SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC Form 10-Q August 06, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

xQUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

oTRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 0-12247

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or

75-1848732 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1201 S. Beckham, Tyler, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)

organization)

75701 (Zip Code)

903-531-7111

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company o

o No x
The number of shares of the issuer's common stock, par value \$1.25, outstanding as of July 27, 2012 was 17,358,651 hares.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	1
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND	34
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	53
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	54
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	55
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	55
ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	55
ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	55
ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	55
ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION	55
<u>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</u>	56
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	57
EXHIBIT INDEX	58
EXHIBIT 31.1 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302	
EXHIBIT 31.2 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302	
EXHIBIT 32 – CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 906	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share amounts)		
	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$46,479	\$ 40,989
Interest earning deposits	59,757	2,249
Total cash and cash equivalents	106,236	43,238
Investment securities:		
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	418,215	282,956
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	1,010	1,496
Mortgage-backed and related securities:		
Available for sale, at estimated fair value	1,204,759	716,126
Securities carried at fair value through income	_	647,759
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	330,138	365,631
FHLB stock, at cost	34,334	33,869
Other investments, at cost	2,064	2,064
Loans held for sale	1,447	3,552
Loans:		
Loans	1,183,200	1,087,230
Less: allowance for loan losses	(20,194)	(18,540)
Net Loans	1,163,006	1,068,690
Premises and equipment, net	50,250	50,595
Goodwill	22,034	22,034
Other intangible assets, net	416	522
Interest receivable	17,929	19,426
Deferred tax asset	3,478	_
Unsettled trades to sell securities	1,071	_
Other assets	44,569	45,859
TOTAL ASSETS	\$3,400,956	\$ 3,303,817
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest bearing	\$641,139	\$ 505,594
Interest bearing	1,754,733	1,816,077
Total deposits	2,395,872	2,321,671
Short-term obligations:		
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	1,948	2,945
FHLB advances	241,246	361,811
Other obligations	219	219
Total short-term obligations	243,413	364,975
Long-term obligations:		
FHLB advances	366,989	260,724

Long-term debt	60,311	60,311
Total long-term obligations	427,300	321,035
Deferred tax liability	_	3,458
Unsettled trades to purchase securities	42,300	1,196
Other liabilities	28,715	32,555
TOTAL LIABILITIES	3,137,600	3,044,890
Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)		

Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock - \$1.25 par, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 19,382,489 shares issued in		
2012 and 18,517,101 shares issued in 2011	24,228	23,146
Paid-in capital	193,994	176,791
Retained earnings	66,565	72,646
Treasury stock (2,023,838 shares at cost)	(28,377)	(28,377)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	6,946	14,721
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	263,356	258,927
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$3,400,956	\$ 3,303,817

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

(UNAUDITED)	Thre	ee Months	Six Months			
(in thousands, except per share data)	Ende	ed June 30,	Ende	d June 30,		
* * *	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Interest income						
Loans	\$17,526	\$17,130	\$34,296	\$34,401		
Investment securities – taxable	20	20	51	38		
Investment securities – tax-exempt	2,961	3,209	5,628	6,438		
Mortgage-backed and related securities	8,872	13,310	21,035	24,607		
FHLB stock and other investments	54	52	133	132		
Other interest earning assets	9	3	15	13		
Total interest income	29,442	33,724	61,158	65,629		
Interest expense						
Deposits	2,765	4,051	6,160	8,087		
Short-term obligations	1,734	1,705	3,326	3,434		
Long-term obligations	2,398	3,401	5,131	7,282		
Total interest expense	6,897	9,157	14,617	18,803		
Net interest income	22,545	24,567	46,541	46,826		
Provision for loan losses	2,174	1,860	5,226	3,998		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	20,371	22,707	41,315	42,828		
Noninterest income						
Deposit services	3,838	4,028	7,586	7,907		
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	3,297	3,920	9,269	5,471		
(Loss) gain on sale of securities carried at fair value through						
income	(13) 84	(498) 338		
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(21) –	(21) –		
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income						
(before taxes)	(19) –	(160) –		
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(40) –	(181) –		
Fair value gains – securities	_	2,456	_	4,083		
FHLB advance option impairment charges	(1,364) –	(1,836) –		
Gain on sale of loans	298	282	429	565		
Trust income	669	645	1,346	1,296		
Bank owned life insurance income	254	261	520	547		
Other	1,123	959	2,234	2,064		
Total noninterest income	8,062	12,635	18,869	22,271		
Noninterest expense						
Salaries and employee benefits	12,142	11,622	23,975	23,313		
Occupancy expense	1,851	1,778	3,609	3,499		
Equipment expense	554	525	1,064	1,018		
Advertising, travel & entertainment	603	550	1,207	1,103		
ATM and debit card expense	287	266	566	481		
Director fees						
	273	200	541	391		
Supplies	273 222	200 161	381	385		
Supplies Professional fees						

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Postage	182	186	357	365
Telephone and communications	445	345	851	682
FDIC Insurance	414	735	884	1,498
Other	1,733	1,291	3,242	3,101
Total noninterest expense	19,096	18,116	37,618	36,848
Income before income tax expense	9,337	17,226	22,566	28,251
Provision for income tax expense	1,608	4,100	4,698	5,886
Net income	7,729	13,126	17,868	22,365
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	_	(493) –	(1,358
Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$7,729	\$12,633	\$17,868	\$21,007
Earnings per common share – basic	\$0.45	\$0.73	\$1.03	\$1.22
Earnings per common share – diluted	\$0.45	\$0.73	\$1.03	\$1.22
Dividends paid per common share	\$0.20	\$0.17	\$0.38	\$0.34

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF						
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	Thr	ee Months	Six Months			
(UNAUDITED)		ed June 30,	Ended June 30,			
(in thousands)	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Net income	\$7,729	\$13,126	\$17,868	\$22,365		
Other comprehensive (loss) income:						
Unrealized holding (losses) gains on available for sale securities during the period	863	20,126	(4,023) 26,280		
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on the AFS securities	19	-	160	-		
Reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of available for sale securities included in net income	(3,297) (3,920) (9,269) (5,471)		
Reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges on available for sale securities included in net income	40	_	181	_		
Amortization of net actuarial loss, included in net periodic benefit cost	512	366	1,011	732		
Amortization of prior service credit included in net periodic benefit cost	(12) (10) (22) (21)		
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,875) 16,562	(11,962) 21,520		
Income tax benefit (expense) related to other items of						
comprehensive income	656	(5,797) 4,187	(7,532)		
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(1,219) 10,765	(7,775) 13,988		
Comprehensive income	\$6,510	\$23,891	\$10,093	\$36,353		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

(UNAUDITED)					Accu-	
(in thousands, except share amounts)					mulated-	
					Other	
					Compre-	
					hensive	
	Common	Paid In	Retained	Treasury	Income	trolling
	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Stock	(Loss)	Interest
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$22,075	\$162,877	\$64,179	\$(28,377)	\$(6,293)	\$1,113 \$
Net Income			21,007			1,358
Other comprehensive income					13,988	
Issuance of common stock (28,869 shares)	36	531				
Stock compensation expense		26				
Tax benefits related to stock compensation		2				
Capital distribution to noncontrolling interest shareholders						(475)
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.34 per share)			(5,453)			
Stock dividend declared	981	15,014	(15,995)			
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$23,092	\$178,450	\$63,738	\$(28,377)	\$7,695	\$1,996 \$
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$23,146	\$176,791	\$72,646	\$(28,377)	\$14,721	\$- \$
Net Income			17,868			
Other comprehensive loss					(7,775)	
Issuance of common stock (30,436 shares)	38	595				
Stock compensation expense		133				
Tax benefits related to stock compensation		11				
Net issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	10	39	(54)			
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.38 per share)			(6,436)			
Stock dividend declared	1,034	16,425	(17,459)			
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$24,228	\$193,994	\$66,565	\$(28,377)	\$6,946	\$- \$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (UNAUDITED) (in thousands)

(iii tiloustilus)		onth une (s Ended 30,	
	2012		2011	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$17,868		\$22,365	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:			,	
Depreciation	1,778		1,646	
Amortization of premium	22,864		16,782	
Accretion of discount and loan fees	(2,399)	(2,327)
Provision for loan losses	5,226		3,998	
Stock compensation expense	133		26	
Deferred tax (benefit) expense	(2,743)	1,467	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(11)	_	
Loss (gain) on sale of securities carried at fair value through income	498		(338)
Gain on sale of securities available for sale	(9,269)	(5,471)
Net other-than-temporary impairment losses	181		_	
Fair value gain – securities	_		(4,083)
FHLB advance option impairment charges	1,836		_	
Loss on sale of assets	_		3	
Loss on retirement of assets	_		90	
Impairment on other real estate owned	_		145	
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(3)	(221)
Net change in:				
Interest receivable	1,497		(1,370)
Other assets	27		(2,593)
Interest payable	(458)	(575)
Other liabilities	(2,393)	2,364	
Loans held for sale	2,105		3,845	
Net cash provided by operating activities	36,737		35,753	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Securities held to maturity:				
Purchases	_		(6,394)
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	32,331		23,373	
Securities available for sale:				
Purchases	(1,213,92	(3)	(350,206)
Sales	448,725		326,701	
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	163,809		138,176	
Securities carried at fair value through income:				
Purchases	(57,606)	(344,728	()
Sales	675,255		16,248	
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	25,279		13,919	
Proceeds from redemption of FHLB stock	10,292		14,811	
Purchases of FHLB stock and other investments	(10,757)	(5,623)

Net (increase) decrease in loans	(100,529)	32,740	
Purchases of premises and equipment	(1,433)	(2,169)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	_		6	
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	112		306	
Proceeds from sales of repossessed assets	2,070		2,767	
Net cash used in investing activities	(26,375)	(140,073)

(continued)

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (UNAUDITED) (continued) (in thousands)

(iii tilousalius)	Six Mont June 2012	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase in demand and savings accounts	198,420	87,107
Net (decrease) increase in certificates of deposit	(124,695)	22,511
Net decrease in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	(997)	(767)
Proceeds from FHLB advances	9,133,164	3,349,032
Repayment of FHLB advances	(9,147,464)	(3,378,823)
Net capital distributions to noncontrolling interest in consolidated entities	_	(475)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	11	2
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	633	567
Dividends paid	(6,436)	(5,453)
Net cash provided by financing activities	52,636	73,701
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	62,998	(30,619)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	43,238	79,073
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$106,236	\$48,454
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Interest paid	\$15,075	\$19,378
Income taxes paid	\$9,200	\$3,500
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING		
ACTIVITIES:		
Acquisition of other repossessed assets and real estate through foreclosure	\$2,621	\$2,779
Adjustment to pension liability		\$(711)
5% stock dividend	\$17,459	\$15,995
Unsettled trades to purchase securities	\$(42,300)	\$(9,145)
Unsettled trades to sell securities	\$1,071	\$31,919

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

In this report, the words "the Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to the combined entities of Southside Bancshares, Inc. and its subsidiaries. The words "Southside" and "Southside Bancshares" refer to Southside Bancshares, Inc. The words "Southside Bank" and "the Bank" refer to Southside Bank (which, subsequent to the internal merger of Fort Worth National Bank ("FWNB") with and into Southside Bank, includes FWNB). "FWBS" refers to Fort Worth Bancshares, Inc., a bank holding company acquired by Southside of which FWNB was a wholly-owned subsidiary. "SFG" refers to SFG Finance, LLC (formerly Southside Financial Group, LLC) which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank as of July 15, 2011. "SSI" refers to Southside Securities, Inc., which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southside Bancshares, Inc.

The consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows and notes to the financial statements for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are unaudited; in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of such financial statements have been included. Such adjustments consisted only of normal recurring items. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires the use of management's estimates. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve matters of judgment. Actual amounts could differ from these estimates.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. All share data has been adjusted to give retroactive recognition to stock splits and stock dividends. For a description of our significant accounting and reporting policies, refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Accounting Standards

ASU No. 2011-03, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) - Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." ASU 2011-03 is intended to improve financial reporting of repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. ASU 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control (i) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and (ii) the collateral maintenance guidance related to that criterion. ASU 2011-03 became effective for us on January 1, 2012 and did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." ASU 2011-04 amends Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," to converge the fair value measurement guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, changes certain principles in Topic 820 and requires additional fair value disclosures. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. See "Note 9 - Fair Value Measurement."

ASU 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income." ASU 2011-05 amends Topic 220, "Comprehensive Income," to require that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either

a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present, on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement or statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was eliminated. ASU 2011-05 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011; however, certain provisions related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments have been deferred by ASU 2011-12 "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) – Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-05 did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. See "Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income" directly following our Consolidated Statements of Income in our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ASU 2011-08, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment." ASU 2011-08 amends Topic 350, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other," to give entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests beginning after December 15, 2011, and did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210) – Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." ASU 2011-11 amends Topic 210, "Balance Sheet," to require an entity to disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments, such as sales and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing/lending arrangements, and derivative instruments that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and/or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. ASU 2011-11 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and is not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

2. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc. on a basic and diluted basis have been adjusted to give retroactive recognition to stock splits and stock dividends and is calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		2111	Months d June 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Basic and Diluted Earnings:				
Net income – Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$7,729	\$12,633	\$17,868	\$21,007
Basic weighted-average shares outstanding	17,341	17,263	17,334	17,255
Add: Stock options	12	6	10	5
Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding	17,353	17,269	17,344	17,260
Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income - Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$0.45	\$0.73	\$1.03	\$1.22
Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income - Southside Bancshares, Inc.	\$0.45	\$0.73	\$1.03	\$1.22

On March 29, 2012, our board of directors declared a 5% stock dividend to common stock shareholders of record as of April 18, 2012, and payable on May 9, 2012.

During the second quarter of 2011, our board of directors approved equity grants in the form of stock options and restricted stock units. These equity grants were made pursuant to the shareholder-approved Southside Bancshares, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan.

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012, there were approximately 4,000 and 9,000 antidilutive options, respectively. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, there were approximately 3,000 and 6,000 antidilutive options, respectively.

Table of Contents

Unrealized gains on securities:

3.

Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The components of other comprehensive (loss) income are as follows (in thousands):

	Six Mo Before-Tax Amount	nths Ended . Tax (Expe Benefi	ense)	80, 2012 Net-of-T Amour	
Unrealized losses on securities:	¢ (4 022	¢ 1.400		¢ (2 615	`
Unrealized holding losses arising during period	\$(4,023)	\$ 1,408		\$(2,615)
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on the AFS securities	160	(56)	104	
Less: reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of AFS securities					
included in net income	9,269	(3,244)	6,025	
Less: reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges of					
AFS securities included in net income	(181)	63		(118)
Net unrealized losses on securities	(12,951)	4,533		(8,418)
Change in pension plans	989	(346)		
Other comprehensive loss	\$(11,962)	\$ 4,187		\$(7,775)
	Three M Before-Tax Amount	onths Ended Tax (Expe Benefi	ense)	-	
Unrealized losses on securities:					
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$863	\$ (302))	\$561	
Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses on the AFS securities	19	(7)	12	
Less: reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of AFS securities included in net income	3,297	(1,154)	2,143	
Less: reclassification of other-than-temporary impairment charges of					
AFS securities included in net income	(40) 14		(26)
Net unrealized losses on securities	(2,375)	831		(1,544)
Change in pension plans	500	(175)	325	
Other comprehensive loss	\$(1,875)	\$ 656		\$(1,219)
•	Six M	onths Ended	Lune	30, 2011	
	Before-Ta			Net-of-T	Гах
	Amount			Amour	
Unrealized gains on securities:	7 Infount	Expen	150	7 HIIIOGI	
Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$26,280	\$(9,198)	\$17,082	
Less: reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of AFS securities	Ψ20,200	Ψ(),1)0	,	Ψ17,002	
included in net income	5,471	(1,915)	3,556	
Net unrealized gains on securities	20,809	(7,283		13,526	
Change in pension plans	711	(249)	462	
Other comprehensive income	\$21,520	\$(7,532)	\$13,988	
Cattle Comprehensive modific	Ψ21,320	Ψ(1,552	,	¥15,700	
	Three N	Months Ende	d Jun	e 30. 2011	1
	Before-Ta			Net-of-7	
	Amount			Amour	
TT 1' 1 ' '.'	. mount	Lapon			•

Unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$20,126	\$(7,044) \$13,082					
Less: reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of AFS securities								
included in net income	3,920	(1,372) 2,548					
Net unrealized gains on securities	16,206	(5,672) 10,534					
Change in pension plans	356	(125) 231					
Other comprehensive income	\$16,562	\$(5,797) \$10,765					

Table of Contents

4. Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment and mortgage-backed securities as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are reflected in the tables below (in thousands):

			June 30, 2012	2	
		Gross			
	Amortized	Unrealized		alized Losses	Estimated
AVAILABLE FOR SALE:	Cost	Gains	OTTI	Other	Fair Value
Investment Securities:	Φ200 C01	\$24.600	φ	Φ 402	Φ 41 4 OO7
State and Political Subdivisions	\$390,601	\$24,699	\$-	\$403	\$414,897
Other Stocks and Bonds	5,404	35	2,121	_	3,318
Mortgage-backed Securities:	114.074	2.556		0.5	117.745
U.S. Government Agencies	114,274	3,556	_	85	117,745
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	1,070,507	18,033	— ФО 101	1,526	1,087,014
Total	\$1,580,786	\$46,323	\$2,121	\$2,014	\$1,622,974
			June 30, 2012).	
		Gross	00.110 00, 2011	_	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Gross Unre	alized Losses	Estimated
HELD TO MATURITY:	Cost	Gains	OTTI	Other	Fair Value
Investment Securities:	Cost	Gums	0111	Guitei	Tun vuide
State and Political Subdivisions	\$1,010	\$122	\$-	\$-	\$1,132
Mortgage-backed Securities:	Ψ 1,0 1 0	Ψ1 	Ψ	Ψ	ψ 1,10 2
U.S. Government Agencies	21,763	1,301	_	11	23,053
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	308,375	10,738	_	4	319,109
Total	\$331,148	\$12,161	\$-	\$15	\$343,294
	,	,	·		, ,
		De	ecember 31, 2	011	
		Gross			
	Amortized	Unrealized	Gross Unre	alized Losses	Estimated
AVAILABLE FOR SALE:	Cost	Gains	OTTI	Other	Fair Value
Investment Securities:					
State and Political Subdivisions	\$251,281	\$31,221	\$-	\$45	\$282,457
Other Stocks and Bonds	2,925	_	2,426	_	499
Mortgage-backed Securities:					
U.S. Government Agencies	99,974	7,158	_	80	107,052
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	589,687	20,127	_	740	609,074
Total	\$943,867	\$58,506	\$2,426	\$865	\$999,082
		De	ecember 31, 2	011	
		Gross	200111001 31, 2	011	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Gross Unre	alized Losses	Estimated
HELD TO MATURITY:	Cost	Gains	OTTI	Other	Fair Value
Investment Securities:	2000	Junio	J 1 1 1		
State and Political Subdivisions	\$1,010	\$196	\$-	\$-	\$1,206
Other Stocks and Bonds	486	15	_	_	501
Mortgage-backed Securities:					
U.S. Government Agencies	22,999	1,159	_	43	24,115

Government-Sponsored Enterprises	342,632	14,848	-	11	357,469
Total	\$367,127	\$16,218	\$-	\$54	\$383,291
10					

Table of Contents

Securities carried at fair value through income were as follows (in thousands):

	At June 30, 2012	At	December 31, 2011
Mortgage-backed Securities:			
U.S. Government Agencies	\$-	\$	30,413
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	_		617,346
Total	\$-	\$	647,759

Net gains and losses on securities carried at fair value through income were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Montl	hs Ended June
	2012	2011
Net (loss) gain on sales transactions	\$(498) \$338
Net mark-to-market gains	_	4,083
Net (loss) gain on securities carried at fair value through income	\$(498) \$4,421
	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Net (loss) gain on sales transactions	\$(13) \$84
Net mark-to-market gains	_	2,456
Net (loss) gain on securities carried at fair value through income) \$2,540

The following table represents the unrealized loss on securities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Less Than	12 Months Unrealized	More Than	12 Months Unrealized	Total Unrealized		
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	
As of June 30, 2012:							
Available for Sale							
State and Political Subdivisions	\$66,858	\$403	\$-	\$-	\$66,858	\$403	
Other Stocks and Bonds	_	_	623	2,121	623	2,121	
Mortgage-Backed Securities	420,684	1,587	2,409	24	423,093	1,611	
Total	\$487,542	\$1,990	\$3,032	\$2,145	\$490,574	\$4,135	
Held to Maturity							
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$3,455	\$12	\$375	\$3	\$3,830	\$15	
Total	\$3,455	\$12	\$375	\$3	\$3,830	\$15	
As of December 31, 2011:							
Available for Sale							
State and Political Subdivisions	\$1,668	\$42	\$307	\$3	\$1,975	\$45	
Other Stocks and Bonds	_	_	499	2,426	499	2,426	

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Mortgage-Backed Securities	148,171	754	5,322	66	153,493	820
Total	\$149,839	\$796	\$6,128	\$2,495	\$155,967	\$3,291
Held to Maturity						
Mortgage-Backed Securities	\$8,918	\$54	\$-	\$-	\$8,918	\$54
Total	\$8,918	\$54	\$-	\$-	\$8,918	\$54

When it is determined that a decline in fair value of Held to Maturity ("HTM") and Available for Sale ("AFS") securities is other-than-temporary, the carrying value of the security is reduced to its estimated fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings for the credit portion and the noncredit portion to other comprehensive income. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the securities and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its amortized cost basis.

Table of Contents

The turmoil in the capital markets had a significant impact on our estimate of fair value for certain of our securities. We believe the fair values are reflective of illiquidity and credit impairment. At June 30, 2012, we have in AFS Other Stocks and Bonds, \$2.7 million amortized cost basis in pooled trust preferred securities ("TRUPs"). Those securities are structured products with cash flows dependent upon securities issued by U.S. financial institutions, including banks and insurance companies. Our estimate of fair value at June 30, 2012 for the TRUPs is approximately \$623,000 and reflects the market illiquidity. With the exception of the TRUPs, to the best of management's knowledge and based on our consideration of the qualitative factors associated with each security, there were no securities in our investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 with an other-than-temporary impairment.

Given the facts and circumstances associated with the TRUPs we performed detailed cash flow modeling for each TRUP using an industry-accepted cash flow model. Prior to loading the required assumptions into the model we reviewed the financial condition of each of the underlying issuing banks within the TRUP collateral pool that had not deferred or defaulted as of June 30, 2012. Management's best estimate of a deferral assumption was assigned to each issuing bank based on the category in which it fell. Our analysis of the underlying cash flows contemplated various default, deferral and recovery scenarios to arrive at our best estimate of cash flows. Based on that detailed analysis, we have concluded that the other-than-temporary impairment, which captures the credit component, was estimated at \$3.3 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2011. The noncredit charge to other comprehensive income was estimated at \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying amount of the TRUPs was written down with \$75,000 and \$3.0 million recognized in earnings for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There was an additional write-down of the TRUPs recognized in earnings in the amount of approximately \$40,000 and \$181,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The cash flow model assumptions represent management's best estimate and consider a variety of qualitative factors, which include, among others, the credit rating downgrades, the severity and duration of the mark-to-market loss, and the structural nuances of each TRUP. Management believes that the detailed review of the collateral and cash flow modeling support the conclusion that the TRUPs had an other-than-temporary impairment at June 30, 2012. We will continue to update our assumptions and the resulting analysis each reporting period to reflect changing market conditions. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the TRUPs and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the TRUPs before the anticipated recovery of their amortized cost basis.

The table below provides more detail on the TRUPs at June 30, 2012 (in thousands).

TRUP	Par	Credit Loss	1	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Tranche	Credit Rating
1	\$ 2,000	\$ 1,256	\$	744	\$ 117	C1	Ca
2	2,000	550		1,450	234	B1	C
3	2,000	1,450		550	272	B2	C
	\$ 6,000	\$ 3,256	\$	2,744	\$ 623		

The following tables present a roll forward of the credit losses recognized in earnings, on AFS debt securities (in thousands).

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		
	2012	June 30, 2011	
Balance, beginning of period	\$3,075	\$ 3,075	
Additions for credit losses recognized on debt securities that had no			
previous impairment losses	_	_	
	181	_	

Additions for credit losses recognized on debt securities that had previously incurred impairment losses				
Balance, end of period	\$3,256	\$ 3,075		
	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	June 30, 2011		
Balance, beginning of period	\$3,216	\$ 3,075		
Additions for credit losses recognized on debt securities that had no previous impairment losses	_	_		
Additions for credit losses recognized on debt securities that had previously incurred impairment losses	40	_		
Balance, end of period	\$3,256	\$ 3,075		
12				
12				

Table of Contents

Interest income recognized on securities for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended			
	June 30,			
	2012	June 30, 2011		
U.S. Treasury	\$-	\$ 6		
State and Political Subdivisions	5,642	6,452		
Other Stocks and Bonds	37	18		
Mortgage-backed Securities	21,035	24,607		
Total interest income on securities	\$26,714	\$ 31,083		
	Three M	Months Ended		
	Three N June 30,	Months Ended		
		Months Ended June 30, 2011		
U.S. Treasury	June 30,			
U.S. Treasury State and Political Subdivisions	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011		
•	June 30, 2012 \$-	June 30, 2011 \$ 5		
State and Political Subdivisions	June 30, 2012 \$- 2,968	June 30, 2011 \$ 5 3,215		

There were no securities transferred from AFS to HTM during the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. There were no sales from the HTM portfolio during the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. There were \$331.1 million and \$367.1 million of securities classified as HTM at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Of the \$9.3 million in net securities gains from the AFS portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were \$9.4 million in realized gains and approximately \$105,000 in realized losses. Of the \$5.5 million in net securities gains from the AFS portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2011, there were \$5.6 million in realized gains and \$115,000 in realized losses.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities at June 30, 2012, are presented below by contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Mortgage-backed securities are presented in total by category due to the fact that mortgage-backed securities typically are issued with stated principal amounts, and the securities are backed by pools of mortgages that have loans with varying maturities. The characteristics of the underlying pool of mortgages, such as fixed-rate or adjustable-rate, as well as prepayment risk, are passed on to the security holder. The term of a mortgage-backed pass-through security thus approximates the term of the underlying mortgages and can vary significantly due to prepayments.

	June 3 Amortized	30, 2012
Available for sale securities:	Cost (in the	Fair Value ousands)
Investment Securities		
Due in one year or less	\$5,106	\$5,166
Due after one year through five years	11,273	11,589
Due after five years through ten years	58,021	60,334
Due after ten years	321,605	341,126
	396,005	418,215

Mortgage-backed securities	1,184,781	1,204,759
Total	\$1,580,786	\$1,622,974
	June 3	0, 2012
	Amortized	
	Cost	Fair Value
Held to maturity securities:	(in tho	usands)
·	•	,
Investment Securities		
Due in one year or less	\$-	\$-
Due after one year through five years	_	_
Due after five years through ten years	_	_
Due after ten years	1,010	1,132
	1,010	1,132
Mortgage-backed securities	330,138	342,162
Total	\$331,148	\$343,294
13		

Table of Contents

Investment and mortgage-backed securities with book values of \$1.01 billion and \$1.04 billion were pledged as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, to collateralize Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, repurchase agreements, and public funds or for other purposes as required by law.

Securities with limited marketability, such as FHLB stock and other investments, are carried at cost, which approximates its fair value and assessed for other-than-temporary impairment. These securities have no maturity date.

5. Loans and Allowance for Probable Loan Losses

Loans in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are classified as follows (in thousands):

	At	At
	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Real Estate Loans:		
Construction	\$105,675	\$ 111,361
1-4 Family residential	325,720	247,479
Other	214,885	206,519
Commercial loans	146,499	143,552
Municipal loans	215,256	207,261
Loans to individuals	175,165	171,058
Total loans	1,183,200	1,087,230
Less: Allowance for loan losses	20,194	18,540
Net loans	\$1,163,006	\$ 1,068,690

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is based on the most current review of the loan portfolio and is validated by multiple processes. First, the bank utilizes historical data to establish general reserve amounts for each class of loans. While we track several years of data, we primarily review one year data because we have found that longer periods will not respond quickly enough to market conditions. Second, our lenders have the primary responsibility for identifying problem loans and estimating necessary reserves based on customer financial stress and underlying collateral. These recommendations are reviewed by the Senior lender, the Special Assets department, and the Loan Review department and are reviewed by the President. Third, the Loan Review department does independent reviews of the portfolio on an annual basis. The Loan Review department follows a board-approved annual loan review scope. The loan review scope encompasses a number of metrics that takes into consideration the size of the loan, the type of credit extended, the seasoning of the loan along with the performance of the loan. The loan review scope as it relates to size, focuses more on larger dollar loan relationships, typically, for example, aggregate debt of \$500,000 or greater. The Loan Review officer also tracks specific reserves for loans by type compared to general reserves to determine trends in comparative reserves as well as losses not reserved for prior to charge off to determine the efficiency of the specific reserve process.

At each review, a subjective analysis methodology is used to grade the respective loan. Categories of grading vary in severity from loans that do not appear to have a significant probability of loss at the time of review to loans that indicate a probability that the entire balance of the loan will be uncollectible. If full collection of the loan balance appears unlikely at the time of review, estimates of future expected cash flows or appraisals of the collateral securing the debt are used to allocate the necessary allowances. The internal loan review department maintains a list of all loans or loan relationships that are graded as having more than the normal degree of risk associated with them. In addition, a list of specifically reserved loans or loan relationships of \$50,000 or more is updated on a quarterly basis in

order to properly allocate necessary allowances and keep management informed on the status of attempts to correct the deficiencies noted with respect to the loan.

For loans to individuals, the methodology associated with determining the appropriate allowance for losses on loans primarily consists of an evaluation of individual payment histories, remaining term to maturity and underlying collateral support.

Industry experience indicates that a portion of our loans will become delinquent and a portion of the loans will require partial or entire charge-off. Regardless of the underwriting criteria utilized, losses may be experienced as a result of various factors beyond our control, including, among other things, changes in market conditions affecting the value of properties used as collateral for loans and problems affecting the credit of the borrower and the ability of the borrower to make payments on the loan. Our determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on various considerations, including an analysis of the risk characteristics of various classifications of loans, previous loan loss experience, specific loans which would have loan loss potential, delinquency trends, estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, current economic conditions, the views of the bank regulators (who have the authority to require additional allowances), and geographic and industry loan concentration.

Table of Contents

Consumer loans at SFG are reserved for based on general estimates of loss at the time of purchase for current loans. SFG loans experiencing past due status or extension of maturity characteristics are reserved for at significantly higher levels based on the circumstances associated with each specific loan. In general the reserves for SFG are calculated based on the past due status of the loan. For reserve purposes, the portfolio has been segregated by past due status and by the remaining term variance from the original contract. During repayment, loans that pay late will take longer to pay out than the original contract. Additionally, some loans may be granted extensions for extenuating payment circumstances. The remaining term extensions increase the risk of collateral deterioration and, accordingly, reserves are increased to recognize this risk.

