

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP
Form 10-Q
November 19, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X . Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended

September 30, 2012

. Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from

_____ to _____

Commission File Number: **000-29315**

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

91-2105842

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village
California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

91361

(Zip Code)

(805) 446-1973

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Decision Diagnostics Corp.

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the latest practicable date: As of October 31, 2012 there were 11,477,726 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, outstanding.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.****DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<u>September 30, 2012</u> (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 7,590	\$ 14,869
Accounts receivable, net	3,109,124	3,256,504
Prepaid expenses	1,250,104	1,266,576
Total current assets	4,366,818	4,537,949
Other assets:		
Intellectual property	106,760	69,535
Total other assets	106,760	69,535
Total assets	\$ 4,473,578	\$ 4,607,484
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 773,644	\$ 222,659
Accrued interest	132,638	134,712
Line of credit	2,288,376	1,992,168
Notes payable and short term debt (Note 5)	162,678	182,678
Total current liabilities	3,357,336	2,532,217
Contingencies	171,069	205,500
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,247,500 shares		
authorized, 0 shares issued and outstanding		
as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	-	-
Preferred series "B" stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,500 shares		
authorized, 1,000 and no shares issued and outstanding		
as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	1	1
Preferred series "C" stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares		
authorized, 1,250 shares issued and outstanding		
as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	1	1
Preferred series "D" stock, \$0.001 par value, 500 shares	-	-

authorized, and no shares issued and outstanding as of		
September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively		
Preferred series "E" stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,750,000 shares		
authorized, 1,199,000 and 1,095,300 shares issued and		
outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011,		
respectively	1,187	1,095
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 494,950,000 shares authorized,		
11,477,726 and 9,307,934 shares issued and outstanding as of		
September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	11,477	9,308
Subscription receivable	-	(68,315)
Additional paid-in capital	22,354,944	22,061,746
Accumulated (deficit)	(21,422,437)	(20,134,069)
Total stockholders' equity	945,173	1,869,767
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,473,578	\$ 4,607,484

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,			
	2012		2011	
Revenue	\$	1,223,060	\$	3,585,006
Cost of sales		747,550		2,617,756
Gross profit		475,511		967,250
Expenses:				
General and administrative		553,597		1,111,276
Consulting services		34,905		12,928
Compensation expense		7,886		6,228
Professional fees		88,876		34,375
Total Expenses		685,264		1,164,807
Net loss from operations		(209,753)		(197,557)
Other Expenses:				
Financing costs		(5,000)		(109,040)
Interest expense		(178,443)		(127,755)
Settlement (loss)		(51,942)		(7,500)
Total Other Expenses		(235,385)		(244,295)
Net loss	\$	(445,138)	\$	(441,852)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.05)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted		10,919,897		8,424,156

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,			
	2012		2011	
Revenue	\$	6,049,471	\$	10,604,519
Cost of sales		4,561,938		8,500,912
Gross profit		1,487,533		2,103,607
Expenses:				
General and administrative		1,822,949		1,616,042
Consulting services		224,807		116,688
Compensation expense		33,408		39,688
Professional fees		243,361		126,899
Total Expenses		2,324,525		1,899,317
Net income (loss) from operations		(836,992)		204,290
Other Expenses:				
Financing costs		(5,036)		(422,173)
Interest expense		(394,399)		(367,598)
Settlement (loss)		(51,942)		(135,650)
Total Other Expenses		(451,377)		(925,421)
Net loss	\$	(1,288,369)	\$	(721,131)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.09)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted		10,332,779		8,080,645

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(UNAUDITED)

	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net (loss)	\$ (1,288,368)	\$ (721,131)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Shares issued for financing	36	242,175
Shares issued for interest expense	80,483	-
Bad debt expense	1,669,451	1,241,043
Shares issued for consulting fees	197,440	77,400
Loss on debt settlement	-	(41,849)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	(1,453,756)	(3,217,737)
Prepaid and other assets	16,472	1,293,582
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	368,554	549,739
Accrued interest	294,134	18,391
Contingencies	148,000	-
Net cash (used in) operating activities	32,446	(558,387)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Intellectual property	(37,225)	(5,490)
Net cash (used) in investing activities	(37,225)	(5,490)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from line of credit, net	-	313,327
(Payments) on notes payable	(2,500)	(4,475)
Proceeds from options exercised for cash	-	41,786
Net cash provided by financing activities	(2,500)	350,638
Net (decrease) in cash	(7,279)	(213,239)
Cash, beginning of period	14,869	220,390
Cash, end of period	\$ 7,590	\$ 7,151
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ -	\$ 343,336
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ -	\$ -

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Shares and options issued for services	\$	197,440	\$	77,400
Shares issued for financing	\$	36	\$	242,175
Shares issued for accrued interest	\$	80,483	\$	-

(See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements)

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements included herein, presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and stated in US dollars, have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

These statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for fair presentation of the information contained therein. It is suggested that these consolidated interim financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the period ended December 31, 2011 and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K. The Company follows the same accounting policies in the preparation of consolidated interim reports.

Results of operations for the interim periods are not indicative of annual results.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Management has analyzed all pronouncements issued during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates, and have determined that they are not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the financial statements of the Company.

NOTE 2 GOING CONCERN

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon attaining profitable operations based on the

development of distributions platforms through which our products that can be sold. We intend to use borrowings and security sales to mitigate the effects of our cash position, however, no assurance can be given that debt or equity financing, if required, will be available. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue in existence.

