ended September 30, 2007, Other (income) expense, net was zero.

**6. Income Taxes**

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$2.4 million of income tax benefits. These tax benefits relate primarily to the release of valuation allowances we previously recorded against deferred tax assets. We released these valuation allowances because we determined it is more likely than not that we will use our deferred tax assets to offset deferred tax liabilities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$5.7 million of income tax benefits related to losses incurred during the nine-month period. As a result of significantly completing our purchase price allocation, during the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$12.4 million of deferred tax liabilities. At September 30, 2008, our deferred tax liability, net of deferred tax assets, was \$7.3 million. Because of its pass-through tax structure, the Predecessor recorded tax expense related only to small subsidiaries that are taxed as corporations.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the effective tax rate was not meaningful. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the effective tax benefit rate was 16.05%. The primary reason for the difference from the statutory income tax rate of 38.7% is due to nondeductible permanent items. The effective tax rate for each of the three months ended September 30, 2007, and the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, was 45.5%.

During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the majority of the Predecessor businesses and assets were held and operated by limited liability companies, which are not subject to entity-level federal or state income taxation. For the separate Predecessor subsidiaries that are taxed as corporations, the effective tax rates were 37.6%, 43.3%, and 41.8%, respectively. During these periods, the primary reason for the difference in tax rates is the effect of state income taxes.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash paid for taxes, net of refunds due, was \$1.9 million. During the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, we made \$0.1 million of tax payments. During the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, cash paid for taxes, net of refunds due, was immaterial and \$1.5 million during the Predecessor nine months ended September 30, 2007.

**7. Leases**

We lease our distribution centers, as well as other property and equipment, under operating leases. During the Predecessor periods presented, the Predecessor leased its distribution centers, as well as other property and equipment, under operating leases. For purposes of determining straight-line rent expense, the lease term is calculated from the date of possession of the facility, including any periods of free rent and any option periods that are reasonably assured of being exercised. Straight-line rent expense is also adjusted to reflect any allowances or reimbursements provided by the lessor. Rental expense for operating leases was as follows (in thousands):

# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Boise Inc. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007	January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Predecessor Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Rental expenses	\$ 4,153	\$ 9,298	\$	\$ 2,044	\$ 3,174	\$ 9,969

For noncancelable operating leases with remaining terms of more than one year, the minimum lease payment requirements are \$2.9 million for the remainder of 2008, \$11.6 million in 2009, \$11.1 million in 2010, \$10.3 million in 2011, \$9.7 million in 2012, and \$8.1 million in 2013, with total

Table of Contents

payments thereafter of \$26.0 million. These future minimum lease payment requirements have not been reduced by sublease rentals due in the future under noncancelable subleases. Minimum sublease income received in the future is not expected to be material.

Substantially all lease agreements have fixed payment terms based on the passage of time. Some lease agreements provide us with the option to purchase the leased property. Additionally, some agreements contain renewal options averaging five years, with fixed payment terms similar to those in the original lease agreements.

**8. Receivables**

We have a large, diversified customer base. A large portion of our uncoated freesheet and office paper sales volume is sold to OfficeMax. We (as did the Predecessor) market our newsprint through a subsidiary of Abitibi-Consolidated Inc. (Abitibi) pursuant to an arrangement whereby Abitibi purchases all of the newsprint we produce at a price equal to the price at which Abitibi sells newsprint produced at its mills located in the southern United States, less associated expenses and a sales and marketing discount. Sales to OfficeMax and Abitibi represent concentrations in the volumes of business transacted and concentrations of credit risk. At September 30, 2008, we had \$47.5 million and \$29.3 million of accounts receivable due from OfficeMax and Abitibi, respectively.

**9. Inventories**

Inventories include the following (in thousands):

	Boise Inc.		Predecessor
	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2007
Finished goods and work in process	\$ 202,046	\$	\$ 192,161
Raw materials	61,247		43,686
Supplies and other	71,209		88,832
	<b>\$ 334,502</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 324,679</b>

**10. Property and Equipment, Net**

Property and equipment consisted of the following asset classes (in thousands):

Boise Inc. Predecessor

# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2007
Land and land improvements	\$ 31,875	\$	\$ 31,592
Buildings and improvements	182,042		185,509
Machinery and equipment	1,105,202		1,212,425
Construction in progress	38,836		36,535
	1,357,955		1,466,061
Less accumulated depreciation	(71,505)		(273,717)
	<b>\$ 1,286,450</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 1,192,344</b>

Property and equipment acquired in the Acquisition was recorded at estimated fair value on the date of the Acquisition. We have substantially completed our purchase price allocation of the fair value of property and equipment or assets acquired and liabilities assumed. However, we may have changes to the amount we have included in our allocation up to the one-year anniversary date of the Acquisition (see Note 2, Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations, for more information).

Table of Contents**11. Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price and related costs over the value assigned to the net tangible and intangible assets of businesses acquired. We account for goodwill in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which requires us to assess our acquired goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment at least annually in the absence of an indicator of possible impairment and immediately upon an indicator of possible impairment. We assess goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives in the fourth quarter of each year using a fair-value-based approach. We also evaluate the remaining useful lives of our finite-lived purchased intangible assets to determine whether any adjustments to the useful lives are necessary.

We account for acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting. As a result, we allocate the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values as of the date of acquisition. In accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, we have one year from the purchase date to finalize or receive information to determine changes in estimates of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

We have not allocated any amounts related to the Acquisition to goodwill. Previously recorded goodwill of the Predecessor of approximately \$42.2 million at December 31, 2007, was eliminated as part of the purchase price allocation.

Intangible assets represent the values assigned to trade names and trademarks, customer relationships, and technology in connection with the Acquisition. Customer relationships will be amortized over approximately ten years, and technology will be amortized over five years. Trade names and trademarks are not amortized. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, intangible asset amortization was \$0.8 million and \$1.5 million. During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, intangible asset amortization was zero, \$1.3 million, and \$3.5 million, respectively. Our estimated amortization expense is \$0.5 million for the remainder of 2008, \$2.1 million in 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, and \$1.1 million in 2013. These estimates may change if we receive new information concerning these assets up to the one-year anniversary from the purchase date.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization (thousands)	Net Carrying Amount
Trade names and trademarks	\$ 16,800	\$	\$ 16,800
Customer relationships	13,700	(761)	12,939
Technology	6,860	(739)	6,121
	<b>\$ 37,360</b>	<b>\$ (1,500)</b>	<b>\$ 35,860</b>

Intangible assets of the Predecessor totaling \$24.0 million at December 31, 2007, were eliminated as part of the purchase price allocations.

**12. Asset Retirement Obligations**

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

We account for asset retirement obligations in accordance with SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation (FIN) No. 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143*. We accrue for asset retirement obligations in the period in which they are incurred if sufficient information is available to reasonably estimate the fair value of the obligation. When we record the liability, we capitalize the cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is accreted to its settlement value, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, we will recognize a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2008, we had \$13.9 million of asset retirement obligations recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. At December 31, 2007, the Predecessor had \$13.3 million of asset retirement obligations recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. These liabilities related primarily to landfill closure and closed-site monitoring costs. These liabilities are based on the best estimate of current costs and are updated periodically to reflect current technology, laws and regulations, inflation, and other economic factors. No assets are legally restricted for purposes of settling asset retirement obligations. The table below describes changes to the asset retirement obligations for Boise Inc. for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, and the Predecessor's year ended December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	<b>Boise Inc. September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Predecessor December 31, 2007</b>
Asset retirement obligation at beginning of period	\$	\$ 10,771
Asset retirement liability recorded in preliminary purchase price allocation	13,655	
Liabilities incurred		
Accretion expense	652	869
Payments	(450)	(37)
Revisions in estimated cash flows		1,700
Asset retirement obligation at end of period	<b>\$ 13,857</b>	<b>\$ 13,303</b>

We have additional asset retirement obligations with indeterminate settlement dates. The fair value of these asset retirement obligations cannot be estimated due to the lack of sufficient information to estimate the settlement dates of the obligations. These asset retirement obligations include, for example, (i) removal and disposal of potentially hazardous materials related to equipment and/or an operating facility if the equipment and/or facilities were to undergo major maintenance, renovation, or demolition; (ii) wastewater treatment ponds that may be required to be drained and/or cleaned if the related operating facility is closed; and (iii) storage sites or owned facilities for which removal and/or disposal of chemicals and other related materials are required if the operating facility is closed. We will recognize a liability in the period in which sufficient information becomes available to reasonably estimate the fair value of these obligations.

**13. Debt**

At September 30, 2008, our long-term debt and the interest rates on that debt were as follows:

	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	
	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Interest Rate</b>
	<b>(thousands)</b>	
Revolving credit facility, due 2013	\$ 65,000	7.00%
Tranche A term loan, due 2013	246,875	7.06%
Tranche B term loan, due 2014	472,625	7.50%
Second lien term loan, due 2015	260,700	11.00%
Current portion of long-term debt	(14,125)	7.21%



## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Long-term debt, less current portion	1,031,075	8.25%
Current portion of long-term debt	14,125	7.21%
	1,045,200	
15.75% notes payable, due 2015	64,083	15.75%
	<b>\$ 1,109,283</b>	

Boise Inc. and the Predecessor had no short- or long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

*Senior Secured Credit Facilities*

Our senior secured credit facilities consist of:

- A five-year nonamortizing \$250.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility with interest at either the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 325 basis points or a calculated base rate plus 325 basis points (the Revolving Credit Facility and collectively with the Tranche A Term Loan Facility and the Tranche B Term Loan Facility, the First Lien Facilities);
- A five-year amortizing \$250.0 million senior secured Tranche A Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 325 basis points or a calculated base rate plus 325 basis points (the Tranche A Term Loan Facility);
- A six-year amortizing \$475.0 million senior secured Tranche B Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points (subject to a floor of 4.00%) or a calculated base rate plus 250 basis points (the Tranche B Term Loan Facility); and
- A seven-year nonamortizing \$260.7 million Second Lien Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 700 basis points (subject to a floor of 5.50%) or a calculated base rate plus 600 basis points (the Second Lien Facility and together with the First Lien Facilities, the Credit Facilities).

All borrowings under the Credit Facilities bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus a customary base rate or Eurodollar rate. The base rate means, for any day, a rate per annum equal to the greater of (i) the Prime Rate in effect on such day and (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate in effect on such day plus 0.50%. In addition to paying interest, the Company pays a commitment fee to the lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility at a rate of 0.50% per annum (which shall be reduced to 0.375% when the leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1.00) times the daily average undrawn portion of the Revolving Credit Facility (reduced by the amount of letters of credit issued and outstanding), which fee will accrue from the Acquisition closing date and shall be payable quarterly in arrears. At September 30, 2008, we had \$65.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the average interest rate for our borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility was 5.95%. The minimum and maximum borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility were zero and \$80.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The weighted average amount of borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, was \$60.2 million. At September 30, 2008, we had availability of \$158.1 million, which is net of outstanding letters of credit of \$26.9 million, above the amount we had borrowed.

The loan documentation for the Credit Facilities contains, among other terms, representations and warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnification customary for loan agreements for similar leveraged acquisition financings, and other representations and warranties, covenants, and events of default deemed by the administrative agent of the First Lien Facilities or the Second Lien Facility, as applicable, to be appropriate for the specific transaction. The First Lien Facilities require BZ Intermediate Holdings LLC (Holdings), a wholly owned consolidated entity of Boise Inc. and the parent company of Boise Paper Holdings, L.L.C. (the Borrower), and its subsidiaries to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio, the Second Lien Facility requires Holdings and its subsidiaries to maintain a maximum leverage ratio, and the Credit Facilities limit the ability of Holdings and its subsidiaries to make capital expenditures.

*Guarantees*

The Company's obligations under its Credit Facilities are guaranteed by each of the Borrower's existing and subsequently acquired domestic (and, to the extent no material adverse tax consequences to Holdings or Borrower would result therefrom and as reasonably requested by the administrative agent under each Credit Facility, foreign) subsidiaries and Holdings (collectively, the Guarantors). The First Lien Facilities are

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of the real, personal, and mixed property of Borrower and the Guarantors, including a first-priority security interest in 100% of the equity interests of Borrower and each domestic subsidiary of Holdings, 65% of the equity interests of each of Holdings' foreign subsidiaries (other than Boise Hong Kong Limited so long as Boise Hong Kong Limited does not account for more than \$2.5 million of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) during any fiscal year of Borrower), and all intercompany debt.

Table of Contents

The Second Lien Facility is secured by a second-priority security interest in substantially all of the real, personal, and mixed property of Borrower and the Guarantors, including a second-priority security interest in 100% of the equity interests of Borrower and each domestic subsidiary of Holdings, 65% of the equity interests of each of Holdings' foreign subsidiaries (other than Boise Hong Kong Limited so long as Boise Hong Kong Limited does not account for more than \$2.5 million of consolidated EBITDA during any fiscal year of Borrower), and all intercompany debt.

*Prepayments*

In the event all or any portion of the Tranche B Term Loan Facility is repaid pursuant to any voluntary prepayments or mandatory prepayments with respect to asset sale proceeds or proceeds received from the issuance of debt prior to the second anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, such repayments will be made at (a) 102.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs prior to the first anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and (b) 101.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the first anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the second anniversary of the Acquisition closing date.

Subject to the provisions of the intercreditor agreement between the First Lien Facility and the Second Lien Facility, in the event the Second Lien Facility is prepaid as a result of a voluntary or mandatory prepayment (other than as a result of a mandatory prepayment with respect to insurance/condemnation proceeds or excess cash flow) at any time prior to the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, Borrower shall pay a prepayment premium equal to the make-whole premium described below.

At any time after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, subject to the provisions of the First Lien Facilities, the Second Lien Facility may be prepaid in whole or in part subject to the call premium described below, provided that loans bearing interest with reference to the reserve-adjusted Eurodollar rate will be prepayable only on the last day of the related interest period unless Borrower pays any related breakage costs.

With respect to a Second Lien Facility loan on any date of prepayment, the make-whole premium means the present value of (a) all required interest payments due on such Second Lien Facility loan from the date of prepayment through and including the make-whole termination date, excluding accrued interest (assuming that the interest rate applicable to all such interest is the LIBOR swap rate at the close of business on the third business day prior to the date of such prepayment with the termination date nearest to the make-whole termination date plus 7.00%) plus (b) the prepayment premium that would be due if such prepayment were made on the day after the make-whole termination date, in each case discounted to the date of prepayment on a quarterly basis (assuming a 360-day year and actual days elapsed) at a rate equal to the sum of such swap rate plus 0.50%.

The call premium means that in the event all or any portion of the Second Lien Facility is repaid as a result of a voluntary prepayment or mandatory prepayment with respect to asset sale proceeds or proceeds received from the issuance of debt after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, such repayments will be made at (i) 105.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the fourth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, (ii) 103.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the fourth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the fifth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, and (iii) 101.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the fifth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date.

*Other Provisions*

Subject to specified exceptions, the Credit Facilities require that the proceeds from certain asset sales, casualty insurance, certain debt issuances, and 75% (subject to step-downs based on certain leverage ratios) of the excess cash flow for each fiscal year must be used to pay down outstanding borrowings. Required debt principal repayments under the Credit Facilities, excluding those from excess cash flows, total \$2.8 million for the balance of 2008, \$15.7 million in 2009, \$26.6 million in 2010, \$48.5 million in 2011, \$134.4 million in 2012, and \$817.2 million thereafter.

Table of Contents

*Notes Payable*

In connection with the Acquisition, Boise Inc. issued a \$41.0 million subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade. After the Acquisition, and pursuant to the purchase agreement, the note was amended to increase the amount payable to \$58.3 million effective February 22, 2008. The increase of the note reflects \$17.3 million of postclosing working capital adjustments in Boise Cascade's favor. With the exception of our subsidiaries that are party to the Credit and Guaranty Agreement dated as of February 22, 2008, each of our current and future domestic subsidiaries are joint and several obligors under this note, as reflected by a Subordinated Guaranty Agreement, which guarantees our obligations under the note.

After the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the note payable to its parent, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., and on June 10, 2008, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., sold the note payable to eight individual parties for \$53.8 million, including accrued interest but before transaction costs. The full amount of the original note, as amended, together with accrued and unpaid interest from March 31, 2008, is now represented by eight separate notes payable, each with terms (other than the amount) identical to the original note payable. Because none of the eight holders of the notes is related to either Boise Inc. or Boise Cascade, we no longer record the notes as related-party notes on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The notes bear interest at 15.75% per annum (computed on the basis of a 360-day year) payable quarterly (each such quarterly payment date, an Interest Payment Date). To the extent interest is not paid in cash, interest will be added to the principal amount of the notes on each Interest Payment Date. The notes mature on August 21, 2015, provided that if such date is more than 181 days after the scheduled maturity date of the indebtedness under the Credit Facilities, then the maturity date shall automatically be deemed to be 181 days after the latest maturity date of any such indebtedness. At maturity, the amount of the notes will be approximately \$185.6 million, assuming none of the interest has been paid in cash.