For loans purchased after August 1, 2010, additional reserve methods have been added. New pools purchased are reserved at their estimated annual loss. Thereafter, the reserve is adjusted based on the actual performance versus projected performance. Additionally, beginning with the fourth quarter of 2010, data mining measures were further enhanced to track migration within risk tranches. Reserves are adjusted quarterly to match the migration metrics.

Credit Quality Indicators

We categorize loans into risk categories on an ongoing basis, based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We use the following definitions for risk ratings:

- Satisfactory (Rating 1 4) This rating is assigned to all satisfactory loans. This category, by definition, should consist of completely acceptable credit. Credit and collateral exceptions should not be present, although their presence would not necessarily prohibit a loan from being rated Satisfactory, if deficiencies are in process of correction. These loans will not be included in the Watch List.
- Satisfactory (Rating 5) Special Treatment Required (Pass Watch) These loans require some degree of special treatment, but not due to credit quality. This category does not include loans specially mentioned or adversely classified by the Loan Review Officer or regulatory authorities; however, particular attention must be accorded such credits due to characteristics such as:
 - A lack of, or abnormally extended payment program;
 - A heavy degree of concentration of collateral without sufficient margin;
 - A vulnerability to competition through lesser or extensive financial leverage; and
- A dependence on a single, or few customers, or sources of supply and materials without suitable substitutes or alternatives.
- Special Mention (Rating 6) A Special Mention asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the institution's credit position at some future date. Special Mention assets are not adversely classified and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.
- •Substandard (Rating 7) Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
- Doubtful (Rating 8) Loans classified as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation, in full, on the basis of currently

known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

•Loss (Rating 9) – Loans classified as Loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Loans not meeting risk ratings five through nine are reserved for as a group of similar type pass rated credits and included in the general portion of the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

The general portion of the loan loss allowance is reflective of historical charge-off levels for similar loans adjusted for changes in current conditions and other relevant factors. These factors are likely to cause estimated losses to differ from historical loss experience and include:

- Changes in lending policies or procedures, including underwriting, collection, charge-off, and recovery procedures;
 - Changes in local, regional and national economic and business conditions including entry into new markets;
 - Changes in the volume or type of credit extended;
 - Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management;
 - Changes in the volume and severity of past due, nonaccrual, restructured, or classified loans;
 - Changes in loan review or Board oversight; and
 - Changes in the level of concentrations of credit.

Table of Contents

The following tables detail activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses by portfolio segment for the periods presented (in thousands):

Cirr	Months	Endad	Tuna	20	2017	1
51X	IVIONTINS	Enaea	June	.3U.	-201.	Z

			2	Six Months E	nded June 30,	2012		
	Construct	Real Estate 1-4 Family ionResidentia		Commerci Loans	al Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Balance at								
beginning of period	\$2,620	\$ 1,957	\$3,051	\$ 2,877	\$619	\$ 6,244	\$ 1,172	\$18,540
Provision (reversal)								
for loan losses	(178) 396	177	433	12	4,419	(33)	5,226
Loans charged off	(15) (53) (93) (375) –	(4,466)	_	(5,002)
Recoveries of loans								
charged off	47	160	3	253	_	967	_	1,430
Balance at end of								
period	\$2,474	\$ 2,460	\$3,138	\$ 3,188	\$ 631	\$ 7,164	\$ 1,139	\$20,194
			TO S	3.6.4.3	F 1 11 20	2012		
				hree Months	Ended June 30), 2012		
		Real Estate	e					
		1-4						

		Real Estat	C					
		1-4						
		Family		Commerc	ial Municipal	Loans to		
	Constructi	ionResidentia	d Other	Loans	Loans	Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Balance at								
beginning of period	\$2,682	\$ 2,290	\$3,065	\$ 3,342	\$ 608	\$ 6,918	\$ 1,169	\$20,074
Provision (reversal)	1							
for loan losses	(227) 57	165	78	23	2,108	(30)	2,174
Loans charged off	(7) (42) (93) (287) –	(2,343)	_	(2,772)
Recoveries of loans								
charged off	26	155	1	55	_	481	_	718
Balance at end of								
period	\$2,474	\$ 2,460	\$3,138	\$ 3,188	\$ 631	\$ 7,164	\$ 1,139	\$20,194

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

		Real Estate 1-4 Family		Comme	rcial Municipal	Loans to		
	Constructi	ionResidential	Other	Loan	s Loans	Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Balance at								
beginning of period	1 \$2,585	\$ 1,988	\$3,354	\$ 3,746	\$ 607	\$ 7,978	\$ 453	\$20,711
Provision (reversal))							
for loan losses	210	181	(524) (231) 3	3,288	1,071	3,998
Loans charged off	(9) (383	(80) (927) –	(5,672) –	(7,071)
Recoveries of loans	S							
charged off	14	93	273	328	_	1,063	_	1,771
	\$2,800	\$ 1,879	\$3,023	\$ 2,916	\$ \$610	\$ 6,657	\$ 1,524	\$19,409

Balance at end of period

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Constructi	Real Estat 1-4 Family ionResidentia		Commerci Loans	al Municipal Loans		Unallocated	Total
Balance at								
beginning of period	\$2,832	\$ 1,808	\$3,321	\$ 3,497	\$ 605	\$ 7,121	\$ 596	\$19,780
Provision (reversal)								
for loan losses	(37) 107	(376) (421) 5	1,654	928	1,860
Loans charged off	(9) (64) –	(377) –	(2,573)	_	(3,023)
Recoveries of loans								
charged off	14	28	78	217	_	455	_	792
Balance at end of								
period	\$2,800	\$ 1,879	\$3,023	\$ 2,916	\$610	\$ 6,657	\$ 1,524	\$19,409

Table of Contents

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment based on impairment method as described in the allowance for loan losses methodology discussion for the periods presented (in thousands):

		Real Estate		As of June				
	Construction	1-4 Family onResidential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Ending balance – individually evaluated for								
impairment Ending balance – collectively evaluated for	\$695	\$ 901	\$512	\$ 1,383	\$ 102	\$ 340	\$ -	\$3,933
impairment	1,779	1,559	2,626	1,805	529	6,824	1,139	16,261
Balance at end of period	\$2,474	\$ 2,460	\$3,138	\$ 3,188	\$ 631	\$ 7,164	\$ 1,139	\$20,194
		Real Estate		As of December 31, 2011				
	Construction	Family onResidential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Unallocated	Total
Ending balance – individually evaluated for								
impairment Ending balance – collectively evaluated for	\$888	\$ 788	\$511	\$ 1,108	\$ 110	\$ 347	\$ -	\$3,752
impairment	1,732	1,169	2,540	1,769	509	5,897	1,172	14,788
Balance at end of period	\$2,620	\$ 1,957	\$3,051	\$ 2,877	\$619	\$ 6,244	\$ 1,172	\$18,540

The following tables detail activity of the Reserve for Unfunded Loan Commitments for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2012	2	2011
Reserve For Unfunded Loan Commitments:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$	26	\$	30
Provision (reversal) for losses on unfunded loan commitments		(23)		(8)
Balance at end of period	\$	3	\$	22

	-	Three Months Ended June 30,		
		2012		2011
Reserve For Unfunded Loan Commitments:				
Balance at beginning of period	\$	26	\$	30
Provision (reversal) for losses on unfunded loan commitments		(23)		(8)
Balance at end of period	\$	3	\$	22
18				

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the balance in the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment based on impairment method as described in the allowance for loan losses methodology discussion for the periods presented (in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Total
Loans individually evaluated for	¢ 4 002	¢12.120	¢10.096	¢ 5 021	¢.(20	¢1 261	¢25 601
impairment Loans collectively	\$4,883	\$12,120	\$10,986	\$5,821	\$620	\$1,261	\$35,691
evaluated for impairment	100,792	313,600	203,899	140,678	214,636	173,904	1,147,509
Total ending loan						-, -, -,	
balance	\$105,675	\$325,720	\$214,885	\$ 146,499	\$215,256	\$175,165	\$1,183,200
December 31, 2011	Construction	Real Estate 1-4 Family Residential	Other	Commercial Loans	Municipal Loans	Loans to Individuals	Total
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$6,274	\$12,453	\$9,394	\$ 5,986	\$651	\$1,320	\$36,078
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	•	235,026	197,125	137,566	206,610	169,738	1,051,152
Total ending loan balance	\$111,361	\$247,479	\$206,519	\$ 143,552	\$207,261	171,058	\$1,087,230

The following tables set forth loans by credit quality indicator for the periods presented (in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Pass	Pass Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Real Estate							
Loans:							
Construction	\$100,792	\$-	\$20	\$4,736	\$127	\$-	\$105,675
1-4 Family							
residential	313,600	1,736	3,942	5,374	1,068	_	325,720
Other	203,899	3,387	4,173	3,280	146	_	214,885
Commercial loans	140,678	957	_	4,187	674	3	146,499
Municipal loans	214,636	232	_	388	_	_	215,256
Loans to							
individuals	173,904	39	_	930	266	26	175,165
Total	\$1,147,509	\$6,351	\$8,135	\$18,895	\$2,281	\$29	\$1,183,200

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2011	Pass	Pass Watch	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Real Estate Loans:							
Construction	\$105,087	\$785	\$20	\$5,285	\$184	\$-	\$111,361
1-4 Family							
residential	235,026	1,763	5,299	4,345	1,046	_	247,479
Other	197,126	2,911	2,877	3,475	130	_	206,519
Commercial loans	137,565	908	242	4,772	55	10	143,552
Municipal loans	206,610	231	_	420	_	_	207,261
Loans to							
individuals	169,738	81	_	976	236	27	171,058
Total	\$1,051,152	\$6,679	\$8,438	\$19,273	\$1,651	\$37	\$1,087,230

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth nonperforming assets for the periods presented (in thousands):

	At June 30, 2012	At December 31, 2011
Nonaccrual loans	\$10,077	\$ 10,299
Accruing loans past due more than 90 days	1	5
Restructured loans	2,352	2,109
Other real estate owned	960	453
Repossessed assets	388	322
Total Nonperforming Assets	\$13,778	\$ 13,188

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

Nonaccrual loans are those loans which are 90 days or more delinquent and collection in full of both the principal and interest is in doubt. Additionally, some loans that are not delinquent may be placed on nonaccrual status due to doubts about full collection of principal or interest. When a loan is categorized as nonaccrual, the accrual of interest is discontinued and the accrued balance is reversed for financial statement purposes. Payments of contractual interest are recognized as income only to the extent that full recovery of the principal balance of the loan is reasonably certain. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current, except for consumer loans which are generally carried in nonaccrual only when they are in excess of 90 days delinquent, and future payments are reasonably assured. Other factors, such as the value of collateral securing the loan and the financial condition of the borrower must be considered in judgments as to potential loan loss.

Loans are considered impaired if, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The measurement of impaired loans is generally based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate stipulated in the loan agreement, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. In measuring the fair value of the collateral, in addition to relying on third party appraisals, we use assumptions such as discount rates, and methodologies, such as comparison to the recent selling price of similar assets, consistent with those that would be utilized by unrelated third parties performing a valuation.

Nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due more than 90 days include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table sets forth the recorded investment in nonaccrual and accruing loans past due more than 90 days by class of loans for the periods presented (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012		Decemb	per 31, 2011
		Accruing		
		Loans		Loans
		Past Due		Past Due
		More		More
	Nonaccrual	Than 90 Days	Nonaccrual	Than 90 Days
Real Estate Loans:				
Construction	\$3,149	\$ -	\$3,894	\$ -
1-4 Family residential	2,320	_	2,362	_

Other	865	_	781	_	
Commercial loans	1,858	_	1,353	_	
Loans to individuals	1,885	1	1,909	5	
Total	\$10,077	\$ 1	\$10,299	\$ 5	
20					

Table of Contents

The following tables present the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class of loans (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012 Greater than					
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90 Days	Total Past	Loans Not	
	Past Due	Past Due	Past Due	Due	Past Due	Total
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$271	\$61	\$ 3,149	\$3,481	\$102,194	\$105,675
1-4 Family residential	143	466	2,320	2,929	322,791	325,720
Other	180	236	865	1,281	213,604	214,885
Commercial loans	353	393	1,858	2,604	143,895	146,499
Municipal loans	_	_	_	_	215,256	215,256
Loans to individuals	3,019	718	1,886	5,623	169,542	175,165
Total	\$3,966	\$1,874	\$ 10,078	\$15,918	\$1,167,282	\$1,183,200
			December	r 31, 2011		
			Greater than			
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90 Days	Total Past	Loans Not	
	Past Due	Past Due	Past Due	Due	Past Due	Total
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$185	\$146	\$ 3,894	\$4,225	\$107,136	\$111,361
1-4 Family residential	4,289	1,051	2,362	7,702	239,777	247,479
Other	1,129	296	781	2,206	204,313	206,519
Commercial loans			, 0 -	_,0	-0.,010	
Committee Court	1,353	129	1,353	2,835	140,717	143,552
Municipal loans	•			•	•	•
	•	129		•	140,717	143,552

Impaired loans, primarily nonaccrual loans, were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Loans with no allocated allowance for loan losses	\$1	\$ 4
Loans with allocated allowance for loan losses	12,395	12,366
Total	\$12,396	\$ 12,370
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$2,645	\$ 2,639

At any time a potential loss is recognized in the collection of principal, proper reserves should be allocated. Loans are charged off when deemed uncollectible. Loans are charged down as soon as collection by liquidation is evident to the liquidation value of the collateral net of liquidation costs, if any, and placed in nonaccrual status.

The following table sets forth interest income recognized on nonaccrual and restructured loans by class of loans for the periods presented (in thousands):

June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011			
Interest	Accruing	Interest	Accruing		
Income	Interest at	Income	Interest at		
Recognized	Original	Recognized	Original		

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

		Contracted Rate		Contracted Rate	
Real Estate Loans:					
Construction	\$-	\$128	\$6	\$143	
1-4 Family residential	9	80	47	85	
Other	20	65	29	87	
Commercial loans	12	55	5	43	
Loans to individuals	150	272	253	447	
Total	\$191	\$600	\$340	\$805	
21					

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth impaired loans by class of loans for the periods presented (in thousands). Average recorded investment is reported on a year-to-date basis.

	Unpaid	Recorded	Recorded			
	Contractual	Investment	Investment	Total		Average
	Principal	With No	With	Recorded	Loan Losses	Recorded
June 30, 2012	Balance	Allowance	Allowance	Investment	Allocated	Investment
Real Estate Loans:						
Construction	\$4,289	\$-	\$3,149	\$3,149	\$ 346	\$3,591
1-4 Family residential	2,880	_	2,810	2,810	461	2,908
Other	1,996	_	1,558	1,558	176	1,558
Commercial loans	2,212	_	2,092	2,092	797	2,010
Loans to individuals	3,069	1	2,786	2,787	865	2,950
Total	\$14,446	\$1	\$12,395	\$12,396	\$ 2,645	\$13,017
	Unpaid Contractual	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Total		Average
	Principal	With No	With	Recorded	Loan Losses	Recorded
December 31, 2011	Principal Balance	With No Allowance	With Allowance	Recorded Investment	Loan Losses Allocated	Recorded Investment
December 31, 2011 Real Estate Loans:	•					
	Balance	Allowance	Allowance	Investment	Allocated	Investment
Real Estate Loans:	•					
Real Estate Loans: Construction	Balance \$4,909	Allowance \$-	Allowance \$3,895	Investment \$3,895	Allocated \$ 597	Investment \$4,054
Real Estate Loans: Construction 1-4 Family residential	\$4,909 2,449	Allowance \$	\$3,895 2,362	\$3,895 2,362	\$ 597 320	\$4,054 2,362
Real Estate Loans: Construction 1-4 Family residential Other	\$4,909 2,449 1,930	Allowance \$	\$3,895 2,362 1,508	\$3,895 2,362 1,508	\$ 597 320 380	\$4,054 2,362 1,744

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The restructuring of a loan is considered a "troubled debt restructuring" if both (i) the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and (ii) the creditor has granted a concession. Concessions may include interest rate reductions or below market interest rates, principal forgiveness, restructuring amortization schedules and other actions intended to minimize potential losses. Effective July 1, 2011, we adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02, "Receivables (Topic 310) – A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." We reassessed all loan modifications occurring since January 1, 2011 for identification as troubled debt restructurings.