NOTE 3 - LINE OF CREDIT

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we authorized the release of an additional 124,700 shares of preferred series E stock valued at \$80,483 for accrued interest due to Alpha Credit Resources as of March 1, 2012. In addition, as a condition of authorizing the excess advance, Alpha Credit Resources required collateral in the form of our preferred series B stock, to be issued in their name and held by their legal counsel as escrow agent for this transaction. In the event of default, Centurion maintains the ability to convert the aforementioned shares into common shares at a rate of 7,143 to 1 in order to cure any potential default. The outstanding shares of this issue, if fully converted, would create 7,142,858 shares of new \$.001 par value common stock. The fair value of the underlying common shares at the date of issuance totaled \$5,900,000. As of September 30, 2012, the balance owed was \$2,288,376.

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2012	DECEMBER 31, 2011
Line of credit with interest being paid in shares equal to 5% of each advance, and an additional 2% accruing monthly on the unpaid principal balance	\$ 2,288,376	\$ 1,992,168

We have recorded interest and financing expense of \$376,691 and \$348,898 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 4 NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consisted of the following as of September 30 and December 31:

		SEPTEMBER 30, 2012	DECEMBER 31, 2011
(a)	Convertible promissory note, bearing interest at a 15% per annum, matured on October 31, 2007, currently in default.	\$ 125,000	\$ 145,000
(b)	Promissory note, bearing interest at 9% per annum, maturing June 30, 2012.	37,678	37,678
Total notes payable		\$ 162,678	\$ 182,678

a)

In 2005, our former CEO determined that it was in the best interests of the company to borrow funds by offering a group of investor's future promises to offer convertible promissory notes to private investors. The former CEO, who had been removed by the Board as CEO at the time of this determination, broke long standing and memorialized Board approved company policy, did not receive the necessary officer approvals called for under this memorialized policy, did not receive Board approval for his actions, and never provided proof of any consideration received by the company. On August 14, 2006 the former CEO was terminated. The principal sum of these promissory notes was \$170,000. According to the terms provided to the company, who some six years later has yet to receive an executed document or note, each note holder was due their principal balance and accrued interest at an annual rate of 15% maturing in one year from the date of issuance. On March 30, 2010 after a dispute arose, we entered into a debt settlement agreement for the payment of principal of \$25,000 and accrued interest of \$15,938 for a total amount owed of \$40,938. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, we issued 300,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$34,500 and agreed to pay an additional \$15,000 in cash to the investor for a total sum of \$49,500. The excess payment of \$8,562 was recorded as interest expense. During the month of May 2012 the company entered into an additional settlement agreement requiring a one-time payment of \$5,000 cash and the issuance of 53,354 shares, for a total sum of \$22,500. The unpaid principle together with accrued interest on the settlement amount at the date of settlement totaled \$38,873. As of September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a gain on debt settlement in the amount of \$16,373. The remaining principal balance was \$125,000 with accrued interest of \$128,252.

b)

On June 20, 2007, we entered into a promissory note with Invacare for the principal amount of \$160,385, bearing interest at a rate of 9% per annum and maturing on June 10, 2010. On March 4, 2011, we re-negotiated this note whereby the principal balance and accrued interest were reduced by \$35,335 and \$6,541, respectively. In addition, the maturity was extended an additional twelve months to March 2012. As a result of the amendments to the note, we recognized a gain on the settlement of debt in the amount of \$41,849. Pursuant to the amended terms of the note, we

are required to make monthly principal and interest payments of \$1,900. As of September 30, 2012, the principal balance totaled \$35,335 and accrued interest was \$4,386.

We have recorded interest in connection with our notes totaling \$16,800 and \$18,700 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE

Our financial instruments consist principally of notes payable and lines of credit. Notes payable and lines of credit are financial liabilities with carrying values that approximate fair value. Management determines the fair value of notes payable and lines of credit based on the effective yields of similar obligations and believe all of the financial instruments recorded values approximate fair market value because of their nature and respective durations.

We comply with the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. ASC 820-10-35, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Subsequent Measurement* (ASC 820-10-35), clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820-10-35 also requires that a fair value measurement reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on the best information available. Assumptions include the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique (such as a pricing model) and/or the risks inherent in the inputs to the model. The Company also follows ASC 825 *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* , to expand required disclosures.

ASC 820-10-35 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820-10-35 are described below:

	Fair Value Measurements			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Liabilities				
Notes payable	\$ -	\$ 153,654	\$ -	\$ 153,654
Line of credit	-	2,243,505	-	2,243,505
Total	\$ -	\$ 2,397,159	\$ -	\$ 2,397,159

NOTE 6 STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY

We are authorized to issue up to 494,995,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock and 5,000,000 shares of various classes of \$0.001 par value preferred stock. In March of 2011, we amended our preferred stock designations as follows: 1) withdrawal of Series A designation on 750,000 shares of preferred stock, 2) withdrawal of Series C designation on 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, 3) Designation of Series B on 2,500 shares of preferred stock and Series C on 10,000 shares of preferred stock, and 4) increased the number of preferred shares designated as Series E from 1,000,000 to 1,250,000. All presentation of preferred stock contained herein has been retroactively presented to reflect the 2011 amendments.

Series B convertible preferred stock

We have designated 2,500 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as Series B. Holders of series B : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. Series B convertible preferred stock may be converted, the number of shares into which one share of Series B Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares shall be 50. Series B convertible stock shall rank senior to common stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of Series B convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend. Series B convertible stock shall have a redemptions price equal to 101% of the purchase price per share, subject to adjustments resulting from stock splits, recapitalization, or share combination.