We may prepay the notes at any time in whole or in part, without premium or penalty, subject to any restrictions contained in the senior credit facilities. We must prepay the notes upon the occurrence of the following events: (i) a Change of Control (as defined in the Credit Facilities), (ii) a sale or transfer of 50% or more of the company's assets, and (iii) Events of Default (as provided in the notes). We must use the proceeds from the sale of equity or debt securities or borrowings to repay the notes, subject to any restrictions contained in our senior credit facilities.

*Other*

At September 30, 2008, we had \$75.4 million of costs recorded in *Deferred financing costs* on our Consolidated Balance Sheet related to the Acquisition. The amortization of these costs is recorded in interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the loans. We recorded \$3.5 million and \$6.5 million of amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, in *Interest expense* in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

In April 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk as required under the terms of the First Lien Facilities. At September 30, 2008, our average effective interest rate was not affected by our interest rate derivatives, as the effective cap rates were above the interest rates on the hedged debt. For additional information on our interest rate derivatives, see Note 14, Financial Instruments.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash payments for interest, net of interest capitalized, were \$51.6 million. No payments were made during the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, or the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

**14. Financial Instruments**

We are exposed to market risks including changes in interest rates, energy prices, and foreign currency exchange rates. We employ a variety of practices to manage these risks, including operating and financing activities and, where deemed appropriate, the use of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments are used only for risk management purposes and not for speculation or trading. Derivatives are such that a specific debt instrument, contract, or anticipated purchase determines the amount, maturity, and other specifics of the hedge. If a derivative contract is entered into, we either determine that it is an economic hedge or we designate the derivative as a cash flow or fair value hedge. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedged transactions. For those derivatives designated as cash flow or fair value hedges, we formally assess, both at the derivatives inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items. The ineffective portion of hedging transactions is recognized in income (loss).

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended, we record all derivative instruments as assets or liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. The fair value of these instruments is determined by third parties. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded in either Net income (loss) or Other comprehensive income (loss), as appropriate. The gain or loss on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges is included in Other comprehensive income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur and is reclassified to income (loss) in the period in which the hedged item affects income (loss), and any ineffectiveness is recognized currently in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). The fair value of the hedged exposure is presumed to be the market value of the hedge instrument when critical terms match. The gain or loss on derivatives designated as fair value hedges and the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are included in income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur. The gain or loss on derivatives that have not been designated as hedging instruments is included in income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur.

*Interest Rate Risk*

With the exception of the 15.75% notes payable, maturing in August 2015, our debt is variable-rate debt. At September 30, 2008, the estimated value of the notes payable, based on then-current interest rates for similar obligations with like maturities, was approximately \$6.1 million less than the amount recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In April 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk as required under the terms of the First Lien Facilities. We purchased interest rate caps with a term of three years and a cap rate of 5.50% on a notional amount of \$260.0 million to hedge the interest rate on our Second Lien Facility. We also purchased interest rate caps to hedge part of the interest rate risk on our Tranche B Term Loan Facility with a LIBOR cap rate of 5.00% on a notional amount of \$425.0 million for the period of April 21, 2008, through March 31, 2009; a notional amount of \$350.0 million for the period of March 31, 2009, through March 31, 2010; and a notional amount of \$300.0 million for the period of March 31, 2010, through March 31, 2011.

*Second Lien Facility.* We account for the interest rate derivatives with a notional amount of \$260.0 million that hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our Second Lien Facility as economic hedges. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the interest rate derivatives, or \$0.8 million, in Other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in fair value of these



derivatives, or \$0.3 million of expense and \$0.2 million of income, in Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$0.1 million in Interest expense for the amortization of the premiums paid for the interest rate derivatives.

*First Lien Facility.* We account for the interest rate derivatives that hedge part of the interest rate risk on our Tranche B Term Loan Facility as cash flow hedges. These derivatives have a cap rate of 5.00% on a notional amount of \$425.0 million for the period of April 21, 2008, through March 31, 2009; a

Table of Contents

notional amount of \$350.0 million for the period of March 31, 2009, through March 31, 2010; and a notional amount of \$300.0 million for the period of March 31, 2010, through March 31, 2011. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the interest rate derivatives, or \$1.5 million, in Other assets. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in the fair value of these derivatives, or a \$0.5 million loss, in Accumulated other comprehensive income on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. No amounts were reclassified to interest expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million in Interest expense for the amortization of the premiums paid for the interest rate derivatives. At September 30, 2008, there was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges.

*Energy Risk*

We enter into natural gas swaps, options, or a combination of these instruments to hedge the variable cash flow risk of natural gas purchases at index prices. As of September 30, 2008, we had entered into derivative instruments related to approximately 72%, 70%, and 62% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for the months of October 2008, November 2008, and December 2008, respectively. We also entered into derivative instruments related to approximately 54% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for the period of January through February 2009, approximately 51% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for March 2009, and approximately 37% of our forecasted natural gas purchases from April 2009 through October 2009. These derivatives include three-way collars, caps, call spreads, and swaps.

A three-way collar is a combination of options: a written put, a purchased call, and a written call. The purchased call establishes a maximum price unless the market price exceeds the written call, at which point the maximum price would be New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) price less the difference between the purchased call and the written call strike price. The written put establishes a minimum price (the floor) for the volumes under contract. The following table summarizes our position related to these instruments as of September 30, 2008:

		Three-Way Cashless Collar			
	October 2008	October 2008 Through March 2009	November 2008 Through March 2009	April 2009 Through October 2009	April 2009 Through October 2009
Volume hedged	1,000 mmBtu/day	1,000 mmBtu/day	1,000 mmBtu/day	1,000 mmBtu/day	3,000 mmBtu/day
Strike price of call sold	\$ 13.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 12.00
Strike price of call bought	10.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	9.00
Strike price of put sold	6.00	10.05	6.38	6.50	6.50
3-way collar premium				0.095	0.287
Approximate percent hedged	3%	2%	2%	3%	8%

A cap is a purchased call option that establishes a maximum price we will pay for the volumes under contract, plus the applicable cap premium. The following table summarizes our position related to these instruments as of September 30, 2008:

# Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

		Caps	
		October 2008	November 2008 Through December 2008
Volume hedged		8,000 mmBtu/day	8,000 mmBtu/day
Strike price of call bought	\$	10.50	\$ 10.50
Cap premium		1.79	1.79
Approximate percent hedged		21%	18%

Table of Contents

A call spread is a combination of a purchased call and a written call. The purchased call establishes a maximum price unless the market exceeds the written call, at which point the maximum price would be the NYMEX price, less the difference between the purchased call and the written call strike price, plus any applicable net premium associated with the two options. The following table summarizes our position related to these instruments as of September 30, 2008:

Call Spreads				
	October 2008	November 2008 Through December 2008	January 2009 Through March 2009	April 2009 Through October 2009
Volume hedged	10,000 mmBtu/day	14,000 mmBtu/day	21,000 mmBtu/day	10,000 mmBtu/day
Strike price of call sold	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00
Strike price of call bought	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50
Net cap premium	2.14	2.16	1.27	0.84
Approximate percent hedged	26%	31%	47%	26%

A swap fixes the price of the volumes under contract by paying our counterparty a fixed price for such volumes and receiving the variable NYMEX price for each month from our counterparty. The following table summarizes our position related to fixed price swap instruments as of September 30, 2008:

Swaps				
	October 2008	November 2008	December 2008	January 2009 Through February 2009
Volume hedged	7,500 mmBtu/day	7,500 mmBtu/day	4,000 mmBtu/day	1,500 mmBtu/day
Fixed price	\$ 8.10	\$ 8.33	\$ 8.19	\$ 8.07
Approximate percent hedged	20%	17%	9%	3%

We have elected to account for these instruments as economic hedges. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the derivatives, or \$7.4 million, in Accrued liabilities, Other on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in fair value of the instruments, or \$11.3 million and \$7.5 million of expense, in Materials, labor, and operating expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

*Foreign Currency Risk*

While we are exposed to foreign currency risk in our operations, none of this risk was material to our financial position or results of operations as of September 30, 2008.



Table of Contents*Predecessor*

During the Predecessor periods presented, Boise Cascade occasionally used interest rate swaps to hedge variable interest rate risk. Because debt and interest costs were not allocated to the Predecessor, the effects of the interest rate swaps were not included in the Predecessor consolidated financial statements.

*Fair Value Measurements*

In accordance with the provisions of FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2 (see Note 15, New and Recently Adopted Accounting Standards), we have applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 only to our financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, which consist of financial instruments that are used to hedge exposures to interest rate and energy risks. For these financial instruments, fair value is determined at each balance sheet date based on LIBOR rates and interest rate curves and NYMEX price quotations, respectively, under the terms of the contracts using current market information as of the reporting date. The following table provides a summary of the inputs used to develop these estimated fair values under the hierarchy defined in SFAS No. 157:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2008, Using:				
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	Total	(thousands)		
Assets:				
Interest rate derivatives (a)	\$ 2,280	\$	\$ 2,280	\$
	\$ 2,280	\$	\$ 2,280	\$
Liabilities:				
Energy derivatives (b)	\$ 7,350	\$	\$ 7,350	\$
	\$ 7,350	\$	\$ 7,350	\$

(a) Includes \$2.3 million recorded in Other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(b) Includes \$7.4 million recorded in Accrued Liabilities, Other on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of September 30, 2008, we did not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

**15. New and Recently Adopted Accounting Standards**

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*, which clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 became effective on October 10, 2008, and its adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform with the provisions of this staff position. Early application is not permitted. We currently do not have any share-

Table of Contents

based awards that would qualify as participating securities. Therefore, application of this FSP is not expected to have an effect on our financial position, results of operations or earnings per share.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. SFAS No. 162 defines the order in which accounting principles that are generally accepted should be followed. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This new guidance also provides additional disclosure requirements related to recognized intangible assets. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in 2009. Early adoption is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities to enable investors to better understand their effects on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. These requirements include the disclosure of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses in a tabular format. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in 2009. Early adoption of SFAS No. 161 is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 161 will have on our consolidated financial statement disclosures.

In January 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. The statement established a framework for measuring fair value, and it enhanced the disclosures for fair value measurements. The statement applies when other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements, but it does not require new fair value measurements. In accordance with the standard, in Note 14, Financial Instruments, we expanded our disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with the provisions of FSP No. 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, we have elected to defer implementation of SFAS No. 157 as it relates to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized and disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until January 1, 2009. We do not expect the implementation to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51*. These new standards will significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. SFAS Nos. 141(R) and 160 are required to be adopted simultaneously and are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Thus, we are required to adopt these standards on January 1, 2009. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The impact of adopting these standards will be limited to business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009.

**16. Retirement and Benefit Plans**



During the periods presented, some of our employees participated in our retirement plans, and some of the Predecessor's employees participated in Boise Cascade's retirement plans. These plans consist of noncontributory defined benefit pension plans, contributory defined contribution savings plans, deferred compensation plans, and postretirement healthcare benefit plans. Compensation expense was calculated based on costs directly attributable to our employees and, in the case of the Predecessor employees of the Paper Group, an allocation of expense related to corporate employees that serviced all Boise Cascade business units.

Table of Contents*Obligations and Funded Status of Postretirement Benefits and Pensions*

The Predecessor treated participants in its pension plans as participants in multiemployer plans; accordingly, the Predecessor has not reflected any assets or liabilities related to the noncontributory defined benefit pension plans on its Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. In connection with the Acquisition, we have treated the pension and postretirement benefit plans as company-sponsored plans and have measured the benefit obligation and funded status as of February 22, 2008. The funded status changes from period to period based on the investment return from plan assets, contributions, benefit payments, and the discount rate used to measure the obligation.

*Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost*

The components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>January 1 Through February 21, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>	
Service cost	\$ 2,697	\$ 6,526	\$ 1,566	\$ 3,136	\$ 8,966	
Interest cost	6,101	14,764	3,458	5,822	16,868	
Expected return on plan assets	(5,959)	(14,422)	(3,452)	(5,953)	(17,192)	
Recognized actuarial (gain) loss			(21)	69	203	
Amortization of prior service costs and other			194	318	867	
Plan curtailment gain					(46)	
Company-sponsored plans	2,839	6,868	1,745	3,392	9,666	
Multiemployer pension plans	97	233	75			
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 2,936	\$ 7,101	\$ 1,820	\$ 3,392	\$ 9,666	

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Other Benefits</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>January 1 Through February 21, 2008</b>	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>	
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$	\$ (9)	\$ 75	
Interest cost	10	26	18	8	231	
Expected return on plan assets						
Recognized actuarial (gain) loss			(12)	(34)	(34)	
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 11	\$ 27	\$ 6	\$ (35)	\$ 272	

During the remainder of 2008, we are not required to make minimum contributions to our pension plans.

**17. Stockholders Equity**

*Preferred Stock*

The Company is authorized to issue 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such designations, voting, and other rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by the board of directors. No shares were issued or outstanding at September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

*Common Stock*

We are authorized to issue 250,000,000 shares of common stock, of which 79,722,147 shares were issued and outstanding at September 30, 2008. Of these shares outstanding, 2,462,200 shares were restricted stock (discussed below). At December 31, 2007, we had 51,750,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, of which 16,555,860 shares were subject to possible conversion.

On February 5, 2008, stockholders owning 12,543,778 shares exercised their conversion rights and voted against the Acquisition of Boise Cascade. Such stockholders were entitled to receive their per-share interest in the proceeds from our initial public offering, which had been held in trust. At December 31, 2007, cash held in trust was \$403,989,389. In connection with the Acquisition, we paid \$120,169,890 from our cash held in trust to these stockholders. The remaining cash held in trust was used to effect the Acquisition.

*Warrants*

In connection with our public offering in June 2007, we issued 41,400,000 units (the Units). Each Unit consists of one share of our common stock and one Redeemable Common Stock Purchase Warrant (the Warrants). Each Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.50, commencing on the later of the completion of a business combination and one year from the effective date of the public offering and expiring four years from the effective date of the public offering. We may redeem the Warrants, at a price of \$0.01 per Warrant, upon 30 days' notice while the Warrants are exercisable, only in the event that the last sale price of the common stock is at least \$14.25 per share for any 20 trading days within a 30-trading-day period ending on the third day prior to the date on which notice of redemption is given. In June 2008, we filed a posteffective amendment on Form S-1 to register the shares of our common stock covered by these warrants.

Simultaneously with the consummation of the public offering, Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp.'s chairman and chief executive officer privately purchased a total of 3,000,000 Warrants (the Insider Warrants) at \$1.00 per Warrant (for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,000,000). The amount paid for the Warrants approximated fair value on the date of issuance. All of the proceeds received from these purchases were placed in cash held in trust. The Insider Warrants purchased were identical to the Warrants underlying the Units issued in the public offering except that the Warrants may not be called for redemption and the Insider Warrants may be exercisable on a cashless basis, at the holder's option, so long as such securities are held by such purchaser or his affiliates. At September 30, 2008, including 3,000,000 Insider Warrants, 44,400,000 Warrants were outstanding.

*Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units*

In May 2008, pursuant to the Boise Inc. Incentive and Performance Plan (the Plan), we granted to directors and members of management 2.5 million shares of restricted stock and 0.6 million restricted stock units (collectively restricted stock). The restricted stock grants include both service-condition vesting and market-condition vesting awards. Of the 3.1 million shares of restricted stock granted, 1.2 million were service-condition vesting awards, and 1.9 million were market-condition vesting awards. The weighted-average grant-date fair values of the restricted stock were \$4.16 per share for the service-condition vesting awards and \$1.75 per share for the market-condition vesting awards. We account for awards granted under the Plan in our consolidated financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R).

In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), we evaluate share-based compensation on a quarterly basis based on our estimate of expected restricted stock forfeiture, review of recent forfeiture activity, and expected future turnover. We recognize the effect of adjusting the forfeiture rate for all expense amortization in the period that we change the forfeiture estimate. The effect of forfeiture adjustments during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, was zero.

*Market-Condition Vesting Awards*

Members of management were granted 1.9 million shares of restricted stock, which are subject to market-based vesting restrictions, as described below. Of this 1.9 million, 0.7 million will vest on February 28, 2011, if the closing price of Boise Inc. stock has been at least \$10 per share for at least

Table of Contents

20 trading days in any period of 30 consecutive trading days between the grant date and February 28, 2011. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of these awards was \$2.03 per share. The remaining 1.2 million shares of the restricted stock grants will vest on February 28, 2011, if the closing price of Boise Inc. stock has been at least \$12.50 per share for at least 20 trading days in any period of 30 consecutive trading days between the grant date and February 28, 2011. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of these awards was \$1.57 per share. Any shares not vested on February 28, 2011, will be forfeited. Additionally, members of management must be employed by Boise Inc. on February 28, 2011, to receive these awards.