The following tables set forth troubled debt restructurings for the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of June 3	30, 2012	
	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Real Estate Loans:			
Construction	2	\$ 93	\$ 83

Edgar Filing: SOUTHSIDE BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

1-4 Family residential	10	970	917
Other	2	824	782
Commercial loans	8	879	866
Loans to individuals	22	126	63
Total		\$ 2,892	\$ 2,711
22			

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011

		e-Modification Outstanding	Post- Modification Outstanding
	Number of	Recorded	Recorded
	Contracts	Investment	Investment
Real Estate Loans:			
Construction	2	\$ 93	\$ 87
1-4 Family residential	4	325	320
Other	1	732	728
Commercial loans	2	141	141
Loans to individuals	12	111	89
Total		\$ 1,402	\$ 1,365

The loans identified as troubled debt restructurings were previously reported as impaired loans prior to restructuring. As of June 30, 2012, the modifications related to extending the amortization periods were \$1.7 million, lowering interest rates were \$409,000 and principal forgiveness of the loans were \$40,000. In addition, loan modifications extending the amortization period, lowering interest rates and principal forgiveness were \$601,000. Of the loans restructured, \$1.3 million were on nonaccrual status as of June 30, 2012. Because the loans were classified and on nonaccrual status both before and after restructuring, the modifications did not impact our determination of the allowance for loan losses. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, defaults on loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings were not significant.

6. Long-term Obligations

Long-term obligations are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
FHLB Advances (1)	\$366,989	\$ 260,724
Long-term Debt (2)		
Southside Statutory Trust III Due 2033 (3)	20,619	20,619
Southside Statutory Trust IV Due 2037 (4)	23,196	23,196
Southside Statutory Trust V Due 2037 (5)	12,887	12,887
Magnolia Trust Company I Due 2035 (6)	3,609	3,609
Total Long-term Debt	60,311	60,311
Total Long-term Obligations	\$427,300	\$ 321,035

⁽¹⁾ At June 30, 2012, the weighted average cost of these advances was 2.00%. Long-term FHLB Advances have maturities ranging from July 2013 through July 2028.

⁽²⁾ This long-term debt consists of trust preferred securities that qualify under the risk-based capital guidelines as Tier 1 capital, subject to certain limitations.

⁽³⁾ This debt carries an adjustable rate of 3.4006% through September 29, 2012 and adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 294 basis points.

⁽⁴⁾ This debt carries a fixed rate of 6.518% through October 30, 2012 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 130 basis points.

- (5) This debt carries a fixed rate of 7.48% through December 15, 2012 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 225 basis points.
- (6) This debt carries an adjustable rate of 2.26685% through August 22, 2012 and thereafter, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 180 basis points.

Table of Contents

During 2010 and 2011, we entered into the option to fund between one and a half and two years forward from the advance commitment date \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB at the rates on the date the option was purchased. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, \$40 million par of long-term advance commitments expired unexercised. We recorded impairment charges of \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, in our income statement. At June 30, 2012, we had \$195,000 in fair value of the FHLB advance option fees on our balance sheet. The remaining fee, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance when we exercise the advance commitments. Should we determine the advance commitments will not be exercised or they are further impaired, the remaining fee will be expensed in the period determination is made.

Below is a table detailing the optional advance commitment terms (dollars in thousands):

 dvance mmitment	Option Expiration Date	Advance Commitment Term at Exercise Date	Advance Commitment Rate	Option Fee Paid	In	npairment	Fair Value Option Fee
\$ 25,000	09/20/12	36 months	1.325%	\$ 1,105	\$	1,093	\$ 12
25,000	09/20/12	48 months	1.674%	1,410		1,403	7
20,000	10/09/12	36 months	1.153%	789		742	47
20,000	10/09/12	48 months	1.466%	1,042		1,008	34
20,000	10/09/12	60 months	1.807%	1,216		1,188	28
20,000	03/18/13	60 months	2.510%	1,528		1,498	30
15,000	03/18/13	36 months	1.622%	828		811	17
15,000	03/18/13	48 months	2.086%	1,017		997	20
\$ 160,000				\$ 8,935	\$	8,740	\$ 195

7. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,								
		Defined	Be	nefit					
	Pension Plan					Restoration Plan			
		2012		2011		2012	2011		
Service cost	\$	868	\$	768	\$	80 \$	87		
Interest cost		1,533		1,490		195	198		
Expected return on assets		(2,058)		(1,941)		_	_		
Net loss recognition		852		581		159	151		
Prior service credit amortization		(21)		(21)		(1)	(1)		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	1,174	\$	877	\$	433 \$	435		

		I nr	ee Months	Enc	ied June 30,		
	Defined	l Be	nefit				
Pension Plan Restoration Pl					Plan		
	2012		2011		2012		2011
\$	403	\$	374	\$	30	\$	44
	770		749		96		98
	(1,029)		(969)		_		_
	\$	Defined Pension 2012 \$ 403 770	Defined Be Pension P 2012 \$ 403 \$ 770	Defined Benefit Pension Plan 2012 2011 \$ 403 \$ 374 770 749	Defined Benefit Pension Plan 2012 2011 \$ 403 \$ 374 \$ 770 749	Pension Plan Restorati 2012 2011 2012 \$ 403 \$ 374 \$ 30 770 749 96	Defined Benefit Pension Plan 2012 2011 2012 \$ 403 \$ 374 \$ 30 \$ 770 749 96

Net loss recognition	437	296	75	70
Prior service credit amortization	(11)	(11)	(1)	_
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 570 \$	439 \$	200 \$	212

Employer Contributions. We previously disclosed in our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, that we expected to contribute \$3.0 million to our defined benefit pension plan and \$80,000 to our restoration plan in 2012. As of June 30, 2012, contributions of \$1.5 million and \$40,000 were made to our defined benefit and restoration plans, respectively.

Table of Contents

8. Share-based Incentive Plans

2009 Incentive Plan (the "2009 Incentive Plan")

On April 16, 2009, our shareholders approved the Southside Bancshares, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan (the "2009 Incentive Plan"), which is a stock-based incentive compensation plan. A total of 1,215,507 shares of our common stock were reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the 2009 Incentive Plan. Under the 2009 Incentive Plan, we were authorized to grant nonqualified stock options ("NQSOs"), restricted stock units ("RSUs") or any combination thereof to certain officers. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we granted RSUs and NQSOs pursuant to the 2009 Incentive Plan.

As of June 30, 2012, there were 130,103 nonvested awards outstanding. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, there was \$94,000 and \$133,000, respectively, share-based compensation expense related to the 2009 Incentive Plan. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, there was \$32,000 and \$46,000, respectively, of income tax benefit related to the stock compensation expense. As of June 30, 2011, there were 214,438 nonvested awards outstanding. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, there was \$26,000 share-based compensation expense and \$9,000 of income tax benefit related to the stock compensation expense.

As of June 30, 2012, there was \$949,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to the 2009 Incentive Plan for the nonvested awards granted in June 2011. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.63 years. There was \$1.6 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the 2009 Incentive Plan as of June 30, 2011.

The fair value of each NQSO granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes method of option pricing with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants in 2011: dividend yield of 3.54%; risk-free interest rate of 2.30%; expected life of 6.5 and 7.0 years for the three-year and four-year vesting schedule, respectively; and expected volatility of 42.07% and 41.50% for the three-year and four-year vesting schedule, respectively.

The NQSOs have contractual terms of 10 years and vest in equal annual installments over either a three- or four-year period.

The fair value of each RSU is the ending stock price on the date of grant. The RSUs vest in equal annual installments over either a three- or four-year period.

Each award is evidenced by an award agreement that specifies the option price, if applicable, the duration of the award, the number of shares to which the award pertains, and such other provisions as the Board determines.

1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan ("the ISO Plan")

In April 1993, we adopted the Southside Bancshares, Inc. 1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan ("the ISO Plan"), a stock-based incentive compensation plan. The ISO Plan expired March 31, 2003. Before the ISO Plan expired, awards were granted to selected employees.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no nonvested shares for the ISO Plan. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there was no stock-based compensation expense related to the ISO Plan.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to the ISO Plan for nonvested options granted in March 2003.

The fair value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes method of option pricing with the following weighted-average assumptions for grants in 2003: dividend yield of 1.93%; risk-free interest rate of 4.93%; expected life of six years; and expected volatility of 28.90%.

Under the ISO Plan, we were authorized to issue shares of common stock pursuant to "Awards" granted in the form of incentive stock options (intended to qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended). Before the ISO Plan expired, awards were granted to selected employees. No stock options have been available for grant under the ISO Plan since its expiration in March 2003.

The ISO Plan provided that the exercise price of any stock option not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The outstanding stock options have contractual terms of 10 years. All options vest on a graded schedule, 20% per year for five years, beginning on the first anniversary date of the grant date.

Shares issued in connection with stock compensation awards are issued from authorized shares and not from treasury shares. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were 9,549 and 880 shares, respectively, issued in connection with stock compensation awards from available authorized shares.

Table of Contents

A combined summary of activity in our share-based plans as of June 30, 2012 is presented below:

		Restricted	Stock Units		Stock Options	}
		Outs	tanding		Outstanding	
			Weighted-		Weighted-	Weighted-
	Shares		Average		Average	Average
	Available	Number	Grant-Date	Number	Exercise	Grant-Date
	for Grant	of Shares	Fair Value	of Shares	Price	Fair Value
Balance, December 31, 2011	1,001,069	35,070	\$18.28	189,857	\$17.82	\$5.59
Granted	_	_	_	_	_	_
Stock options exercised	_	_	_	(1,634) 9.89	_
Stock awards vested	_	(7,759) 18.28	_	_	_
Forfeited	35,077	(8,218) 18.28	(26,859) 18.28	5.59
Canceled/expired	_	_	_	_	_	_
Balance, June 30, 2012	1,036,146	19,093	\$18.28	161,364	\$17.82	\$5.59

Other information regarding options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2012 is as follows:

			Opti	ons Outstanding		Option	s Exe	cisable
			_		Weighted-	_		
			,	Weighted-	Average			Weighted-
				Average	Remaining			Average
		Number		Exercise	Contractual	Number		Exercise
Range	e of Exercise Prices	of Shares		Price	Life in Years	of Shares		Price
\$	9.89 - 9.89	8,855	\$	9.89	0.75	8,855	\$	9.89
	18.28 - 18.28	152,509		18.28	8.94	41,499		18.28
	Total	161,364	\$	17.82	8.49	50,354	\$	16.80

The total intrinsic value (i.e., the amount by which the fair value of the underlying common stock exceeds the exercise price of a stock option on exercise date) of outstanding stock options and exercisable stock options was \$747,000 and \$284,000 at June 30, 2012, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$18,000 and \$7,000, respectively.

Cash received from stock option exercises for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$16,000 and \$9,000, respectively. The tax benefit realized related to the stock option awards was \$11,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The tax benefit realized for the deductions related to the stock option exercises was \$2,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

9. Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced

transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii) knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact and (iv) willing to transact.

Valuation techniques including the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach are utilized to determine fair value. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation policies and procedures are determined by our investment department and reported to our Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") for review. An entity must consider all aspects of nonperforming risk, including the entity's own credit standing when measuring fair value of a liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. A fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Table of Contents

Level 1 Inputs - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Inputs - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

Securities Available for Sale – U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, we obtain fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

Securities Carried at Fair Value through Income – U.S. Treasury securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 inputs. Other securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, we obtain fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

We review the prices quarterly supplied by the independent pricing service for reasonableness and to ensure such prices are aligned with traditional pricing matrices. In addition, we obtain an understanding of their underlying pricing methodologies and their Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements-Reporting on Controls of a Service Organization ("SSAE 16"). We validate prices supplied by the independent pricing service by comparison to prices obtained from, in most cases, four additional third party sources. For securities where prices are outside a reasonable range, we further review those securities to determine what a reasonable price estimate is for that security, given available data.

Certain financial assets are measured at fair value in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that caused the transfer, which generally coincides with our monthly and/or quarterly valuation process. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Loans Held for Sale - These loans are reported at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value is determined based on expected proceeds, which are based on sales contracts and commitments and are considered Level 2 inputs. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, based on our estimates of fair value, no valuation allowance was recognized.

Foreclosed Assets – Foreclosed assets are carried at fair value less costs to sell. The fair value measurements of foreclosed assets can include Level 2 measurement inputs such as real estate appraisals and comparable real estate

sales information, in conjunction with Level 3 measurement inputs such as cash flow projections, qualitative adjustments, sales cost estimates, etc. As a result, the categorization of foreclosed assets is Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. In connection with the measurement and initial recognition of certain foreclosed assets, we may recognize charge-offs through the allowance for loan losses.

Impaired Loans – Certain impaired loans may be reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria or appraisals. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the impact of loans with specific reserves based on the fair value of the collateral was reflected in our allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test. Certain nonfinancial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test, as well as intangible assets and other nonfinancial long-lived assets (such as real estate owned) that are measured at fair value in the event of an impairment. The framework became applicable to these fair value measurements beginning January 1, 2009.

The following tables summarize assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

		Fair Value M		he End of the Repair	porting Perio	od
	Carrying Amount	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gain	
June 30, 2012						
Recurring fair value measurements						
Investment Securities:						
State and Political Subdivisions	\$414,897	\$ -	\$ 414,897	\$ -	\$ -	
Other Stocks and Bonds	3,318	_	2,695	623	(181)
Mortgage-backed Securities:						
U.S. Government Agencies	117,745	_	117,745	_	_	
Government-Sponsored Enterprise	1,087,014	_	1,087,014	_	_	
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$1,622,974	\$ -	\$ 1,622,351	\$ 623	\$ (181)
C						
Nonrecurring fair value measurements						
Foreclosed assets (1)	\$1,348	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,348	\$ (481)
Impaired loans (2)	9,751	_	<u> </u>	9,751	(11)
Total nonrecurring fair value					,	
measurements	\$11,099	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,099	\$ (492)

Fair Value Measurements at the End of the Reporting Period Using

	Quoted		
	Prices		
	in Active		
	Markets	Significant	
	for	Other	Significant
	Identical	Observable	Unobservable
Carrying	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
Amount	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)

December 31, 2011

Recurring fair value measurements

Securities Available for Sale

Investment Securities:				
State and Political Subdivisions	\$ 282,457	\$ _	\$ 282,457	\$ _
Other Stocks and Bonds	499	_	_	499
Mortgage-backed Securities:				
U.S. Government Agencies	107,052	_	107,052	_
Government-Sponsored				
Enterprise	609,074	_	609,074	_
Total available for sale securities	\$ 999,082	\$ _	\$ 998,583	\$ 499
Securities carried at fair value				
through income				
Mortgage-backed Securities:				
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 30,413	\$ _	\$ 30,413	\$ _
Government-Sponsored				
Enterprise	617,346	_	617,346	_
Total securities carried at fair				
value through income	\$ 647,759	\$ _	\$ 647,759	\$ _
Total recurring fair value				
measurements	\$ 1,646,841	\$ _	\$ 1,646,342	\$ 499
Nonrecurring fair value				
measurements				
Foreclosed assets (1)	\$ 775	\$ _	\$ -	\$ 775
Impaired loans (2)	9,731	_	_	9,731
Total nonrecurring fair value				
measurements	\$ 10,506	\$ _	\$ _	\$ 10,506

⁽¹⁾Losses represent related losses on foreclosed properties that were written down subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed properties.