Series C convertible preferred stock

We have designated 10,000 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as 2011 Series C. Each share of 2011 Series C Preferred stock is valued at \$10,000. Holders of series C : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters

that come before the shareholders. 2011 Series C convertible preferred stock may be converted after 36 months, but not before, the number of shares into which one share of 2011 Series C Preferred Stock shall be convertible on a pro-rata basis into common stock shares, each share of common stock valued at \$.50. 2011 Series C convertible stock shall rank junior to all other classes of Preferred stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of 2011 Series C convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend.

Series D convertible preferred stock

We have designated 500 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as 2012 Series D . Holders of series D : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. 2012 Series D convertible preferred stock may be converted immediately upon distribution. The number of shares into which one share of 2012 Series D Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares is 1 for 120,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. 2012 Series D convertible stock shall rank junior to all other classes of Preferred stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of 2012 Series D convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend.

Series E convertible preferred stock

We have designated 1,250,000 shares of our \$0.001 preferred stock as Series E . Holders of series E : convertible stock shall not have the right to vote on matters that come before the shareholders. Series E convertible preferred stock may be converted, the number of shares into which one share of Series E Preferred Stock shall be convertible into common stock shares shall be 14. Series E convertible stock shall rank senior to common stock in the event of liquidation. Holders of Series E convertible stock shall not be entitled to a mandatory monthly dividend. Series E convertible stock shall have a redemptions price equal to 101% of the purchase price per share, subject to adjustments resulting from stock splits, recapitalization, or share combination.

Preferred E Issuances

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, we authorized the release of 124,700 shares of our preferred Series E stock to Alpha Credit Resources for accrued interest totaling \$80,483.

During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, Alpha Credit Resources elected to convert 21,000 shares of their preferred series E into 294,000 shares of common stock.

Common Issuances

On January 16, 2012, we issued 53,354 shares of our common stock to an individual pursuant to a settlement agreement for in the amount of \$17,500.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we issued 1,650,000 shares of our common stock to entities as consulting fees earned during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The fair value of the shares totaled \$197,440, and has been recorded as a consulting expense.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 we authorized the issuance of 238 shares of common stock to Alpha Credit Resources as financing fees in connection with our line of credit. The fair value of the shares was \$36, and was recorded as financing costs.

NOTE 7 OPTIONS

2004 Stock Option Plan

Effective April 21, 2004, we adopted the 2004 Stock Option Plan, as amended, with a maximum number of 450,893 shares that may be issued. As of June 30, 2012, 398,104 options have been granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 52,789 options which remain available for issuance.

2005 Merger Consolidated Stock Option Plan

On February 5, 2005, we adopted our 2005 Merger Consolidated Stock Option Plan. The maximum number of shares that may be issued pursuant to the plan is 80,357 shares. As of June 30, 2012, 77,307 shares have been granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 3,050 options which remain available for issuance.

2006 Stock Option Plan

On December 8, 2006 we adopted our 2006 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended and granted incentive and nonqualified stock options with rights to purchase 3,250,000 shares of our \$0.001 par value common stock. As of June 30, 2012, 2,366,582 options were granted and exercised or expired under this plan. There are 883,419 options which remain available for issuance.

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding stock options under all Stock Option Plans:

	NUMBER OF OPTIONS		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Balance, December 31, 2011	14,286	\$	0.80
Options granted	-		-
Options cancelled	-		-
Options exercised	-		-
Balance, September 30, 2012	14,286	\$	0.80

NOTE 8 WARRANTS

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding warrants as of September 30, 2012:

	NUMBER OF WARRANTS		WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Balance, December 31, 2011	17,857	\$	0.49
Warrants granted	-		-
Warrants cancelled	-		-
Warrants exercised	-		-
Balance, September 30, 2012	17,857	\$	0.49

NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases

We currently maintain an executive office at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, CA 91361. The space consists of approximately 2,300 square feet. The monthly rental for the space is \$4,140 per month on a month-to-month basis.

On June 7, 2005, we entered into an agreement for the right to use offices, warehouses and shipping facilities for the storage and shipping of pharmaceuticals located at 515 Inman Avenue, Colonia, NJ 07067 and 25 Minna Street, Rahway, New Jersey. The company may or may not continue this arrangement in the future.

Rent expense totaled \$80,910 and \$81,828 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Contingencies

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our new Shasta Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Shasta Genstrip required medical patient trials and will compete directly with a major platform manufacturer.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is a very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of September 30, 2012, our accrual was \$171,069.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In accordance with ASC 855, management evaluated all activity of the Company through the issue date of the financial statements and concluded that that described below, no other subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements for purposes of federal and state securities laws, including, but not limited to, any projections of earnings, revenue or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning proposed new services or developments; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing.

Forward-looking statements may include the words may, might, could, estimate, intend, continue, believe, anticipate or other similar words. These forward-looking statements present our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the dates on which they are made. We do not undertake to update forward-looking statements to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the dates they are made. You should, however, consult further disclosures we make in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in any of our forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in any of our forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. The factors influencing these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to the following:

.
deterioration in general or regional economic, market and political conditions;

.
our ability to successfully compete in the pharmaceutical supply industry;

.
increased competitive pressures from existing competitors and new entrants;

.
increases in interest rates or our cost of borrowing or a default under any material debt agreements;

.
loss of customers or sales weakness;

.
the fact that our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we report our financial condition and results of operations, and they may require management to make estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain;

.
adverse state or federal legislation or regulation that increases the costs of compliance, or adverse findings by a regulator with respect to existing operations;

.
changes in U.S. GAAP or in the legal, regulatory and legislative environments in the markets in which we operate;

.
inability to efficiently manage our operations;

.
inability to achieve future sales levels or other operating results;

.
the unavailability of funds for capital expenditures;

the other risks and uncertainties detailed in this report.