*Service-Condition Vesting Awards*

Directors and members of management were granted awards of 0.4 million and 0.8 million shares of restricted stock subject to service-condition vesting. The restricted stock granted to directors vests on February 28, 2009, whereas all other grants subject to service-condition vesting restrictions vest as follows: one-third of the service-condition portion of the grant shall vest on each of February 28, 2009, February 28, 2010, and February 28, 2011, subject to EBITDA goals. Any shares not vested on or before February 28, 2011, will be forfeited.

*Compensation Expense*

We accrue compensation expense for the restricted stock based on the fair value on the date of the grant, as described below. Compensation expense is recognized ratably over the vesting period for the restricted stock grants that vest over time and ratably over the award period for the restricted stock grants that vest based on the closing price of Boise Inc. stock, as discussed above. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recognized \$1.2 million and \$1.9 million of compensation expense. Most of these costs were recorded in General and administrative expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

*Fair Value Measurement*

SFAS No. 123(R) requires different valuation calculations for equity grants that have a service condition and equity grants that have a market condition. The fair value of service-condition restricted stock is determined based on the number of shares or units granted and the quoted price of our stock at the date of grant and is expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The fair value on the date of grant of these restricted stock grants was \$4.16 per share. Compensation expense is adjusted if the service condition is not met.

The equity grants that vest based on the stock price of Boise Inc. are market-condition grants under the requirements of SFAS No. 123(R). The standard requires that the valuation of market-condition awards consider the likelihood that the market condition will be satisfied rather than assuming that the award is vested on the award date. Because the market-based restrictions represent a more difficult threshold to meet before payout, with greater uncertainty that the market condition will be satisfied, these awards have a lower fair value than those that vest based solely on the passage of time. However, compensation expense is required to be recognized under SFAS No. 123(R) for an award regardless of when, if ever, the market condition is satisfied. We determined the fair value on the date of grant of the market-condition awards that vest based on the stock price of Boise Inc. at \$10

per share and \$12.50 per share to be approximately \$2.03 per share and \$1.57 per share, respectively. The fair value of market-condition restricted stock or units is estimated at the grant date using a Monte Carlo simulation. We assumed a risk-free rate of 2.59%, an expected stock volatility of 58.60%, and a stock price for Boise Inc. s common shares of \$4.16 per share. The \$4.16 per share value is based on Boise Inc. s closing stock price on the date of grant. Expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period.

The following summarizes the activity of our outstanding service- and market-condition restricted stock and units awarded under the Plan as of September 30, 2008, and changes during the period ended September 30, 2008:

Table of Contents

	Service-Condition Vesting Awards			Market-Condition Vesting Awards		
	Number of Shares (thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Aggregate Fair Value (a) (thousands)	Number of Shares (thousands)	Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Aggregate Fair Value (a) (thousands)
Outstanding at May 2, 2008 (b)		\$	\$		\$	\$
Granted	1,185	4.16	4,927	1,929	1.75	3,368
Vested						
Forfeited	(6)	4.16	(23)	(13)	1.75	(23)
Outstanding at September 30, 2008 (b)(c)	<b>1,179</b>	<b>\$ 4.16</b>	<b>\$ 4,904</b>	<b>1,916</b>	<b>\$ 1.75</b>	<b>\$ 3,345</b>

(a) Represents the value of Boise Inc. stock on the date that the restricted stock vests.

(b) Outstanding units are the same as nonvested units.

(c) The remaining weighted-average contractual term is approximately 2.2 years.

At September 30, 2008, we had approximately \$3.4 million and \$2.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested service-condition and market-condition restricted stock grants, respectively, under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized generally over a weighted average period of 2.0 years and 2.4 years for the service-condition and market-condition awards, respectively. In accordance with SFAS No. 123 (R), unrecognized compensation expense is calculated net of estimated forfeitures of \$0.2 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recognized \$1.2 million and \$1.9 million of compensation expense, of which \$0.8 million and \$1.4 million related to the grant-date fair value of service-condition awards vested through September 30, 2008, and \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million related to the market-condition awards that generally vest on February 28, 2011.

*Dividends*

In June 2007, our board of directors authorized stock dividends of 0.5 million shares of common stock and 0.2 million shares of common stock for each outstanding share of common stock at June 12, 2007, and June 19, 2007, respectively.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date. The payment of cash dividends in the future is dependent upon our revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements, and general financial condition subsequent to the Acquisition. The payment of any dividends is within the discretion of our board of directors, subject to the limitations of our credit facilities.



*Predecessor*

During the Predecessor periods presented, equity compensation was granted to the Predecessor's employees under Boise Cascade's equity compensation plans. During the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Predecessor recognized \$0.2 million, \$0.4 million, and \$1.2 million of compensation expense, most of which was recorded in "General and administrative expenses" in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

[Table of Contents](#)

# 18. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes the following (in thousands):

	Boise Inc.					Predecessor	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007	January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,383	\$ 2,791	\$ (30,038)	\$ 3,059	\$ 22,786	\$ 50,218	\$ 88,744
Other comprehensive income (loss) cash flow hedges, net of income taxes	(332)		178				9,376
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 4,051	\$ 2,791	\$ (29,860)	\$ 3,059	\$ 22,786	\$ 50,218	\$ 98,120

# 19. Segment Information

There are no material differences in Boise Inc.'s basis of segmentation or in Boise Inc.'s basis of measurement of segment profit or loss from those disclosed in the Predecessor's Note 15, Segment Information, of the Predecessor's Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Exhibit 99.2 of Boise Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008.

An analysis of operations by segment is as follows:

## Boise Inc.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Trade	Related Parties	Sales Inter-segment	Total (millions)	Income (Loss) Before Taxes	Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion	EBITDA (b)
Paper	\$ 412.9	\$	\$ 18.1	\$ 431.0	\$ 25.3	\$ 24.1	\$ 49.4
Packaging	194.4	17.4	1.1	212.9	10.1	6.3	16.4
Corporate and Other	3.6	4.8	12.3	20.7	(5.8)	1.0	(4.7)
	610.9	22.2	31.5	664.6	29.6	31.4	61.1
			(31.5)	(31.5)			

Intersegment eliminations										
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives							(0.3)			
Interest expense							(27.5)			
Interest income							0.2			
	\$	610.9	\$	22.2	\$	\$	633.1	\$	2.0	\$ 31.4 \$ 61.1

Table of Contents
**Boise Inc.**

Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Sales				Income (Loss)	Depre- ciation, Amorti- zation,	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)	Before Taxes	and Depletion	
Paper	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Packaging							
Corporate and Other					(0.1)		(0.1)
					(0.1)		(0.1)
Intersegment eliminations							
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives							
Interest expense							
Interest income					5.2		
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5.1	\$	\$ (0.1)

**Boise Inc.**

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	Sales				Income (Loss)	Depre- ciation, Amorti- zation,	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)	Before Taxes	and Depletion	
Paper	\$ 971.6	\$	\$ 42.5	\$ 1,014.1	\$ 45.0	\$ 50.1	\$ 95.1
Packaging	443.1	44.1	2.7	489.9	(5.0)	24.5	19.5
Corporate and Other	8.8	11.9	29.1	49.8	(13.1)	2.3	(10.8)
	1,423.5	56.0	74.3	1,553.8	26.9	76.9	103.8
Intersegment eliminations			(74.3)	(74.3)			
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives					0.2		
Interest expense					(65.1)		
Interest income					2.2		
	\$ 1,423.5	\$ 56.0	\$	\$ 1,479.5	\$ (35.8)	\$ 76.9	\$ 103.8

[Table of Contents](#)
**Boise Inc.**

February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007	Sales				Income  (Loss) Before Taxes	Depre- ciation, Amorti-  zation, and Depletion	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)			
Paper	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Packaging							
Corporate and							
Other					(0.2)		(0.2)
					(0.2)		(0.2)
Intersegment eliminations							
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives							
Interest expense							
Interest income					5.8		
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5.6	\$	\$ (0.2)

**Predecessor**

January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Sales				Income (Loss)  Before Taxes	Depre- ciation, Amorti- zation,  and Depletion	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)			
Paper	\$ 154.4	\$ 90.0	\$ 9.1	\$ 253.5	\$ 20.7	\$ 0.3	\$ 21.1
Packaging	102.2	10.9	0.4	113.5	5.7	0.1	5.7
Corporate and							
Other	1.8	0.6	6.1	8.5	(3.2)	0.1	(3.1)
	258.4	101.5	15.6	375.5	23.2	0.5	23.7
Intersegment eliminations			(15.6)	(15.6)			
Interest expense							
Interest income					0.2		
	\$ 258.4	\$ 101.5	\$	\$ 359.9	\$ 23.4	\$ 0.5	\$ 23.7

Table of Contents**Predecessor**

Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Sales				Income (Loss)	Depre- ciation, Amorti- zation,	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)	Before Taxes	and Depletion	
Paper	\$ 231.6	\$ 154.5	\$ 16.0	\$ 402.1	\$ 50.1	\$ 12.0	\$ 62.1
Packaging	173.7	18.4	0.9	193.0	4.2	10.3	14.5
Corporate and Other	4.1	1.4	9.7	15.2	(3.0)	0.7	(2.3)
	409.4	174.3	26.6	610.3	51.3	23.0	74.3
Intersegment eliminations			(26.6)	(26.6)			
Interest income					0.2		
	\$ 409.4	\$ 174.3	\$	\$ 583.7	\$ 51.5	\$ 23.0	\$ 74.3

**Predecessor**

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007	Sales				Income (Loss)	Depre- ciation, Amorti- zation,	EBITDA (b)
	Trade	Related Parties	Inter- segment	Total (millions)	Before Taxes	and Depletion	
Paper	\$ 680.6	\$ 471.8	\$ 45.9	\$ 1,198.3	\$ 81.9	\$ 44.7	\$ 126.6
Packaging	524.4	53.6	1.9	579.9	14.6	37.6	52.2
Corporate and Other	10.8	3.9	28.8	43.5	(5.3)(a)	2.2	(3.0)(a)
	1,215.8	529.3	76.6	1,821.7	91.2	84.5	175.8
Intersegment eliminations			(76.6)	(76.6)			
Interest income					0.5		
	\$ 1,215.8	\$ 529.3	\$	\$ 1,745.1	\$ 91.7	\$ 84.5	\$ 175.8

(a) The nine months ended September 30, 2007, include a \$4.4 million gain for changes in retiree healthcare programs.

(b) EBITDA represents income (loss) before interest (interest expense, interest income, and change in fair value of interest rate derivatives), income tax provision (benefit), and depreciation, amortization, and depletion. EBITDA is the primary measure used by our chief operating decision makers to evaluate segment operating performance and to decide how to allocate resources to segments. We believe EBITDA is useful to investors because it provides a means to evaluate the operating performance of our segments and our company on an ongoing basis using criteria that are used by our internal decision makers and because it is frequently used by investors and other interested parties in the

evaluation of companies with substantial financial leverage. We believe EBITDA is a meaningful measure because it presents a transparent view of our recurring operating performance and allows management to readily view operating trends, perform analytical comparisons, and identify strategies to improve operating performance. For example, we believe that the inclusion of items such as taxes, interest expense, and interest income distorts management's ability to assess and view the core operating trends in our segments. EBITDA, however, is not a measure of our liquidity or financial performance under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss), income (loss) from operations, or any other performance measure derived in accordance with GAAP or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity. The use of EBITDA instead of net income (loss) or segment income (loss) has limitations as an analytical tool, including the inability to determine profitability; the

Table of Contents

exclusion of interest and associated significant cash requirements; and the exclusion of depreciation, amortization, and depletion, which represent significant and unavoidable operating costs, given the level of our indebtedness and the capital expenditures needed to maintain our businesses. Management compensates for these limitations by relying on our GAAP results. Our measures of EBITDA are not necessarily comparable to other similarly titled captions of other companies due to potential inconsistencies in the methods of calculation.

The following is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA (in millions):

	Boise Inc.						
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	February 1 (Inception) Through September 30, 2007	January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Predecessor Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
Net income (loss)	\$ 4.4	\$ 2.8	\$ (30.0)	\$ 3.1	\$ 22.8	\$ 50.2	\$ 88.7
Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives	0.3		(0.2)				
Interest expense	27.5		65.1				
Interest income	(0.2)	(5.2)	(2.2)	(5.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.5)
Income tax provision (benefit)	(2.4)	2.3	(5.7)	2.6	0.6	1.3	3.0
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	31.4		76.9		0.5	23.0	84.5
EBITDA	\$ 61.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ 103.8	\$ (0.2)	\$ 23.7	\$ 74.3	\$ 175.8

## 20. *Commitments and Guarantees*

### *Commitments*

We have commitments for fiber, leases, and utilities. Our lease commitments are discussed further in Note 7, Leases. In addition, we have purchase obligations for goods and services, capital expenditures, and raw materials entered into in the normal course of business.

We are a party to a number of long-term log and fiber supply agreements. At September 30, 2008, our total obligation for log and fiber purchases under contracts with third parties was approximately \$167.0 million. Under most of the log and fiber supply agreements, we have the right to cancel or reduce our commitments in the event of a mill curtailment or shutdown. The prices under most of these agreements is set quarterly or semiannually based on regional market prices, and the estimate is based on contract terms or current-quarter pricing. Our log and fiber obligations are subject to change based on, among other things, the effect of governmental laws and regulations, our manufacturing operations not operating in the normal course of business, log and fiber availability, and the status of environmental appeals. Except for deposits required pursuant to wood supply contracts, these obligations are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements until contract payment terms take effect.



We enter into utility contracts for the purchase of electricity and natural gas. We also purchase these services under utility tariffs. The contractual and tariff arrangements include multiple-year commitments and minimum annual purchase requirements. At September 30, 2008, we had approximately \$25.0 million of utility purchase commitments. These payment obligations were valued at prices in effect on December 31, 2007, or determined pursuant to contractual terms, if available. Because we consume the energy in the manufacture of our products, these obligations represent the face value of the contracts, not resale value.

Table of Contents

*Guarantees*

We provide guarantees, indemnifications, and assurances to others, which constitute guarantees as defined under FIN No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. See Note 13, Debt, included in this Form 10-Q for a description of guarantees, including the approximate terms of the guarantees, how the guarantees arose, the events or circumstances that would require us to perform under the guarantees, and the maximum potential undiscounted amounts of future payments we could be required to make.

**21. Legal Proceedings and Contingencies**

We are a party to routine legal proceedings that arise in the course of our business. We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings or environmental claims that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*Understanding Our Financial Information*

The following discussion and analysis provides information management believes to be relevant to understanding our financial condition and results of operations. We begin this discussion and analysis with some general background related to our company followed by an overview of the effects of the Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations and a discussion of our operating segments. Recent Trends and Operational Outlook and Factors That Affect Operating Results are intended to give the reader an overview of the goals and challenges and the direction of our business and changes affecting our products. The analysis then reviews our Operating Results followed by a discussion of relevant merger activity in our industry in Industry Mergers and Acquisitions. We then discuss our balance sheet and cash flows and our financial commitments in the section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources.

This discussion and analysis includes statements regarding our expectations with respect to our future performance, liquidity, and capital resources. Such statements, along with any other nonhistorical statements in the discussion, are forward-looking. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any of the forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below and those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, as well as those factors listed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We do not assume an obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

*Background and Executive Overview*

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Boise Inc. (formerly Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp.) or the Company, we, us, or our was a blank check company created on February 1, 2007 (inception) and organized for the purpose of effecting a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition, or other similar business combination with an operating business. On February 22, 2008, Boise Inc. completed the acquisition (the Acquisition) of Boise White Paper, L.L.C., Boise Packaging & Newsprint, L.L.C., Boise Cascade Transportation Holdings Corp. (collectively, the Paper Group), and other assets and liabilities related to the operation of the paper, packaging and newsprint, and transportation businesses of the Paper Group and part of the headquarters operations of Boise Cascade, L.L.C. (Boise Cascade). The business we acquired is referred to in this report on Form 10-Q as the Predecessor. The Acquisition was accomplished through the Company's acquisition of Boise Paper Holdings, L.L.C. See Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations below for more information related to the Acquisition.

The accompanying consolidated statements of income (loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, include the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp. prior to the Acquisition and the operations of the acquired businesses from February 22, 2008, through September 30, 2008. The consolidated statements of

## Table of Contents

income (loss) for the period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007, of the Predecessor are presented for comparative purposes. The three months ended September 30, 2007, and the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, represent the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations at times refers to the combined activities of Boise Inc. and the Predecessor for each period specifically indicated, which we believe is the most useful comparison between periods. The Acquisition was accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*, resulting in a new basis of accounting from those previously reported by the Predecessor. However, sales and most operating cost items are substantially consistent with those reported by the Predecessor. Finished goods inventories were revalued to estimated selling prices less costs of disposal and a reasonable profit on the disposal. Depreciation changed as a result of adjustments to the fair values of property and equipment due to our preliminary purchase price allocation. These items, along with changes in interest expense and income taxes, are explained independently where appropriate.

### Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations

On February 22, 2008, we acquired the paper, packaging, and most of the corporate and other segments of Boise Cascade for cash and securities. We have five pulp and paper mills, five corrugated container plants, a corrugated sheet plant, and two paper distribution facilities located in the United States. Our corporate headquarters office is in Boise, Idaho.

The Acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. Upon completion of the transaction, Boise Cascade owned 37.9 million, or 49%, of our outstanding shares. Subsequent to the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the shares to its parent company, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C.

The purchase price was paid with cash, the issuance of shares of our common stock, and a note payable. These costs, including direct transaction costs and purchase price adjustments, are summarized as follows:

	February 22, 2008 (millions)
Cash paid to Boise Cascade	\$ 1,252.3
Cash paid to Boise Cascade for financing and other fees	24.9
Less: cash contributed by Boise Cascade	(38.0)
Net cash	1,239.2
Equity at \$9.15 average price per share	346.4
Lack of marketability discount	(41.6)
Total equity	304.8
Note payable to Boise Cascade at closing	41.0
Working capital adjustment	17.3

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Total note payable to Boise Cascade	58.3
Fees and expenses	61.0
Total purchase price	\$ 1,663.3

Table of Contents

*Cash*

Upon closing, we paid Boise Cascade \$1,252.3 million in cash related to the base purchase price plus \$24.9 million incurred by Boise Cascade for transaction financing costs and fees. Immediately prior to the Acquisition, Boise Cascade contributed \$38.0 million of cash to the acquired businesses.

*Equity*

The number of shares issued to Boise Cascade totaled 37,857,374. The equity price per share was calculated based on the average per-share closing price of our common stock for the 20 trading days ending on the third trading day immediately prior to the consummation of the Acquisition. Since that average price was below the \$9.54 floor provided in the purchase agreement, we determined a new measurement date in accordance with Issue No. 2 of Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 99-12, *Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination*. We calculated a \$9.15 average price per share based on two days before and after the Acquisition measurement date, which was February 14, 2008. The value of stock consideration paid to Boise Cascade was reduced by a 12% discount for a lack of marketability, since the stock delivered as consideration was not registered for resale.

*Note Payable*

In connection with the Acquisition, Boise Inc. issued a \$41.0 million subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade. After the Acquisition, and pursuant to the purchase agreement, the note was amended to increase the amount payable to \$58.3 million effective February 22, 2008. The increase of the note reflects \$17.3 million of postclosing working capital adjustments in Boise Cascade's favor. After the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the note payable to its parent, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., and on June 10, 2008, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., sold the note payable to eight individual parties for \$53.8 million, including accrued interest but before transaction costs. The full amount of the original note, as amended, together with accrued and unpaid interest from March 31, 2008, is now represented by eight separate notes payable, each with terms (other than the amount) identical to the original note payable. Because none of the eight holders of the notes are related to either Boise Inc. or Boise Cascade, we no longer record the notes as related-party notes payable on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. See Note 4, Transactions With Related Parties, and Note 13, Debt, in the Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for further information on the notes.

Fees and expenses consist primarily of debt issuance fees and direct costs of the transaction.

We have substantially completed our purchase price allocation of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. However, we continue to evaluate the amounts included in our allocation as information is received from third parties. We expect to be complete by the one-year anniversary date of the Acquisition. The following table summarizes the fair value allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Acquisition as of September 30, 2008:



Table of Contents

	<b>February 22, 2008, Fair Value (millions)</b>
Current assets	\$ 571.9
Property and equipment	1,305.6
Fiber farms and deposits	11.0
Intangible assets:	
Trademark and trade name	16.8
Customer list	13.7
Technology	6.9
Deferred financing costs	81.9
Other long-term assets	4.5
Current liabilities	(247.3)
Long-term liabilities	(101.7)
Total purchase price	<b>\$ 1,663.3</b>

The following pro forma results are based on the individual historical results of Boise Inc. and the Predecessor (prior to the Acquisition on February 22, 2008) with adjustments to give effect to the combined operations as if the Acquisition had been consummated on January 1, 2007. The pro forma results are intended for information purposes only and do not purport to represent what the combined companies' results of operations would actually have been had the transaction in fact occurred on January 1, 2007.

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30 2007</b>	<b>Pro Forma 2008 (millions, except per-share data)</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30 2007</b>
Sales	\$ 583.7	\$ 1,839.4	\$ 1,745.1
Net income (loss)	16.4	(41.0)	(12.2)
Net income (loss) per share basic and diluted	0.21	(0.53)	(0.16)

***Our Segments***

Boise Inc. operates its business in three reportable segments, Paper, Packaging, and Corporate and Other (support services), and is headquartered in Boise, Idaho. These segments represent distinct businesses that are managed separately because of differing products and services. Each of these businesses requires distinct operating and marketing strategies. Management reviews the performance of the Company based on these segments.

*Paper.* Our Paper segment manufactures and sells uncoated freesheet (including commodity and premium cut-size office papers); a range of packaging papers (including corrugating medium, label and release papers, and flexible packaging papers); commodity and premium printing and converting papers (including commercial printing papers, envelope papers, and form-related products); and market pulp. Many of these paper products are commodity products, while others have specialized features that make these products premium and specialty grades. Our premium grades



include 100% recycled and colored cut-size office papers, and our specialty grades include custom-developed papers for such uses as label and release and flexible food packaging. In 2007, we completed an \$80 million conversion of the W-3 paper machine in Wallula, Washington, to significantly grow label and release capacity. We ship to customers both directly from our mills and through distribution centers. In the third quarter of 2008, approximately 37% of uncoated freesheet paper sales volume, including approximately 68% of the office papers sales volume, was sold to OfficeMax Incorporated (OfficeMax).

Table of Contents

*Packaging.* Our Packaging segment manufactures and sells containerboard (linerboard) and newsprint at our mill in DeRidder, Louisiana. In March of this year, we completed a \$23 million linerboard expansion project, which reduced our exposure to fossil fuels and increased product capabilities.

We also operate five corrugated container plants in the Northwest and a sheet feeder plant in Texas. Our corrugated containers are used primarily in the packaging of fresh fruit and vegetables, processed food, and beverages, as well as industrial and consumer products. Our Waco, Texas, plant, known as Central Texas Corrugated, or CTC, produces corrugated sheets that are sold to sheet plants in the Southwest, where they are converted into corrugated containers for a variety of customers. Our containerboard and corrugated products are sold by our own sales personnel and by brokers.

We market our newsprint through a subsidiary of Abitibi-Consolidated Inc. (Abitibi) pursuant to an arrangement whereby Abitibi purchases all of the newsprint we produce at a price equal to the price at which Abitibi sells newsprint produced at its mills located in the southern United States (including its recently acquired Bowater mills), less associated expenses and a sales and marketing discount. The newsprint price is verified through a third-party review.

*Corporate and Other.* Our Corporate and Other segment includes primarily corporate support services, related assets and liabilities, and foreign exchange gains and losses. During the Predecessor periods presented, the Corporate and Other segment included primarily an allocation of Boise Cascade corporate support services and related assets and liabilities. These support services included, but were not limited to, finance, accounting, legal, information technology, and human resource functions. This segment also includes transportation assets, such as rail cars and trucks, that we use to transport our products from our manufacturing sites. Rail cars and trucks are generally leased. We provide transportation services not only to our own facilities but also, on a limited basis, to third parties when geographic proximity and logistics are favorable.

In connection with the Acquisition, we entered into an outsourcing services agreement under which we provide a number of corporate staff services to Boise Cascade at our cost. These services include information technology, accounting, and human resource services. The initial term of the agreement is for three years. It will automatically renew for one-year terms unless either party provides notice of termination to the other party at least 12 months in advance of the applicable term. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$3.7 million and \$9.1 million in Sales, Related parties and the same amounts in Costs and expenses in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) related to this agreement.

***Recent Trends and Operational Outlook***

In September 2008, the outlook for the U.S. economy deteriorated considerably as the global financial crisis unfolded and credit markets began to contract sharply. Historically, North American demand for our products has been influenced by levels of economic activity, and an economic downturn could have a negative impact on our sales volumes and financial performance.

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

In June 2008, we announced a \$55-per-ton price increase for linerboard, which we implemented during the third quarter on domestic sales and passed through to our corrugated products. In September, we announced a \$60-per-ton increase for linerboard effective October 2008. There is no assurance that the announced price increase will be realized. Demand in agriculture, food, and beverage markets, which constitute over half of our packaging product end-use markets, has remained relatively stable, while industrial markets continue to slow due to weaker economic growth. The impact of weaker domestic demand has been somewhat offset by a relatively weak U.S. dollar, which continues to help make export markets attractive for U.S. containerboard producers and U.S. markets less attractive for overseas producers.

In late May 2008, we announced a \$60-per-ton price increase across all uncoated freesheet communication papers, which was largely implemented during the third quarter. Since a large portion of our cut-size office paper is sold to OfficeMax under a contract whereby the price OfficeMax pays is determined by a published index, changes in price for this product sold to OfficeMax tend to lag behind the general market by approximately 60 days.

Table of Contents

Two factors have contributed to the favorable pricing environment for uncoated freesheet. First, industry manufacturing capacity has been closed or converted, which has offset demand reduction. Second, the value of the U.S. dollar, relative to other currencies, has allowed the profitable export of uncoated freesheet and made U.S. markets less attractive to offshore producers. As a result, industry inventories are low relative to 2007 levels, which has enabled increasing prices for many uncoated freesheet grades.

Overall North American demand for uncoated freesheet, which includes a wide range of paper grades, has been declining. According to the American Forest and Paper Association (AF&PA), uncoated freesheet shipments declined 6.2% through September 2008, compared with the same period in 2007. Demand for commodity communication papers has continued to decline as communication paper products are negatively affected by the shift to electronic media for communications and weakening macroeconomic conditions. Compounding this, demand for printing and converting products, including envelopes and forms, has softened as direct-mail advertising has slowed. Despite this overall trend, some segments where we have a significant presence have continued to show growth. Demand for our packaging-driven grades, including label and release and flexible packaging papers, has been flat to modestly growing in 2008, as has demand for premium office papers, including colored and high-recycled-content cut-size papers.

North American newsprint demand has continued its long-term decline. However, in May, AbitibiBowater announced a \$60-per-ton price increase, which was largely phased in during the third quarter. In August, AbitibiBowater announced an additional \$60-per-ton increase to be phased in during fourth quarter 2008. AbitibiBowater also announced curtailment of a total of approximately 250,000 tons of newsprint production over fourth quarter 2008 and first quarter 2009. The sales price for our newsprint, all of which is marketed by Abitibi, is based upon the price at which Abitibi sells newsprint in the southern United States. There is no assurance that the announced price increases will be realized.

Wood fiber costs in the Pacific Northwest declined during third quarter 2008, compared with second quarter prices but continued to be high by historical standards. Similarly, energy and chemical costs were high, compared with historical levels. These higher wood fiber, energy, and chemical costs continue to have a significant negative impact on the financial results of our St. Helens, Oregon, and Wallula, Washington, pulp and paper mills. As a result, we may choose to change our operating configuration at those facilities, including potentially closing some or all of the St. Helens mill if we cannot operate it profitably. We will continue to evaluate its ongoing financial performance. Our St. Helens and Wallula pulp and paper mill combined consume approximately 1 million bone-dry units (bdu) of wood in the Pacific Northwest annually under normal operations. During 2008, we expanded our whole-log chipping capacity and began utilizing alternative sources of fiber, such as softwood sawdust, where possible.

Natural gas prices, a substantial component of our energy costs, declined in third quarter 2008 but were still high relative to historical standards. Since the Acquisition, we have employed natural gas caps as our primary hedging tool to mitigate natural gas price volatility and allow us to benefit from declining natural gas prices. In the third quarter, the value of these derivative instruments declined as natural gas prices fell, which resulted in a noncash mark-to-market expense of \$11.3 million for third quarter 2008. Boise Inc.'s pulp and paper operations consume approximately 14 million British thermal units (mmBtu) of natural gas annually under normal operations.

Chemical prices have continued to increase through third quarter 2008, and many of Boise Inc.'s chemical suppliers have increased their prices to us as contracts have allowed. A substantial portion of our chemical purchases are made based on annual contracts. Many of these contracts are being renegotiated and will take effect in early 2009. This may result in significant price increases on some key commodity chemicals.

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

In September of 2008, operations at our DeRidder, Louisiana, paper mill were affected by Hurricanes Gustav and Ike. Operations were shut down in an orderly fashion in anticipation of the hurricanes, and the facility sustained minimal damage. Lost production as a result of the hurricanes was approximately 18,000 tons, including approximately 8,000 tons of newsprint and 10, 000 tons of linerboard. This loss of production, coupled with the costs incurred in shutting down and restarting the mill, negatively affected operating earnings by approximately \$5.5 million. In addition, the wet weather

Table of Contents

and flooding caused by the hurricanes may adversely affect fiber costs in the region as dry timber stands become harder to access. The company's containerboard and newsprint operations in DeRidder have an annual capacity of over 1 million tons.

During the quarter and into October, we selectively curtailed shifts and slowed production on selected uncoated freesheet machines to balance production with demand. We may elect to take additional downtime if market conditions warrant.

In October 2008, we successfully completed our annual maintenance shutdown at our Jackson, Alabama, pulp and paper mill. We have no further scheduled maintenance shutdowns in fourth quarter 2008.

***Factors That Affect Operating Results***

Our results of operations and financial performance are influenced by a variety of factors, including the following:

- General economic conditions, including but not limited to durable and nondurable goods production, white-collar employment, electronic substitution, and relative currency values;
- Volatility in raw material costs, energy prices, and currency values;
- Volatility in fiber costs and supply due to the impact of biomass energy;
- The commodity nature of our products and their price movements, which are driven largely by supply and demand;
- Industry cycles and capacity utilization rates;
- The cost of and ability to obtain necessary financing and creditworthiness of lenders;
- Continued compliance with government regulations;

- Pension returns and potential legislation;
- Legislative or regulatory environments, requirements, or changes affecting the businesses in which we are engaged;
- Labor and personnel relations;
- Credit or currency risks affecting our revenue and profitability;
- Major equipment failure;
- Severe weather phenomena such as drought, hurricanes and significant rainfall, tornadoes, and fire;
- Our customer concentration and the ability of our customers to pay;
- Our ability to implement our strategies;
- Actions of suppliers, customers, and competitors;
- The ability to secure skilled technical personnel and staffing; and
- The other factors described in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

*Commodity and Premium and Specialty Products*

Many of the products we manufacture and distribute are commodities that are widely available and can be readily produced by our competitors. Because commodity products have few distinguishing qualities from producer to producer, competition for these products is based primarily on price, which is determined by supply relative to demand. Generally, market conditions beyond our control determine the price for our commodity products, and the price for any one or more of these products may fall below our cash costs. Therefore, our sales volume and profitability with respect to these products depend on managing our manufacturing efficiency and cost structure, particularly raw material and energy costs, which also exhibit commodity characteristics.

Premium and specialty grades encompass our growing packaging and premium office papers, as well as select products among our mature printing and converting grades of paper. These grades are differentiated from competing products based on quality, product features, and design, as well as related customer service, and we are generally able to sell these products at higher prices than our commodity products. Some products, such as our label and release products, are produced to meet highly technical customer specifications and, once qualified through customer trials, are sold on a longer-term contract basis, which reduces price fluctuations. The technical features of these products, and the qualification process required, foster long-term relationships with customers.

A key trend in demand for communication papers is the continuing substitution of electronic media for print media. This trend negatively affects the demand for many of our communication paper grades, including newsprint, printing and converting grades, and commodity office papers. Demand for packaging papers, including label and release and flexible packaging papers, is driven by packaging demand and is not significantly affected by electronic media substitution.

A fundamental component of our strategy is to grow our packaging and premium office papers, where demand is growing, and shift away from more mature communication paper grades, such as newsprint and printing papers, where demand is declining. In our Paper segment, sales volumes of our label and release, flexible packaging, and premium office grades grew 25% in third quarter 2008, compared with third quarter 2007, driving sales volume of premium and specialty papers overall to approximately 37% of uncoated freesheet tons sold during the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with 32% for the same period in 2007.

The project to convert our W-3 paper machine in Wallula, Washington, to enable it to produce label and release grades was a key step in providing us with the capacity to increase production of premium and specialty paper grades. This project came online during second quarter 2007. We continue to identify and resolve issues as we extend our grade mix and customer base for label and release papers.