⁽²⁾ Loans represent collateral dependent impaired loans with a specific valuation allowance. Losses on these loans represent charge-offs which are netted against the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

The following tables present additional information about financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which we have utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value (in thousands):

Other Stocks and Bonds		onths Ended ane 30, 2011
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$499	\$189
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings	(181) –
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	305	768
Purchases	_	_
Issuances	_	_
Settlements	_	_
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	_	_
Balance at End of Period	\$623	\$957
	, -	,
The amount of total gains or losses for the periods included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date) \$- Ionths Ended one 30, 2011
Other Stocks and Bonds		
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$658	\$1,005
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings	(40) –
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	5	(48)
Purchases	_	_
Issuances	_	_
Settlements	_	_
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	- *05 7
Balance at End of Period	\$623	\$957
The amount of total gains or losses for the periods included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at reporting date 29	\$(40) \$-

Table of Contents

The following tables present income statement classification of realized and unrealized gains and losses due to changes in fair value recorded in earnings for the periods presented for recurring Level 3 assets, as shown in the previous tables (in thousands):

	Six Months	Ended June 30	, 2012						
	Net Securiti	les Gains	Other Non	int	erest Income				
	(Losses)		(Loss)			Total			
Securities Available for Sale	Realized	Unrealized	Realized		Unrealized	Realized		Unrealized	
Investment securities:									
Other stocks and bonds	\$-	\$-	\$(181)	\$-	\$(181)	\$-	
	Three Mont	hs Ended June	30, 2012						
	Three Mont Net Securiti		*	int	erest Income				
			*	int	erest Income	Total			
Securities Available for Sale	Net Securiti		Other Non	int	erest Income Unrealized	Total Realized		Unrealized	
Securities Available for Sale	Net Securiti (Losses)	es Gains	Other Non (Loss)	int				Unrealized	
Securities Available for Sale Investment securities:	Net Securiti (Losses)	es Gains	Other Non (Loss)	int				Unrealized	
	Net Securiti (Losses)	es Gains	Other Non (Loss)	int)	Unrealized	

The following table presents quantitative information related to the significant unobservable inputs utilized in our Level 3 recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2012. No liabilities were recorded as Level 3 at June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

As of June 30, 2012					
		Valuation	Unobservable		
Securities Available for Sale	Fair Value	Techniques	Input	Range of Inputs	
		Discounted			
		Cash	Constant		
		Flows	prepayment rate	1% - 2	%
Investment securities:			Discount Rate	Libor + 14% - 15	5 %
Other stocks and bonds	\$623		Loss Severity	25% - 100	%

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our trust preferred securities ("TRUPS") included the credit rating downgrades, the severity and duration of the mark-to-market loss, and the structural nuances of each TRUP. Our analysis of the underlying cash flows contemplated various default, deferral and recovery scenarios to arrive at our best estimate of cash flows. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs would result in a significant lower (higher) fair value.

Level 3 assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2012 included loans for which a specific allowance was established based on the fair value of collateral and other real estate for which fair value of the properties was less than the cost basis. For both asset classes, the unobservable inputs were the additional adjustments applied by management to the appraised values to reflect such factors as non-current appraisals and revisions to estimated time to sell. These adjustments are determined based on qualitative judgments made by management on a case-by-case basis and are not quantifiable inputs, although they are used in the determination of fair value.

We reported at fair value through income certain of our mortgage-backed securities with embedded derivatives and purchased at a significant premium, which we defined as greater than 111.111% as opposed to bifurcating the embedded derivative and valuing it on a stand-alone basis, as these embedded derivatives are not readily identifiable and measurable and as such cannot be bifurcated. At June 30, 2012, we had no securities carried at fair value through income. During the first quarter of 2012, we sold all of our securities carried at fair value through income. The sale of these securities resulted in a loss on sale of securities carried at fair value through income of \$498,000. At December 31, 2011, we had \$647.8 million classified as securities carried at fair value through income. The changes in fair value recorded in income was an increase of \$2.5 million and \$4.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value election are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets for which we elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following tables (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Changes in fair value included in net income:		
Mortgage-backed Securities:		
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ -	\$ 50
Government-Sponsored Enterprises	_	4,033
Total	\$ -	\$ 4,083
	Three Months Ended June	
	30,	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2012	2011
Changes in fair value included in net income:		*
Changes in fair value included in net income: Mortgage-backed Securities:		*
		*
Mortgage-backed Securities:	2012	2011

Disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet is required, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other estimation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Such techniques and assumptions, as they apply to individual categories of our financial instruments, are as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents - The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents is a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value.

Investment and mortgage-backed and related securities - Fair values for these securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices for similar securities or estimates from independent pricing services.

FHLB stock and other investments - The carrying amount of FHLB stock is a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value.

Loans receivable - For adjustable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, the carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of those assets' fair value. The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Nonperforming loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or the underlying value of the collateral where applicable.

Deposit liabilities - The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount on demand at the reporting date, that is, the carrying value. Fair values for fixed rate CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements - Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements generally have an original term to maturity of one day and thus are considered short-term borrowings. Consequently, their carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

FHLB advances - The fair value of these advances is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using rates at which advances would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Long-term debt - The carrying amount for the long-term debt is estimated by discounting future cash flows using estimated rates at which long-term debt would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the remaining maturities. This type of debt is issued much less frequently since the economic crisis beginning in 2007. Therefore, the discount rate is a best estimate.

Table of Contents

The following tables present our financial assets, financial liabilities, and unrecognized financial instruments at both their respective carrying amounts and fair value (in thousands):

	Commina	Estimated Fair Value			
June 30, 2012	Carrying Amount	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial Assets	7 timount	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$106,236	\$106,236	\$106,236	\$-	\$ -
Investment securities:	Ψ100,230	Ψ100,230	Ψ100,230	Ψ	Ψ
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	1,010	1,132	_	1,132	_
Mortgage-backed and related securities:	1,010	1,102		1,102	
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	330,138	342,162	_	342,162	_
FHLB stock and other investments, at cost	36,398	36,398	_	36,398	_
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	1,163,006	1,160,201	_		1,160,201
Loans held for sale	1,447	1,447	_	1,447	_
Financial liabilities:					
Retail deposits	\$2,395,872	\$2,399,755	\$-	\$2,399,755	\$-
Federal funds purchased and repurchase					
agreements	1,948	1,948	_	1,948	_
FHLB advances	608,235	613,600	_	613,600	_
Long-term debt	60,311	45,856	_	45,856	_
		Estimated Fair Value			
	Carrying				
December 31, 2011	Carrying Amount	Total	Estimated Level 1	l Fair Value Level 2	Level 3
Financial Assets	Amount		Level 1	Level 2	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents		Total \$43,238			Level 3
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities:	Amount \$43,238	\$43,238	Level 1	Level 2	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost	Amount		Level 1	Level 2	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities:	Amount \$43,238 1,496	\$43,238 1,707	Level 1	Level 2 \$- 1,707	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631	\$43,238 1,707 381,584	Level 1 \$43,238 -	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933	Level 1 \$43,238 - -	Level 2 \$- 1,707	\$- - -
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 -	
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933	Level 1 \$43,238 - -	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584	\$- - -
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale Financial liabilities:	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690 3,552	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298 3,552	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 - 3,552	\$- - - 1,073,298
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale Financial liabilities: Retail deposits	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 -	\$- - -
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale Financial liabilities: Retail deposits Federal funds purchased and repurchase	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690 3,552 \$2,321,671	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298 3,552 \$2,329,243	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 - 3,552 \$2,329,243	\$- - - 1,073,298
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale Financial liabilities: Retail deposits Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690 3,552 \$2,321,671 2,945	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298 3,552 \$2,329,243 2,945	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 - 3,552 \$2,329,243 2,945	\$- - - 1,073,298
Financial Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost Mortgage-backed and related securities: Held to maturity, at amortized cost FHLB stock and other investments, at cost Loans, net of allowance for loan losses Loans held for sale Financial liabilities: Retail deposits Federal funds purchased and repurchase	Amount \$43,238 1,496 365,631 35,933 1,068,690 3,552 \$2,321,671	\$43,238 1,707 381,584 35,933 1,073,298 3,552 \$2,329,243	Level 1 \$43,238	Level 2 \$- 1,707 381,584 35,933 - 3,552 \$2,329,243	\$- - - 1,073,298

As discussed earlier, the fair value estimate of financial instruments for which quoted market prices are unavailable is dependent upon the assumptions used. Consequently, those estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented in the above fair value table do not necessarily represent their underlying value.

The estimated fair value of our commitments to extend credit, credit card arrangements and letters of credit, estimated using Level 3 inputs, was not material at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

10. Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet-Risk. In the normal course of business, we are a party to certain financial instruments, with off-balance-sheet risk, to meet the financing needs of our customers. These off-balance-sheet instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount reflected in the financial statements. The contract or notional amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement and exposure to credit loss that we have in these particular classes of financial instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer provided that the terms established in the contract are met. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and may require payment of fees. Since some commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan commitments to customers.

We had outstanding unused commitments to extend credit of \$138.9 million and \$116.0 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Each commitment has a maturity date and the commitment expires on that date with the exception of credit card and ready reserve commitments, which have no stated maturity date. Unused commitments for credit card and ready reserve at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$12.6 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, and are reflected in the due after one year category. We had outstanding standby letters of credit of \$6.1 million and \$6.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The scheduled maturities of unused commitments as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Unused commitments:		
Due in one year or less	\$ 88,589	\$ 85,737
Due after one year	50,351	30,291
Total	\$ 138,940	\$ 116,028

We apply the same credit policies in making commitments and standby letters of credit as we do for on-balance-sheet instruments. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include cash or cash equivalents, negotiable instruments, real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, oil, gas and mineral interests, property, plant and equipment.

Lease Commitments. We lease certain branch facilities and office equipment under operating leases. It is expected that certain leases will be renewed, or equipment replaced with new leased equipment, as these leases expire.

Securities. In the normal course of business we buy and sell securities. There were \$42.3 million of unsettled trades to purchase and \$1.1 million of unsettled trades to sell securities at June 30, 2012. There were no unsettled trades to sell securities at December 31, 2011. There were \$1.2 million of unsettled trades to purchase securities at December 31, 2011.

Deposits. There were no unsettled issuances of brokered CDs at June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Litigation. We are involved with various litigation in the normal course of business. Management, after consulting with our legal counsel, believes that any liability resulting from litigation will not have a material effect on the financial position and results of operations and our liquidity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion of the consolidated financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of our operations, and should be read and reviewed in conjunction with the financial statements, and the notes thereto, in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We reported a decrease in net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Net income attributable to Southside Bancshares, Inc. for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$7.7 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, compared to \$12.6 million and \$21.0 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements of other than historical fact that are contained in this document and in written material, press releases and oral statements issued by or on behalf of Southside Bancshares, Inc., a bank holding company, may be considered to be "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of and subject to the protections of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, nor should they be relied upon as representing management's views as of any subsequent date. These statements may include words such as "expect," "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "appear," "believe," "could," "should," "may," "intend," "probability," "risk," "target," "objective," "plans," "potential," and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, expectations, objectives, goals, anticipations, assumptions, estimates, intentions and future performance, and are subject to significant known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. For example, discussions of the effect of our expansion, trends in asset quality and earnings from growth, and certain market risk disclosures are based upon information presently available to management and are dependent on choices about key model characteristics and assumptions and are subject to various limitations. By their nature, certain of the market risk disclosures are only estimates and could be materially different from what actually occurs in the future. As a result, actual income gains and losses could materially differ from those that have been estimated. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- general economic conditions, either globally, nationally, in the State of Texas, or in the specific markets in which we operate, including, without limitation, the deterioration of the commercial real estate, residential real estate, construction and development, credit and liquidity markets, which could cause an adverse change in our net interest margin, or a decline in the value of our assets, which could result in realized losses;
- legislation, regulatory changes or changes in monetary or fiscal policy that adversely affect the businesses in which we are engaged, including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve's actions with respect to interest rates and other regulatory responses to current economic conditions;
 - adverse changes in the status or financial condition of the Government-Sponsored Enterprises (the "GSEs") impacting the GSEs' guarantees or ability to pay or issue debt;
- adverse changes in the credit portfolio of other U.S. financial institutions relative to the performance of certain of our investment securities;
 - economic or other disruptions caused by acts of terrorism in the United States, Europe or other areas;
- changes in the interest rate yield curve such as flat, inverted or steep yield curves, or changes in the interest rate environment that impact interest margins and may impact prepayments on the mortgage-backed securities portfolio; increases in our nonperforming assets;
 - our ability to maintain adequate liquidity to fund operations and growth;
 - the failure of our assumptions underlying allowance for loan losses and other estimates;

- unexpected outcomes of, and the costs associated with, existing or new litigation involving us;
 - changes impacting our balance sheet and leverage strategy;
- •risks related to actual U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities prepayments exceeding projected prepayment levels;
- •risks related to U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities prepayments increasing due to U.S. Government programs designed to assist homeowners to refinance their mortgage that might not otherwise have qualified;
 - our ability to monitor interest rate risk;
 - significant increases in competition in the banking and financial services industry;
 - changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits;
 - technological changes;
 - our ability to increase market share and control expenses;
 - the effect of changes in federal or state tax laws;
 - the effect of compliance with legislation or regulatory changes;

Table of Contents

- the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices;
- •risks of mergers and acquisitions including the related time and cost of implementing transactions and the potential failure to achieve expected gains, revenue growth or expense savings;
 - credit risks of borrowers, including any increase in those risks due to changing economic conditions; and
- •risks related to loans secured by real estate, including the risk that the value and marketability of collateral could decline.

All written or oral forward-looking statements made by us or attributable to us are expressly qualified by this cautionary notice. We disclaim any obligation to update any factors or to announce publicly the result of revisions to any of the forward-looking statements included herein to reflect future events or developments.

Impact of Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a significant overhaul of many aspects of the regulation of the financial services industry, although some of its provisions apply to companies that are significantly larger than us. The Dodd-Frank Act directs applicable regulatory authorities to promulgate regulations implementing its provisions, and its effect on us and the financial services industry as a whole will be clarified as those regulations are issued. Major elements of the Dodd-Frank Act include:

- •A permanent increase in deposit insurance coverage to \$250,000 per account, unlimited deposit insurance on noninterest bearing transaction accounts beginning December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012, and an increase in the minimum Deposit Insurance Fund reserve requirement from 1.15% to 1.35%, with assessments to be based on assets as opposed to deposits;
 - New disclosure and other requirements relating to executive compensation and corporate governance;
- New prohibitions and restrictions on the ability of a banking entity and nonbank financial company to engage in proprietary trading and have certain interests in, or relationships with, a hedge fund or private equity fund;
- Amendments to the Truth in Lending Act aimed at improving consumer protections with respect to mortgage originations, including originator compensation, minimum repayment standards, and prepayment considerations;
- The establishment of the Financial Stability Oversight Council, which will be responsible for identifying and monitoring systemic risks posed by financial firms, activities, and practices;
 - The development of regulations to limit debit card interchange fees;
 - The future elimination of newly issued trust preferred securities as a permitted element of Tier 1 capital;
- The creation of a special regime to allow for the orderly liquidation of systemically important financial companies, including the establishment of an orderly liquidation fund;
- •The development of regulations to address derivatives markets, including clearing and exchange trading requirements and a framework for regulating derivatives-market participants;
 - Enhanced supervision of credit rating agencies through the Office of Credit Ratings within the SEC;
- Increased regulation of asset-backed securities, including a requirement that issuers of asset-backed securities retain at least 5% of the risk of the asset-backed securities; and
- The establishment of a Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection with centralized authority, including examination and enforcement authority, for consumer protection in the banking industry.