REFERENCES

As used in this quarterly report: (i) the terms we , us , our , Decision Diagnostics (formerly InstaCare Corp.) and Company mean Decision Diagnostics Corp. and its operating subsidiaries, Decision IT Corp., Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., PharmTech Direct Corp., and PDA Services, Inc. ; (ii) SEC refers to the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) Securities Act refers to the United States *Securities Act of 1933*, as amended; (iv) Exchange Act refers to the United States *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended; and (v) all dollar amounts refer to United States dollars unless otherwise indicated.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Overview

Decision Diagnostics Corp. is a nationwide prescription and non-prescription diagnostics and home testing products distributor. The U.S. FDA, in a manner similar to prescription drugs, regulates diagnostic test kits and at-home patient testing products similarly to the regulation of prescription medicine. The company has, since 2005, contracted with independent pharmacies for use of their prescription drug distribution licenses. However, the products we currently distribute, for the most part, do not require a doctor's prescription for anything other than insurance benefit compliance. Our business model works well in this regulated environment.

Our subsidiaries, Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. and PDA Services, Inc. operate in several healthcare products distribution channels. We distribute brand name prescription and non-prescription diagnostics products, as well as several lines of ostomy, wound care and post-surgery medical products. We have also continued to ready the company, subject only to receipt of an expected FDA 510(k) approval, to introduce a proprietary diagnostic product, the Shasta Genstrip, for at-home testing of blood glucose. The worldwide market for at-home blood glucose testing is an estimated \$22.5 billion. Shasta Genstrip will compete directly with one of the largest worldwide platform manufacturer for at-home blood glucose testing, a product currently used daily by over 3 million diabetes afflicted Americans. In anticipation of the introduction of Genstrip, which is in the final stages of FDA approval, and currently completing that approval process, we have evaluated our brand-name distribution model, a model that provides streams of revenue but extremely low profit margins, and over the course of the last 15 months has phased out sales of those brand name products that have been a backbone of our current distribution business but provide low profit margins, if any at all, and will, in the future, compete directly with our Shasta Genstrip. Phasing out these products lowered our order intake by approximately \$8,450,000 in FY2011 and \$7,400,000 through the period ending June 30, 2012.

Typically, and except for our own Shasta Genstrip, which is an alternative product, we distribute name brand products manufactured primarily by large U.S. and international pharmaceutical companies. The company directs its marketing efforts to ambulatory and semi-ambulatory older Americans afflicted with diabetes and complications caused by diabetes and old age. The company, originally a medical IT company with proprietary IT product lines, acquired its medical products distribution business in late 2004 through a merger with Phoenix, Arizona based CareGeneration, Inc. We have grown the original CareGeneration business through subsequent acquisitions of private businesses and strategic partnerships with larger private pharmacies.

On November 1, 2011 we completed the acquisition of Diagnostic Newco LLC from its owner Kimberly Binder. Diagnostic Newco LLC is a design company that specializes in product packaging design, medical products advertising design and graphic art. Ms. Binder has joined the staff of the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary and has worked closely with the contract manufacturer for Genstrip, making subtle changes to packaging design among other responsibilities. She will also be responsible for the package design for new diagnostic products

the company is currently working on. We also intend to acquire additional private companies, focusing on small engineering companies that have developed technology requiring either regulatory approval, distribution or both. In December 2011 we made another small acquisition, to acquire the services of Mr. Patrick DiParini. We are moving quickly to achieve our goal of becoming a vertically integrated, full service value added provider of products and services to an ever-growing market. The at-home diabetes testing market continues to grow as diabetics continue to be diagnosed. The market for diabetes testing products is expected to grow from a current \$22.5+ billion worldwide base in 2010 to over \$32 billion in 2017.

The company's current proprietary product offering, although not yet approved by the FDA for commercial distribution, is the Shasta Genstrip blood glucose diagnostic test strip for at-home testing. Shasta Genstrip is a product conceived and designed by Shasta Technologies LLC, and fits into a diagnostic product niche and will sell into the world-wide self-test (home test) market that is expected to grow to \$32 billion worldwide by 2017. The company has been involved with Genstrip since early 2010. Products like Genstrip require FDA approval but travel toward this approval through a well defined albeit slow and unresponsive regulatory process. The company believes that all regulatory hurdles have been addressed and satisfied, but as of this writing, Genstrip has not received final regulatory approval or disapproval from the FDA. In March 2012 and then again in September 2012, representatives of the company met face-to-face with the FDA, the September 2012 meeting at FDA's request, in an effort to iron out remaining FDA questions, and in the case of the September 2012 meeting, to address two final issues, both concerns related to certain post-approval manufacturing processes. Subsequent to each of these meetings with FDA the company has received and responded to a series of follow up questions and comments by FDA. In September 2012 these FDA comments and questions were changed to a semi-informal process indicating to the company the approaching end of the approval process. In early November 2012 the company received an informal communication from FDA staff asking for clarification of two issues remaining from the September 2012 face to face meeting. The company responded to these requests. Within the body of the communication received from FDA staff the company was informed that we [FDA] was close to reaching resolution on this issue.