*Demand*

The overall level of demand for the products we make and distribute is affected by, among other things, electronic media substitution, manufacturing activity, employment, consumer spending, and currency exchange rates. Accordingly, we believe that our financial results depend in large part on general macroeconomic conditions in North America, as well as on regional economic conditions in the geographic markets in which we operate. Recent events, including the global financial and credit crisis, indicate a deterioration in the macroeconomic outlook. While an economic downturn could negatively affect overall demand, no single product line drives our overall financial performance, and individual product lines are influenced by conditions in their respective industries. For example:



- Historically, demand for uncoated freesheet correlated positively with general economic activity. However, demand for communication paper grades, such as uncoated freesheet printing and forms paper, which we produce, has decreased as the use of electronic transmission and document storage alternatives has become more widespread and more efficient.
- Demand for recycled-content papers is linked to an increased public awareness of environmental and sustainability issues and is less sensitive to general economic activity. We produce grades that contain from 10% to 100% recycled content.

Table of Contents

- Demand for our packaging products, including corrugated containers and sheets, containerboard, label and release, and flexible packaging papers, is driven by packaging demand. This demand is affected by macroeconomic conditions and is less susceptible to electronic media substitution.
- A large share of the demand for corrugated containers and, therefore, containerboard is driven by unprocessed and processed food production and manufacturing, specifically the manufacture of nondurable goods. In addition, inventory stocking or liquidation of these goods has an impact, as do currency exchange rates that affect the cost-competitiveness of foreign manufacturers. Although the U.S. dollar has strengthened recently, it still remains relatively weak by historical standards and continues to benefit U.S. producers relative to overseas competitors.
- Demand for newsprint depends upon prevailing levels of newspaper advertising and circulation. Demand for newsprint in North America declined approximately 22% between 2003 and 2007, according to RISI, and has continued to decline in 2008 due in part to the growth of online media.

*Supply*

Industry supply of paper is affected by the number of operational or idled facilities, the building of new capacity, and the shutting down of existing capacity. Capacity also tends to increase gradually over time without significant capital expenditures, as manufacturers improve production efficiencies. Generally, more capacity is added or employed when supply is tight and margins are relatively high, and capacity is idled or eliminated when capacity significantly exceeds demand and margins are poor.

From 2003 to 2007, North American uncoated freesheet, containerboard, and newsprint capacities declined 10%, 2%, and 19%, respectively, according to Resource Information Systems, Inc. (RISI). New capacity additions are constrained by the high capital investment and long lead times required to plan, obtain regulatory approvals for, and build a new mill. A favorable pricing environment may prompt manufacturers to initiate expansion projects.

Industry supply of paper is also influenced by the level of imports and overseas production capacity, which has grown over the past decade. A weakened U.S. dollar has mitigated the level of imports in recent years.

*Operating Costs*

The major costs of production are wood fiber, energy, chemicals, and labor. The relative size of these costs varies by segment. Given the significance of raw material and energy costs to total operating expenses and the limited ability to control these costs, compared with other operating costs, volatility in these costs can materially affect operating margins. In addition, the timing and degree of price cycles of raw

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

materials and energy differ with respect to each type of raw material and energy used.

*Wood Fiber.* The primary raw material is wood fiber, accounting for the following percentages of materials, labor, and other operating expenses, including fiber costs, for Boise Inc. and the Predecessor for each of the periods listed below:

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	<b>Combined</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>January 1 Through</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>February 21, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	
Paper	29%	30%	26%	29%	31%	28%	
Packaging	15%	14%	17%	15%	16%	17%	

The primary sources of logs and wood fiber are timber and byproducts of timber, such as wood chips, wood shavings, and sawdust. Substantially all fiber is acquired from outside sources. We convert

Table of Contents

logs and wood chips into pulp, which we use at our paper mills to produce paper. On an aggregate basis, operating at capacity, we are able to produce pulp volume equal to all of our pulp requirements, purchasing and selling similar amounts on the open market. High fiber and energy costs may limit our ability to produce and profitably sell market pulp, which is produced in the Pacific Northwest. Fiber costs have eased in the Pacific Northwest through the quarter but remain high by historical standards. An extended period of lower pulp sales volume would change Boise Inc.'s aggregate structural pulp balance from pulp neutral to one in which we are a net consumer of pulp.

Logs and wood fiber are commodities, and prices for logs and wood fiber have historically been cyclical due to changing levels of demand and supply. Log and fiber supply may be limited by public policy or government regulation as well as fire, insect infestation, disease, ice storms, windstorms, hurricanes, flooding, other weather conditions, and other natural and man-made causes. Residual fiber supply may be limited due to a reduction in primary manufacturing at sawmills and plywood plants. Declines in log and fiber supply, driven primarily by changes in public policy and government regulation, have been severe enough to cause the closure of numerous facilities in some of the regions in which we operate. Any sustained undersupply and resulting increase in wood fiber prices could decrease our production volumes and/or increase our operating costs. Prices for our products might not reflect increases or decreases in log and wood fiber prices, and as a result, our operating margins could fluctuate. Delivered fiber costs in all our operating regions have been negatively affected by the cost of diesel, which remains relatively high by historical standards despite recent declines. High diesel costs increase the cost to harvest and transport wood to the mills.

In Minnesota, overall fiber costs decreased in the third quarter of 2008, compared with the third quarter of 2007, driven by lower consumption of purchased pulp, as we selectively curtailed shifts and slowed production to balance production with demand. In the Pacific Northwest, fiber costs in third quarter 2008 increased, compared with third quarter 2007, due primarily to higher residual chip prices, which continue to be high by historical standards. Compared with second quarter 2008, residual fiber costs in the Pacific Northwest declined during third quarter 2008, reflecting higher pulpwood and chip inventories in the region. Because residual fiber for our paper mills in the Northwest comes predominantly from sawmills and plywood plants, continued curtailments at these mills, as a result of decreased demand for these products related to the housing slowdown, negatively affect the availability and price of residual fiber for our Pacific Northwest pulp and paper operations. In third quarter 2008, fiber costs at our DeRidder mill increased as wood prices increased, driven primarily by higher costs for diesel. In our Alabama operating region, fiber costs increased in the third quarter, driven by increased pulp and wastepaper pricing and increasing wood prices, due primarily to increases in diesel costs.

*Other Raw Materials and Energy Purchasing and Pricing.* We purchase other raw materials and energy used to manufacture our products in both the open market and through long-term contracts. These contracts are generally with regional suppliers who agree to supply all of our needs for a certain raw material or energy at a single facility. These contracts normally contain minimum purchase requirements and are for terms of various lengths. They also contain price adjustment mechanisms that take into account changes in market prices. Therefore, although our long-term contracts provide us with supplies of raw materials and energy that are more stable than open-market purchases, in many cases, they may not alleviate fluctuations in market prices.

Our costs for raw materials are influenced by increases in energy costs. Specifically, some of our key chemicals, including pulping and bleaching chemicals consumed in our paper and packaging mills, are heavily influenced by energy costs. A number of our major suppliers have increased prices. The relationship between industry supply and demand, rather than changes in the cost of raw materials, determines our ability to increase prices. Consequently, we may be unable to pass increases in our operating costs to our customers in the short term.

*Energy.* Energy prices, particularly for electricity, natural gas, and fuel oil, have been volatile in recent years and extremely volatile since the midpoint of first quarter 2008. Currently, energy prices are above historical averages. In

addition, we have limited flexibility to switch between fuel sources in the short term; accordingly, we have significant exposure to natural gas price changes. In the third quarter of 2008, natural gas prices declined from high second quarter levels. Under normal operations, Boise Inc. pulp and paper operations consume approximately 14 mmBtu of natural gas annually. Energy costs represent the following percentages of materials, labor, and other operating expenses, including fiber costs, for Boise Inc. and the Predecessor in each of the periods listed below:

Table of Contents

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	<b>Combined</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>January 1 Through</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>
	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>February 21, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>
Paper	18%	17%	15%	16%	14%	14%
Packaging	16%	14%	14%	14%	14%	15%

We enter into natural gas swaps, options, or a combination of these instruments to hedge the variable cash flow risk of natural gas purchases at index prices. As of September 30, 2008, we had entered into derivative instruments related to approximately 72%, 70%, and 62% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for the months of October 2008, November 2008, and December 2008, respectively. We also entered into derivative instruments related to approximately 54% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for the period of January through February 2009, approximately 51% of our forecasted natural gas purchases for March 2009, and approximately 37% of our forecasted natural gas purchases from April 2009 through October 2009. These derivatives include three-way collars, caps, call spreads, and swaps.

We have elected to account for these instruments as economic hedges. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the derivatives, or \$7.4 million, in *Accrued liabilities, Other* on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in fair value of the instruments, or \$11.3 million and \$7.5 million of expense, in *Materials, labor, and operating expenses* in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). We continue to enter into additional derivative instruments to hedge the variable cash flow risk of natural gas purchases.

*Chemicals.* Important chemicals we use in the production of our products include starch, sodium chlorate, precipitated calcium carbonate, caustic, sodium hydroxide, and dyestuffs and optical brighteners. Purchases of chemicals represent the following percentages of materials, labor, and other operating expenses, including fiber costs, for Boise Inc. and the Predecessor for each of the periods listed below:

	<b>Boise Inc.</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	<b>Combined</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>
	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>January 1 Through</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>
	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>February 21, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>
Paper	16%	15%	13%	15%	15%	14%
Packaging	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%

We experienced higher chemical costs during third quarter 2008, compared with third quarter 2007, driven by substantially higher prices for commodity chemical inputs. Many of our chemicals are purchased under long-term contracts, which provide more stability than open-market purchases. Many of these contracts are being renegotiated and will take effect in early 2009. This may result in significant price increases for some key commodity chemicals.

*Labor.* Labor costs tend to increase steadily due to inflation in healthcare and wage costs. Labor costs are not as volatile as energy and wood fiber costs. As of September 30, 2008, we had approximately 4,550 employees. Approximately 2,700, or 59%, of these employees work pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. In August, we reached four-year agreements at our Wallula, Washington, packaging facility (123 employees represented by the United Steelworkers; contract runs through December, 2011) and at our Jackson, Alabama, converting facility (113 employees represented by the United Steelworkers; contract runs through July, 2012). The labor contract at our packaging plant in Salem, Oregon (94 employees represented by the Association of Western Pulp & Paper Workers) will expire in December 2008, and the union has notified us that they will not be ready to start negotiations

Table of Contents

until late January 2009. We do not expect material work interruptions or increases in our costs during the course of the negotiations with our collective bargaining units. Nevertheless, if our expectations are not accurate, we could experience a material labor disruption or significantly increased labor costs at one or more of our facilities, any of which could prevent us from meeting customer demand or reduce our sales and profitability.

*Inflationary and Seasonal Influences.* Our major costs of production are labor, wood fiber, energy, and chemicals. Fiber costs in the Pacific Northwest remain high by historical standards. Energy costs, particularly for electricity, natural gas, and fuel oil, have been volatile in recent years and are relatively high by historical standards. We have also seen significantly higher chemical costs in the current year, compared with historical standards. Fiber, energy, and chemical costs were \$136.4 million, \$95.6 million, and \$71.7 million, respectively, for third quarter 2008 compared with \$127.5 million, \$67.4 million, and \$59.7 million, respectively, for third quarter 2007. Combined, this represents a cost increase of \$49.1 million in third quarter 2008 compared with third quarter 2007.

We experience some seasonality, based primarily on buying patterns associated with particular products. For example, the demand for our corrugated containers is influenced by agricultural demand in the Pacific Northwest. In addition, seasonally cold weather increases costs, especially energy consumption, at all of our manufacturing facilities.



Table of Contents*Operating Results*

The following table sets forth operating results in dollars and as a percentage of sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and for the Predecessor three months ended September 30, 2007 (in millions, except percent-of-sales data):

	<b>Boise Inc. (a)</b> <b>Three</b> <b>Months</b> <b>Ended</b> <b>September 30,</b> <b>2008</b>	<b>Predecessor</b> <b>Three</b> <b>Months</b> <b>Ended</b> <b>September 30,</b> <b>2007</b>
<b>Sales</b>		
Trade	\$ 610.9	\$ 409.4
Related parties	22.2	174.3
	633.1	583.7
<b>Costs and expenses</b>		
Materials, labor, and other operating expenses	526.7	472.9
Fiber costs from related parties	21.2	9.5
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	31.4	23.0
Selling and distribution expenses	13.8	14.7
General and administrative expenses	9.9	12.6
Other (income) expense, net		0.3
	603.0	533.0
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>\$ 30.1</b>	<b>\$ 50.7</b>
<b>Sales</b>		
Trade	96.5%	70.1%
Related parties	3.5	29.9
	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Costs and expenses</b>		
Materials, labor, and other operating expenses	83.2%	81.0%
Fiber costs from related parties	3.3	1.7
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	4.9	3.9
Selling and distribution expenses	2.2	2.5
General and administrative expenses	1.6	2.2
Other (income) expense, net		
	95.2%	91.3%
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>

(a) We have not included information related to the three months ended September 30, 2007, which represents the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., as the operating results related to this period are insignificant.



Table of Contents**Operating Results**

The following table sets forth operating results in dollars and as a percentage of sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007 (in millions, except percent-of-sales data):

	<b>Boise Inc. (a)</b>		<b>Predecessor</b>	
	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008</b>		<b>January 1 Through February 21, 2008</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>
<b>Sales</b>				
Trade	\$ 1,423.5	\$	258.4	\$ 1,215.8
Related parties	56.0		101.5	529.3
	1,479.5		359.9	1,745.1
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Materials, labor, and other operating expenses	1,266.2		313.9	1,464.3
Fiber costs from related parties	46.9		7.7	30.8
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	76.9		0.5	84.5
Selling and distribution expenses	34.6		9.1	43.7
General and administrative expenses	26.7		6.6	32.4
Other (income) expense, net	(0.2)		(1.0)	(0.6)
	1,451.1		336.8	1,655.1
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>\$ 28.4</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>23.1</b>	<b>\$ 90.0</b>
<b>Sales</b>				
Trade	96.2%		71.8%	69.7%
Related parties	3.8		28.2	30.3
	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Materials, labor, and other operating expenses	85.6%		87.2%	83.9%
Fiber costs from related parties	3.2		2.2	1.8
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	5.2		0.1	4.8
Selling and distribution expenses	2.3		2.5	2.5
General and administrative expenses	1.8		1.9	1.9
Other (income) expense, net			(0.3)	(0.1)
	98.1%		93.6%	94.8%
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>1.9%</b>		<b>6.4%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>

(a) We have not included information related to the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007,

which represents the activities of Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp., as the operating results related to this period are insignificant.

Table of Contents*Sales Volumes and Prices*

Set forth below are segment sales volumes and average net selling prices for our principal products for the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Predecessor three months ended September 30, 2007, the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, and the Predecessor nine months ended September 30, 2007:

	Boise Inc. Three Months Ended September 30, 2008	Predecessor Three Months Ended September 30, 2007	Boise Inc. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	Predecessor January 1 Through February 21, 2008	Combined Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	Predecessor Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007
(thousands of short tons, except corrugated containers and sheets)						
<b>Paper</b>						
Uncoated freesheet	364	363	868	236	1,104	1,118
Containerboard (medium)	34	34	84	19	103	99
Market pulp	35	44	87	20	107	101
	433	441	1,039	275	1,314	1,318
<b>Packaging</b>						
Containerboard (linerboard)	62	59	140	36	176	183
Newsprint	97	97	232	56	288	306
Corrugated containers and sheets (mmsf)	1,616	1,689	3,818	914	4,732	4,976
(dollars per short ton, except corrugated containers and sheets)						
<b>Paper</b>						
Uncoated freesheet	\$ 955	\$ 876	\$ 931	\$ 868	\$ 918	\$ 859
Containerboard (medium)	484	432	466	454	464	426
Market pulp	496	544	528	535	529	534
<b>Packaging</b>						
Containerboard (linerboard)	\$ 392	\$ 385	\$ 393	\$ 399	\$ 394	\$ 380
Newsprint	594	468	561	494	548	496
Corrugated containers and sheets (\$/msf)	58	53	57	55	56	52

Table of Contents

The following presents a discussion of sales and costs for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with the same period in 2007, as well as the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with the same period in 2007. The combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, represent the results of Boise Inc. for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, and the results of the Predecessor for the period from January 1 through February 21, 2008. Unless otherwise indicated, the 2007 comparison periods are for Predecessor results only. See Background and Executive Overview and Acquisition of Boise Cascade's Paper and Packaging Operations in this Management's Discussion and Analysis for more information related to the Acquisition.

Management believes this combined presentation of the Boise Inc. and Predecessor statement of operations is the most useful comparison between periods. The Acquisition was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, resulting in a new basis of accounting from those previously reported by the Predecessor. However, sales and most operating cost items are substantially consistent with those reflected by the Predecessor. Some inventories were revalued in accordance with purchase accounting rules. Depreciation changed as a result of adjustments to the fair values of property and equipment due to our preliminary purchase price allocation. These items, along with changes in interest expense and income taxes, are explained independently where appropriate.