Regulatory agencies are still in the process of issuing regulations, rules and reporting requirements as mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act. As a result, we are continuing to evaluate the potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our business, financial condition and results of operations and expect that some provisions may have adverse effects on us, such as the cost of complying with the numerous new regulations and reporting requirements mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our accounting and reporting estimates conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We consider our critical accounting policies to include the following:

Allowance for Losses on Loans. The allowance for losses on loans represents our best estimate of probable losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for losses on loans is increased by the provision for losses on loans charged to expense and reduced by loans charged-off, net of recoveries. The provision for losses on loans is determined based on our assessment of several factors: reviews and evaluations of specific loans, changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and the related impact on specific borrowers and industry groups, historical loan loss experience, the level of classified and nonperforming loans and the results of regulatory examinations.

Table of Contents

The loan loss allowance is based on the most current review of the loan portfolio and is validated by multiple processes. The servicing officer has the primary responsibility for updating significant changes in a customer's financial position. Each officer prepares status updates on any credit deemed to be experiencing repayment difficulties which, in the officer's opinion, would place the collection of principal or interest in doubt. Our internal loan review department is responsible for an ongoing review of our loan portfolio with specific goals set for the loans to be reviewed on an annual basis.

At each review, a subjective analysis methodology is used to grade the respective loan. Categories of grading vary in severity from loans that do not appear to have a significant probability of loss at the time of review to loans that indicate a probability that the entire balance of the loan will be uncollectible. If full collection of the loan balance appears unlikely at the time of review, estimates of future expected cash flows or appraisals of the collateral securing the debt are used to allocate the necessary allowances. The internal loan review department maintains a list of all loans or loan relationships that are graded as having more than the normal degree of risk associated with them. In addition, a list of specifically reserved loans or loan relationships of \$50,000 or more is updated on a quarterly basis in order to properly allocate necessary allowance and keep management informed on the status of attempts to correct the deficiencies noted with respect to the loan.

Loans are considered impaired if, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The measurement of impaired loans is generally based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate stipulated in the loan agreement, except that all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral. In measuring the fair value of the collateral, in addition to relying on third party appraisals, we use assumptions such as discount rates, and methodologies, such as comparison to the recent selling price of similar assets, consistent with those that would be utilized by unrelated third parties performing a valuation.

Changes in the financial condition of individual borrowers, economic conditions, historical loss experience and the conditions of the various markets in which collateral may be sold all may affect the required level of the allowance for losses on loans and the associated provision for loan losses.

As of June 30, 2012, our review of the loan portfolio indicated that a loan loss allowance of \$20.2 million was adequate to cover probable losses in the portfolio.

Refer to "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Loan Loss Experience and Allowance for Loan Losses" and "Note 7 – Loans and Allowance for Probable Loan Losses" of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a detailed description of our estimation process and methodology related to the allowance for loan losses.

Estimation of Fair Value. The estimation of fair value is significant to a number of our assets and liabilities. In addition, GAAP requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments as a part of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Fair values for securities are volatile and may be influenced by a number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates and the shape of yield curves. Fair values for most investment and mortgage-backed securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on the quoted prices of similar instruments or estimates from independent pricing services. Where there are price variances outside certain ranges from different pricing services for specific securities, those pricing variances are reviewed with other market data to determine which of the price estimates is appropriate for that period. For securities carried at fair value through income, the change in fair value from the prior period is recorded on our income statement as fair value gain (loss) – securities.

At September 30, 2008 and continuing at June 30, 2012, the valuation inputs for our AFS trust preferred securities ("TRUPs") became unobservable as a result of the significant market dislocation and illiquidity in the marketplace. Although we continue to rely on nonbinding prices compiled by third party vendors, the visibility of the observable market data (Level 2) to determine the values of these securities has become less clear. Fair values of financial assets are determined in an orderly transaction and not a forced liquidation or distressed sale at the measurement date. While we feel the financial market conditions at June 30, 2012 reflect the market illiquidity from forced liquidation or distressed sales for these TRUPs, we determined that the fair value provided by our pricing service continues to be an appropriate fair value for financial statement measurement and therefore, as we verified the reasonableness of that fair value, we have not otherwise adjusted the fair value provided by our vendor. However, the severe decline in estimated fair value is caused by the significant illiquidity in this market which contrasts sharply with our assessment of the fundamental performance of these securities. Therefore, we believe the estimate of fair value is still not clearly based on observable market data and will be based on a range of fair value data points from the market place as a result of the illiquid market specific to this type of security. Accordingly, we determined that the TRUPs security valuation is based on Level 3 inputs.

Table of Contents

Impairment of Investment Securities and Mortgage-backed Securities. Investment and mortgage-backed securities classified as AFS are carried at fair value and the impact of changes in fair value are recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as an unrealized gain or loss in "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)," a separate component of shareholders' equity. Securities classified as AFS or HTM are subject to our review to identify when a decline in value is other-than-temporary. Factors considered in determining whether a decline in value is other-than-temporary include: whether the decline is substantial; the duration of the decline; the reasons for the decline in value; whether the decline is related to a credit event, a change in interest rate or a change in the market discount rate; and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its amortized cost basis. When it is determined that a decline in value is other-than-temporary, the carrying value of the security is reduced to its estimated fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings for the credit portion and the noncredit portion to other comprehensive income. For certain assets we consider expected cash flows of the investment in determining if impairment exists.

The turmoil in the capital markets had a significant impact on our estimate of fair value for certain of our securities. We believe the fair values are reflective of a combination of illiquidity and credit impairment. At June 30, 2012 we have in AFS Other Stocks and Bonds \$2.7 million amortized cost basis in pooled TRUPs. Those securities are structured products with cash flows dependent upon securities issued by U.S. financial institutions, including banks and insurance companies. Our estimate of fair value at June 30, 2012 for the TRUPs is approximately \$623,000 and reflects the market illiquidity. With the exception of the TRUPs, to the best of management's knowledge and based on our consideration of the qualitative factors associated with each security, there were no securities in our investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 with an other-than-temporary impairment. Given the facts and circumstances associated with the TRUPs, we performed detailed cash flow modeling for each TRUP using an industry accepted model. Prior to loading the required assumptions into the model, we reviewed the financial condition of the underlying issuing banks within the TRUP collateral pool that had not deferred or defaulted as of June 30, 2012.

Management's best estimate of a default assumption, based on a third party method, was assigned to each issuing bank based on the category in which it fell. Our analysis of the underlying cash flows contemplated various default, deferral and recovery scenarios to arrive at our best estimate of cash flows. Based on that detailed analysis, we have concluded that the other-than-temporary impairment which captures the credit component in compliance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 320, "Investments – Debt and Equity Securities," was estimated at \$3.3 million at June 30, 2012 and \$3.1 million at December 31, 2011, respectively. The noncredit charge to other comprehensive income was estimated at \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying amount of the TRUPs was written down with \$181,000 recognized in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2012. There was no write-down required during the year ended December 31, 2011. The cash flow model assumptions represent management's best estimate and consider a variety of qualitative factors, which include, among others, the credit rating downgrades, severity and duration of the mark-to-market loss, and structural nuances of each TRUP. Management believes the detailed review of the collateral and cash flow modeling support the conclusion that the TRUPs had an other-than-temporary impairment at June 30, 2012. We will continue to update our assumptions and the resulting analysis each reporting period to reflect changing market conditions. Additionally, we do not currently intend to sell the TRUPs and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the TRUPs before the anticipated recovery of their amortized cost basis.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan. The plan obligations and related assets of our defined benefit pension plan (the "Plan") are presented in "Note 13 – Employee Benefits" of the Notes to Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Entry into the Plan by new employees was frozen effective December 31, 2005. Plan assets, which consist primarily of marketable equity and debt instruments, are valued using observable

market quotations. Plan obligations and the annual pension expense are determined by independent actuaries and through the use of a number of assumptions that are reviewed by management. Key assumptions in measuring the plan obligations include the discount rate, the rate of salary increases and the estimated future return on plan assets. In determining the discount rate, we utilized a cash flow matching analysis to determine a range of appropriate discount rates for our defined benefit pension and restoration plans. In developing the cash flow matching analysis, we constructed a portfolio of high quality noncallable bonds (rated AA- or better) to match as close as possible the timing of future benefit payments of the plans at December 31, 2011. Based on this cash flow matching analysis, we were able to determine an appropriate discount rate.

Salary increase assumptions are based upon historical experience and our anticipated future actions. The expected long-term rate of return assumption reflects the average return expected based on the investment strategies and asset allocation on the assets invested to provide for the Plan's liabilities. We considered broad equity and bond indices, long-term return projections, and actual long-term historical Plan performance when evaluating the expected long-term rate of return assumption. At June 30, 2012, the weighted-average actuarial assumptions of the Plan were: a discount rate of 4.84%; a long-term rate of return on Plan assets of 7.25%; and assumed salary increases of 4.50%. Material changes in pension benefit costs may occur in the future due to changes in these assumptions. Future annual amounts could be impacted by changes in the number of Plan participants, changes in the level of benefits provided, changes in the discount rates, changes in the expected long-term rate of return, changes in the level of contributions to the Plan and other factors.

Table of Contents

Long-term Advance Commitments. During 2011 and 2010, we entered into the option to fund between one and a half and two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$40 million par of long-term commitments expired unexercised. A table detailing the optional advance commitment terms is presented in "Note 6 – Long-Term Obligations" to our consolidated financial statements included in this report. In order to obtain these commitments from the FHLB we paid fees of \$10.95 million, which at June 30, 2012 had been impaired and the carrying value on the balance sheet was \$195,000. The remaining fee, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance upon exercise of the advance commitments. If any of the options are impaired, then the amount of the impairment on that option will be charged against income during the period it occurs. In determining if it is still probable that we will exercise the advance commitments quarterly, we compare all the costs of the advance commitment with the current advance rate available from the FHLB. If the current advance rate is reasonably close to or greater than the advance commitment rate then it is probable we will exercise our option. If the current rate is less, then we review the slope of the yield curve to determine if the forward yield curve supports our assumption that it is probable we will exercise the advance commitments. If the current rate is less and the forward yield curve does not support our assumption that it is probable we will exercise the advance commitments, then we value the option to determine if it is impaired and if so record the impairment in that period.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies

Details of our off-balance-sheet arrangements, commitments and contingencies as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are included in "Note 10 – Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements, Commitments and Contingencies" in the accompanying Notes to Financial Statements included in this report.

Balance Sheet Strategy

We utilize wholesale funding and securities to enhance our profitability and balance sheet composition by determining acceptable levels of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk consistent with prudent capital management. This balance sheet strategy consists of borrowing a combination of long and short-term funds from the FHLB and, when determined appropriate, issuing brokered CDs. These funds are invested primarily in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, and to a lesser extent, long-term municipal securities. Although U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities often carry lower yields than traditional mortgage loans and other types of loans we make, these securities generally (i) increase the overall quality of our assets because of either the implicit or explicit guarantees of the U.S. Government, (ii) are more liquid than individual loans and (iii) may be used to collateralize our borrowings or other obligations. While the strategy of investing a substantial portion of our assets in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities and to a lesser extent municipal securities has historically resulted in lower interest rate spreads and margins, we believe that the lower operating expenses and reduced credit risk combined with the managed interest rate risk of this strategy have enhanced our overall profitability over the last several years. At this time, we utilize this balance sheet strategy with the goal of enhancing overall profitability by maximizing the use of our capital.

Risks associated with the asset structure we maintain include a lower net interest rate spread and margin when compared to our peers, changes in the slope of the yield curve, which can reduce our net interest rate spread and margin, increased interest rate risk, the length of interest rate cycles, changes in volatility spreads associated with the mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities, the unpredictable nature of mortgage-backed securities prepayments and credit risks associated with the municipal securities. See "Part I - Item 1A. Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a discussion of risks related to interest rates. Our asset structure, net interest spread and net interest margin require us to closely monitor our interest rate risk. An additional risk is the change in fair value of the AFS securities portfolio as a result of changes in interest rates. Significant increases in interest rates, especially long-term interest rates, could adversely

impact the fair value of the AFS securities portfolio, which could also significantly impact our equity capital. Due to the unpredictable nature of mortgage-backed securities prepayments, the length of interest rate cycles, and the slope of the interest rate yield curve, net interest income could fluctuate more than simulated under the scenarios modeled by our ALCO and described under "Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in this report.

Determining the appropriate size of the balance sheet is one of the critical decisions any bank makes. Our balance sheet is not merely the result of a series of micro-decisions, but rather the size is controlled based on the economics of assets compared to the economics of funding. The current low interest rate environment and investment and economic landscape makes it uncertain whether we will experience significant asset growth driven by an increase in the securities portfolio over the near term.

Table of Contents

The management of our securities portfolio as a percentage of earning assets is guided by changes in our overall loan and deposit levels, combined with changes in our wholesale funding levels. If adequate quality loan growth is not available to achieve our goal of enhancing profitability by maximizing the use of capital, as described above, then we could purchase additional securities, if appropriate, which could cause securities as a percentage of earning assets to increase. Should we determine that increasing the securities portfolio or replacing the current securities maturities and principal payments is not an efficient use of capital, we could decrease the level of securities through proceeds from maturities, principal payments on mortgage-backed securities or sales. Our balance sheet strategy is designed such that our securities portfolio should help mitigate financial performance associated with slower loan growth and higher credit costs.

The quarter ended June 30, 2012 was marked by proactive management of the securities portfolio which included restructuring a portion of the portfolio. During the first quarter we sold all of our securities carried at fair value through income as management determined it did not want additional, potentially significant, swings in net income associated with fair value changes for these securities. The sale of these securities resulted in a loss on sale of securities carried at fair value of \$498,000. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, as interest rates remained low and prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities increased, we continued to sell primarily lower yielding, longer duration municipal securities and more prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities and replaced them with primarily shorter duration municipal securities and potentially less prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities that might perform better in both the current and potentially higher interest rate environment in the future. The sale of these securities resulted in a gain on the sale of available for sale securities of \$3.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the size of the securities portfolio increased to a level similar to the combined securities portfolio at December 31, 2011. The net result was an increase in our investment and U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities from \$1.78 billion at March 31, 2012, to \$1.95 billion at June 30, 2012. The average coupon of the mortgage-backed securities portfolio decreased to 5.5% at June 30, 2012 from 6.12% at December 31, 2011 as a result of the sale of the securities carried at fair value through income combined with reinvestment into coupons on average below 6.00%. At June 30, 2012, securities as a percentage of assets increased to 57.5%, when compared to 55.2% at March 31, 2012 and decreased when compared to 61.0% at December 31, 2011. Our balance sheet management strategy is dynamic and will be continually reevaluated as market conditions warrant. As interest rates, yield curves, mortgage-backed securities prepayments, funding costs, security spreads and loan and deposit portfolios change, our determination of the proper types and maturities of securities to own, proper amount of securities to own and funding needs and funding sources will continue to be reevaluated. Should the economics of asset accumulation decrease, we might allow the balance sheet to shrink through run-off or asset sales. However, should the economics become more attractive, we will strategically increase the balance sheet.