The company maintains a practice where comments and questions are responded to as quickly as practical. Since Genstrip is a unique offering, employing a razor blade only model (diagnostic test strip) into a razor (diagnostic meter)-razor blade (diagnostic test strip) market, the Genstrip 510(k) application has presented some unusual challenges for the FDA and an educational challenge/opportunity for the company. Since the company plans additional similar products in the future for other diagnostic platforms, the Genstrip experience, however slow and unresponsive, has provided lessons and experience.

Two years (and growing) is a standard development to market timeline for in-vitro diagnostic products similar to Genstrip. As a result of previous delays by Shasta Technologies in completing its FDA approval application [510(k)] and then problems Shasta encountered in prosecuting its original application with FDA staff, the company changed its contractual responsibilities and obligations in June 2011 to include program management, regulatory process management, management of the manufacturing forecasting and distribution processes, and new products planning and development. As of October 31, 2012 the company has narrowed the issues with FDA to two issues, the publication of two post-manufacturing protocols. All other issues have been resolved.

In June 2010 the company was approached by the largest retailer in the world for the distribution and sale of Genstrip at over 5,000 retail stores worldwide. A contract with this retailer was negotiated in September 2010 and subsequently renegotiated and renewed in April 2011, and as soon as the retail contract was agreed to and as a means to conduct market research, the company began seeking pre-conditioned letters of intent (pre-orders) for Genstrip, while continuing the prosecution of the 510(k) application before the FDA. During this process it became clear that initial market interest in Genstrip outstripped the initially available manufacturing capacity. Thus the company quickly ended its pre-order initiative. Management is confident that there is a very large market available for Genstrip. Currently that market is dominated by four large pharmaceutical manufacturers who provide very similar and equally focused products, selling at essentially equal prices. Genstrip's introduction should not only allow the company to achieve market share but because of the business model to be employed by Genstrip is different than those models employed by the major market players, the company may be able to change the market, lowering average price or allowing for increased testing by diabetics for a lesser price, thereby affecting all market segments.

We also offer information technology solutions in several medical care market channels by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Our products, unlike those from many other medical information companies, make use of smart cell phones such as the Apple iPhone, the Palm Pre, the Google Droid and a wide selection of Microsoft Windows based smart phones and operate in either in a wireless or wired mode, which allow physicians to carry, access and update their patients' histories, also known as electronic medical records or EMR, medication data, and best care guidelines - *all at the point of care*, or from any other location the physician may be located. In addition, the company's products employ proprietary mathematical game theory features adapted by the company for medical use that allow acceptance of diagnoses and treatment protocols where the medical information may have originated from one or several locations and one time or several times.

On February 26, 2010 we filed a full utility patent application, Management and Communications System and Method, Serial No. 13/034,639. The patent application covers one hundred four (104) separate processes and encompasses the method, system and apparatus of our software technology and the integration of our software technology into commercial computer networks through commercial smart cell phone devices. In September 2011, the USPTO published our patent application. In April 2011 the patent reached the prosecution stage with the USPTO. We expect approval in a matter of a few months. Given that our patent application lists a substantial number of claims, and that the company's technologies are truly unique, we felt it prudent to engage counsel to prosecute any of these claims against persons and entities that may have or will in the future breach our patent. The company has created an asset pool for the purpose of prosecuting any claims that may arise as a result of our patent approval. Claims prosecution is standard fare for high technology companies.

We have entered into nine partnerships with freestanding pharmacies and Durable Medical Goods distributors in the states of New York, Maryland, New Jersey, Texas and Arizona. We believe that we will be able to provide value added services to our customers by cost reductions brought about by increased efficiencies and cross marketing opportunities.

We have received multiple inquiries from companies interested in perhaps collaborating with the company for the implementation of its cell phone centric technologies MD@Hand and MD@Work. However, the market available for products similar to MD@Hand and MD@Work has changed since its introduction in 2009. The legal challenges to the new health care law, and the federal government's inability to enact regulations have altered the landscape, again. We remain in discussions with multiple concerns for the marketing of our MD@ products, and any agreement we may enter will require us to provide contract software programming, providing a new source of revenue for the company. In addition to any proposed partnerships, we continue to discuss alternative propositions with other interested companies ranging from clinical laboratories, service organizations owned or aligned with medical health insurers, a medical content provider and legacy healthcare systems companies. There remains sustained interest in our MD@ products and technology. All of our discussions are with companies much larger than Decision Diagnostics. We may or may not entertain additional proposed partnerships for our implementation of the cell phone centric technologies, which has been hindered, as has the overall market, by the slow implementation of regulations, protocols and data formats by the Federal government, as well as a change in previously announced Federal government monetary incentives.

In May 2010, we entered into agreement with Shasta Technologies, Inc. and Broadtree, Inc. This agreement granted our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary the exclusive marketing rights to a new diagnostic product not yet on the market named Shasta Genstrip (Genstrip). The Genstrip product was developed to compete against the market leader in the \$20 billion at home testing market. In April 2011, the company renegotiated its agreement changing its many roles and adding responsibility for regulatory approval, manufacturing and forecasting, international sales and additional sales markets in the U.S.