***Three Months Ended September 30, 2008, Compared With the Predecessor Three Months Ended September 30, 2007***

*Sales*

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, total sales increased \$49.4 million, or 8%, to \$633.1 million from \$583.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was driven primarily by a 7% increase in Paper segment sales resulting from higher prices and a 10% increase in Packaging segment sales, driven by higher prices and increased linerboard sales volumes, partially offset by lower corrugated container and sheet sales volumes.

*Paper.* Sales increased \$28.9 million, or 7%, to \$431.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, from \$402.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. Overall uncoated freesheet sales prices increased 9% in third quarter 2008, compared with the third quarter of 2007, driven by a 10% increase in commodity grade pricing and a 7% increase in premium and specialty pricing. Medium sales prices improved 12% over the same period in 2007, while pricing for market pulp declined 9% from the prior year period. Commodity uncoated freesheet volumes were down 7% from third quarter 2007 due in part to continued growth in label and release production at our Wallula, Washington, mill, which displaces commodity production, and reduced demand in commodity grades, particularly printing and envelope grades. To balance our production with demand, we selectively curtailed shifts and slowed production on selected uncoated freesheet machines during the quarter. Premium and specialty sales volumes were up 15%, compared with the prior period, due primarily to a 25% increase in sales of premium office papers and label and release and flexible packaging grades.

*Packaging.* Sales increased \$19.9 million, or 10%, to \$212.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, from \$193.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was driven primarily by a 9% increase in pricing for corrugated products, a 27% increase in pricing for newsprint, and a 2% increase in pricing for

linerboard. Newsprint pricing is continuing to improve through 2008 as capacity across the industry has been reduced and price increases have been announced. Linerboard sales volumes increased 5%, while newsprint sales volumes were flat, compared with the same quarter in the prior year. Corrugated container and sheet sales volume was 4% lower in third quarter 2008, compared with third quarter 2007, due primarily to lower volumes from our sheet plant in Texas as a result of slowing industrial markets in that region and market disruption caused by Hurricane Ike.

*Costs and Expenses*





## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Materials, labor, and other operating expenses, including the cost of fiber from related parties, increased \$65.5 million, or 14%, to \$547.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, from \$482.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was driven primarily by increases in fiber, energy, and chemical costs due mainly to higher per-unit prices, and increased

Table of Contents

noncash mark-to-market expenses of \$11.3 million associated with natural gas hedging. Since the Acquisition, we have employed natural gas caps as our primary hedging tool to allow us to benefit from declining natural gas prices.

Fiber, energy, and chemical costs were \$136.4 million, \$95.6 million, and \$71.7 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and \$127.5 million, \$67.4 million, and \$59.7 million, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

Fiber costs increased \$9.3 million in our Paper segment, compared with third quarter 2007, due primarily to higher unit costs for wood, purchased pulp, and secondary fiber, partially offset by decreased purchased pulp consumption as we selectively curtailed shifts and slowed production to balance production with demand. In Packaging, fiber costs decreased \$0.3 million due to decreased wood consumption, driven mainly by shutdowns from Hurricanes Gustav and Ike, partially offset by increased wood prices driven primarily by increased diesel prices.

Compared with the three months ended September 30, 2007, energy costs increased \$21.2 million in our Paper segment, driven mainly by higher prices for natural gas, including non-cash mark-to-market expenses of \$9.5 million associated with natural gas hedging, electricity, and wood fuel. Energy costs increased \$7.0 million in our Packaging segment, driven by higher prices for electricity and natural gas, including noncash mark-to-market expenses of \$1.8 million associated with natural gas hedging, partially offset by reduced consumption of natural gas.

Chemical costs increased \$8.8 million in our Paper segment and increased \$3.3 million in our Packaging segment, driven by higher prices for commodity chemicals, including caustic and sulfuric acid.

Depreciation, amortization, and depletion for the three months ended September 30, 2008, was \$31.4 million. For the Predecessor three months ended September 30, 2007, depreciation, amortization, and depletion was \$23.0 million. The three months ended September 30, 2007, exclude approximately \$10.4 million of depreciation due to the paper and packaging assets being classified as assets held for sale in September 2007.

Selling and distribution expenses decreased \$0.9 million, or 6%, to \$13.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with \$14.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2007. As a percentage of sales, selling and distribution expenses were 2.2% and 2.5% for the months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$2.7 million, or 22%, to \$9.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared with \$12.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2007, due primarily to lower professional fees and payroll costs, offset partly by higher information technology costs as we implement a new information technology system in our Paper segment. As a percentage of sales, general and administrative expenses were 1.6% and 2.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

*Other (Income) Expense, Net.* Other (income) expense, net includes miscellaneous income and expense items. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, we had an insignificant amount of other income, compared with \$0.3 million of expense during the Predecessor three months ended September 30, 2007. The three months ended September 30, 2007, included \$0.2 million of expenses related to the closure of the paper converting facility in Salem, Oregon, and

\$0.1 million of other miscellaneous expense items.

*Income (Loss) From Operations.* Income from operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008, decreased \$20.6 million to \$30.1 million, compared with \$50.7 million in third quarter 2007. This decrease was driven primarily by higher input costs, noncash mark-to-market expenses associated with natural gas hedging, increased depreciation and amortization expense, and reduced linerboard and newsprint sales volumes as a result of Hurricanes Gustav and Ike, partially offset by higher sales prices. Overall, we estimate that our operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2008, were negatively affected by \$11.3 million from noncash mark-to-market expenses associated with natural gas hedging and approximately \$5.5 million from lost production and costs as a result of the hurricanes, as well as approximately \$10.4 million of increased depreciation and amortization

Table of Contents

expense as a result of our Predecessor suspending depreciation and amortization of its long-lived assets in September 2007.

*Other*

*Interest Income.* For the three months ended September 30, 2008, interest income was \$0.2 million, compared with \$5.4 million for the combined three months ended September 30, 2007. Boise Inc.'s interest income for the period prior to February 22, 2008, is attributable primarily to income from interest earned in trust assets held by Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp. prior to the Acquisition.

*Interest Expense.* For the three months ended September 30, 2008, interest expense was \$27.5 million, of which \$21.6 million was for debt under our senior secured credit facilities. The remaining \$5.9 million of interest expense was noncash and consists of the following: \$2.4 million related to the notes payable to unrelated parties and \$3.5 million for amortization of deferred financing fees. For additional information, refer to our discussion of debt under *Liquidity and Capital Resources* in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. The debt of Boise Cascade was not allocated to the Predecessor in the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

*Income Taxes.* For the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$2.4 million of income tax benefits. These tax benefits relate primarily to the release of valuation allowances we previously recorded against deferred tax assets. We released these valuation allowances because we determined it is more likely than not that we will use our deferred tax assets to offset deferred tax liabilities. As a result of significantly completing our purchase price allocation, during the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$12.4 million of deferred tax liabilities. At September 30, 2008, our deferred tax liability, net of deferred tax assets, was \$7.3 million. Because of its pass-through tax structure, the Predecessor recorded tax expense related only to small subsidiaries that are taxed as corporations.

***Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008, Compared With the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007***

*Sales*

For the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, total sales increased \$94.3 million, or 5%, to \$1,839.4 million from \$1,745.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was driven primarily by a 6% increase in Paper segment sales as a result of higher prices and a 4% increase in Packaging segment sales driven by higher prices, partially offset by lower volumes as a result of the DeRidder, Louisiana, outage in first quarter 2008 and lower corrugated container and sheet sales volumes.

*Paper.* Sales increased \$69.3 million, or 6%, to \$1,267.6 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, from \$1,198.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This increase was driven by improved pricing for uncoated freesheet and medium from the prior year, partially offset by lower market pulp pricing. Commodity uncoated freesheet sales prices increased 7%, compared with the same period in 2007, while premium and specialty prices increased 5%. Commodity uncoated freesheet volumes were down 5% from the same period in 2007 due primarily to declining demand across most grades, particularly more mature printing and converting grades, including envelope and offset grades. Premium and specialty volumes were up 6%, compared with the prior period, driven by a 17% increase in sales of premium office papers and label and release and flexible packaging grades.

*Packaging.* Sales increased \$23.5 million, or 4%, to \$603.4 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, from \$579.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was due primarily to higher prices for linerboard, corrugated containers and sheets, and newsprint, partially offset by lower sales volumes. Linerboard pricing was 4% higher than in the prior year, while corrugated container and sheet pricing improved 8%, and newsprint pricing improved 10%. Overall sales volumes for linerboard and newsprint decreased 4% and 6%, respectively, primarily as a result of the DeRidder outage in first quarter 2008. Corrugated container and sheet volumes, decreased 5%,

Table of Contents

compared with the prior period, driven mainly by lower volumes from our sheet plant in Texas as a result of slowing industrial markets and market disruption caused by Hurricane Ike.

*Costs and Expenses*



## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Materials, labor, and other operating expenses, including the cost of fiber from related parties, increased \$139.6 million, or 9%, to \$1,634.7 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, from \$1,495.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The increase was driven primarily by higher input and fixed costs, mainly maintenance, associated with the DeRidder outage.

Fiber, energy, and chemical costs were \$406.0 million, \$262.7 million, and \$197.1 million, respectively, for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, and \$373.8 million, \$223.1 million, and \$168.4 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Fiber costs increased \$35.7 million in our Paper segment, due primarily to higher prices for wood, purchased pulp, and secondary fiber, and increased consumption of purchased pulp at our International Falls mill due to the planned maintenance shutdown in May. In Packaging, fiber costs decreased \$3.5 million, due primarily to reduced consumption during the DeRidder outage in the first quarter, partially offset by increased wood prices and recycled fiber costs.

Compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2007, energy costs increased \$34.7 million in our Paper segment, driven by higher fuel and electricity prices, and increased \$4.8 million in our Packaging segment, driven by increased electricity and natural gas prices.

Chemical costs increased \$20.6 million in our Paper segment and increased \$8.1 million in our Packaging segment driven by substantially higher prices for commodity chemical inputs.

Under purchase accounting rules, in connection with the Acquisition, we revalued our inventory to estimated selling prices less costs of disposal and a reasonable profit allowance for the selling effort. As a result of these purchase accounting adjustments, our materials, labor, and other operating expenses increased \$10.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Depreciation, amortization, and depletion for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, was \$76.9 million. The nine months ended September 30, 2008, includes depreciation, amortization, and depletion for the period from February 22, 2008, through September 30, 2008. For the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, depreciation, amortization, and depletion was \$0.5 million due to the suspension of depreciation for the assets being held for sale as a result of the Acquisition. For the Predecessor nine months ended September 30, 2007, depreciation, amortization, and depletion was \$84.5 million.

Selling and distribution expenses remained flat at \$43.7 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

General and administrative expenses increased \$0.9 million, or 3%, to \$33.3 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, from \$32.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2007. As a percentage of sales, general and administrative expenses improved to 1.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with 1.9% in the prior year.

*Other (Income) Expense, Net.* Other (income) expense, net includes miscellaneous income and expense items. During the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, we had \$1.2 million of other income, consisting primarily of a net gain on sales of assets of \$1.0 million for the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we had \$0.6 million of income, consisting of a \$4.4 million gain on changes in retiree healthcare programs offset by a \$1.3 million net loss on sales of assets, \$2.2 million of expenses related to the closure of the paper converting facility in Salem and \$0.3 million of miscellaneous income items.



*Income (Loss) From Operations.* Income from operations for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, decreased \$38.5 million to \$51.5 million, compared with \$90.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. This decrease was caused primarily by higher input costs, the DeRidder mill outage in first quarter 2008, noncash mark-to-market expenses associated with natural gas hedging and inventory purchase price adjustments, partially offset by higher sales prices and lower

Table of Contents

depreciation, amortization, and depletion expense. Overall, we estimate that our operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, were negatively affected by approximately \$20.5 million due to the DeRidder outage in the first quarter, \$10.2 million from inventory purchase price adjustments, \$7.5 million from noncash mark-to-market energy hedge expenses and approximately \$5.5 million from lost production and costs as a result of Hurricanes Gustav and Ike.

*Other*

*Interest Income.* During the combined nine months ended September 30, 2008, interest income was \$2.2 million, compared with \$6.2 million for the combined nine months ended September 30, 2007. Boise Inc.'s interest income for the period prior to February 22, 2008, is attributable primarily to income from interest earned on trust assets held by Aldabra 2 Acquisition Corp. prior to the Acquisition.

*Interest Expense.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, interest expense was \$65.1 million, of which \$52.9 million was for debt under our senior secured credit facilities. The remaining \$12.2 million of interest expense was noncash and consists of the following: \$5.7 million related to the subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade and the subsequent notes payable to unrelated parties and \$6.5 million for amortization of deferred financing fees. For additional information, refer to our discussion of debt under *Liquidity and Capital Resources* in this *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. The debt of Boise Cascade was not allocated to the Predecessor in the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

*Income Taxes.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$5.7 million of income tax benefits related to losses incurred during the nine-month period and the release of valuation allowances we previously recorded against deferred tax assets. We released these valuation allowances because we determined it is more likely than not that we will use our deferred tax assets to offset deferred tax liabilities. As a result of significantly completing our purchase price allocation, during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$12.4 million of deferred tax liabilities. At September 30, 2008, our deferred tax liability, net of deferred tax assets, was \$7.3 million. Because of its pass-through tax structure, the Predecessor recorded tax expense related only to small subsidiaries that are taxed as corporations.

*Industry Mergers and Acquisitions*

In August 2008, International Paper completed the acquisition of Weyerhaeuser's containerboard operations, creating a larger and potentially much stronger competitor. The impact of this merger on our operations and results is uncertain at this time.

***Liquidity and Capital Resources***

We believe that funds generated from operations and available borrowing capacity will be adequate to fund debt service requirements, capital expenditures, and working capital requirements for the next 12 months. Our ability to continue to fund these items may be affected by general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, and regulatory factors. A continuing deterioration in the U.S. economy could have a significant impact on our business. Significant declines in the demand for or pricing of our products or increases in the cost of raw materials could have a significant, negative effect on our ability to maintain compliance with our financial loan covenants and/or meet our debt service obligations. We cannot assure that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available for use under our revolving credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs.

Unless otherwise noted, this discussion of liquidity and capital resources refers to the combined activities of Boise Inc. and the Predecessor for each period presented.

***Operating Activities***

We operate in a cyclical industry, and our operating cash flows vary accordingly. Our principal operating cash expenditures are for compensation, fiber, energy, and interest. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, operating activities provided \$65.3 million and \$139.7 million of cash, respectively.

Table of Contents

Relative to 2007, cash provided by operations was negatively affected by lower combined net income (loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, which was the primary reason for the decrease in cash provided by operations. As discussed under **Operating Results** above, the loss during 2008 reflects the impact of the DeRidder outage, as well as higher interest expense and higher input costs.

In 2008, unfavorable changes in working capital used \$26.1 million of cash from operations, while unfavorable changes in working capital used \$31.9 million of cash in 2007. Working capital is subject to cyclical operating needs, the timing of the collection of receivables, the payment of payables and expenses, and to a lesser extent, seasonal fluctuations in our operations.

Increases in the level of receivables used \$25.4 million of cash from operations, which is attributable primarily to increased receivables in the Paper segment as a result of higher sales in September 2008, compared with December 2007. To a lesser extent, increased levels of receivables in the Packaging segment also contributed to the unfavorable change in this working capital item. Inventories increased, using \$15.3 million of cash from operations, and prepaid expenses increased, using \$4.5 million of cash from operations. Partially offsetting the increased levels of accounts receivable, inventories, and prepaid expenses were increased levels of accounts payable and accrued expenses, which provided \$19.2 million of cash from operations. The higher levels of accounts payable and accrued expenses reflect higher trade accounts payable across all of our segments. These favorable changes in working capital were partially offset by lower levels of accrued compensation.

We have four defined benefit pension plans. Pension funding requirements depend in part on returns on plan assets. Recent declines in the equity markets may require us to make larger than previously anticipated contributions to our pension plans. As of October 31, 2008, our pension assets had a market value of \$236 million, compared with \$324 million as of February 22, 2008, the date we assumed the obligation for these plans. Assuming a negative 20% return on plan assets for calendar year 2008 and a return to our assumed rate of return on plan assets of 7.25% in 2009 and 2010, we estimate that we would be required to contribute approximately \$4 million in 2009 and approximately \$46 million in 2010. The amount of required contributions will depend, among other things, on actual returns on plan assets, changes in interest rates which affect our discount rate assumptions, changes in pension funding requirement laws, and modifications to our plans. We may also elect to make additional voluntary contributions in any year, which could reduce the amount of required contributions in future years. We are not required to, and do not plan to, contribute to our pension plans in 2008.