With respect to liabilities, we will, to a much lesser extent, continue to utilize a combination of FHLB advances and deposits to achieve our strategy of minimizing cost while achieving overall interest rate risk objectives as well as the liability management objectives of the ALCO. FHLB funding and brokered CDs represent wholesale funding sources we are currently utilizing. Our FHLB borrowings at June 30, 2012 increased 34.1%, or \$154.8 million, to \$608.2 million from \$453.5 million at March 31, 2012 primarily as a result of an increase in the securities portfolio. During 2010 and 2011 we entered into the option to purchase, between one and a half and two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$40 million par of long-term commitments expired unexercised. As of June 30, 2012 we had \$61.9 million in brokered CDs of which all were long-term. All of the long-term brokered CDs, except for one \$5.0 million CD, have short-term calls that we control. We utilized long-term callable brokered CDs because the brokered CDs better matched overall ALCO objectives at the time of issuance by protecting us with fixed rates should interest rates increase, while providing us options to call the funding should interest rates decrease. We are actively evaluating the callable brokered CDs and may exercise the call option if there is an economic benefit. Our wholesale funding policy currently allows maximum

brokered CDs of \$180 million; however, this amount could be increased to match changes in ALCO objectives. The potential higher interest expense and lack of customer loyalty are risks associated with the use of brokered CDs. During 2012 and 2011, overall growth in deposits resulted in a decrease in our total wholesale funding as a percentage of deposits, not including brokered CDs, to 28.7% at June 30, 2012, from 33.6% at June 30, 2011 and 36.4% at December 31, 2011.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is one of the principal sources of a financial institution's earnings stream and represents the difference or spread between interest and fee income generated from interest earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates or interest rate yield curves, as well as repricing characteristics and volume and changes in the mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, materially impact net interest income.

Net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$46.5 million, a decrease of \$285,000, or 0.6%, compared to the same period in 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, total interest income decreased \$4.5 million, or 6.8%, to \$61.2 million compared to \$65.6 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease in total interest income was the result of a decrease in the average yield on average interest earning assets from 5.01% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 4.32% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 which more than offset the increase in average interest earning assets of \$218.0 million, or 7.7%, from \$2.85 billion to \$3.06 billion. Total interest expense decreased \$4.2 million, or 22.3%, to \$14.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$18.8 million during the same period in 2011. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in the average yield on interest bearing liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, to 1.21% from 1.62% for the same period in 2011, which more than offset the increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$95.8 million, or 4.1%, from \$2.34 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$2.44 billion for the same period in 2012.

Table of Contents

Net interest income decreased during the three months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011 primarily as a result of a decrease in interest income which was primarily driven by a decrease in mortgage-backed and related securities investment income. Our average interest earning assets during this period increased \$268.7 million, or 9.4%. The decrease in the average yield on interest bearing liabilities of 45 basis points is a result of an overall decrease in interest rates compared to the same period in 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, our net interest spread and net interest margin decreased to 2.98% and 3.21%, respectively, from 3.52% and 3.80% when compared to the same period in 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, average loans increased \$78.1 million, or 7.4%, compared to the same period in 2011. 1-4 Family Residential loans represent a large part of this increase. The average yield on loans decreased from 6.91% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 6.39% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in interest income on loans of \$105,000, or 0.3%, to \$34.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to \$34.4 million for the same period in 2011 was the result of a decrease in the average yield which more than offset the increase in the average balance. The decrease in the yield on loans was due to overall lower interest rates. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, average loans increased \$115.4 million, or 11.0%, to \$1.17 billion, when compared to \$1.05 billion for the same period in 2011. The average yield on loans decreased from 6.91% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 6.37% for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Due to the competitive loan pricing environment, we anticipate that we may be required to continue to offer lower interest rate loans that compete with those offered by other financial institutions in order to retain quality loan relationships. Offering lower interest rate loans could impact the overall loans yield and, therefore, profitability.

Average investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$133.5 million, or 7.7%, from \$1.74 billion to \$1.88 billion, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. At June 30, 2012, virtually all of our mortgage-backed securities were fixed rate securities with less than three percent variable rate mortgage-backed securities. The overall yield on average investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased to 3.17% during the six months ended June 30, 2012, from 3.96% during the same period in 2011. The decrease in the average yield primarily reflects an increase in prepayments on the mortgage-backed securities during 2012 when compared to 2011, the purchase of lower yielding securities when compared to both those securities paying off and securities carried at fair value through income that were sold and the lower interest rate environment during 2012 when compared to 2011. Interest income on investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased \$4.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, or 14.1%, compared to the same period in 2011 due to a decrease in the average vield which more than offset the increase in the average balance. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, average investment and mortgage-backed securities increased \$145.2 million, or 8.2%, to \$1.92 billion, when compared to \$1.77 billion for the same period in 2011. The overall yield on average investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased to 2.80% during the three months ended June 30, 2012, from 4.09% during the same period in 2011 primarily as a result of an increase in prepayments which increased the amortization expense. Interest income from investment and mortgage-backed securities decreased \$4.7 million, or 28.3%, to \$11.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$16.5 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease in the average yield primarily reflects an increase in prepayments on the mortgage-backed securities during 2012 when compared to 2011, the purchase of lower yielding securities when compared to both those securities paying off and securities carried at fair value through income to the overall lower interest rate environment in 2012 when compared to 2011. The decrease in interest income for the three months is due to a decrease in the average yield which more than offset the increase in the average balance.

Average FHLB stock and other investments increased \$4.2 million, or 13.7%, to \$34.6 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to \$30.4 million for the same period in 2011 due to an increase in FHLB advances during 2012 and the corresponding requirement to hold stock associated with those advances. Interest income from our FHLB stock and other investments increased \$1,000, or 0.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the same period in 2011 due to an increase in the average balance which more than offset the

decrease in the average yield from 0.88% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to 0.77% for the same period in 2012. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, average FHLB stock and other investments increased \$6.9 million, or 24.3%, to \$35.2 million, when compared to \$28.3 million for the same period in 2011. The FHLB stock is a variable instrument with the rate typically tied to the federal funds rate. We are required as a member of FHLB to own a specific amount of stock that changes as the level of our FHLB advances and asset size change. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, interest income from FHLB stock and other investments increased \$2,000, or 3.8%, to \$54,000, when compared to \$52,000 for the same period in 2011 as a result of the increase in the average balance, which more than offset the decrease in the average yield from 0.74% in 2011 to 0.62% in 2012.

Average interest earning deposits increased \$3.7 million, or 33.4%, to \$14.8 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to \$11.1 million for the same period in 2011. Interest income from interest earning deposits increased \$2,000, or 15.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the same period in 2011, as a result of the increase in the average balance which more than offset the decrease in the average yield from 0.24% in 2011 to 0.20% in 2012. Average interest earning deposits increased \$2.1 million, or 34.8%, to \$8.2 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to \$6.1 million for the same period in 2011. Interest income from interest earning deposits increased \$6,000, or 200.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the same period in 2011, as a result of an increase in the average balance and an increase in the average yield from 0.20% in 2011 to 0.44% in 2012.

Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, our average securities increased more than our average loans compared to the same period in 2011. The mix of our average interest earning assets reflected no change in average total securities as a percentage of total average interest earning assets compared to the prior period as securities averaged 62.3% during the same period in 2011. Average loans decreased to 37.2% of average total interest earning assets and other interest earning asset categories averaged 0.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. During 2011, the comparable mix was 37.3% in loans and 0.4% in the other interest earning asset categories.

Total interest expense decreased \$4.2 million, or 22.3%, to \$14.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$18.8 million during the same period in 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to decreased funding costs as the average yield on interest bearing liabilities decreased from 1.62% for 2011 to 1.21% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, which more than offset an increase in average interest bearing liabilities. The increase in average interest bearing liabilities of \$95.8 million, or 4.1% included an increase in deposits of \$51.3 million, or 3.0%, an increase in short-term advances of \$72.8 million, or 30.4%, offset by a decrease in long-term FHLB advances of \$28.2 million, or 8.9%. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, total interest expense decreased \$2.3 million, or 24.7%, to \$6.9 million, compared to \$9.2 million for the same period in 2011, as a result of a decrease in the average yield while partially offset by an increase in the average balance on interest bearing liabilities. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$142.5 million, or 6.1%, while the average yield decreased from 1.57% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 to 1.12% for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Average interest bearing deposits increased \$51.3 million, or 3.0%, from \$1.72 billion to \$1.78 billion, while the average rate paid decreased from 0.95% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to 0.70% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, average interest bearing deposits increased \$10.7 million, or 0.6%, to \$1.74 billion, when compared to \$1.73 billion for the same period in 2011, while the average rate paid decreased from 0.94% for the three month period ended June 30, 2011 to 0.64% for the three month period ended June 30, 2012. Average time deposits decreased \$35.1 million, or 4.1%, from \$856.9 million to \$821.8 million and the average rate paid decreased to 1.07% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to 1.35% for the same period in 2011. Average interest bearing demand deposits increased \$75.1 million, or 9.6%, while the average rate paid decreased to 0.40% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to 0.57% for the same period in 2011. Average savings deposits increased \$11.3 million, or 13.6%, while the average rate paid decreased to 0.16% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to 0.29% for the same period in 2011. Interest expense for interest bearing deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2012, decreased \$1.9 million, or 23.8%, when compared to the same period in 2011 due to the decrease in the average yield which more than offset the increase in the average balance. Average noninterest bearing demand deposits increased \$99.1 million, or 22.1%, during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The latter three categories, which are considered the lowest cost deposits, comprised 64.6% of total average deposits during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 60.6% during the same period in 2011. The increase in our average total deposits is primarily the result of an increase in deposits from municipalities and, to a lesser extent, deposit growth due to branch expansion and continued market penetration.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we issued \$5.0 million of long-term brokered CDs. All of the long-term brokered CDs, except for one \$5.0 million CD, have short-term calls that we control. When we utilize long-term callable brokered CDs it is because the brokered CDs better match overall ALCO objectives at the time of issuance by protecting us with fixed rates should interest rates increase, while providing us options to call the funding should interest rates decrease. At June 30, 2012, we had \$61.9 million in brokered CDs that represented 2.6% of deposits compared to \$163.8 million, or 7.1%, of deposits at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, all of the brokered CDs had maturities of less than six years. Our wholesale funding policy currently allows maximum brokered CDs of \$180 million; however, this amount could be increased to match changes in ALCO objectives. We have been actively calling our brokered CDs and replacing them with long-term FHLB advances which has allowed us to both extend the maturities and lower the funding costs. The potential higher interest cost and lack of customer loyalty are risks associated with the use of brokered CDs.

Average short-term interest bearing liabilities, consisting primarily of FHLB advances, federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements, were \$311.9 million, an increase of \$72.8 million, or 30.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense associated with short-term interest bearing liabilities decreased \$108,000, or 3.1%, and the average rate paid decreased 76 basis points to 2.14% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to 2.90% for the same period in 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, average short-term interest bearing liabilities increased \$108.2 million, or 41.8%, when compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense associated with short-term interest bearing liabilities increased \$29,000, or 1.7%, and the average rate paid decreased to 1.90% for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 when compared to 2.64% for the same period in 2011. The decrease in the average rate paid was due to the change in the mix between long-term FHLB advances rolling into the short-term FHLB advances category and short-term advances taken out with less than 30 day maturities. The increase in the interest expense was due to an increase in the average balance which more than offset the decrease in the average rate paid.

Table of Contents

Average long-term interest bearing liabilities consisting of FHLB advances decreased \$28.2 million, or 8.9%, during the six months ended June 30, 2012 to \$289.7 million as compared to \$318.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense associated with long-term FHLB advances decreased \$2.2 million, or 38.6%, and the average rate paid decreased 118 basis points for the six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, long-term interest bearing liabilities increased \$23.6 million, or 8.2%, when compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense associated with long-term FHLB advances decreased \$1.0 million, or 39.2%, and the average rate paid decreased to 2.03% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to 3.60% for the same period in 2011. The increase in the average long-term FHLB advances is due primarily to the purchase of long-term advances during the first six months of 2012 which more than offset the advances classified as long-term at December 31, 2011 rolling into the short-term category. In addition, as \$40 million of the \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB expired, long-term advances at rates below the advance commitment rates that expired were taken out. During 2011 and 2010, we entered into the option to fund between one and a half years and two years forward from the advance commitment date, \$200 million par in long-term advance commitments from the FHLB at the FHLB rates on the date the option was purchased. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$40 million par of long-term commitments expired unexercised. In order to obtain these commitments from the FHLB, we paid fees, of \$10.95 million. During the third quarter of 2011, the value of the FHLB advance option fees became impaired. They were further impaired during the fourth quarter of 2011 resulting in a total charge of \$8.92 million in 2011. During the first six months of 2012, the FHLB advance option fees were impaired an additional \$1.84 million. At June 30, 2012, the remaining FHLB advance option fees on the balance sheet were \$195,000. The remaining FHLB advance option fees, included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheet, will be amortized over the term of the advance when we exercise the advance commitments. Should we determine the advance commitments will not be exercised, or they are further impaired, the fee will be expensed in the period determination is made. FHLB advances are collateralized by FHLB stock, securities and nonspecific real estate loans.

Average long-term debt, consisting of our junior subordinated debentures issued in 2003 and August 2007 and junior subordinated debentures acquired in the purchase of FWBS, was \$60.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Interest expense associated with long-term debt increased \$36,000, or 2.2% to \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the same period in 2011, as a result of an increase in the average yield of 11 basis points during the six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. Interest expense was \$825,000 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2012, an increase of \$11,000, or 1.4%, when compared to the same period in 2011, as a result of an increase in the average yield of 9 basis points. The interest rate on the \$20.6 million of long-term debentures issued to Southside Statutory Trust III adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 294 basis points. The \$23.2 million of long-term debentures issued to Southside Statutory Trust V have fixed rates of 6.518% through October 30, 2012 and 7.48% through December 15, 2012, respectively, and thereafter, adjust quarterly. The interest rate on the \$3.6 million of long-term debentures issued to Magnolia Trust Company I, assumed in the purchase of FWBS, adjusts quarterly at a rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 180 basis points.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The analysis below shows average interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities together with the average yield on the interest earning assets and the average cost of the interest bearing liabilities.

AVERAGE BALANCES AND YIELDS

(dollars in thousands) (unaudited) Six Months Ended

	June 30, 2012			June 30, 2011						
	AVG		AVG		AVG		AVG			
	BALANCE	INTEREST	YIELD		BALANCE	INTEREST	YIELD)		
ASSETS										
INTEREST EARNING										
ASSETS:										
Loans (1) (2)	\$1,137,397	\$36,132	6.39	%	\$1,059,313	\$36,281	6.91	%		
Loans Held For Sale	1,637	31	3.81	%	3,106	68	4.41	%		
Securities:										
Investment Securities										
(Taxable)(4)	5,167	51	1.98	%	7,058	38	1.09	%		
Investment Securities										
(Tax-Exempt)(3)(4)	278,435	8,473	6.12	%	302,421	9,564	6.38	%		
Mortgage-backed and Related										
Securities (4)	1,592,499	21,035	2.66	%	1,433,080	24,607	3.46	%		
Total Securities	1,876,101	29,559	3.17	%	1,742,559	34,209	3.96	%		
FHLB stock and other										
investments, at cost	34,553	133	0.77	%	30,390	132	0.88	%		
Interest Earning Deposits	14,750	15	0.20	%	11,054	13	0.24	%		
Total Interest Earning Assets	3,064,438	65,870	4.32	%	2,846,422	70,703	5.01	%		
NONINTEREST EARNING										
ASSETS:										
Cash and Due From Banks	42,004				44,511					
Bank Premises and Equipment	50,551				50,514					
Other Assets	167,295				121,472					
Less: Allowance for Loan Loss	(19,501)				(19,657)					
Total Assets	\$3,304,787				\$3,043,262					
LIABILITIES AND										
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY										
INTEREST BEARING										
LIABILITIES:										
Savings Deposits	\$94,647	73	0.16	%	\$83,343	118	0.29	%		
Time Deposits	821,752	4,371	1.07	%	856,860	5,744	1.35	%		
Interest Bearing Demand										
Deposits	859,343	1,716	0.40	%	784,228	2,225	0.57	%		
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,775,742	6,160	0.70	%	1,724,431	8,087	0.95	%		
Short-term Interest Bearing										
Liabilities	311,948	3,326	2.14	%	239,179	3,434	2.90	%		
Long-term Interest Bearing										
Liabilities – FHLB Dallas	289,743	3,476	2.41	%	317,985	5,663	3.59	%		

Long-term Debt (5)	60,311	1,655	5.52	%	60,311	1,619	5.41	%
Total Interest Bearing								
Liabilities	2,437,744	14,617	1.21	%	2,341,906	18,803	1.62	%
NONINTEREST BEARING								
LIABILITIES:								
Demand Deposits	547,150				448,073			
Other Liabilities	53,926				26,384			
Total Liabilities	3,038,820				2,816,363			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
(6)	265,967				226,899			
Total Liabilities and								
Shareholders' Equity	\$3,304,787				\$3,043,262			
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$51,253				\$51,900		
NET INTEREST MARGIN								
ON AVERAGE EARNING								
ASSETS			3.36	%			3.68	%
NET INTEREST SPREAD			3.11	%			3.39	%

- (1) Interest on loans includes fees on loans that are not material in amount.
- (2) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$1,867 and \$1,948 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (3) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$2,845 and \$3,126 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (4) For the purpose of calculating the average yield, the average balance of securities is presented at historical cost.
- (5) Represents junior subordinated debentures issued by us to Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, and V in connection with the issuance by Southside Statutory Trust III of \$20 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust IV of \$22.5 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust V of \$12.5 million of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated debentures issued by FWBS to Magnolia Trust Company I in connection with the issuance by Magnolia Trust Company I of \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities.
- (6) Includes average equity of noncontrolling interest of \$1,788 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Note: As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, loans totaling \$10,077 and \$13,208, respectively, were on nonaccrual status. The policy is to reverse previously accrued but unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans; thereafter, interest income is recorded to the extent received when appropriate.