We currently employ five full-time staff at our executive office located at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, California 91361. In addition, we maintain two full-time and seven part-time positions between our distribution centers located in Florida, Arizona, California and New Jersey. The company is currently hiring pharmaceutical detail representatives and three medically trained college interns across the country and three additional interns to work out of its California office.. All of our positions existing, and newly listed, are for sales and marketing, distribution, product development and customer service representatives. Our telephone number is (805) 446-1973 and our website address is www.decisiondiagnostics.com.

Business activities throughout the next twelve months:

The company's business on a day-to-day basis includes the distribution of prescription and non-prescription diagnostics, at-home testing, post-surgical products, and, as soon as the FDA grants pre-market approval, the sales and distribution of Shasta Genstrip.

Beginning in November 2009, we introduced our cell-phone centric medical IT products that offer solutions in medical care and management by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Unlike other medical information systems using standard computer terminals or even palm-sized computers (PDA's), our software applications operate on a series of late generation smart e-cell phones including the Apple iPhone, the Palm Pre, the Google Droid, several makes of RIM's Blackberry and many versions of the Microsoft Windows smart phones. Our products allow physicians to access and update their patients' histories, medication data, and best care guidelines - *all at the point of care*. The company's Electronic Medical Records software is believed to be the first EMR application running on any palm sized mobile device. Recently we ported our software to run on a series of pad computers such as Apple iPad and the Droid powered pads.

Our business objectives include:

1. The practice of specializing in the distribution of Shasta Genstrip and several brand-name medical diagnostic and medical disposable products associated with the on-going care of diabetes-inflicted patients and upon receipt of the pre-market letter from the U.S. FDA, the world-wide distribution of our new proprietary diagnostic product Shasta Genstrip.
2. Combining our wholesale and retail drug distribution with our cell phone centric technologies, creating wholesale and retail ePharmacies similar in function to existing Internet pharmacies but directed to serving the large base of underinsured and uninsured Americans; and
3. Providing medical communication and EMR medical history and storage devices based on networks of smart cell phones These products are believed to provide benefits of on demand medical information to private practice physicians, licensed medical service providers such as diagnostic testing laboratories, and medical insurers. We have created cell phone-centric products and a suite of Internet enhanced software applications

that include those features that specifically respond to the requirements of the practicing physician and the regulations currently being promulgated by the Federal government.

We also have adapted our medical communications and EMR technologies to service the real estate management and hotel/motel/convenience industries in their own commercial settings. In March 2010, our Board approved the sale of the company's hotel/motel technologies and business base so we can focus on our core medical IT and medical distribution businesses. In past years when we had market focus on the hotel/motel industry, our real estate and hotel/motel objectives include building electronic commerce networks based on personal digital assistants (PDA) and pad based computers to the hotels, motels and single building, multi-unit apartment buildings with a desire to offer local advertising and electronic services to their tenants/guests.

Financing Requirements

At September 30, 2012, we had cash of \$7,590 and working capital of \$892,215. We anticipate that we will require \$56 million in trade debt financing to finance our expected first year sales of Genstrip. In March 2012 we renewed our agreement with Alpha Credit Resources to obtain this debt financing. We will continue to seek a combination of equity and long-term debt financing as well as other traditional cash flow and asset backed financing to meet our financing needs and to reduce our overall cost of capital. Additionally, in order to accelerate our growth rate and to finance general corporate activities, we may supplement our existing sources of funds with financing arrangements at the operating system level or through additional short-term borrowings. As a further capital resource, we may sell or lease certain rights or assets from our portfolio as appropriate opportunities become available. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any additional financing, on acceptable terms or at all.

Results of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 compared.

The following tables summarize selected items from the statement of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2011.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			3 Months	%Δ	
	2012	2011				
Revenue	\$	1,223,060	\$	3,585,006	(2,361,946)	(65.88)
Cost of sales		747,550		2,617,756	(1,870,206)	(71.44)
Gross profit		475,511		967,250	(491,739)	(50.84)
Expenses:						
General & administrative expenses		553,597		1,111,276	(557,679)	(50.18)
Consulting		34,905		12,928	21,977	170.00
Compensation expense		7,886		6,228	1,658	26.62
Professional fees		88,876		34,375	54,501	158.55
Total expenses		685,264		1,164,807	(479,543)	41.17
Net operating (loss)		(209,753)		(197,557)	(12,196)	6.17
Other income (expense):						
Financing costs		(5,000)		(109,040)	104,040	(95.41)
Interest expense, net		(178,443)		(127,755)	(50,688)	39.68
Settlement expense		(51,942)		(7,500)	(44,442)	592.56
Total other income (expense)		(235,385)		(244,295)	8,910	(3.65)
Net (loss)	\$	(445,138)	\$	(441,852)	(3,286)	(0.74)

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements (including the notes thereto) included under Item 1 in this Form 10-Q.

Revenues and cost of sales

During the 3rd quarter of 2012, we experienced a decline in revenue compared to the same period in the previous year. We attribute the decline in revenue to the phasing out of sales of those brand name diagnostic products that will directly compete with our new Shasta Genstrip. In addition, the overall at home testing market is being hindered by

the general poor economic conditions, longer payment cycles from insurers, and because the company's business model does not include the sale of retail brand-name products. These conditions have continued into the current year. Our decrease in cost of sales is primarily the direct result of our revenue decline. However, we were able to achieve an increase in our overall gross profit margin based on our re-negotiated wholesale pricing.

Operational Expenses

Operational expenses include general and administration expenses, compensation expense consulting and professional fees.