***Investment Activities***

*Acquisition*

On February 22, 2008, Boise Inc. acquired the Paper, Packaging, and most of the Corporate and Other segments of Boise Cascade for a total purchase price of \$1.7 billion, which included \$1.3 billion of net cash and fees. Boise Inc. obtained \$1.1 billion of financing in conjunction with the Acquisition, which is discussed below in **Financing Activities**.

*Combined Investing Activities of Boise Inc. and Predecessor*

Cash investing activities used \$863.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with \$498.6 million during the same period in 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, investing activities included \$1.2 billion in cash spent for the Acquisition, excluding deferred financing fees, as discussed above. Investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, included \$398.8 million of net cash held in trust related primarily to the net proceeds of the initial public offering. The \$398.8 million of net cash held in trust includes \$399.5 million of cash deposited from the initial public offering net of \$0.7 million of cash used from the trust to pay initial business, legal, and accounting due diligence expenses on prospective business combinations, general and administrative expenses, and corporate income and franchise taxes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, investing activities also included \$0.7 million of cash paid for acquisition costs.

Combined cash capital expenditures for property, plant, and equipment for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, were \$69.1 million. This amount includes \$10.2 million spent by the Predecessor for the period of January 1, 2008, through February 21, 2008, and \$58.9 million spent by us

Table of Contents

from February 22, 2008, through September 30, 2008. Of these amounts, \$10.3 million relates to the installation of a shoe press in our DeRidder mill in March to reduce the use of energy in producing linerboard. We estimate total capital spending of \$23 million for the project, part of which was spent in 2007. As of September 30, 2008, cumulative cash spending on this project totaled \$22.3 million, with the remainder to be spent in fourth quarter 2008.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, cash investing activities were primarily for purchases of property and equipment, which used \$106.0 million of cash, while the remaining amount was used for environmental compliance and to improve energy efficiency. Approximately \$42 million of the expenditures for property and equipment related to the reconfiguration of the paper machine at our pulp and paper mill in Wallula to produce both pressure-sensitive label and release paper and commodity uncoated freesheet paper. As of September 30, 2007, total spending on this project was approximately \$80 million. Additionally, cash investing activities were for environmental compliance and to improve energy efficiency. Investing activities also included \$5.2 million of proceeds from the sales of assets.

We expect capital investments in 2008 to total approximately \$90 million to \$100 million, excluding acquisitions. Our capital spending in 2008 will be for expansion, business improvement and quality/efficiency projects, replacement projects, and ongoing environmental compliance. Our efficiency projects are focused on opportunities to improve our energy efficiency.

***Financing Activities***

Cash provided by financing activities was \$825.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared with \$359.0 million during the same period in 2007. Financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, reflect approximately \$1.1 billion of debt financing obtained in conjunction with the Acquisition, partially offset by \$120.2 million paid to stockholders who exercised their conversion rights, \$94.3 million of deferred financing costs and underwriting fees, and \$60.5 million of debt repayments. Under our \$250 million revolving credit facility, \$158.1 million was available at September 30, 2008. Prior to the Acquisition, financing activities have historically consisted primarily of intercompany loans obtained by the Predecessor.

Our expected debt service obligation, assuming interest rates stay at September 30, 2008, levels, is estimated to be approximately \$24.5 million for the remainder of 2008 and \$102.2 million for 2009, consisting of cash payments for principal, interest, and fees. These amounts remain subject to change, and such changes may be material. For example, a 1% increase in interest rates would increase interest expense by approximately \$10.5 million per year (based on debt levels and interest rates as of September 30, 2008).

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, cash financing activities included \$414.0 million of gross proceeds from the initial public offering on June 22, 2007, as well \$3.0 million related to the sale of insider warrants. In conjunction with the offering, we paid \$16.6 million in underwriting discounts and commissions and incurred approximately \$0.6 million in other costs related to the offering. As discussed above in Investing Activities, the net amount from the offering, or \$399.5 million, was deposited in a trust account. These funds were released from the trust as a result of the Acquisition.

## Table of Contents

At September 30, 2008, our long-term debt and the interest rates on that debt were as follows:

	September 30, 2008	
	Amount (millions)	Interest Rate
Revolving credit facility, due 2013	\$ 65.0	7.00%
Tranche A term loan, due 2013	246.9	7.06%
Tranche B term loan, due 2014	472.6	7.50%
Second lien term loan, due 2015	260.7	11.00%
Current portion of long-term debt	(14.1)	7.21%
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,031.1	8.25%
Current portion of long-term debt	14.1	7.21%
	1,045.2	
15.75% notes payable, due 2015	64.1	15.75%
	<b>\$ 1,109.3</b>	

Boise Inc. and the Predecessor had no short- or long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2007.

### *Senior Secured Credit Facilities*

Our senior secured credit facilities consist of:

- A five-year nonamortizing \$250.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility with interest at either the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 325 basis points or a calculated base rate plus 325 basis points (the Revolving Credit Facility and, collectively with the Tranche A Term Loan Facility and the Tranche B Term Loan Facility, the First Lien Facilities);
- A five-year amortizing \$250.0 million senior secured Tranche A Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 325 basis points or a calculated base rate plus 325 basis points (the Tranche A Term Loan Facility);
- A six-year amortizing \$475.0 million senior secured Tranche B Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points (subject to a floor of 4.00%) or a calculated base rate plus 250 basis points (the Tranche B Term Loan Facility); and

- A seven-year nonamortizing \$260.7 million Second Lien Term Loan Facility with interest at LIBOR plus 700 basis points (subject to a floor of 5.50%) or a calculated base rate plus 600 basis points (the Second Lien Facility and together with the First Lien Facilities, the Credit Facilities).

All borrowings under the Credit Facilities bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus a customary base rate or Eurodollar rate. The base rate means, for any day, a rate per annum equal to the greater of (i) the Prime Rate in effect on such day and (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate in effect on such day plus 0.50%. In addition to paying interest, the Company pays a commitment fee to the lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility at a rate of 0.50% per annum (which shall be reduced to 0.375% when the leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1.00) times the daily average undrawn portion of the Revolving Credit Facility (reduced by the amount of letters of credit issued and outstanding), which fee will accrue from the Acquisition closing date and shall be payable quarterly in arrears. At September 30, 2008, we had \$65.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the average interest rate for our borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility was 5.95%. The minimum and maximum borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility were zero and \$80.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The weighted average amount of borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, was \$60.2 million. At September 30, 2008, we had availability of \$158.1 million, which is net of outstanding letters of credit of \$26.9 million, above the amount we had borrowed.



Table of Contents

The loan documentation for the Credit Facilities contains, among other terms, representations and warranties, covenants, events of default and indemnification customary for loan agreements for similar leveraged acquisition financings, and other representations and warranties, covenants, and events of default deemed by the administrative agent of the First Lien Facilities or the Second Lien Facility, as applicable, to be appropriate for the specific transaction.

*Covenants*

The First and Second Lien Facilities require BZ Intermediate Holdings LLC (Holdings), a wholly owned consolidated entity of Boise Inc. and the parent company of Boise Paper Holdings, L.L.C. (the Borrower), and its subsidiaries to maintain financial covenant ratios. At September 30, 2008, Holdings is required to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.25:1.00 and a maximum leverage ratio of 4.75:1.00 under the First Lien Facilities. The interest coverage ratio is defined in our loan agreements at the end of any fiscal quarter as the ratio of (i) Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA for the four-fiscal-quarter period then ended to (ii) Consolidated Interest Expense payable in cash for such four-fiscal-quarter period. The leverage ratio is defined in our loan agreements at the end of any fiscal quarter as the ratio of (i) Consolidated Total Debt as of such day to (ii) Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA for the four-fiscal-quarter period ending on such date. Differences between our financial statements and Holdings' financial statements are related primarily to notes payable held by Boise Inc. and the related interest expense on those notes, interest income, income taxes, and other miscellaneous expenses.

The Credit Facilities also limit the ability of Holdings and its subsidiaries to make capital expenditures generally limited to \$150 million per year.

At September 30, 2008, we were in compliance with the financial covenants under our Credit Facilities.

*Guarantees*

The Company's obligations under its Credit Facilities are guaranteed by each of the Borrower's existing and subsequently acquired domestic (and, to the extent no material adverse tax consequences to Holdings or Borrower would result therefrom and as reasonably requested by the administrative agent under each Credit Facility, foreign) subsidiaries and Holdings (collectively, the Guarantors). The First Lien Facilities are secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of the real, personal, and mixed property of Borrower and the Guarantors, including a first-priority security interest in 100% of the equity interests of Borrower and each domestic subsidiary of Holdings, 65% of the equity interests of each of Holdings' foreign subsidiaries (other than Boise Hong Kong Limited so long as Boise Hong Kong Limited does not account for more than \$2.5 million of consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) during any fiscal year of Borrower), and all intercompany debt. The Second Lien Facility is secured by a second-priority security interest in substantially all of the real, personal, and mixed property of Borrower and the Guarantors, including a second-priority security interest in 100% of the equity interests of Borrower and each domestic subsidiary of Holdings, 65% of the equity

Table of Contents

interests of each of Holdings' foreign subsidiaries (other than Boise Hong Kong Limited so long as Boise Hong Kong Limited does not account for more than \$2.5 million of consolidated EBITDA during any fiscal year of Borrower), and all intercompany debt.

*Prepayments*

In the event all or any portion of the Tranche B Term Loan Facility is repaid pursuant to any voluntary prepayments or mandatory prepayments with respect to asset sale proceeds or proceeds received from the issuance of debt prior to the second anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, such repayments will be made at (a) 102.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs prior to the first anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and (b) 101.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the first anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the second anniversary of the Acquisition closing date.

Subject to the provisions of the intercreditor agreement between the First Lien Facility and the Second Lien Facility, in the event the Second Lien Facility is prepaid as a result of a voluntary or mandatory prepayment (other than as a result of a mandatory prepayment with respect to insurance/condemnation proceeds or excess cash flow) at any time prior to the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, Borrower shall pay a prepayment premium equal to the make-whole premium described below.

At any time after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, subject to the provisions of the First Lien Facilities, the Second Lien Facility may be prepaid in whole or in part subject to the call premium described below, provided that loans bearing interest with reference to the reserve-adjusted Eurodollar rate will be prepayable only on the last day of the related interest period unless Borrower pays any related breakage costs.

With respect to a Second Lien Facility loan on any date of prepayment, the make-whole premium means the present value of (a) all required interest payments due on such Second Lien Facility loan from the date of prepayment through and including the make-whole termination date, excluding accrued interest (assuming that the interest rate applicable to all such interest is the LIBOR swap rate at the close of business on the third business day prior to the date of such prepayment with the termination date nearest to the make-whole termination date plus 7.00%) plus (b) the prepayment premium that would be due if such prepayment were made on the day after the make-whole termination date, in each case discounted to the date of prepayment on a quarterly basis (assuming a 360-day year and actual days elapsed) at a rate equal to the sum of such swap rate plus 0.50%.

The call premium means that in the event all or any portion of the Second Lien Facility is repaid as a result of a voluntary prepayment or mandatory prepayment with respect to asset sale proceeds or proceeds received from the issuance of debt after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, such repayments will be made at (i) 105.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the third anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the fourth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, (ii) 103.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the fourth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the fifth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date, and (iii) 101.0% of the amount repaid if such repayment occurs on or after the fifth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date and prior to the sixth anniversary of the Acquisition closing date.

*Other Provisions*

Subject to specified exceptions, the Credit Facilities require that the proceeds from certain asset sales, casualty insurance, certain debt issuances, and 75% (subject to step-downs based on certain leverage ratios) of the excess cash flow for each fiscal year must be used to pay down outstanding borrowings. Required debt principal repayments under the Credit Facilities, excluding those from excess cash flows, total \$2.8 million for the balance of 2008, \$15.7 million in 2009, \$26.6 million in 2010, \$48.5 million in 2011, \$134.4 million in 2012, and \$817.2 million thereafter.

Table of Contents

*Notes Payable*

In connection with the Acquisition, Boise Inc. issued a \$41.0 million subordinated promissory note to Boise Cascade. After the Acquisition, and pursuant to the purchase agreement, the note was amended to increase the amount payable to \$58.3 million effective February 22, 2008. The increase of the note reflects \$17.3 million of postclosing working capital adjustments in Boise Cascade's favor. With the exception of our subsidiaries that are party to the Credit and Guaranty Agreement dated as of February 22, 2008, each of our current and future domestic subsidiaries are joint and several obligors under this note, as reflected by a Subordinated Guaranty Agreement, which guarantees our obligations under the note.

After the transaction, Boise Cascade transferred the note payable to its parent, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., and on June 10, 2008, Boise Cascade Holdings, L.L.C., sold the note payable to eight individual parties for \$53.8 million, including accrued interest but before transaction costs. The full amount of the original note, as amended, together with accrued and unpaid interest from March 31, 2008, is now represented by eight separate notes payable, each with terms (other than the amount) identical to the original note payable. Because none of the eight holders of the notes is related to either Boise Inc. or Boise Cascade, we no longer record the notes as related-party notes on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The notes bear interest at 15.75% per annum (computed on the basis of a 360-day year) payable quarterly (each such quarterly payment date, an Interest Payment Date). To the extent interest is not paid in cash, interest will be added to the principal amount of the notes on each Interest Payment Date. The notes mature on August 21, 2015, provided that if such date is more than 181 days after the scheduled maturity date of the indebtedness under the Credit Facilities, then the maturity date shall automatically be deemed to be 181 days after the latest maturity date of any such indebtedness. At maturity, the amount of the notes will be approximately \$185.6 million, assuming none of the interest has been paid in cash.

We may prepay the notes at any time in whole or in part, without premium or penalty, subject to any restrictions contained in our senior credit facilities. We must prepay the notes upon the occurrence of the following events: (i) a Change of Control (as defined in the Credit Facilities), (ii) a sale or transfer of 50% or more of the company's assets, and (iii) Events of Default (as provided in the notes). We must use the proceeds from the sale of equity or debt securities or borrowings to repay the notes, subject to any restrictions contained in our senior credit facilities.

*Other*

At September 30, 2008, we had \$75.4 million of costs recorded in *Deferred financing costs* on our Consolidated Balance Sheet related to the Acquisition. The amortization of these costs is recorded in interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the loans. We recorded \$3.5 million and \$6.5 million of amortization expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, in *Interest expense* in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

In April 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk as required under the terms of the First Lien Facilities. At September 30, 2008, our average effective interest rate was not affected by our interest rate derivatives, as the effective cap rates were above the interest rates on the hedged debt. For additional information on our interest rate derivatives, see Note 14, *Financial Instruments*, in *Part I, Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements* of this Form 10-Q.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash payments for interest, net of interest capitalized, were \$51.6 million. No payments were made during the period of February 1 (inception) through September 30, 2007, or the Predecessor period of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

***Contractual Obligations***

For information on contractual obligations, see the discussion under the heading *Contractual Obligations* in Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008. As of September 30, 2008, there have been no material changes to our contractual obligations outside the normal course of business.

***Off-Balance-Sheet Activities***

At September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2007, we had no off-balance-sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities. The Predecessor had no off-balance-sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities at December 31, 2007.

***Guarantees***

Note 20, Commitments and Guarantees, and Note 13, Debt, of the Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q describe the nature of our guarantees, including the approximate terms of the guarantees, how the guarantees arose, the events or circumstances that would require us to perform under the guarantees, and the maximum potential undiscounted amounts of future payments we could be required to make.

***Environmental***

For information on environmental issues, see Boise Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008. There have been no material changes to Boise Inc.'s environmental information from that disclosed for the Predecessor in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008.

***Critical Accounting Estimates***

Critical accounting estimates are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results. These estimates require management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to estimate matters that are inherently uncertain. We review the development, selection, and disclosure of our critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of our board of directors. For information about critical accounting estimates, see the discussion under the heading *Critical Accounting Estimates* in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Boise Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008, and in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008. Except as noted below, there have been no changes in Boise Inc.'s critical accounting estimates as of September 30, 2008, from those the Predecessor disclosed in Boise Inc.'s Current Report on

## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

Form 8-K filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008, and those disclosed in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008.

Some of our employees participate in noncontributory defined pension plans that were either transferred from or spun off from Boise Cascade. The salaried defined benefit pension plan is available only to employees who were formerly employed by OfficeMax before November 2003. The pension benefit for salaried employees is based primarily on the employees' years of service and highest five-year average compensation. The benefit for hourly employees is generally based on a fixed amount per year of service. The Predecessor treated participants in these plans as participants in multiemployer plans. Accordingly, the Predecessor did not reflect any assets or liabilities related to the noncontributory defined benefit pension plans on its Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Predecessor did, however, record costs associated with the employees who participated in these plans in its Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). Expenses attributable to participation in noncontributory defined benefit plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, were \$2.9 million and \$7.1 million. These expenses were \$1.8 million, \$3.4 million, and \$9.7 million for the Predecessor periods of January 1 through February 21, 2008, and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

## Table of Contents

We account for pension expense in accordance with SFAS No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions*. This statement requires the calculation of pension expense and liabilities using actuarial assumptions, including discount rates, expected return on plan assets, expected rate of compensation increases, retirement rates, mortality rates, expected contributions, and other factors. We based the assumptions used in this analysis to calculate pension expense on the following factors:

*Discount Rate Assumption.* The discount rate assumption was determined using a spot rate yield curve constructed to replicate Aa-graded corporate bonds. The plan's projected cash flows were duration-matched to this yield curve to develop an appropriate discount rate.