Table of Contents

AVERAGE BALANCES AND YIELDS

(dollars in thousands) (unaudited)

			Three Months Ended					
		Luna 20, 2012	Tillee N	1011		June 20, 2011		
	AVG	June 30, 2012	AVG		AVG	June 30, 2011	AVG	
		INTEDECT				INTEDECT		
ACCETC	BALANCE	INTEREST	YIELD		BALANCE	INTEREST	YIELD	
ASSETS								
INTEREST EARNING								
ASSETS:	Φ1 1 <i>CF</i> 141	Φ10.44 0	6.07	04	Φ1 040 CO2	φ10.0 7 .6	6.01	O.
Loans (1) (2)	\$1,165,141	\$18,442	6.37		\$1,049,692	\$18,076	6.91	%
Loans Held For Sale	1,568	14	3.59	%	2,491	31	4.99	%
Securities:								
Investment Securities		• 0						
(Taxable)(4)	5,660	20	1.42	%	5,082	20	1.58	%
Investment Securities								
(Tax-Exempt)(3)(4)	307,465	4,483	5.86	%	299,807	4,778	6.39	%
Mortgage-backed and Related								
Securities (4)	1,606,106	8,872	2.22	%	1,469,138	13,310	3.63	%
Total Securities	1,919,231	13,375	2.80	%	1,774,027	18,108	4.09	%
FHLB stock and other								
investments, at cost	35,202	54	0.62	%	28,317	52	0.74	%
Interest Earning Deposits	8,226	9	0.44	%	6,101	3	0.20	%
Total Interest Earning Assets	3,129,368	31,894	4.10	%	2,860,628	36,270	5.09	%
NONINTEREST EARNING								
ASSETS:								
Cash and Due From Banks	41,112				43,330			
Bank Premises and Equipment	50,509				50,655			
Other Assets	167,246				131,926			
Less: Allowance for Loan Loss					(19,266			
Total Assets	\$3,368,290				\$3,067,273			
LIABILITIES AND								
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
INTEREST BEARING								
LIABILITIES:								
Savings Deposits	\$96,527	36	0.15	%	\$85,778	58	0.27	%
Time Deposits	782,371	1,894	0.97	%	·	2,943	1.36	%
Interest Bearing Demand	702,571	1,001	0.57	,0	007,021	2,5 .5	1.50	70
Deposits	863,308	835	0.39	%	778,084	1,050	0.54	%
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,742,206	2,765	0.64	%	1,731,556	4,051	0.94	%
Short-term Interest Bearing	1,742,200	2,703	0.04	70	1,731,330	4,031	0.74	70
Liabilities	367,195	1,734	1.90	%	259,025	1,705	2.64	%
Long-term Interest Bearing	307,193	1,734	1.50	70	239,023	1,705	2.04	/0
Liabilities – FHLB Dallas	211 550	1 572	2.03	07-	287,903	2 507	2.60	07.
	311,550	1,573		%		2,587	3.60	%
Long-term Debt (5)	60,311	825	5.50	%	60,311	814	5.41	%
Total Interest Bearing	2 401 262	6.007	1 10	01	2 220 705	0.157	1.57	01
Liabilities	2,481,262	6,897	1.12	%	2,338,795	9,157	1.57	%
NONINTEREST BEARING								
LIABILITIES:								

Demand Deposits	565,344			46	5,578			
Other Liabilities	55,593			27	,679			
Total Liabilities	3,102,199			2,3	832,052			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
(6)	266,091			23	5,221			
Total Liabilities and								
Shareholders' Equity	\$3,368,290			\$3,	067,273			
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$24,997				\$27,113		
NET INTEREST MARGIN								
ON AVERAGE EARNING								
ASSETS			3.21	%			3.80	%
NET INTEREST SPREAD			2.98	%			3.52	%

- (1) Interest on loans includes fees on loans that are not material in amount.
- (2)Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$930 and \$977 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (3) Interest income includes taxable-equivalent adjustments of \$1,522 and \$1,569 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (4) For the purpose of calculating the average yield, the average balance of securities is presented at historical cost.
- (5) Represents junior subordinated debentures issued by us to Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, and V in connection with the issuance by Southside Statutory Trust III of \$20 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust IV of \$22.5 million of trust preferred securities, Southside Statutory Trust V of \$12.5 million of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated debentures issued by FWBS to Magnolia Trust Company I in connection with the issuance by Magnolia Trust Company I of \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities.
- (6) Includes average equity of noncontrolling interest of \$2,068 for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Note: As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, loans totaling \$10,077 and \$13,208, respectively, were on nonaccrual status. The policy is to reverse previously accrued but unpaid interest on nonaccrual loans; thereafter, interest income is recorded to the extent received when appropriate.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income consists of revenue generated from a broad range of financial services and activities including deposit related fee based services such as ATM, overdraft, and check processing fees. In addition, we earn income from the sale of loans and securities, trust services, bank owned life insurance ("BOLI"), brokerage services, and other fee generating programs that we either provide or in which we participate.

Noninterest income was \$8.1 million and \$18.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$12.6 million and \$22.3 million for the same periods in 2011, a decrease of \$4.6 million, or 36.2%, and \$3.4 million, or 15.3%, respectively. The primary reason for the decrease in noninterest income was due to the decrease in gains on the sales of securities carried at fair value through income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same periods in 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we had gain on sale of AFS securities of \$9.3 million compared to gains of \$5.5 million for the same period in 2011. Gain on sale of AFS securities for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$3.3 million compared to \$3.9 million for the same period in 2011. The fair value of the AFS securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 was \$1.62 billion with a net unrealized gain on that date of \$42.2 million. The net unrealized gain is comprised of \$46.3 million in unrealized gains and \$4.1 million in unrealized losses. The fair value of the HTM securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 was \$343.3 million with a net unrealized gain on that date of \$12.2 million. The net unrealized gain is comprised of \$12.2 million in unrealized gains and approximately \$15,000 in unrealized losses. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we proactively managed the investment portfolio which included restructuring a portion of our investment portfolio. During the first quarter of 2012, we sold all of our securities carried at fair value through income as management determined it did not want additional, potentially significant, swings in net income associated with fair value changes for these securities. The sale of these securities resulted in a loss on sale of securities carried at fair value through income of \$498,000. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, as interest rates remained low, we continued to sell primarily lower yielding, longer duration municipal securities and more prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities and replaced them with primarily shorter duration municipal securities and potentially less prepayment volatile mortgage-backed securities that might perform better in both the current and potentially higher interest rate environment in the future. The sale of these securities resulted in a gain on the sale of available for sale securities of \$3.3 million. There can be no assurance that the level of security gains reported during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, will continue in future periods.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, there was no fair value gain (loss) on securities carried at fair value through income compared to fair value income of \$2.5 million and \$4.1 million, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. This is due to the fact that we sold all of our securities carried at fair value through income during the six months ending June 30, 2012. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the value of the FHLB advance option fees became further impaired resulting in a \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, impairment charge. At June 30, 2012, the carrying value of the FHLB advance option fees on the balance sheet was \$195,000.

Deposit services income decreased \$190,000, or 4.7%, and \$321,000, or 4.1%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 primarily due to a decrease in overdraft income.

Gain on sale of loans increased \$16,000, or 5.7%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and decreased \$136,000, or 24.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was due primarily to a decrease in the dollar amount of loans sold and the related servicing release and secondary market fees.

Trust income increased \$24,000, or 3.7%, and \$50,000, or 3.9%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 due to the addition of several new accounts.

Other income increased \$164,000, or 17.1%, and \$170,000, or 8.2%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 as a result of increases in brokerage services income and trading income.

Noninterest Expense

We incur numerous types of noninterest expenses associated with the operation of our various business activities, the largest of which are salaries and employee benefits. In addition, we incur numerous other expenses, the largest of which are detailed in the consolidated statements of income.

Noninterest expense was \$19.1 million and \$37.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$18.1 million and \$36.8 million for the same periods in 2011, respectively, representing an increase of \$980,000, or 5.4%, and \$770,000, or 2.1%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$520,000, or 4.5%, and \$662,000, or 2.8%, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011. The increase for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily the result of increases in personnel associated with our overall growth and expansion, an increase in retirement expense and normal salary increases for existing personnel.

Direct salary expense and payroll taxes increased \$226,000, or 2.3%, and \$298,000, or 1.5%, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011.

Retirement expense, included in salary and benefits, increased \$182,000, or 21.3%, and \$423,000, or 24.3%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011. The increase was primarily related to the increase in the defined benefit and restoration plans. The defined benefit and restoration plan increased primarily due to the changes in the actuarial assumptions used to determine net periodic pension costs for 2012 when compared to 2011. Specifically, the assumed long-term rate of return was 7.25% and the assumed discount rate was decreased to 4.84%. We will continue to evaluate the assumed long-term rate of return and the discount rate to determine if either should be changed in the future. If either of these assumptions were decreased, the cost and funding required for the retirement plan could increase.

Health and life insurance expense, included in salary and benefits, increased \$112,000, or 11.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and decreased \$59,000, or 3.3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 when compared to the same periods in 2011. The increase for the three months ended June 30, 2012 is due to increased health claims expense and plan administrative cost for the comparable period of time. We have a self-insured health plan which is supplemented with stop loss insurance policies. Health insurance costs are rising nationwide and these costs may increase during the remainder of 2012.

ATM and debit card expense increased \$21,000, or 7.9%, and \$85,000, or 17.7%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 due to an increase in processing expenses.

Director fees increased \$73,000, or 36.5%, and \$150,000, 38.4%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011. Effective January 5, 2012, Mr. B. G. Hartley retired as Chief Executive Officer of Southside Bancshares, Inc. and became a non-employee Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. The increase is primarily due to his fee received for serving in that role.

Supplies increased \$61,000, or 37.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and decreased \$4,000, or 1.0%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, when compared to the same periods in 2011. The increase for the three months ended June 30, 2012 is due to bank growth.

Professional fees decreased \$67,000, or 14.7%, and \$71,000, or 7.0%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011 due to a decrease in legal fees.

Telephone and communications increased \$100,000, or 29.0%, and \$169,000, or 24.8%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011 due to bank growth and upgraded systems.

FDIC insurance decreased \$321,000 or 43.7%, and \$614,000, or 41.0%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 due to a change in the FDIC assessment calculation effective for the second quarter of 2011.

Other expense increased \$442,000, or 34.2%, and \$141,000, or 4.5%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2011 as a result of increases in bank examination fees as

well as OREO and repossessed assets expenses.

Income Taxes

Pre-tax income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$9.3 million and \$22.6 million, respectively, compared to \$17.2 million and \$28.3 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. Income tax expense was \$1.6 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income was 17.2% and 20.8% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 23.8% and 20.8% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in the effective tax rate and income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was due to an increase in tax-exempt income as a percentage of taxable income as compared to the same period in 2011.

Table of Contents

Capital Resources

Our total shareholders' equity at June 30, 2012, was \$263.4 million, representing an increase of 1.7%, or \$4.4 million from December 31, 2011 and represented 7.7% of total assets at June 30, 2012 compared to 7.8% of total assets at December 31, 2011.

Increases to our shareholders' equity consisted of net income of \$17.9 million, the issuance of \$633,000 in common stock (30,436 shares) through our employee stock and dividend reinvestment plans offset by a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$7.8 million and \$6.4 million in dividends paid.

On March 29, 2012, our board of directors declared a 5% stock dividend to common stock shareholders of record as of April 18, 2012, and payable on May 9, 2012.

Under the Federal Reserve Board's risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies, the minimum ratio of total capital to risk-adjusted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as standby letters of credit) is currently 8%. The minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-adjusted assets is 4%. Our \$20 million, \$22.5 million, \$12.5 million and \$3.5 million of trust preferred securities issued by our subsidiaries, Southside Statutory Trust III, IV, V and Magnolia Trust Company I, respectively, are considered Tier 1 capital by the Federal Reserve Board and will continue to be under the Dodd-Frank Act. Any trust preferred securities that are issued by our subsidiaries in the future will be considered Tier 2 capital. The Federal Reserve Board also requires bank holding companies to comply with the minimum leverage ratio guidelines. The leverage ratio of bank holding company's Tier 1 capital to its total consolidated quarterly average assets, less goodwill and certain other intangible assets. The guidelines require a minimum leverage ratio of 4% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Management believes that, as of June 30, 2012, we met all capital adequacy requirements to which we were subject.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act requires bank regulatory agencies to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to FDIC-insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. A depository institution's treatment for purposes of the prompt corrective action provisions will depend on how its capital levels compare to various capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. Prompt corrective action and other discretionary actions could have a direct material effect on our financial statements.

It is management's intention to maintain our capital at a level acceptable to all regulatory authorities and future dividend payments will be determined accordingly. Regulatory authorities require that any dividend payments made by either us or the Bank, not exceed earnings for that year. Shareholders should not anticipate a continuation of the cash dividend simply because of the existence of a dividend reinvestment program. The payment of dividends will depend upon future earnings, our financial condition, and other related factors including the discretion of the board of directors.

Table of Contents

To be categorized as well capitalized we must maintain minimum Total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table:

						To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt			
			For C	For Capital			Corrective Actions		
	Act	Actual Adequacy Purposes				Provisions			
	Amount	Ratio	Amount				Ratio		
As of June 30, 2012:			(dollars in	(dollars in thousands)					
Total Capital (to Risk									
Weighted Assets)									
Consolidated	\$309,635	22.52	% \$109,992	8.00	%	N/A	N/A		
Bank Only	\$306,149	22.27	% \$109,957	8.00	%	\$137,446	10.00	%	
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk									
Weighted Assets)									
Consolidated	\$292,363	21.26	% \$54,996	4.00	%	N/A	N/A		
Bank Only	\$288,877	21.02	% \$54,978	4.00	%	\$82,468	6.00	%	
Tier 1 Capital (to Average									
Assets) (1)									
Consolidated	\$292,363	8.85	% \$132,148	4.00	%	N/A	N/A		
Bank Only									