General and administration expenses include office expenses (including bad debt, rent, cleaning and maintenance, utilities, and telephone), insurance, and bank charges. During the 3rd quarter of 2012, general and administration expenses decreased by \$557,679 to \$553,597 (3rd quarter 2011 - \$1,111,276). The decrease was due primarily to bad debt expense recorded in the 3rd quarter 2011. General and administration expenses normally account for approximately 2% of our total revenue and are not expected to increase significantly during the remainder of 2012 in relation to revenue. As we experience growth in revenues, general and administration expenses are expected to decrease on a percentage of revenue basis.

Consulting expenses during the 3rd quarter 2012 increased by \$21,977 to \$34,905 (3rd quarter 2011 - \$12,928). Historically, management shifts its labor requirements between, outside consultants, casual labor and in-house management dependent upon availability and cost effectiveness of resources. During 2012, the majority of our labor was derived from the use of outside consultants. Our compensation structure is comprised of both cash and equity of the Company.

Professional fees include accounting services, legal fees and regulatory reporting compliance. The increase of \$54,501 is the result of an increase in our contingency for legal fees. During the 3rd quarter of 2011, we engaged additional legal counsel to assist in the review of potential new sales/distributing agreements as well as to review general corporate matters. We anticipate our legal fees to continue until all ongoing litigation issues are resolved.

Other Income and Expense

Our other income and expense includes costs related to our financing activities, more specifically the interest expense associated with our line of credit with Alpha Credit Resources, LLC. (Alpha). Alpha has provided us a line of credit up to \$2,500,000. The interest rate of our line of credit is 24% per annum. Interest expense increased by \$50,688 to \$178,443 (3rd quarter 2011 - \$127,755).

For the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, management has entered into various agreements for the settlement of the Company's historic debt obligations. As a result of these negotiated settlements, the Company's obligations have been reduced from their historical carrying amounts. In 2012, settlement losses were \$51,942 as compared to settlement losses of \$7,500 in 2011. We may incur further gains or losses on debt settlement or other settlement cost during 2012.

Net Income (Loss)

We recorded a net loss for the 3rd quarter of 2012 of \$445,138 compared \$441,852 for the 3rd quarter of 2011, representing a change of \$3,286.

Results of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 compared.

The following tables summarize selected items from the statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 2011.

For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
2012	2011	9 Months	%Δ

Edgar Filing: DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP - Form 10-Q

Revenue	\$	6,049,471	\$	10,604,519	(4,555,048)	(42.95)
Cost of sales		4,561,938		8,500,912	(3,938,974)	(46.34)
Gross profit		1,487,533		2,103,607	(616,074)	(50.84)
Expenses:						
General & administrative expenses		1,822,949		1,616,042	206,907	12.80
Consulting		224,807		116,688	108,119	92.66
Compensation expense		33,408		39,688	(6,280)	(15.82)
Professional fees		243,361		126,899	116,462	91.78
Total expenses		2,324,525		1,899,317	425,208	22.39
Net operating (loss)		(836,992)		204,290	(1,041,282)	(509.71)
Other income (expense):						
Financing costs		(5,036)		(422,173)	417,137	(98.81)
Interest expense, net		(394,399)		(367,598)	(26,801)	7.29
Settlement expense		(51,942)		(135,650)	83,709	(61.71)
Total other income (expense)		(451,377)		(925,421)	(474,045)	(51.22)
Net (loss)	\$	(1,288,369)	\$	(721,131)	(567,237)	(78.66)

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements (including the notes thereto) included under Item 1 in this Form 10-Q.

Revenues and cost of sales

During the 3rd quarter of 2012, we experienced a decline in revenue compared to the same period in the previous year. We attribute the decline in revenue to the phasing out of sales of those brand name diagnostic products that will directly compete with our new Shasta Genstrip. In addition, the overall at home testing market is being hindered by the general poor economic conditions, longer payment cycles from insurers, and because the company's business model does not include the sale of retail brand-name products. These conditions have continued into the current year. Our decrease in cost of sales is primarily the direct result of our revenue decline. However, we were able to achieve an increase in our overall gross profit margin based on our re-negotiated wholesale pricing.

Operational Expenses

Operational expenses include general and administration expenses, compensation expense consulting and professional fees.

General and administration expenses include office expenses (including bad debt, rent, cleaning and maintenance, utilities, and telephone), insurance, and bank charges. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, general and administration expenses increased by \$206,907 to \$1,822,949 (2011 - \$1,616,042). The increase was due primarily to bad debt expense. General and administration expenses normally account for approximately 2% of our total revenue and are not expected to increase significantly during the remainder of 2012 in relation to revenue. As we experience growth in revenues, general and administration expenses are expected to decrease on a percentage of revenue basis.

Consulting expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$108,119 to \$224,807 (2011 - \$116,688). Historically, management shifts its labor requirements between, outside consultants, casual labor and in-house management dependent upon availability and cost effectiveness of resources. During 2012, the majority of our labor was derived from the use of outside consultants. Our compensation structure is comprised of both cash and equity of the Company.

Professional fees include accounting services, legal fees and regulatory reporting compliance. The increase of \$116,462 is primarily attributable to an increase in contingent legal fees. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we engaged additional legal counsel to assist in the review of potential new sales/distributing agreements as well as to review general corporate matters. We anticipate our legal fees to continue until all ongoing litigation issues are resolved.

Other Income and Expense

Our other income and expense includes costs related to our financing activities, more specifically the interest expense associated with our line of credit with Alpha Credit Resources, LLC. (Alpha). Alpha has provided us a line of credit up to \$2,500,000. The interest rate of our line of credit is 24% per annum. Interest expense increased by \$26,801 to \$394,399 (2011 - \$367,598).