*Asset Return Assumption.* The expected rate of return on plan assets was based on the average rate of earnings expected on invested funds.

*Rate of Compensation Increases.* This assumption reflected long-term actual experience, the near-term outlook, and assumed inflation.

*Retirement and Mortality Rates.* These rates were developed to reflect actual and projected plan experience.

*Plan Modifications.* Plan obligations and expenses were based on existing retirement plan provisions. No assumption was made regarding future changes to benefit provisions beyond those to which we are presently committed. For example, changes we might commit to in future labor contracts are not included.

We believe that the accounting estimate related to pensions is a critical accounting estimate because it is highly susceptible to change from period to period. As discussed above, the future effects of pension plans on our financial position and results of operations will depend on economic conditions, employee demographics, mortality rates, retirement rates, investment performance, and funding decisions, among other factors. The following table presents selected assumptions used and expected to be used in the measurement of pension expense in the following periods:

	Year Ending December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006
	(millions, except for percentages)		
Pension expense	\$ 10.0	\$ 13.1	\$ 14.4
Discount rate	6.50%	5.90%	5.60%
Expected rate of return on plan assets	7.25%	7.25%	7.25%
Rate of compensation increases	4.25%	4.25%	4.25%



## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

A decline in our actual asset returns will affect the amount of expense we recognize in future years. However, under pension accounting rules, this impact is recognized over time. For example, assuming a negative return on plan assets of 20% for calendar year 2008 and a return to our assumed rate of return on plan assets of 7.25% in each of 2009 and 2010, our 2008 expense would not be changed, our 2009 expense could increase about \$5 million and our 2010 expense could be about \$10 million over the amount shown above for our estimated 2008 pension expense. In addition, we could be required to reduce our equity section in Accumulated other comprehensive income to record minimum pension liabilities under accounting rules. The amount of expense and minimum pension liability we recognize will depend on, among other things, actual returns on plan assets, changes in interest rates which affect our discount rate assumptions, and modifications to our plans.

Pension funding requirements depend in part on returns on plan assets. Recent declines in the global equity markets may require us to make larger than previously anticipated contributions to our

Table of Contents

pension plans. As of October 31, 2008, our pension assets had a market value of \$236 million, compared with \$324 million as of February 22, 2008, the date we assumed the obligation for these plans. Assuming a negative 20% return on plan assets for calendar year 2008 and a return to our assumed rate of return on plan assets of 7.25% in 2009 and 2010, we estimate that we would be required to contribute approximately \$4 million in 2009 and approximately \$46 million in 2010. The amount of required contributions will depend, among other things, on actual returns on plan assets, changes in interest rates which effect our discount rate assumptions, changes in pension funding requirement laws, and modifications to our plans. We may also elect to make additional voluntary contributions in any year, which could reduce the amount of required contributions in future years. We are not required to, and we do not plan to, contribute to our pension plans in 2008.

***New and Recently Adopted Accounting Standards***

On October 10, 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*, which clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 became effective on October 10, 2008, and its adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*. FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform with the provisions of this staff position. Early application is not permitted. We currently do not have any share-based awards that would qualify as participating securities. Therefore, application of this FSP is not expected to have an effect on our financial position, results of operations, or earnings per share.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. SFAS No. 162 defines the order in which accounting principles that are generally accepted should be followed. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This new guidance also provides additional disclosure requirements related to recognized intangible assets. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in 2009. Early adoption is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our financial position, or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities to enable investors to better understand their effects on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. These requirements include the disclosure of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses in a tabular format. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, which will require us to adopt these provisions in 2009. Early adoption of SFAS No. 161 is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 161 will have

on our consolidated financial statement disclosures.

In January 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. The statement established a framework for measuring fair value, and it enhanced the disclosures for fair value measurements. The statement applies when other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements, but

Table of Contents

it does not require new fair value measurements. In accordance with the standard, in Note 14, Financial Instruments, of the Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q, we expanded our disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with the provisions of FSP No. 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, we have elected to defer until January 1, 2009, implementation of SFAS No. 157 as it relates to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized and disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until. We do not expect the implementation to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, and SFAS No. 160, *Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 51*. These new standards will significantly change the accounting for and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. SFAS Nos. 141(R) and 160 are required to be adopted simultaneously and are effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Thus, we are required to adopt these standards on January 1, 2009. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The impact of adopting these standards will be limited to business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to market risks, including changes in interest rates, energy prices, and foreign currency exchange rates. We employ a variety of practices to manage these risks, including operating and financing activities and, where deemed appropriate, the use of derivative instruments. Derivative instruments are used only for risk management purposes and not for speculation or trading. Derivatives are such that a specific debt instrument, contract, or anticipated purchase determines the amount, maturity, and other specifics of the hedge. If a derivative contract is entered into, we either determine that it is an economic hedge or we designate the derivative as a cash flow or fair value hedge. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedged transactions. For those derivatives designated as cash flow or fair value hedges, we formally assess, both at the derivatives inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items. The ineffective portion of hedging transactions is recognized in income (loss).

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended, we record all derivative instruments as assets or liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. The fair value of these instruments is determined by third parties. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded in either Net income (loss) or Other comprehensive income (loss), as appropriate. The gain or loss on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges is included in Other comprehensive income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur and is reclassified to income (loss) in the period in which the hedged item affects income (loss), and any ineffectiveness is recognized currently in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). The fair value of the hedged exposure is presumed to be the market value of the hedge instrument when critical terms match. The gain or loss on derivatives designated as fair value hedges and the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are included in income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur. The gain or loss on derivatives that have not been designated as hedging instruments is included in income (loss) in the period in which changes in fair value occur.

*Interest Rate Risk*

With the exception of the 15.75% notes payable, maturing in August 2015, our debt is variable-rate debt. At September 30, 2008, the estimated value of the notes payable, based on then-current interest rates for similar obligations with like maturities, was approximately \$6.1 million less than the amount recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In April 2008, we entered into interest rate derivative instruments to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk as required under the terms of the First Lien Facilities. We purchased interest rate caps with a term of three years and a cap rate of 5.50% on a notional amount of \$260.0 million to hedge the

Table of Contents

interest rate on our Second Lien Facility. We also purchased interest rate caps to hedge part of the interest rate risk on our Tranche B Term Loan Facility with a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) cap rate of 5.00% on a notional amount of \$425.0 million for the period of April 21, 2008, through March 31, 2009; a notional amount of \$350.0 million for the period of March 31, 2009, through March 31, 2010; and a notional amount of \$300.0 million for the period of March 31, 2010, through March 31, 2011.

*Second Lien Facility.* We account for the interest rate derivatives with a notional amount of \$260.0 million that hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our Second Lien Facility as economic hedges. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the interest rate derivatives, or \$0.8 million, in Other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in fair value of these derivatives, or \$0.3 million of expense and \$0.2 million of income, in Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives in our Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss). During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$0.1 million in Interest expense for the amortization of the premiums paid for the interest rate derivatives.

*First Lien Facility.* We account for the interest rate derivatives that hedge part of the interest rate risk on our Tranche B Term Loan Facility as cash flow hedges. These derivatives have a cap rate of 5.00% on a notional amount of \$425.0 million for the period of April 21, 2008, through March 31, 2009; a notional amount of \$350.0 million for the period of March 31, 2009, through March 31, 2010; and a notional amount of \$300.0 million for the period of March 31, 2010, through March 31, 2011. At September 30, 2008, we recorded the fair value of the interest rate derivatives, or \$1.5 million, in Other assets. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded the change in the fair value of these derivatives, or a \$0.5 million loss, in Accumulated other comprehensive income on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. No amounts were reclassified to interest expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million in Interest expense for the amortization of the premiums paid for the interest rate derivatives. At September 30, 2008, there was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges.

*Energy Risk*

For information on our energy risk, see Factors That Affect Operating Results in Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations and Note 14, Financial Instruments of the Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

*Foreign Currency Risk*

While we are exposed to foreign currency risk in our operations, none of this risk was material to our financial position or results of operations as of September 30, 2008.

*Predecessor*

During the Predecessor periods presented, Boise Cascade occasionally used interest rate swaps to hedge variable interest rate risk. Because debt and interest costs were not allocated to the Predecessor, the effects of the interest rate swaps were not included in the Predecessor consolidated financial statements.

*Fair Value Measurements*

In accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2 (see Note 15, New and Recently Adopted Accounting Standards, of the Notes to Unaudited Quarterly Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements of this form 10-Q), we have applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 to only our financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value, which consist of financial instruments that are used to hedge exposures to interest rate and energy risks. For these financial instruments, fair value is determined at each balance sheet date based on LIBOR rates and interest rate curves and New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) price quotations under the terms of the contracts using current market information as of the reporting date. The following table provides a summary of the inputs used to develop these estimated fair values under the hierarchy defined in SFAS No. 157:

Table of Contents

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2008, Using				
Quoted Prices				
in Active				
Markets for				
Identical				
Assets				
(Level 1)				
(millions)				
Total			Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Interest rate derivatives (a)	\$	2.3	\$	2.3
	\$	<b>2.3</b>	\$	<b>2.3</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Energy derivatives (b)	\$	7.4	\$	7.4
	\$	<b>7.4</b>	\$	<b>7.4</b>

(a) Includes \$2.3 million recorded in Other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

(b) Includes \$7.4 million recorded in Accrued Liabilities, Other on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

As of September 30, 2008, we did not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

**ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-Q are certifications of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that we include these certifications with this report. This Controls and Procedures section includes information concerning the disclosure controls and procedures referred to in the certifications. You should read this section in conjunction with the certifications.

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, defines such term. We have designed these controls and procedures to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. We have also designed our disclosure controls to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our senior management, including the chief executive officer (CEO) and chief financial officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow them to make timely decisions regarding our required disclosures.



## Edgar Filing: STONEMOR PARTNERS LP - Form 424B5

We evaluate the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures on at least a quarterly basis. A number of key components in our internal control system assist us in these evaluations. Since the Acquisition, we have had a disclosure committee. The committee meets regularly and receives input from our senior management, general counsel, internal audit staff, and independent accountants. This committee is charged with considering and evaluating the materiality of information and reviewing the company's disclosure obligations on a timely basis. Our internal audit department also evaluates components of our internal controls on an ongoing basis. To assist in its evaluations, the internal audit staff identifies, documents, and tests our disclosure controls and procedures. Our intent is to maintain disclosure controls and procedures as dynamic processes that change as our business and working environments change.

Our management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by the quarterly report on this Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in meeting the objectives for which they were designed and were operating at a reasonable assurance level.

Table of Contents

As a result of management's evaluation of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, we identified a number of changes during the first quarter of 2008 that materially affected, or would be reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting. All of these changes related to the acquisition of the packaging and paper assets of Boise Cascade. Specifically, as a result of the Acquisition, our existing financial reporting processes were all expanded and/or modified. To accommodate the Acquisition of our operating assets, we implemented significant disclosure controls and procedures related to financial reporting, revenue and receivables, purchasing and payables, property and equipment, treasury, inventory, payroll, employee benefits, nonrecurring transactions, information technology, and other control functions. Most of these controls and procedures had been implemented previously by Boise Cascade. Our management identified no changes during the third quarter of 2008 that materially affected, or would be reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

In addition, and also in conjunction with the Acquisition, we have enhanced our governance framework through the formation of new board committees (Compensation, Governance, and Executive Committees) and the adoption and implementation of a new code of ethics, governance guidelines, disclosure committee and procedures, and standing board resolutions that govern the authority delegated to management. This governance framework is intended to ensure that information and communication flows are effective and to monitor performance, including performance of internal control procedures.

*Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures*

In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. We have also designed our disclosure controls and procedures based in part upon assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Table of Contents

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are a party to routine legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings or environmental claims that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical or current facts, including statements about our expectations, anticipated financial results, projected capital expenditures, and future business prospects, are forward-looking statements. You can identify these statements by our use of words such as may, will, expect, believe, should, plan, anti and other similar expressions. You can find examples of these statements throughout this report, including the Recent Trends and Operational Outlook section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We cannot guarantee that our actual results will be consistent with the forward-looking statements we make in this report. You should review carefully the risk factors listed in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, as well as those factors listed in other documents we file with the SEC. We do not assume an obligation to update any forward-looking statements. Except as noted below, there have been no material changes to our risk factors as of September 30, 2008, from those disclosed previously in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008.

**Since the Acquisition, the market price of our common stock has declined significantly, and we face possible delisting from the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE).**

Shares of our common stock are currently listed on the NYSE. The market price of our common stock has declined significantly since the Acquisition. On the date of the Acquisition, February 22, 2008, the closing price of our common stock was \$8.50 per share. As of October 31, 2008, the closing price of our common stock was \$0.60 per share and our market capitalization was \$47.8 million.

The NYSE's quantitative listing standards require NYSE listed companies to have an average market capitalization of at least \$75.0 million over any consecutive thirty trading-day period and that the average closing price of any listed security not fall below \$1.00 per share for any consecutive thirty trading-day period. We do not currently meet these standards, and we anticipate the NYSE will notify us that we do not currently comply with the NYSE's continued listing requirements.

Once we receive notice from the NYSE, we must respond within 45 days of the date of notice with a business plan that demonstrates our ability to achieve compliance with the market capitalization requirement within eighteen months from the receipt of the notice. If we do not submit a business plan demonstrating our ability to achieve such continued listing standards or the NYSE does not accept our plan, the NYSE will initiate suspension and delisting procedures. Further, we will have six months from the date of the notice (or, if later, by our next annual meeting of

shareholders, if shareholder approval is necessary) to cure the share price deficiency. If we are not compliant by this date, our common stock will also be subject to suspension and delisting by the NYSE. Although we intend to cure the deficiencies and to return to compliance with the NYSE continued listing requirements, there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so.

If our common stock is delisted from the NYSE, or if it becomes apparent to us that we will be unable to meet the NYSE's continued listing standards in the near future, we intend to seek to have our common stock listed or quoted on another national securities exchange or quotation system. However, we may be unable to list or quote our common stock on another national securities exchange or quotation system. Even if our common stock is listed or quoted on such other exchange or system, the market for our common stock may not be as liquid as it has been on the NYSE. As a result, if we are delisted by the NYSE, even if we are able to transfer our listing to another exchange or quotation system, the market price for our common stock may become more volatile than it has been historically. Delisting of our common stock would likely cause a reduction in the liquidity of an investment in our common stock. Delisting also could reduce the ability of holders of our common stock to purchase or sell our securities as quickly and inexpensively as they would have been able to do had our common stock remained listed. This lack of liquidity also could make it more difficult for us to raise capital in the future and could depress the value of our common stock.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITYHOLDERS**

None.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

(a) None.

(b) On and effective July 11, 2008, our board of directors amended and restated the company's bylaws. The company amended Article II of its bylaws to revise the deadline for advance notice required for a stockholder to bring a matter before an annual meeting of stockholders. Under the amended bylaws, notice may be given no earlier than 120 days (previously 90 days) or more than 150 days (previously 120 days) prior to the first anniversary date of the preceding year's annual meeting (previously the date that proxy materials were first mailed to stockholders). To bring business before the company's annual meeting to be held in 2009, stockholders must give notice no later than December 1, 2008, and no sooner than November 1, 2008. A stockholder may have to comply with additional advance notice provisions with respect to proposals the stockholder wishes to have included in the company's proxy statement.

The company's amended bylaws also require a stockholder's notice to include additional information about director nominees and about the stockholder (and beneficial owner, if applicable) bringing business before a stockholders meeting. These enhanced disclosure requirements relate to the nominee and/or proponent's ownership positions in the company's securities, as well as other information regarding economic interests of the nominee and/or proponent in the company.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Required exhibits are listed in the Index to Exhibits and are incorporated by reference.

[Table of Contents](#)

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BOISE INC.

/s/ Samuel K. Cotterell  
Samuel K. Cotterell  
Vice President and Controller  
(As Duly Authorized Officer and Chief  
Accounting Officer)

Date: November 4, 2008

Table of Contents

**BOISE INC.**

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Filed With the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3 (a)	Bylaws of Boise Inc., Amended and Restated Effective as of July 11, 2008
31.1	CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Section 906 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Boise Inc.

---

(a)	Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 14, 2008, and incorporated herein by reference.
-----	---