For the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, management has entered into various agreements for the settlement of the Company's historic debt obligations. As a result of these negotiated settlements, the Company's obligations have been reduced from their historical carrying amounts. In 2012, settlement losses were \$51,942 as compared to settlement losses of \$135,650 in 2011. We may incur further gains or losses on debt settlement or other settlement cost during 2012.

Net Income (Loss)

We recorded a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 of \$1,288,369 compared to a net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$721,131, representing a total change of \$567,238.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

A critical component of our operating plan affecting our continued existence is the ability to obtain favorable capital through additional equity and/or debt financing. We do not anticipate generating sufficient positive internal operating cash flow until we can increase our existing market share and improve operating margins, which may take several years. In the event we cannot obtain the necessary capital to pursue our strategic plan, we may have to cease or significantly curtail our operations. This would materially impact our ability to continue operations.

The following table summarizes our current assets, liabilities and working capital at September 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

	SEPTEMBER 30,		DECEMBER 31,		INCREASE (DECREASE)		
	2012		2011		\$	%	
Current assets	\$	4,366,818	\$	4,537,949	\$	(171,131)	(3.77%)
Current liabilities		3,357,336		2,532,217		825,119	32.58%
Working capital	\$	1,009,482	\$	2,005,732	\$	(996,250)	(49.67%)

Cash to Operating Activities

During the nine months, ended September 30, 2012, operating activities provided cash of \$32,466 compared to using cash of \$558,387 in 2011. Our loss for 2012 was \$1,288,368, and included bad debt write-downs of \$1,669,451 (2011 - \$1,241,043); and consulting expenses settled with equity \$197,440 (2011 - \$77,400). Our accounts receivables have increased by \$1,453,756 (2011 - \$3,217,737) due to a slowdown in our revenue cycle. Prepaid expenses decreased by \$16,472 (2011 - \$1,293,582) due to the expiration of prepaid insurance in 2012. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities have increased by \$368,554 (2011 - \$524,739) due to a slowdown in our revenue cycle. Our contingent liabilities increased \$148,000 (2011 - \$0.00) due to the uncertainty of our involvement in legal matters.

Cash from Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, investing activities used cash of \$37,225 (2011 - \$5,490).

Cash from Financing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, financing activities used cash of \$2,500 (2011 - 350,638). Cash was used for payments on notes payable of \$2,500 (2011 - \$4,475).

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Financial Officer, Keith Berman, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Company's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer has concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner, and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

There has been no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Internal control systems, no matter how well designed and operated, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even a system, which is determined to be effective, cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues have been detected or prevented. Our systems of internal controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical

information technology devices and networks. Our new Shasta Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Shasta Genstrip required medical patient trials and will compete directly with a major platform manufacturer.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is a very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of September 30, 2012, our accrual was \$171,069.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

Lifescan Scotland, LLC vs. Shasta Technologies LLC, Decision Diagnostics Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.), Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. et al.

On September 9, 2011 Lifescan Scotland, Ltd. brought suit against Shasta Technologies, LLC (Shasta), InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.), Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. et al in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, Case # CV11-04494-MEJ, alleging patent infringement, seeking injunctive relief and damages as a result of an alleged infringement on Patents 5,708,247 and 6,241,862. InstaCare Corp. (now known as Decision Diagnostics Corp.) and Pharma Tech Solutions have answered the complaint, denying all of its material allegations and asserting a number of affirmative defenses. Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. are entitled to be indemnified by Shasta Technologies LLC, and Shasta's intellectual property insurer is providing a defense for Decision Diagnostics Corp. (formerly known as InstaCare Corp.) and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. The companies also carry insurance and have demanded a defense from its own carriers. Since the suit remains in its early stages, despite the passage of over a year in time, it is yet too soon to determine the course of the litigation. Management intends to continue to vigorously defend this lawsuit, which it believes is without merit. The company intends to file its own counter-claims in December 2012 and has moved the federal court to allow amendment for such an amendment.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we issued 1,650,000 shares of our restricted common stock as consulting fees for services performed for the Company valued at \$197,440. We believe that the issuance of the shares was exempt from the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(2). The recipient of the shares was afforded an opportunity for effective access to files and records of the Company that contained the relevant information needed to make its investment decision, including the Company's financial statements and 34 Act reports. We reasonably believe that the recipient, immediately prior to issuing the shares, had such knowledge and experience in our financial and business matters that it was capable of evaluating the merits and risks of its investment. The recipient had the opportunity to speak with our president and directors on several occasions prior to its investment decision.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we issued 238 shares of our restricted common stock to Alpha Credit Resources as financing fees valued at \$36 in connection with our line of credit. We believe that the issuance of the shares was exempt from the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(2). The recipient of the shares was afforded an opportunity for effective access to files and records of the Company that contained the relevant information needed to make its investment decision, including the Company's financial statements and 34 Act reports. We reasonably believe that the recipient, immediately prior to issuing the shares, had such knowledge and experience in our financial and business matters that it was capable of evaluating the merits and risks of its investment. The recipient had the opportunity to speak with our president and directors on several occasions prior to its investment decision.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED).

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are included with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer
32.1	18 U.S.C. Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DECISION DIAGNOSTICS CORP.

By: /s/Keith Berman
Keith Berman
Chief Financial Officer and a Director
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: November 19, 2012